

Table 2: **FID comparison of few-step generation with flow-based models.** Results of the base ODE solvers are reported on each top rows, highlighted in gray. **Bold** indicates the best results, and underline marks the second best.

Method	NFE=4	NFE=6	NFE=8	NFE=10	Method	NFE=4	NFE=6	NFE=8	NFE=10
CIFAR-10 $32 \times 32$ with ReFlow (Liu et al., 2023) (Teacher FID: 2.70)									
RK1	52.78	26.30	17.40	13.30	RK2	25.36	12.12	9.17	7.89
+ DMN	180.03	104.23	30.94	21.58	+ DMN	82.41	51.99	21.43	18.62
+ Bespoke	45.31	18.08	11.88	9.25	+ Bespoke	39.45	64.87	16.67	13.34
+ GITS	47.42	26.11	19.89	15.34	+ GITS	<u>22.84</u>	<u>11.84</u>	8.77	6.58
+ LD3	<u>38.95</u>	<u>20.10</u>	<u>12.54</u>	<u>9.64</u>	+ LD3	29.45	13.82	<u>6.26</u>	<u>3.86</u>
+ BézierFlow	<b>20.64</b>	<b>9.67</b>	<b>7.30</b>	<b>5.51</b>	+ BézierFlow	<b>13.18</b>	<b>6.00</b>	<b>4.31</b>	<b>3.74</b>
ImageNet $256 \times 256$ with FlowDCN (Wang et al., 2024) (Teacher FID: 15.89)									
RK1	12.03	12.04	13.55	14.43	RK2	7.91	10.54	12.97	14.08
+ DMN	142.79	28.56	<u>10.61</u>	<u>11.69</u>	+ DMN	7.96	10.23	<u>9.42</u>	<u>7.86</u>
+ Bespoke	<u>11.85</u>	11.81	13.39	14.31	+ Bespoke	<u>7.66</u>	10.05	13.02	14.23
+ GITS	13.20	<u>10.91</u>	11.91	12.93	+ GITS	8.18	<u>9.80</u>	12.30	13.27
+ LD3	<b>11.62</b>	11.94	13.36	14.12	+ LD3	<b>7.59</b>	10.17	12.75	14.04
+ BézierFlow	15.60	<b>6.85</b>	<b>7.77</b>	<b>8.11</b>	+ BézierFlow	9.50	<b>5.94</b>	<b>6.22</b>	<b>7.56</b>
MS-COCO $512 \times 512$ with Stable Diffusion (Esser et al., 2024) (Teacher FID: 12.13)									
RK1	57.93	<b>30.96</b>	21.50	<u>17.19</u>	RK2	34.95	17.89	13.33	11.61
+ DMN	113.24	46.02	31.58	24.41	+ DMN	36.33	<u>16.45</u>	27.09	17.36
+ Bespoke	134.21	52.51	23.70	20.69	+ Bespoke	45.23	40.87	20.18	13.26
+ GITS	70.01	42.44	31.89	25.47	+ GITS	<b>31.09</b>	21.21	15.58	14.65
+ LD3	<u>55.31</u>	36.85	<u>20.37</u>	19.76	+ LD3	39.03	18.04	<u>12.30</u>	<u>11.54</u>
+ BézierFlow	<b>54.05</b>	<u>33.43</u>	<b>19.69</b>	<b>16.52</b>	+ BézierFlow	<u>33.94</u>	<b>16.41</b>	<b>12.20</b>	<b>11.02</b>