

## $H(\text{div})$ and $H(\text{curl})$ spaces

### 1 Some Motivating Examples

#### 1.1 Darcy's equations

Recall **Darcy's equations** that model flow through porous media. The unknowns are  $\mathbf{u}$  (the velocity vector) and  $p$  (the pressure). The governing equations are

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{u} + \nabla p &= \mathbf{f}, \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} &= g,\end{aligned}\tag{1}$$

with appropriate boundary conditions on  $\partial\Omega$ . To derive the variational formulation, multiply the first equation by a vector-valued test function and the second equation by a scalar test function, obtaining

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) - (p, \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}) &= (\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{v}), \\ (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}, q) &= (g, q).\end{aligned}$$

Notice that there are no derivatives acting on  $p$  and  $q$ , so we can choose  $p, q \in L^2(\Omega)$ . While we do have derivatives acting on  $\mathbf{u}$  and  $\mathbf{v}$ , we do not need the whole gradient—we only need the *divergence*. Therefore, it would make sense to choose the minimal regularity space

$$\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in [L^2(\Omega)]^d \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}, \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} \in L^2(\Omega).$$

This space is *strictly larger* than  $[H^1(\Omega)]^d$ .

#### 1.2 Maxwell equations

Maxwell's equations, which govern the physics of electromagnetic fields, are given by

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla \times \mathbf{E} &= -\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t}, \\ \nabla \times \mathbf{H} &= \frac{\partial \mathbf{D}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{J}, \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{D} &= \rho, \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} &= 0, \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{J} &= -\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}.\end{aligned}$$

In the above, we use the notation:

- $\mathbf{E}$  is the electric field intensity

- $\mathbf{D}$  is the electric flux density
- $\mathbf{H}$  is the magnetic field intensity
- $\mathbf{B}$  is the magnetic flux density
- $\mathbf{J}$  is the electric current density
- $\rho$  is the electric charge density

Under some assumptions (that the fields are *time harmonic*, i.e. that they oscillate with a single frequency  $\omega$ ) and after introducing constitutive relations, the equations can be simplified, eventually reducing to the so-called “vector wave equation”,

$$\nabla \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{E}) - \omega^2 \mathbf{E} = -j\omega \mathbf{J}$$

for the electric field, or

$$\nabla \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{H}) - \omega^2 \mathbf{H} = \nabla \times \mathbf{J}$$

for the magnetic field. These equations motivate considering the model problem

$$\nabla \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{E}) + \alpha \mathbf{E} = \mathbf{f}. \quad (2)$$

We derive the variational formulation for this problem. Recall the vector calculus identity

$$\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{v} \cdot (\nabla \times \mathbf{u}) - \mathbf{u} \cdot (\nabla \times \mathbf{v}).$$

Divergence theorem and then applying the above identity gives

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\partial\Omega} (\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{v}) \cdot \mathbf{n} \, ds &= \int_{\Omega} \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{v}) \, dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} (\mathbf{v} \cdot (\nabla \times \mathbf{u}) - \mathbf{u} \cdot (\nabla \times \mathbf{v})) \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

Rearranging (and using the vector triple product identity  $(\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{b}) \cdot \mathbf{c} = -(\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{c}) \cdot \mathbf{b}$ ) we obtain the integration by parts formula

$$\int_{\Omega} \mathbf{v} \cdot (\nabla \times \mathbf{u}) \, dx = \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{u} \cdot (\nabla \times \mathbf{v}) \, dx - \int_{\partial\Omega} (\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{n}) \cdot \mathbf{v} \, ds. \quad (3)$$

Multiply (2) by a test function  $\mathbf{F}$  and integrate over  $\Omega$ ,

$$\int_{\Omega} (\nabla \times \nabla \times \mathbf{E}) \cdot \mathbf{F} \, dx + \int_{\Omega} \alpha \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{F} \, dx = \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{F} \, dx.$$

Applying the integration by parts formula to the first integral on the left-hand side (setting  $\mathbf{u} = \nabla \times \mathbf{E}$ ,  $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{F}$ ), we have

$$\int_{\Omega} (\nabla \times \nabla \times \mathbf{E}) \cdot \mathbf{F} \, dx = \int_{\Omega} \nabla \times \mathbf{E} \cdot \nabla \times \mathbf{F} \, dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} ((\nabla \times \mathbf{E}) \times \mathbf{F}) \cdot \mathbf{n} \, ds.$$

We often use the boundary conditions that  $\mathbf{E}$  (and the test function  $\mathbf{F}$ ) have **zero tangential trace** on  $\partial\Omega$ , i.e.

$$\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{t} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{F} \cdot \mathbf{t} = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega$$

where  $\mathbf{t}$  tangent to the boundary. Equivalently,

$$\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{n} = 0, \quad \mathbf{F} \times \mathbf{n} = 0.$$

With these conditions, note that the integrand of the boundary integral is given by

$$((\nabla \times \mathbf{E}) \times \mathbf{F}) \cdot \mathbf{n} = (\nabla \times \mathbf{E}) \cdot (\mathbf{F} \times \mathbf{n}) = 0.$$

Therefore, the variational formulation for (2) (with zero tangential trace conditions) reduces to

$$(\nabla \times \mathbf{E}, \nabla \times \mathbf{F}) + (\alpha \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{F}) = (\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{F}).$$

Therefore, the conditions on  $\mathbf{E}$  and  $\mathbf{F}$  that we require in order for this variational problem to make sense are

$$\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{F} \in [L^2(\Omega)]^d \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla \times \mathbf{E}, \nabla \times \mathbf{F} \in [L^2(\Omega)]^d.$$

Again, this space is strictly larger than  $[H^1(\Omega)]^d$ .

## 2 Sobolev Spaces

So far, we have been working with the  $W^{k,p}(\Omega)$  Sobolev spaces (and more specifically, the  $H^k(\Omega) := W^{k,2}(\Omega)$  Hilbert spaces). In finite elements, we commonly work in the space  $H^1(\Omega)$ . However, for the problems described above, it makes sense to work in spaces that do not possess full  $H^1$  regularity. The natural spaces to work in for the above problems are  $\mathbf{H}(\text{div})$  and  $\mathbf{H}(\text{curl})$ , which are defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{H}(\text{div}, \Omega) &:= \{\mathbf{v} \in [L^2(\Omega)]^d : \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} \in L^2(\Omega)\}, \\ \mathbf{H}(\text{curl}, \Omega) &:= \{\mathbf{v} \in [L^2(\Omega)]^d : \nabla \times \mathbf{v} \in [L^2(\Omega)]^d\}. \end{aligned}$$

Each of these spaces is larger than  $[H^1(\Omega)]^d$  but smaller than  $[L^2(\Omega)]^d$ .

### 2.1 Continuity requirements

Suppose  $\Omega$  is partitioned into the union of disjoint subdomains  $\Omega_i$  (to be precise, the interiors of the subdomains are disjoint).

We had previously seen that if  $u \in L^2(\Omega)$  and  $u|_{\Omega_i} \in C^1(\Omega_i)$ , then

$$u \in H^1(\Omega) \iff u \in C^0(\Omega).$$

We briefly review this argument. Suppose  $u \in H^1(\Omega)$ . Then, its weak derivative  $\nabla_w u \in [L^2(\Omega)]^d$  is well-defined, and  $\nabla_w u|_{\Omega_i} = \nabla(u|_{\Omega_i})$ . Multiply  $\nabla_w u$  by a smooth test function  $\phi$  (supported away from  $\partial\Omega$ ) and integrate over  $\Omega$ . The weak derivative satisfies

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla_w u \cdot \phi \, dx = - \int_{\Omega} u \nabla \cdot \phi \, dx. \tag{4}$$

Take the left-hand side and integrate by parts over each subdomain,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega} \nabla_w u \cdot \phi \, dx &= \sum_i \int_{\Omega_i} \nabla_w u \cdot \phi \, dx \\
&= \sum_i \left( - \int_{\Omega_i} u \nabla \cdot \phi \, dx + \int_{\partial\Omega_i} u \phi \cdot \mathbf{n} \, ds \right) \\
&= - \int_{\Omega} u \nabla \cdot \phi \, dx + \int_{\Gamma} \llbracket u \rrbracket \cdot \phi \, ds
\end{aligned}$$

But by (4), this implies that  $\int_{\Gamma} \llbracket u \rrbracket \cdot \phi \, ds = 0$ , and we can conclude that the function is continuous. The converse direction follows by showing that the function defined by  $\nabla(u|_{\Omega_i})$  on each subdomain satisfies the definition of the weak gradient under the condition that  $\llbracket u \rrbracket = 0$ .

This means that from the point of view of finite elements (where finite element functions  $u_h$  restricted to each element  $\kappa \in \mathcal{T}$  are smooth), membership in  $H^1$  is determined by continuity at element interfaces. The situation is similar for determining if a finite element function is an element of  $\mathbf{H}(\text{div})$  and  $\mathbf{H}(\text{curl})$ .

### 2.1.1 Continuity conditions in $\mathbf{H}(\text{div})$

A vector-valued function  $\mathbf{v} : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  is in  $\mathbf{H}(\text{div}, \Omega)$  if there exists a function (its **weak divergence**)  $\nabla_w \cdot \mathbf{v} : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , satisfying

$$\int_{\Omega} (\nabla_w \cdot \mathbf{v}) \phi \, dx = - \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \phi \, dx,$$

for all smooth test functions  $\phi$  supported away from  $\partial\Omega$ .

Suppose  $\mathbf{u} : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  is piecewise- $\mathbf{C}^1$  (with respect to the mesh  $\mathcal{T}$ ). Then,  $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{H}(\text{div}, \Omega)$  if and only if  $\llbracket \mathbf{u} \rrbracket \equiv 0$ . (Recall from the lectures on DG diffusion, the jump of a vector is a scalar defined by  $\llbracket \mathbf{u} \rrbracket := \mathbf{u}^- \cdot \mathbf{n}^- + \mathbf{u}^+ \cdot \mathbf{n}^+$ ). First, suppose that  $\llbracket \mathbf{u} \rrbracket = 0$ . We claim that  $\nabla_h \cdot \mathbf{u}$  satisfies the definition of the weak divergence. We compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega} (\nabla_h \cdot \mathbf{u}) \phi \, dx &= \sum_{\kappa} \int_{\kappa} (\nabla_h \cdot \mathbf{u}) \phi \, dx \\
&= \sum_{\kappa} \left( \int_{\partial\kappa} \phi \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{n} \, ds - \int_{\kappa} \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \phi \, dx \right) \\
&= \int_{\Gamma} \llbracket \mathbf{u} \rrbracket \phi \, ds - \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \phi \, dx \\
&= - \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \phi \, dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Since this holds for all test functions  $\phi$ , we have that  $\nabla_w \cdot \mathbf{u} = \nabla_h \cdot \mathbf{u}$  is well-defined.

On the other hand, if  $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{H}(\text{div}, \Omega)$ , then  $\nabla_w \cdot \mathbf{u}$  is well-defined. We know that, restricted to  $\kappa \in \mathcal{T}$ ,

$$(\nabla_w \cdot \mathbf{u})|_{\kappa} = \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{u}|_{\kappa}) = (\nabla_h \cdot \mathbf{u})|_{\kappa}.$$

By a similar argument to above,

$$\int_{\Gamma} \llbracket \mathbf{u} \rrbracket \phi \, ds - \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \phi \, dx = \int_{\Omega} (\nabla_h \cdot \mathbf{u}) \phi \, dx = \int_{\Omega} (\nabla_w \cdot \mathbf{u}) \phi \, dx = \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \phi \, dx.$$

From this, we conclude that

$$\int_{\Gamma} \llbracket \mathbf{u} \rrbracket \phi \, ds = 0,$$

and since this holds for all test functions,  $\llbracket \mathbf{u} \rrbracket \equiv 0$ .

A piecewise- $\mathbf{C}^1$  function is in  $\mathbf{H}(\text{div})$  iff it possesses **normal continuity**.

### 2.1.2 Continuity conditions in $\mathbf{H}(\text{curl})$

A vector-valued function  $\mathbf{v} : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  ( $d = 2$  or  $d = 3$ ) is in  $\mathbf{H}(\text{curl})$  if it has a well-defined **weak curl**  $\nabla_w \times \mathbf{v}$ . Note that while the curl in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  is vector-valued, in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  the curl is the scalar-valued quantity

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{v} := \frac{\partial v_w}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial v_1}{\partial y}$$

(which can be interpreted as the  $z$ -component of a vector field in the  $xy$ -plane). The weak curl is characterized by the integration by parts formula

$$\int_{\Omega} (\nabla_w \times \mathbf{v}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\phi} \, dx = \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{v} \cdot (\nabla \times \boldsymbol{\phi}) \, dx$$

for all smooth vector-valued test functions  $\boldsymbol{\phi}$  supported away from  $\partial\Omega$ .

If  $\mathbf{u} : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  is piecewise- $\mathbf{C}^1$ , then  $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{H}(\text{curl}, \Omega)$  if and only if  $\llbracket \mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{n} \rrbracket \equiv 0$  (where  $\llbracket \mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{n} \rrbracket = \mathbf{u}^- \times \mathbf{n}^- + \mathbf{u}^+ \times \mathbf{n}^+$ ). The argument follows the same structure as in the case of  $\mathbf{H}(\text{div})$ . It can be seen that  $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{H}(\text{curl}, \Omega)$  if and only if

$$\int_{\Gamma} \llbracket \mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{n} \rrbracket \cdot \boldsymbol{\phi} \, ds = 0$$

for all smooth test functions  $\boldsymbol{\phi}$ .

As discussed previously, a vector field on a face  $e$  can be decomposed into its normal and tangential components,

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{\phi} &= \boldsymbol{\phi}_{\parallel} + \boldsymbol{\phi}_{\perp} \\ &= \boldsymbol{\phi}_{\parallel} + (\boldsymbol{\phi} \cdot \mathbf{n})\mathbf{n}. \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{\phi} \times \mathbf{n} &= \boldsymbol{\phi}_{\parallel} \times \mathbf{n} + (\boldsymbol{\phi} \cdot \mathbf{n})\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{n} \\ &= \boldsymbol{\phi}_{\parallel} \times \mathbf{n}, \end{aligned}$$

and so  $\llbracket \mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{n} \rrbracket = 0$  is equivalent to **tangential continuity** of the vector field  $\mathbf{u}$ .

A piecewise- $\mathbf{C}^1$  function is in  $\mathbf{H}(\text{div})$  iff it possesses **tangential continuity**.