# Cogs 118 B HW3 solutions

# 1 EM Updates

$$\Theta = \begin{pmatrix} \mu_1 \\ \mu_2 \\ \sigma_1^2 \\ \sigma_2^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Theta^0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\mathcal{D} = \left[ \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ * \end{pmatrix} \right]$$

$$Q(\theta; \theta^i) = E_{D_b}[\ln p(D_g|D_b; \theta)|D_g; \theta]$$

$$\begin{split} &= E_{42} \left[ \ln \ p(x_g|x_b;\theta) | \theta^0 \right] \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left[ \sum_{k=1}^{3} \ln \ p(x_k|\theta) + \ln \ p(x_4|\theta) \right] p(x_{42}|\theta^0; x_{41} = 3) d_{x_{42}} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{3} \ln \ p(x_k|\theta) + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \ln \ p\left( \left( \begin{array}{c} x_{41} \\ x_{42} \end{array} \right) | \theta \right) p(x_{42}|\theta^0; x_{41} = 3) d_{x_{42}} \end{split}$$

Collect the constant terms as

$$\beta = \sum_{k=1}^{3} \ln p(x_k | \theta)$$

and we get the last part by bayes rule

$$p(x_{42}|\theta^0; x_{41} = 3)d_{x_{42}} = \frac{p\begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ x_{42} \end{pmatrix} |\theta^0}{\int \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ x'_{42} \end{pmatrix} |\theta^0 dx'_{42}}$$

the  $x^{'}$  is to denote a different x on the top and bottom of the fraction. We set K as the constant value of the integral

$$K=\int\left(\begin{array}{cc}3\\x_{42}^{'}\end{array}|\theta^{0}\right)dx_{42}^{'}$$

and K is not 1 because we haven't integrated over the entire probability distribution (a few peopel had this confusion in office hours). We've only marginalized out  $x_{42}$ : if you think of the distribution as a two-dimensional shape, the integral here is the integral along the line  $x_{41}=3$ , and since the integral of the entire 2d surface is 1, then an integral along a line must necessarily be less than 1. Plugging back into the problem we get:

$$\begin{split} &=\beta+\frac{1}{K}\int\ln\,p(\frac{3}{x_{42}}|\theta)p\left(\begin{array}{c}3\\x_{42}\end{array}|\theta^0\right)dx_{42}\\ &=\beta+\frac{1}{K}\int\ln\,p(\frac{3}{x_{42}}|\theta)\left(\begin{array}{c}1\\2\pi\left|\begin{array}{c}1&0\\0&1\end{array}\right|\exp^{\frac{\left(-s^2-x_{42}^2\right)}{2}}\end{array}\right)dx_{42}\\ &=\beta+\frac{1}{K}\int\left[\ln\,\left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sigma_1\sigma_2}\right)-\frac{\left(3-\mu_1\right)^2}{2\sigma_1^2}-\frac{\left(x_{42}-\mu_2^2\right)^2}{2\sigma_2^2}\right]\left(\begin{array}{c}1\\2\pi\left|\begin{array}{c}1&0\\0&1\end{array}\right|\exp^{\frac{\left(-s^2-x_{42}^2\right)}{2}}\end{array}\right)dx_{42}\\ &=\beta+\frac{1}{K}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\exp^{\frac{-3^2}{2}}\int\left[\ln\left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sigma_1\sigma_2}\right)-\frac{\left(3-\mu_1\right)^2}{2\sigma_1^2}-\frac{\left(x_{42}-\mu_2\right)^2}{2\sigma_2^2}\right]\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\exp^{\frac{-x_{42}^2}{2}}dx_{42}\\ &=\beta+\int\left[\ln\left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sigma_1\sigma_2}\right)\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\exp^{\frac{-x_{42}^2}{2}}-\frac{\left(3-\mu_1\right)^2}{2\sigma_1^2}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\exp^{\frac{-x_{42}^2}{2}}-\frac{\left(x_{42}-\mu_2\right)^2}{2\sigma_2^2}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\exp^{\frac{-x_{42}^2}{2}}\right]dx_{42}\\ &=\beta+\left[\ln\left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sigma_1\sigma_2}\right)-\frac{\left(3-\mu_1\right)^2}{2\sigma_1^2}-\int\frac{\left(x_{42}-\mu_2\right)^2}{2\sigma_2^2}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\exp^{\frac{-x_{42}^2}{2}}dx_{42}\right]\\ &=\beta+\left[\ln\left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sigma_1\sigma_2}\right)-\frac{\left(3-\mu_1\right)^2}{2\sigma_1^2}-\frac{\mu_2^2}{2\sigma_2^2}-\frac{1}{2\sigma_2^2}\right]\\ &=3\ln\left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sigma_1\sigma_2}\right)-\frac{\mu_1^2}{2\sigma_1^2}-\frac{\left(1-\mu_2\right)^2}{2\sigma_2^2}-\frac{\left(2-\mu_1\right)^2}{2\sigma_1^2}-\frac{\left(1-\mu_1\right)^2}{2\sigma_1^2}-\frac{\left(1-\mu_2\right)^2}{2\sigma_2^2}-\frac{\mu_2^2}{2\sigma_2^2}+\left[\ln\left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sigma_1\sigma_2}\right)-\frac{\left(3-\mu_1\right)^2}{2\sigma_2^2}\right]\\ &=4\ln\left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sigma_1\sigma_2}\right)-\frac{\mu_1^2}{2\sigma_1^2}-\frac{\left(1-\mu_2\right)^2}{2\sigma_2^2}-\frac{\left(2-\mu_1\right)^2}{2\sigma_1^2}-\frac{\left(1-\mu_1\right)^2}{2\sigma_1^2}-\frac{\left(3-\mu_1\right)^2}{2\sigma_2^2}-\frac{\mu_2^2}{2\sigma_2^2}-\frac{1}{2\sigma_2^2}\\ &=\frac{1}{2\sigma_2^2}-\frac{1}{2\sigma_2^2}-\frac{1}{2\sigma_2^2}-\frac{1}{2\sigma_2^2}-\frac{1}{2\sigma_2^2}-\frac{1}{2\sigma_2^2}-\frac{1}{2\sigma_2^2}\\ &=\frac{1}{2\sigma_2^2}-\frac{1}{$$

This gives us the new value of Q.

$$\frac{\partial Q}{\partial \mu_2} = 2 - 2\mu_2 - 2\mu_2$$
$$0 = 2 - 2\mu_2 - 2\mu_2$$
$$\mu_2 = \frac{1}{2}$$

2 2

$$\sum_{k=1}^{K} \pi_k = 1$$

and

$$p(\mathbf{z}) = \prod_{k=1}^K \pi_k^{z^k}$$

and

$$p(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{z}) = \prod_{k=1}^{K} \mathcal{N}(x|\mu_k, \mathbf{\Sigma}_k)^{z^k}$$

First, we marginalize

$$\sum_{\mathbf{z}} p(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{z}) p(\mathbf{z})$$

then we can plug in the formulas from Bishop

$$\sum_{z} \prod_{k=1}^{K} \pi_{k}^{z^{k}} \mathcal{N}(x|\mu_{k}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{k})^{z^{k}}$$
$$= \sum_{z} \prod_{k=1}^{K} (\pi_{k} \mathcal{N}(x|\mu_{k}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{k}))^{z^{k}}$$

and comparing to our target (Bishop 9.7) we have to eliminate the sum and product, and be left with a sum over k. We can think of this as a loop. For a fixed value of zk, every element in the product will be  $pi_k$  times Normal . This is a product over all our k's. For the outer loop, z will iterate, but for z's that aren't k, the entire product term will turn into all ones.

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{K} \pi_k \mathcal{N}(x|\mu_k, \Sigma_k)$$

#### 3 Problem Three

(Mixture distribution mean and covariance) Consider a mixture distribution of the form

$$p(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \pi_k p(x|k)$$

and

$$p(x|k) = \mathcal{N}(\mu_k, \Sigma_k)$$

and also in addition

Show that the mean and covariance of the mixture distribution are given by Bishop equations (9.49) and (9.50) respectively.

$$\mathbb{E}[x] = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \pi_k \mu_k$$
$$\operatorname{cov}[x] = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \pi_k \{ \Sigma_k + \mu_k \mu_k^T \} - \mathbb{E}[x] \mathbb{E}[x]^T$$

First, we can write out the full distribution for  ${\bf x}$  by filling out the marginalization

$$p(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \pi_k p(x|k)$$

For the expectation, we just take

$$\mathbb{E}(x) = \sum_{x} x(p(x))$$

$$= \sum_{x} x \left( \sum_{k=1}^{K} \pi_{k} p(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{k}) \right)$$

$$= \sum_{x} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \pi_{k} x p(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{k})$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{x} \pi_{k} x p(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{k})$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{K} \pi_{k} \sum_{x} x p(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{k})$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{K} \pi_{k} \mu_{k}$$

For the covariance it is in the separate part b file

$$cov(x) = \mathbb{E}_{x,y} \left[ \left\{ x - E\left[ x \right] \right\} \left\{ x^T - E\left[ x^T \right] \right\} \right]$$

#### 4 Problem Four

(Data marginal distribution for Bernoulli mixture model) Consider the joint distribution of latent and observed variables for the Bernoulli distribution obtained by forming the product of p(xjz; ) (given by Bishop equation (9.52))

$$p(x|z,\mu) = \prod_{k=1}^{K} p(x|\mu_k)^{z^k}$$

and p(zj) (given by Bishop equation (9.53)).

$$p(z|\pi) = \prod_{k=1}^{K} \pi_k^{z^k}$$

Show that if we marginalize this joint distribution with respect to z,

$$p(x|\mu, \pi) = \sum_{z} p(x|z, \mu) (p(z|\pi)$$

$$= \sum_{z} \prod_{k=1}^{K} \pi_{k}^{z^{k}} p(x|\mu_{k})^{z^{k}}$$

$$= \sum_{z} \prod_{k=1}^{K} (\pi_{k} p(x|\mu_{k}))^{z^{k}}$$

then we obtain Bishop equation (9.47).

$$p(x|\mu,\pi) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \pi_k p(x|\mu_k)$$

#### 5 Problem Five

(Bernoulli mixture model M step) Show that if we maximize the expected complete-data log likelihood function (Bishop equation (9.55))

$$E_z \left[ \ln p(X, Z | \mu, \pi) \right] = \sum \sum \gamma(z_{nk}) \left\{ \ln \pi_k + \sum \left[ x_{ni} \ln \mu_{ki} + (1 - x_{ni}) \ln(1 - \mu_{ki}) \right] \right\}$$

for a mixture of Bernoulli distributions with respect to k, we obtain the M step equation shown in Bishop equation (9.59).

This question is done in the separate file

### 6 Eigenvectors

$$AA^{T}v = \lambda v$$

$$u = A^{T}v$$

$$A^{T}Au = A^{T}A(A^{T}v)$$

$$= A^{T}(\lambda v)$$

$$= \lambda(A^{T}v)$$

Note that  $A^Tv$  is a vector, so we have an eigenvector by definition.

Questions 7-9 are also in a separate file

## A note about eigenvectors:

When you try to calculate eigenvectors of the identity matrix (try it!) you run into problems: this is a well known flaw of the 'power method' for calculating eigenvectors: it fails when you have repeated or closely spaced eigenvalues. For more details on more stable algorithms, consult a good numerical linear algebra text.