

Bern University of Applied Sciences, CH-2501 Biel, Switzerland

UniVote2 System Specification

Version 0.6.4

Reto E. Koenig, Rolf Haenni, Severin Hauser

20.07.2015



On behalf of the student unions of the University of Bern (SUB), the University of Zürich (VSUZH), and the Bern University of Applied Sciences (VSBFH).

Revision History

Revision	Date	Author(s)	Description
0.1	14.07.2012	Rolf Haenni	Initial Draft.
0.2	15.08.2012	Rolf Haenni	Complete revision of initial draft.
0.3	10.09.2012	Rolf Haenni	Includes now the case of late registrations.
0.4	13.03.2013	Rolf Haenni	Improved ballot acceptance and closing the urn.
0.5	04.04.2013	Rolf Haenni	Revised section on zero-knowledge proofs.
0.5.1	30.04.2013	Rolf Haenni	Improved notational consistency.
0.5.2	21.05.2013	Rolf Haenni	Two important notational corrections.
0.6	07.01.2015	Reto E. Koenig	Quality: Change of title; re-establishment of the version history.
0.6	15.06.2015	Reto E. Koenig	Revised section on Randomness.
0.6.1	16.06.2015	Severin Hauser	Revision of UniVote.
0.6.2	16.06.2015	Reto E. Koenig	Revision of UniVote.
0.6.3	26.06.2015	Rolf Haenni	Table in Section 7 adjusted.
0.6.4	20.07.2015	Rolf Haenni	Updated tables in Section 7; Updated late registration procedure.

Contents

1. Introduction	6
I. Theoretical Background	7
2. Preliminaries	8
2.1. Notational Conventions	8
2.2. Byte Arrays	8
2.2.1. Representing Integers	9
2.2.2. Representing Strings	9
2.3. Byte Trees	10
2.4. Pairing	11
3. Cryptographic Primitives	13
3.1. Hash Functions	13
3.2. Generating Random Bits and Random Numbers	14
3.2.1. Random Oracles	14
3.2.2. Pseudo-Random Bit Generators	14
3.2.3. Reseedable Pseudo-Random Bit Generators	15
3.2.4. Sponge Functions	15
3.2.5. Creating Randomness by the use of Entropy-Provider	15
3.2.6. Generating Random Numbers from Random Bits	16
3.3. ElGamal Cryptosystem	16
3.4. Schnorr Signatures	17
3.5. Digital Certificates	18
3.6. Zero-Knowledge Proofs of Knowledge	19
3.6.1. Non-Interactive Preimage Proof	19
3.6.2. Examples	19
3.6.3. Composition of Preimage Proofs	20
3.7. Threshold Cryptosystem	21
3.8. Verifiable Mix-Nets	22
II. Formal Specification	23
4. UniBoard	24
4.1. Basic Operations	24
4.2. Posting Properties	25
4.3. Query Properties	27
4.4. Further Properties	27

4.5. Properties of UniVote and UniCert	28
4.5.1. Post	28
4.5.2. Query	29
5. UniCert	30
6. UniVote	33
6.1. Overview	33
6.1.1. Involved Parties	33
6.1.2. Voting Process	33
6.1.3. Public Identifiers and Keys	33
6.1.4. Posting and Getting Messages	35
6.2. Detailed Protocol Specification	35
6.2.1. Election Setup	35
6.2.2. Election Preparation	37
6.2.3. Election Period	39
6.2.4. Mixing and Tallying	40
6.3. Late Voter Certificates	42
6.3.1. General Procedure	42
6.3.2. Mixing a Single Public Key	43
6.4. Summary of Election Data	43
6.5. Universal Verification	43
6.6. Options, Rules, and Votes	44
III. Technical Specification	48
7. Cryptographic Settings	49
7.1. Residue Class Groups	49
7.1.1. Level 0 (Testing Only)	49
7.1.2. Level 1	50
7.1.3. Level 2	51
7.1.4. Level 3	53
7.2. Hash Functions	55
8. Encoding Votes	56
9. UniBoard	57
9.1. Basic Types to ByteArray	57
9.2. Post Signature	57
9.3. Read Signature	57
10. UniCert	58
10.1. Format of certificate	58
11. UniVote	61
11.1. EC Setup Phase Actions	62
11.1.1. Initial	62
11.1.2. DefineEA	62

11.1.3.	GrantElectionDefinition	62
11.1.4.	GrantTrustees	62
11.1.5.	GrantSecurityLevel	62
11.1.6.	PublishTrusteeCerts	63
11.1.7.	SetCryptoSetting	63
11.1.8.	GrantEncryptionKeyShares	63
11.1.9.	CombineEncryptionKeyShares	63
11.2.	EC Perparation Phase Actions	63
11.2.1.	GrantElectionIssues	63
11.2.2.	GrantElectoralRoll	64
11.2.3.	KeyMixing	64
11.2.4.	GrantBallot	64
11.2.5.	CreateElectionData	64
11.3.	Trustees	65
11.3.1.	Tallier: CreateSharedKey	65
11.3.2.	Tallier: PartialDecryption	65
11.3.3.	Mixer: MixingPublicKey	66
11.3.4.	Mixer: MixingVote	66
11.3.5.	Mixer: AddingNewKey	66
11.3.6.	Mixer: CancellingExistingKey	67

Bibliography

68

1. Introduction

Part I.

Theoretical Background

2. Preliminaries

2.1. Notational Conventions

As a general rule, we use upper-case latin or greek letters for sets, tuples, and sequences of atomic elements, and lower-case latin or greek letters for their elements. For example $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ for a set, $Y = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in X_1 \times \dots \times X_n$ for a tuple, or $Z = \langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle \in X^*$ for a finite sequence. $|X|$ denotes the cardinality of X . For families of subsets, sets of tuples, or sets of sequences, we usually use calligraphic upper-case latin letters, for example $\mathcal{X} \subseteq X_1 \times \dots \times X_n$ for a set of tuples.

The set of integers is denoted by $\mathbb{Z} = \{\dots, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ and the set of natural numbers by $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$. For $n \geq 1$, we write $\mathbb{Z}_n = \{0, \dots, n-1\}$ for the set of natural numbers and $\mathbb{P}_n = \{x \in \mathbb{Z}_n : \text{prime}(x) = \text{true}\}$ for the set of prime numbers smaller than n . For an integer $x \in \mathbb{Z}$, we write $\text{abs}(x)$ for the absolute value of x and $|x| = \lfloor \log_2(\text{abs}(x)) \rfloor$ for the *bit length* of x (excluding a sign bit). The set of all natural numbers with a given bit length $l \geq 0$ is denoted by $\mathbb{Z}_{|x|=l} = \{x \in \mathbb{N} : |x| = l\} = \mathbb{Z}_{2^l} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{2^{l-1}}$ and the corresponding set of prime numbers by $\mathbb{P}_{|x|=l}$.

To denote mathematical functions, we generally use one or multiple lower-case latin letters, single upper-case latin letters for word boundaries, and multiple upper-case latin letters for abbreviations, for example $f(x)$, *function*(x), *myFunction*(x), or $\text{GCD}(x)$.

For a person or party involved in cryptographic protocols, we use upper-case latin letters in sans-serif font, for example **CA** for a certificate authority, **EA** for an election administration, or **V_i** for voters.

2.2. Byte Arrays

Let $B = \langle b_0, \dots, b_{n-1} \rangle$ denote an array of bytes $b_i \in \{0, 1\}^8$. Its length is denoted by $|B| = n$. We use standard array notation $B[i] = b_i$ to select from B the byte at index $i \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$ and hexadecimal notation for individual bytes. For example, $B = \langle 0A, 23, EF \rangle$ denotes a byte array containing three bytes $B[0] = 0A_{16} = 00001010_2$, $B[1] = 23_{16} = 001000011_2$, and $B[2] = EF_{16} = 11101111_2$.

If B' is a second byte array of length $n' = |B'|$, then $B \parallel B'$ denotes the concatenated byte array of length $n + n'$ with the bytes of B placed before the bytes of B' . Furthermore, we write $B \wedge B'$, $B \vee B'$, and $B \oplus B'$ for the byte array of length $\min(n, n')$ obtained from applying bit-wise the logical AND, OR, and XOR operators, respectively (the additional bytes of the longer byte array are discarded). Similarly, $\neg B$ denotes the result of applying bit-wise the NOT operator. Finally, if $x \in \mathbb{N}$ is a natural number with bit length $|x| \leq 8 \cdot |B|$, we write $B + x$ for result of adding x to B in base two (the overflow bit is discarded).

2.2.1. Representing Integers

Let $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ be an integer. We use $\text{bytes}_k(x)$ to denote the byte array obtained from truncating the k least significant bytes from the (infinitely long) two's complement representation of x in big-endian order, where $k \geq \lceil (|x| + 1)/8 \rceil$. We use $\text{bytes}(x)$ as a short-cut notation for the shortest possible such byte array representation of length $k = \lceil (|x| + 1)/8 \rceil$. Note that the most significant bit of $\text{bytes}(x)[0]$ is always the sign bit, also for $\text{bytes}(0) = \langle 00 \rangle$, which contains a single zero byte. The empty byte array is not a valid integer representation.

The following table shows the byte array representations for different integers x and $k \leq 4$:

x	k				
	1	2	3	4	...
0	$\langle 00 \rangle$	$\langle 00, 00 \rangle$	$\langle 00, 00, 00 \rangle$	$\langle 00, 00, 00, 00 \rangle$	
1	$\langle 01 \rangle$	$\langle 00, 01 \rangle$	$\langle 00, 00, 01 \rangle$	$\langle 00, 00, 00, 01 \rangle$	
127	$\langle 7F \rangle$	$\langle 00, 7F \rangle$	$\langle 00, 00, 7F \rangle$	$\langle 00, 00, 00, 7F \rangle$	
128	—	$\langle 00, 80 \rangle$	$\langle 00, 00, 80 \rangle$	$\langle 00, 00, 00, 80 \rangle$	
255	—	$\langle 00, FF \rangle$	$\langle 00, 00, FF \rangle$	$\langle 00, 00, 00, FF \rangle$	
256	—	$\langle 01, 00 \rangle$	$\langle 00, 01, 00 \rangle$	$\langle 00, 00, 01, 00 \rangle$	
32767	—	$\langle 7F, FF \rangle$	$\langle 00, 7F, FF \rangle$	$\langle 00, 00, 7F, FF \rangle$	
32768	—	—	$\langle 00, 80, 00 \rangle$	$\langle 00, 00, 80, 00 \rangle$	
65535	—	—	$\langle 00, FF, FF \rangle$	$\langle 00, 00, FF, FF \rangle$	
-1	$\langle FF \rangle$	$\langle FF, FF \rangle$	$\langle FF, FF, FF \rangle$	$\langle FF, FF, FF, FF \rangle$	
-2	$\langle FE \rangle$	$\langle FF, FE \rangle$	$\langle FF, FF, FE \rangle$	$\langle FF, FF, FF, FE \rangle$	
-128	$\langle 80 \rangle$	$\langle FF, 80 \rangle$	$\langle FF, FF, 80 \rangle$	$\langle FF, FF, FF, 80 \rangle$	
-129	—	$\langle FF, 7F \rangle$	$\langle FF, FF, 7F \rangle$	$\langle FF, FF, FF, 7F \rangle$	
-256	—	$\langle FF, 00 \rangle$	$\langle FF, FF, 00 \rangle$	$\langle FF, FF, FF, 00 \rangle$	
-257	—	$\langle FE, FF \rangle$	$\langle FF, FE, FF \rangle$	$\langle FF, FF, FE, FF \rangle$	
-32768	—	$\langle 80, 00 \rangle$	$\langle FF, 80, 00 \rangle$	$\langle FF, FF, 80, 00 \rangle$	
-32769	—	—	$\langle FF, 7F, FF \rangle$	$\langle FF, FF, 7F, FF \rangle$	
-65536	—	—	$\langle FF, 00, 00 \rangle$	$\langle FF, FF, 00, 00 \rangle$	

The two's complement representation in big-endian byte order is the only integer representation considered in this document.

2.2.2. Representing Strings

Let U be the *Universal Character Set* (UCS) as defined by ISO/IEC 10646. A string of length n is a sequence $S = \langle c_1 \cdots c_n \rangle \in U^*$ of characters $c_i \in U$. U^* denotes the set of all UCS strings, including the empty string. Selecting the i -th character from S is written as $S[i] = c_i$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Concrete string instances are written in the usual string notation, for example "" (empty string), "x" (string consisting of a single character 'x'), or "Hello".

To encode a string $S \in U^*$ as byte array, we use the UTF-8 character encoding as defined in ISO/IEC 10646 (Annex D). Let $\text{bytes}(S)$ denote the corresponding byte array, in which characters use 1, 2, 3, or 4 bytes of space depending on the type of character. For example,

$\text{bytes}(\text{"Hello"}) = \langle 48, 65, 6C, 6C, 6F \rangle$ is a byte array of length 5, because it only consists of Basic Latin characters, whereas $\text{bytes}(\text{"Voilà"}) = \langle 56, 6F, 69, 6C, C3, A0 \rangle$ contains 6 bytes due to the Latin-1 Supplement character 'à' translating into two bytes. UTF-8 is the only character encoding used in this document.

2.3. Byte Trees

Following the definition given in [10], a *byte tree* is either a *leaf* containing an array of bytes, or a *node* containing other byte trees. The purpose of a byte tree is to provide a simple byte-oriented representation of complex mathematical objects consisting of values of different types (integers, strings, etc.). Constructing a byte tree $T = \text{byteTree}(\phi)$ from such a mathematical object ϕ means replacing each individual value by its byte array representation (see previous section).

A byte tree T itself can be transformed into a single byte array $B = \text{bytes}(T)$, which may then be given as input to a hash function, a random oracle, or an encryption function. This transformation is defined as follows:

- If T is a node containing byte trees T_1, \dots, T_k , then

$$\text{bytes}(T) = \langle 00 \rangle \parallel {}_4(k) \parallel \text{bytes}(T_1) \parallel \dots \parallel \text{bytes}(T_k).$$

- If T is a leaf containing a byte array B of length n , then

$$\text{bytes}(T) = \langle 01 \rangle \parallel \text{bytes}_4(n) \parallel B.$$

In each case, the first byte specifies the type of the byte tree and the following four bytes its size. Note that this transformation restricts the maximal number of children in a node and the maximal number of bytes in leaf to $2^{31} - 1$.

As an example, consider the mathematical object $\phi = (\text{"Hello"}, (256, -32769))$, which is a pair consisting of a string and a pair of integers. From

$$\text{bytes}(\text{"Hello"}) = \langle 48, 65, 6C, 6C, 6F \rangle,$$

$$\text{bytes}(256) = \langle 01, 00 \rangle,$$

$$\text{bytes}(-32769) = \langle FF, 7F, FF \rangle,$$

we obtain the byte tree $T = \text{byteTree}(\phi) = (\langle 48, 65, 6C, 6C, 6F \rangle, (\langle 01, 00 \rangle, \langle FF, 7F, FF \rangle))$, which finally leads to the following byte array:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{bytes}(T) = & \langle 00, 00, 00, 00, 02, \\ & 01, 00, 00, 00, 05, 48, 65, 6C, 6C, 6F, \\ & 00, 00, 00, 00, 02, \\ & 01, 00, 00, 00, 02, 01, 00, \\ & 01, 00, 00, 00, 03, FF, 7F, FF \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

2.4. Pairing

Let $x, y \in \mathbb{N}$ be two non-negative integers. A *pairing function* is a bijective mapping $pair : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, which maps pairs $(x, y) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ into a single paired value $pair(x, y) \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $unpair : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ denote the corresponding *unpair function*, for which $(x, y) = unpair(pair(x, y))$ holds for all $x, y \in \mathbb{N}$.

One of the simplest pairing function, called *elegant pairing* [9], is defined as

$$pair(x, y) = \begin{cases} x^2 + x + y, & \text{if } x \geq y, \\ x + y^2, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

The following table lists the paired values for all $x, y \leq 5$.

		x						
		0	1	2	3	4	5	...
y	0	0	2	6	12	20	30	
	1	1	3	7	13	21	31	
	2	4	5	8	14	22	32	
	3	9	10	11	15	23	33	
	4	16	17	18	19	24	34	
	5	25	26	27	28	29	35	
	\vdots							

Note that the bit length of a paired value $z = pair(x, y)$ is either $|z| = 2|m|$ or $|z| = 2|m| - 1$, where $m = \max(x, y)$ denotes the maximum of the two inputs. In other words, elegant pairing doubles the length of the larger input.

In case of elegant pairing, the corresponding unpairing function is defined by

$$unpair(z) = \begin{cases} (t, s), & \text{if } t < s, \\ (s, t - s), & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

where $s = \lfloor \sqrt{z} \rfloor$ and $t = z - s^2$.

There are two important generalizations of a pairing functions, which can be added individually or jointly. The first one extends the domain from $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ to $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ by first mapping each input $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ into a non-negative integer $fold(x) \in \mathbb{N}$, where

$$fold(x) = \begin{cases} 2x, & \text{if } x \geq 0, \\ 2|x| - 1, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

denotes the *folding function*. The corresponding *unfolding function* is defined by

$$unfold(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}x, & \text{if } x \text{ is even,} \\ -\frac{1}{2}(x + 1), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (2.4)$$

The second generalization extends the domain of the pairing function from $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ to \mathbb{N}^k for $k \geq 2$. There are multiple ways of defining such a k -ary pairing function $pair_k : \mathbb{N}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$

recursively. If we assume that all input values are equally long, then a length-optimal recursive definition is as follows:

$$pair_k(x_1, \dots, x_k) = \begin{cases} pair_{\frac{k}{2}}(pair(x_1, x_2), \dots, pair(x_{k-1}, x_k)), & \text{if } k > 2 \text{ is even,} \\ pair_{\frac{k+1}{2}}(pair(x_1, x_2), \dots, pair(x_{k-2}, x_{k-1}), x_k), & \text{if } k > 2 \text{ is odd,} \\ pair(x_1, x_2), & \text{if } k = 2. \end{cases} \quad (2.5)$$

3. Cryptographic Primitives

The UniVote system is based on several cryptographic building blocks. Apart from standard ElGamal encryption and decryption, we also need hash functions, random oracles, Schnorr signatures, threshold decryptions, non-interactive zero-knowledge proofs of knowledge, verifiable exponentiation and re-encryption mix-nets, an anonymous channel, and an append-only public bulletin board. These building blocks will be described below.

3.1. Hash Functions

A *hash function* defines a mapping $hash_n : \{0, 1\}^* \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^n$ from an arbitrarily long input bit sequences to a fixed-length output bit sequence of length n . For a given input bit string $B \in \{0, 1\}^*$, we call $hash_n(B) \in \{0, 1\}^n$ the *hash value* of B . Whenever the output length n is clear from the context, we allow $hash(B)$ as a shortcut notation.

All hash functions relevant for practical applications restrict the length of the input and output bit sequence to a multiple of 8. In other words, practical hash functions deal with bytes rather than bits. Therefore, if $\mathcal{B} = \{0, 1\}^8$ denotes the set of all possible bytes, then a practical hash function is a mapping $hash_n : \mathcal{B}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^{\frac{n}{8}}$ from arbitrarily long byte arrays to fixed-length byte arrays. The only practical hash functions we consider in this document are the NIST standards SHA-256, SHA-384, and SHA-512, which output byte arrays of length 32 (256 bits), 48 (384 bits), and 64 (512 bits), respectively.

Let $\phi \in \Phi$ be an arbitrary mathematical object. Then we consider the following two generalized definitions of a hash function with a more general domain Φ :

- *Byte Tree Hashing*: The input object ϕ is first encoded as a byte tree, which is then transformed into an input byte array for the hash function:

$$treeHash(\phi) = hash(bytes(byteTree(\phi))). \quad (3.1)$$

- *Recursive Hashing*: Here we compute the hash value recursively from the hash values of the components of ϕ (unless ϕ is atomic):

$$recHash(\phi) = \begin{cases} hash(bytes(\phi)), & \text{if } \phi \text{ is atomic,} \\ hash(recHash(\phi_1) \parallel \dots \parallel recHash(\phi_k)), & \text{if } \phi = (\phi_1, \dots, \phi_k). \end{cases} \quad (3.2)$$

Sometimes, if we do not want to specify the concrete hash method applied to ϕ , we simply write $hash(\phi)$ for the hash value of ϕ . Furthermore, if $\phi = (\phi_1, \dots, \phi_k)$ is a composed object, we sometimes write $hash(\phi)$ as the application $hash(\phi_1, \dots, \phi_k)$ of a k -ary hash function to multiple arguments.

3.2. Generating Random Bits and Random Numbers

In this section, we give an overview of how random bits and random numbers are generated in UniVote. There are two fundamentally different situations for generating random data, one in which a third party needs to be convinced about how the randomness has been generated, and one in which the random data needs to be kept secret. Both goals are achieved using the same cryptographic tools.

3.2.1. Random Oracles

In cryptography, a *random oracle* is mapping $randomOracle : \{0,1\}^* \rightarrow \{0,1\}^\infty$, which responds to each input bit sequence $Q \in \{0,1\}^*$ with an infinitely long output bit sequence $randomOracle(Q) \in \{0,1\}^\infty$, in which every bit is chosen uniformly and independently. Note that if such a *query* Q is repeated, the random oracle responds the same way every time. Since no function computable by a finite algorithm can implement a true random oracle, they exist only as a theoretical model. As such, they are an important mathematical abstraction used in numerous cryptographic proofs, often as a replacement for hash functions. The corresponding security model is called *random oracle model*.

In practice, random oracles can at most be approximated, for example by hash functions, pseudo-random bit generators, or sponge functions. In this document, we use pseudo-random bit generators in combination with hash functions to approximate random oracles. The following table gives an overview of the fundamental differences between random oracles and the cryptographic primitives used to approximate them.

	Domain	Co-domain	State width	Max. period
Hash function	$\{0,1\}^*$	$\{0,1\}^n$	—	—
Pseudo-random bit generator	$\{0,1\}^n$	$\{0,1\}^\infty$	n	2^n
Sponge function	$\{0,1\}^*$	$\{0,1\}^\infty$	b	2^b
Random oracle	$\{0,1\}^*$	$\{0,1\}^\infty$	∞	∞

To make random oracles more flexible with respect to the type of query they accept, we allow writing $randomOracle(\phi)$ for feeding the oracle with the bits contained in $bytes(byteTree(\phi))$, where ϕ is an arbitrary mathematical object.

3.2.2. Pseudo-Random Bit Generators

A *pseudo-random bit generator* (PRBG) is a deterministic function $PRBG : \{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \{0,1\}^\infty$ for generating infinitely long bit sequences whose properties approximate the properties of true random bit sequences, except for the fact they are periodic. The n input bits $S \in \{0,1\}^n$ of a PRBG are called *seed*, and the output bits $PRBG(S) \in \{0,1\}^\infty$ are called *pseudo-random bit sequence*. The seed determines the initial internal state of the PRNG. Usually, the bit length of the internal state—called *state width*—is equivalent to n , the bit length of the seed. This implies that the period of the resulting pseudo-random bit sequence can be no longer than 2^n .

A simple way of constructing a PRNG is by applying a one-way function $f : \{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \{0,1\}^m$ or $f : \{0,1\}^* \rightarrow \{0,1\}^m$ repeatedly to $S + i$ for $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, which outputs the pseudo-random bit sequence

$$PRBG(S) = f(S) \parallel f(S + 1) \parallel f(S + 2) \parallel \dots$$

in chunks of m bits [3]. This general construction is typically instantiated with either a hash function, a block cipher, or a MAC. In this document, this is the only PRNG construction we consider, and we instantiate it with SHA-256 and hence with $n = m = 256$.

To use a PRBG as an approximation of a random oracle, its input space must be extended from $\{0,1\}^n$ to $\{0,1\}^*$. The simplest solution is to use a hash function for computing $S = \text{hash}_n(Q)$ from then random oracle's query $Q \in \{0,1\}^*$, and to use S as seed for the PRBG. In this document, we use SHA-256 for this purpose.

3.2.3. Reseedable Pseudo-Random Bit Generators

The problem with a singly-seeded PRBG is that an adversary who learns the internal state of the PRBG can predict the remaining pseudo-random bit sequence. This problem can be circumvented, if a (possibly compromised) PRGB allows to reseed (update) the internal state with a fresh random string $(\{0,1\}^n)$ where $n \geq$ security parameter.

3.2.4. Sponge Functions

Sponge functions generalize both hash functions and pseudo-random bit generators (and many other cryptographic primitives). They are also designed to better approximate random oracles. At the moment, sponge functions are not used in UniVote, but we mention them here, because they are likely to replace the current pseudo-random bit generators in future releases. Note that the forthcoming new hash function standard SHA3 is also based on a sponge function.

In its normal mode of operation, a sponge function $\text{sponge} : \{0,1\}^* \rightarrow \{0,1\}^\infty$ defines a mapping between a finite input and an infinite output bit sequence, similar to a random oracle. The difference to a random oracle is the limitation of the state width to b bits. However, since b can be chosen freely, sponge functions are quite flexible in approximating random oracles as precisely as needed. Nevertheless, the output bit sequence remains periodic with an upper bound of 2^b bits.

3.2.5. Creating Randomness by the use of Entropy-Provider

In order to work with randomized cryptographic protocols, random data is required which is entropic for the adversary, whereas entropic data in this context is derived from the definition of Shannon entropy [8]. The immanent problem when dealing with the desire to gain random data from a source, is the lack of knowledge whether the source really provides (enough) data of the desired entropy (denoted as question mark ?). $(\{\{?\}^?, t) \rightarrow \{0,1\}^*$, where t is the time needed in order to gain the desired amount of entropic randomness.

If the adversary can pretend to be a source for data with entropy, i.e. provides data which only 'looks' entropic to everyone but the adversary, all randomized cryptographic constructs building upon random data will fail their duty. Hence, in order to establish a cryptographic secret, the establisher is urged to gain random data from a source providing data of entropy for everyone else. Using a combination of a reseetable PRBG which is (re-)seeded by one or multiple entropy-provider, the resulting data is entropic even if the entropy-provider fails to deliver the requested amount or a constant stream of entropic data ($\{?\}^? \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^\infty$).

3.2.6. Generating Random Numbers from Random Bits

Choosing an integer uniformly at random from \mathbb{Z}_n can be done by generating a random bit sequence of length $l = \lceil \log_2 n \rceil$, converting it to an integer $x \in \mathbb{Z}_{2^l}$, and repeating this procedure until $x \in \mathbb{Z}_n$. This process is denoted by $x \in_R \mathbb{Z}_n$.

For an interval $[a, b] \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, we write $x \in_R [a, b]$ for the process of randomly choosing one of its elements, for example by selecting $y \in_R \mathbb{Z}_{b-a+1}$ and returning $x = y + a$. For $a = 2^{l-1}$ and $b = 2^l - 1$, this corresponds to generating random numbers $x \in_R \mathbb{Z}_{|x|=l}$ of a given bit length l . In this particular case, the whole procedure is equivalent to generating a random bit sequence of length $l - 1$, pre-pending a 1-bit, and converting the result to an integer.

The process for choosing random prime numbers $p \in_R \mathbb{P}_{|x|=l}$ of a given bit length l is similar. It consists of selecting $p \in_R \mathbb{Z}_{|x|=l}$, running a (probabilistic) primality test $\text{prime}(p)$ to check whether p is prime, and repeating this procedure until $\text{prime}(p) = \text{true}$. Generating safe primes is similar but requires an additional primality test for $q = (p - 1)/2$ in each iterative step.

Finally, we consider the problem of choosing a random permutation $\pi : \mathbb{Z}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_n$ uniformly from the set Π_n of all such permutations. For this, we select a random rank $x \in \mathbb{Z}_{n!}$ and input it to Myrvold's and Wendy's linear-time unranking algorithm $\text{unrank} : \mathbb{Z}_{n!} \rightarrow \Pi_n$ [4]. We denote the whole process by $\pi \in_R \Pi_n$.

3.3. ElGamal Cryptosystem

The *ElGamal cryptosystem* is based on a multiplicative cyclic group $(G_q, \cdot, 1)$ of order q , for which the decisional Diffie-Hellman assumption (DDH) is believed to hold [1]. The most common choice for such a group is the subgroup of quadratic residues $G_q \subset \mathbb{Z}_p^*$ of prime order q , where $p = 2q + 1$ is a *safe prime*. Typically, p is chosen to be large enough (>1024 bits) to resist index-calculus and other methods of solving the discrete logarithm problem. The public parameters of an ElGamal cryptosystem are thus p , q , and a generator g of $G_q = \langle g \rangle$. A suitable generator can be found by picking an arbitrary value $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$ and by checking that $g = \gamma^2$ is different from 1.

An ElGamal key pair is a tuple (x, y) , where $x \in_R \mathbb{Z}_q$ is the randomly chosen private decryption key and $y = g^x \in G_q$ the corresponding public encryption key. If $m \in G_q$ denotes the plaintext to encrypt, then

$$\text{encrypt}_y(m, r) = (g^r, m \cdot y^r) \in G_q \times G_q \quad (3.3)$$

is the ElGamal encryption of m with randomization $r \in_R \mathbb{Z}_q$.¹ Note that its bit length is twice the bit length of p . For a given encryption $E = (a, b) = \text{encrypt}_y(m, r)$, m can be recovered by using the private decryption key x to compute

$$\text{decrypt}_x(E) = a^{-x} \cdot b = m. \quad (3.4)$$

Note that m can also be recovered by $m = b \cdot y^{-r}$ in case the randomization r is known.

The ElGamal encryption function is *homomorphic* with respect to multiplication, which means that the component-wise multiplication of two ciphertexts yields an encryption of the product of respective plaintexts:

$$\text{encrypt}_y(m_1, r_1) \cdot \text{encrypt}_y(m_2, r_2) = \text{encrypt}_y(m_1 \cdot m_2, r_1 + r_2). \quad (3.5)$$

In a homomorphic cryptosystem like ElGamal, a given encryption $E = \text{encrypt}_y(m, r)$ can be *re-encrypted* by multiplying E with an encryption of the neutral element 1. The resulting re-encryption,

$$\text{reEncrypt}_y(E, r') = E \cdot \text{encrypt}_y(1, r') = \text{encrypt}_y(m, r + r'), \quad (3.6)$$

is clearly an encryption of m with a fresh randomization $r + r'$.

Practical applications often require the plaintext to be in \mathbb{Z}_q rather than G_q . With a safe prime p , we can use the following mapping $\text{subGroup} : \mathbb{Z}_q \rightarrow G_q$ to encode any integer plaintext $m \in \mathbb{Z}_q$ by a group element $m' \in G_q$, which can then be encrypted as described above:

$$m' = \text{subGroup}(m) = \begin{cases} m + 1, & \text{if } (m + 1)^q = 1, \\ p - (m + 1), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (3.7)$$

When we obtain $m' \in G_q$ from decrypting the ciphertext, we can reconstruct $m \in \mathbb{Z}_q$ by applying the inverse function $\text{subGroup}^{-1} : G_q \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_q$ to m' :

$$m = \text{subGroup}^{-1}(m') = \begin{cases} m' - 1, & \text{if } m' \leq q, \\ (p - m') - 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (3.8)$$

Note that by adding such an encoding to the ElGamal cryptosystem, it is no longer homomorphic with respect to plaintexts in \mathbb{Z}_q , but re-encryptions can still be computed in the same way as explained above.

3.4. Schnorr Signatures

The *Schnorr signature scheme* has a setting similar to the ElGamal cryptosystem. It is based on a multiplicative cyclic group $(G_q, \cdot, 1)$ of order q , for which the discrete logarithm problem (DLP) is believed to be intractable in the random oracle model [6]. The most common choice is a *Schnorr group*, a subgroup $G_q \subset \mathbb{Z}_p^*$ of prime order q , where $p = kq + 1$ is a prime large

¹For improved efficiency, we can pick a randomization r with a reduced, but large enough bit length to resist birthday attacks on discrete logarithms (160–512 bits). Furthermore, we can pre-compute both parts of an ElGamal encryption prior to knowing the plaintext m .

enough (>1024 bits) to resist methods for solving the discrete logarithm problem, while q is large enough (160–512 bits) to resist birthday attacks on discrete logarithm problems. The public parameters of a Schnorr signature scheme are thus p , q , and a generator g of $G_q = \langle g \rangle$. A suitable generator can be found by selecting an arbitrary value $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$ and by checking that $g = \gamma^k$ is different from 1. Furthermore, all involved parties must agree on a cryptographic hash function $hash : \{0,1\}^* \rightarrow \{0,1\}^n$. In this document, only SHA-256 is used for this purpose.

A Schnorr signature key pair is a tuple (sk, vk) , where $sk \in_R \mathbb{Z}_q$ is the randomly chosen private signature key and $vk = g^{sk} \in G_q$ the corresponding public verification key. Let $m \in \{0,1\}^*$ denote an arbitrary message to sign. If $r \in_R \mathbb{Z}_q$ is a randomly selected value and $a = hash(m, g^r) \bmod q$ the integer representation of the hash value of (m, g^r) modulo q , then

$$sign_{sk}(m, r) = (a, r - a \cdot sk) \in \mathbb{Z}_q \times \mathbb{Z}_q \quad (3.9)$$

is the Schnorr signature of m . Note that its bit length is twice the bit length of q . Using the public verification key vk , a given signature $S = (a, b) = sign_{sk}(m, r)$ for message m can be verified by computing

$$verify_{vk}(m, S) = \begin{cases} \text{accept}, & \text{if } a = hash(m, g^b \cdot vk^a) \bmod q, \\ \text{reject}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (3.10)$$

3.5. Digital Certificates

Let X be a unique identifier of the holder of a public encryption or verification key k . The purpose of a *digital certificate* Z_X is to bind the key k to its holder X . For this, a certificate contains the signature of a trustworthy third party who guarantees the binding. Let CA be the unique identifier of such a *certificate authority* and (sk_{CA}, vk_{CA}) its signature key pair.

In practice, a digital certificate contains much more information than X , k , and $S = sign_{sk_{CA}}(X, k)$. Examples of additional information stored in a certificate are the issuer's name and unique identifier, a serial number, the validity period, the key type, the signature algorithm ID, and optional extensions. The most common standard in practice is X.509, which we adopt in this document. Note that the signature contained in an X.509 certificate is based on an ASN.1 binary encoding of the relevant certificate data. In this document, we denote X.509 certificates simply by

$$Z_X = certify_{sk_{CA}}(X, k), \quad (3.11)$$

thus without specifying further details about the additional information stored in Z_X besides X and k . Similarly, the process of validating the correctness of Z_X is denoted by

$$verify_{vk_{CA}}(Z_X) \in \{\text{reject}, \text{reject}\}. \quad (3.12)$$

Note the the validation of an X.509 certificate Z_X includes checking the whole certificate chain towards a given *root certificate authority*. This is a standardized process which we do not further specify in this document.

3.6. Zero-Knowledge Proofs of Knowledge

A *zero-knowledge proof* is a cryptographic protocol, where the *prover* P tries to convince the *verifier* V that a mathematical statement is true, but without revealing any information other than the truth of the statement. A *proof of knowledge* is a particular proof allowing P to demonstrate knowledge of a secret information involved in the mathematical statement.

3.6.1. Non-Interactive Preimage Proof

One of the most fundamental zero-knowledge proofs of knowledge is the *preimage proof*. Let $(X, +, 0)$ be an additively and $(Y, \cdot, 1)$ a multiplicatively written group of finite order, and let $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$ a one-way group homomorphism. If P knows the preimage $a \in X$ (the *private input*) of a publicly known value $b = \phi(a) \in Y$ (the *public input*), then proving knowledge of a is achieved with the following non-interactive version of the so-called Σ -protocol. To generate the proof, P performs the following steps:

1. Choose $\omega \in_R X$ uniformly at random.
2. Compute $t = \phi(\omega)$.
3. Compute $c = \text{hash}(b, t, P) \bmod q$, for $q = |\text{image}(\phi)|$.
4. Compute $s = \omega + c \cdot a$.

The triple $(t, c, s) = \text{NIZKP}\{(a) : b = \phi(a)\}$ is the resulting non-interactive preimage proof, which can be published without revealing any information about a . Note that $\text{image}(\phi) = Y$ holds in many concrete instantiations of the preimage proof, which implies $q = |Y|$. To verify a given proof $\pi = (t, c, s)$, V performs the following check:

$$\text{verify}(\pi) = \begin{cases} \text{accept}, & \text{if } c = \text{hash}(b, t, P) \bmod q \text{ and } \phi(s) = t \cdot b^c, \\ \text{reject}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (3.13)$$

3.6.2. Examples

Knowledge of Discrete Logarithm (Schnorr)

- Let g be a generator of G_q
- Let $c = g^m$ be a publicly known commitment of $m \in \mathbb{Z}_q$
- P proves knowledge of m using the Σ -protocol for:

$$\begin{aligned} a &= m, \\ b &= c, \\ \phi(x) &= g^x, \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{where } \phi : \underbrace{\mathbb{Z}_q}_X \rightarrow \underbrace{G_q}_Y$$

Equality of Discrete Logarithms

- Let g_1 and g_2 be generators of G_q
- Let $c_1 = g_1^m$ and $c_2 = g_2^m$ be public commitments of $m \in \mathbb{Z}_q$
- P proves knowledge of m using the Σ -protocol for:

$$\begin{aligned} a &= m, \\ b &= (c_1, c_2), \\ \phi(x) &= (g_1^x, g_2^x), \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{where } \phi : \underbrace{\mathbb{Z}_q}_X \rightarrow \underbrace{G_q \times G_q}_Y$$

- Note that $t = (t_1, t_2)$

3.6.3. Composition of Preimage Proofs

AND Composition

- Consider n one-way group homomorphism $\phi_i : X_i \rightarrow Y_i$
- Let b_1, \dots, b_n be publicly known, where $b_i = \phi_i(a_i)$
- P proves knowledge of a_1, \dots, a_n using the Σ -protocol for:

$$\begin{aligned} a &= (a_1, \dots, a_n), \\ b &= (b_1, \dots, b_n), \\ \phi(x_1, \dots, x_n) &= (\phi_1(x_1), \dots, \phi_n(x_n)), \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{where } \phi : \underbrace{X_1 \times \dots \times X_n}_X \rightarrow \underbrace{Y_1 \times \dots \times Y_n}_Y$$

- Note that $\omega = (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n)$, $t = (t_1, \dots, t_n)$, $s = (s_1, \dots, s_n)$, which implies proofs of size $O(n)$

Equality Proof

- Consider n one-way group homomorphism $\phi_i : X \rightarrow Y_i$
- Let b_1, \dots, b_n be publicly known, where $b_i = \phi_i(a)$
- P proves knowledge of a using the Σ -protocol for:

$$\begin{aligned} a, \\ b &= (b_1, \dots, b_n), \\ \phi(x) &= (\phi_1(x), \dots, \phi_n(x)), \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{where } \phi : X \rightarrow \underbrace{Y_1 \times \dots \times Y_n}_Y$$

- Note that $t = (t_1, \dots, t_n)$, which implies proofs of size $O(n)$

3.7. Threshold Cryptosystem

A cryptosystem such as ElGamal is called threshold cryptosystem, if the private decryption key x is shared among n parties, and if the decryption can be performed by a threshold number of parties $t \leq n$ without explicitly reconstructing x and without disclosing any information about the individual key shares x_i . A general threshold version of the ElGamal cryptosystem results from sharing the private key x using Shamir's secret sharing scheme [5, 7]. To avoid the need for a trusted third party to generate the shares of the private key, it is possible to let the n parties execute a distributed key generation protocol [2]. We do not further introduce these techniques here, but we will assume their application throughout this document, for example by saying that some parties jointly generate a private key or that they jointly decrypt a ciphertext.

A threshold cryptosystem, which is limited to the particular case of $t = n$, is called *distributed cryptosystem*. A simple distributed version of the ElGamal cryptosystem results from setting $x = \sum_i x_i$. To avoid that x gets publicly known, each of the n parties secretly selects its own key share $x_i \in_R \mathbb{Z}_q$ and publishes $y_i = g^{x_i}$ as a commitment of x_i . The product $y = \prod_i y_i = g^{\sum_i x_i} = g^x$ is then the common public encryption key. If $E = (a, b) = \text{encrypt}_y(m, r)$ is a given encryption, then m can be jointly recovered if each of the n parties computes $a_i = a^{-x_i}$ using its own key share x_i . The resulting product $a^{-x} = \prod_i a_i$ can then be used to derive $m = \text{decrypt}_x(E) = a^{-x} \cdot b$ from b .² Instead of performing this simple operation in parallel, it is also possible to perform essentially the same operation sequentially in form of a *partial decryption function* $\text{decrypt}'_{x_i}(E) = (a, a^{-x_i} \cdot b)$. Applying $\text{decrypt}'_{x_i}$ “removes” from E the public key share y_i by transforming it into a new encryption $E' = \text{decrypt}'_{x_i}(E)$ for a new public key $y \cdot y_i^{-1}$. If all public key shares are removed in this way (in an arbitrary order), we obtain a trivial encryption (a, m) from which m can be extracted.

To guarantee the correct outcome of a threshold or distributed decryption, all involved must prove that they followed the protocol properly. In the case of the above distributed version of the ElGamal cryptosystem, each party must deliver two types of non-interactive zero-knowledge proofs:

- $\text{NIZKP}\{(x_j) : y_j = g^{x_j}\}$, to prove knowledge of the discrete logarithm of y_j after committing to x_j ,
- $\text{NIZKP}\{(x_j) : y_j = g^{x_j} \wedge a_j = a^{-x_j}\}$, to prove equality of the discrete logarithms of y_j and a_j^{-1} after computing a_j .

Note that the first proof seems to be subsumed by the second proof, but it is important to provide the first proof along with y_j to guarantee the correctness of y *before* using it as a public encryption key.

If $\{E_1, \dots, E_N\}$ is a batch of encryptions $E_i = (a_i, b_i)$ to decrypt and $a_{i,j} = a_i^{-x_j}$ the corresponding partial decryptions, then it is more efficient to provide a single combined proof,

$$\text{NIZKP}\{(x_j) : y_j = g^{x_j} \wedge (\bigwedge_i a_{i,j} = a_i^{-x_j})\}, \quad (3.14)$$

²Alternatively, each party may compute $m_i = \text{decrypt}_{x_i}(E) = a^{-x_i} \cdot b$ by applying the normal ElGamal decryption function. The plaintext message can then be recovered by $m = b^{1-n} \cdot \prod_i m_i$.

instead of N individual proofs of the second type. As discussed in Subsection 3.6, a combined proof like this can be implemented efficiently as a batch proof.

3.8. Verifiable Mix-Nets

not yet implemented

Part II.

Formal Specification

4. UniBoard

UniBoard is a public bulletin board, which can be used for posting public messages, so that they can be read by anybody. A user who posts a message to the board is called *author*, and a user reading from the board is called *reader*. As the requirements of a bulletin board are highly depended of the application, UniBoard uses a configurable component model with a generic interface for the components. This allows UniBoard to be configured exactly as needed. The following section describes the basic operations of UniBoard for users (Section 4.1). UniBoard supports various properties, which provide different guarantees to authors and readers. We distinguish between *posting properties* (Section 4.2) and *query properties* (Section 4.3).

4.1. Basic Operations

The UniBoard interface consists of two principal operations, one for posting a new message to the board and one for reading the board's current content. Let \mathcal{M} denote the *message space*, the set of possible messages the board can accept (for example the language A^* of all finite strings over an alphabet A). Furthermore, let $\alpha_i \in \mathcal{A}_i$ and $\beta_i \in \mathcal{B}_i$ be so-called *attributes*, which represent additional information written to the board along with every message. We distinguish between *user attributes* α_i provided by the author and *board attributes* β_i provided by UniBoard. The exact shape of the user and board attributes depends on the properties of UniBoard. They will be introduced in the following sections.

- $\text{Post}(m, \alpha) : \beta$

To publish a message $m \in \mathcal{M}$ on the board, the author of m calls this operation with user attributes $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_u) \in \mathcal{A}$ for $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_1 \times \dots \times \mathcal{A}_u$. Additional board attributes $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_v) \in \mathcal{B}$ are generated by UniBoard, where $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}_1 \times \dots \times \mathcal{B}_v$. UniBoard returns the board attributes β to the author when the message is accepted. The triple $p = (m, \alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}$ is the information stored on the board. If \mathcal{P} denotes the board's current set of such *posts*, then it is updated by $\mathcal{P} \leftarrow \mathcal{P} \cup \{p\}$ when p is added.

- $\text{Get}(Q) : R, \gamma$

This operation is called to retrieve the published data from the board. For a given query $Q \subseteq \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}$, UniBoard returns the subset of posts $R = Q \cap \mathcal{P}$ satisfying the query and some additional *result attributes* γ . The exact shape of γ depends on the properties supported by UniBoard.

For a query restricting only the i -th user attribute to a single value $\alpha_i \in \mathcal{A}_i$ or to a subset of values $A_i \subseteq \mathcal{A}_i$, we use the simplified notation $Q = \langle \alpha_i \rangle$ or $Q = \langle A_i \rangle$, respectively. Similarly, we write $\langle \alpha_i, \alpha_j \rangle = \langle \alpha_i \rangle \cap \langle \alpha_j \rangle$ or $\langle A_i, A_j \rangle = \langle A_i \rangle \cap \langle A_j \rangle$ for restrictions on multiple user attributes i and j . The same notational convention can be applied to board attributes or to mixed restrictions on user and board attributes. For a query Q , the resulting subset of posts $R \subseteq \mathcal{P}$ will also be denoted by \mathcal{P}_Q .

Certain properties work with a sublist of user attributes α_I where $I \subseteq \{1, \dots, u\}$. The same can be denoted for board attributes with β_I .

4.2. Posting Properties

In the simplest case of a public bulletin board, all messages posted to the board are accepted, published, and kept forever. The problem with such a totally unrestricted board is that it will also accept any irrelevant, improper, or unauthorized message. There may be applications requiring a board with absolutely no control over its content, but filtering unwanted messages is often a desirable property for an application to run smoothly. Therefore, we assume that a board has a number of publicly known posting regulations. A post $p = (m, \alpha, \beta)$ is called *valid* if it satisfies these regulations, and *invalid* otherwise.

In this subsection, we introduce such regulations for UniBoard. Their goal is to guarantee various properties of the post operation. They are achieved by corresponding user or board attributes. In each of the following eight subsections, we discuss one such property and the necessary attributes to achieve it. In total, there will be four user and four board attributes. Based on a precise specification of these attributes, we will be able to give a detailed description of the UniBoard posting process, which is induced each time the post operation is called (Section 4.5).

Property 1: Sectioned. A public bulletin board is called *sectioned*, if it consists of multiple equally shaped sections. The goal of a sectioned bulletin board is to group related and to separate unrelated messages. Let \mathcal{S} be the set of available sections. To enable the dispatching of an incoming post into the right section, the author must provide the section $s \in \mathcal{S}$ as a user attribute. A post containing an invalid section $s \notin \mathcal{S}$ is invalid and must be rejected by the board. In UniVote, the data of each election will be written to an individual section.

Property 2: Grouped. In a *grouped* bulletin board, messages are organized into groups. Typically, messages contained in the same group are similar in shape and content. Let \mathcal{G} be the set of available groups. When posting a message, the author must indicate the group $g \in \mathcal{G}$ to which the message belongs as a user attribute. A post containing an invalid group $g \notin \mathcal{G}$ is invalid and must be rejected by the board. Note that groups are independent of sections, i.e., every section in a sectioned board works with the same set of groups \mathcal{G} . Figure 4.1 show an example of a board with three sections and three groups.

SECTION 1			SECTION 2		
Group1	Group2	Group3	Group1	Group2	Group3
17338 73782 83833	AERHA UZILSK NNAPA ZDMSI DJDEL	101010 001011	19922	HSKSW ZQKDD HDMLD	011101 001011 010110 111011 001101

SECTION 3		
Group1	Group2	Group3
73733 19811	HWJEH KJDLD KAALL UOEEO KDKQM	001010

Figure 4.1.: Example of a structured bulletin board with three sections, three groups, and corresponding messages.

Property 3: Typed. A grouped bulletin board is called *typed*, if each group $g_i \in \mathcal{G}$ defines its own set $\mathcal{M}_i \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ of valid messages. \mathcal{M}_i is called *type* of g_i . In a typed board, an incoming messages m for group g_i is accepted if $m \in \mathcal{M}_i$, and all other messages are rejected. The example of Figure 4.1 shows a typed board with different types of messages for each group, for example $\mathcal{M}_1 = \{0, \dots, 9\}^5$, $\mathcal{M}_2 = \{A, \dots, Z\}^5$, $\mathcal{M}_3 = \{0, 1\}^6$.

Property 4: Access-Controlled. In certain applications, only a well-defined set of users is authorized to post messages to the bulletin board. A bulletin board is called *access-controlled*, if it provides an access-control mechanism that identifies the author of a message and rejects the message if the user is unauthorized. To enable the board doing this check, we assume that a set \mathcal{K} of public signature keys—one for each authorized user—is known to the board at every moment. This set is either *static* or *dynamic*, depending on whether the set of authorized users is fixed or can change over time. In the static case, \mathcal{K} is publicly known and can not be changed, whereas in the dynamic case, $\mathcal{K} = K(\mathcal{P}, \alpha, t)$ is defined implicitly by a publicly known function K , where \mathcal{P} is the current set of posts published on the board, α the list of user attributes accompanying the message, and t the current time. The arguments of K are optional, i.e., not all three of them are relevant in every case. For a message m to be accepted by the board, it must be signed by the user using a private signature key sk for a public key in $vk \in \mathcal{K}$. The signature $S_m = \text{sign}_{sk_U}(m, \alpha_I)$ and the public key vk are included in the post as user attributes. The board can then perform the checks $vk \in \mathcal{K}$ and $\text{verify}_{vk}(m, \alpha_I, S_m)$ to decide if the user of m is authorized.

Property 5: Ordered. The ordered property ensures that all published posts $\mathcal{P}_{\langle s \rangle}$ for a section have a total order. This is achieved by adding a sequence number $n \in \mathbb{N}$ to β for the post, where $n = |\mathcal{P}_{\langle s \rangle}| + 1$.

Property 6: Chronological. A chronological board adds to every incoming message a timestamp $t \in \mathcal{T}$ into β , which denotes when the message was received by the board.

Property 7: Append-Only. The append-only property ensures that no posted message can be removed from the board or be changed. So, $\mathcal{P}_{\langle t \rangle} \subseteq \mathcal{P}_{\langle t+1 \rangle}$ where $\mathcal{P}_{\langle t \rangle}$ represent the content on the board at time t . The solution presented here requires that the board has the ordered property. The board creates a hash-chain H_s over $\mathcal{P}_{\langle s \rangle}$. For each p_i it creates a hash $h_i \in H_s$ which is the result of the hash function $Hash(h_{i-1}, p_i, \alpha, \beta_I)$, where h_{i-1} is the hash of the preceding post p_{i-1} and $h_0 = 0$. This hash h_i is then added to β .

Property 8: Certified Posting. With certified posting every user receives after a successful post a receipt from the board, which confirms that the message has been published. Upon posting a message m , the board creates the signature $S_p = Sign_{sk_{BB}}(m, \alpha, \beta_I)$ including the message, and the user attributes and a sub set of the board attributes β_I . This signature is added to β .

4.3. Query Properties

Property 9: Certified Reading. This property forces the board to commit to every result R it returns. This is achieved by adding a timestamp t and a signature $S_q = Sign_{sk_{BB}}(Q, R, \gamma_I)$ to γ .

4.4. Further Properties

Property 10: Notifying. The notification property of the board allows an entity e to register itself to be notified when a certain set of messages $\mathcal{P}(Q)$ is posted on the board. In order to accomplish that, two additional methods must be introduced:

- Register(e, Q) : c
Where Q represents the query for the messages the entity is interested in and c a notification code, which can be used to unregister.
- Unregister(c) : $-$
By providing his/her notification code c , one can unregister and will not receive any further notification.

4.5. Properties of UniVote and UniCert

For UniVote and UniCert a bulletin board that supports all properties described in the previous sections is used. This results in our UniBoard configuration supporting following four operations. Also note that Schnorr signatures are used for signing. Therefore every sign operation needs an additional random value r (Chapter 3.4).

4.5.1. Post

$\text{Post}(m, (s, g, S_m, vk)) : (n, t, h, S_p)$

1. Check that section s exists.
2. Check that group g exists.
3. Check if message m is of the type of group g .
4. Check that S_m is a correct signature of $(m, (s, g))$ and belongs to vk .
5. Check that k is authorized by checking \mathcal{K} .
6. Define the total order n of the message in s .
7. Add the current time t to the message.
8. Create the hash h for the message.
9. Create the signature for the post $S_p = \text{Sign}_{sk_{BB}}(m, (s, g, S_m, vk), (n, t, h))$
10. Send notifications for this message if necessary.
11. Save the post.
12. Return (n, t, h, S_p) to the user.

A user can use the compact notation $\text{Post}(m, s, g)$, which represents the following three steps:

1. Create the Schnorr signature $S_m = \text{sign}_{sk_U}((m, (s, g)), r)$
2. Post the message on the board $\text{Post}(m, (s, g, S_m, vk))$
3. Validate and save the response (n, t, h, S_p) .

4.5.2. Query

For the get operation the query is $Q \subseteq \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{G} \times \Sigma_a \times \mathcal{K} \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathcal{T} \times \mathcal{H} \times \Sigma_p$.

Get(Q) : $R, (t, S_Q)$

1. Search all messages \mathcal{P}_Q satisfying Q .
2. Set timestamp t to the current time.
3. Create the signature S_Q .
4. return the result to the reader.

Register(e, Q) : c

1. Generate a unique notification code c .
2. Save the notification and the notification code
3. Return c .

Unregister(c) : $-$

1. Check if there is notification active, that corresponds to the notification code c .
2. Remove the notification.

Multiple instances As there can be multiple instances of UniBoard running, they need to be recognisable. This is achieved by denoting every operation with an application identifier , e.g. $\text{Post}_{UV}(m, \alpha) : \beta$ for UniVote

5. UniCert

UniCert is a certification authority, that issues digital certificates used to authenticate users, to sign and/or encrypt messages. UniCert provides an interface where the user can authenticate themselves and request a certificate corresponding to their needs. UniCert uses UniBoard to publish all issued certificates.

Authentication A digital certificate must be linked to an entity, thus the entity has to authenticate itself to UniCert. Authentication in UniCert can be done via various identity providers (e.g. SwitchAAI, Google, ...). The user has to authenticate themselves against one of these providers which returns the resulting *authentication token* t_{auth} to UniCert. The form and content of the token can be different depending on which identity provider is used. However, it must contain at least one value allowing to uniquely identify the user among all users of this identity provider. UniCert then issues a certificate based on the identity information present in the token and on other properties provided by the requester. Therefore, UniCert uses a function $f(t_{auth})$, to extract the needed information from the authentication token, resulting in a identifier id . f must use at least one unique identifier present in the token in order to be able to output different results for two different inputs. UniCert defines a set \mathcal{F} of functions f allowing to select information from the authentication token, or to make some processing on them before putting them in the certificate (e.g. anonymization by using a one-way function). The user has to choose one of the functions provided by UniCert. A reference to the identity provider used is inserted in the certificate.

Application Identifier and Role A user should have the possibility to request multiple certificates. This allows them to manage them differently. For example, they can use a certificate for critical tasks and another one for less critical tasks. The way the user manages the private keys of these two certificates is certainly different. In order make it possible for the user to request multiple certificates, there must be a way to distinguish them. In some cases, this could be done using the cryptographic values in the certificate¹ but this is not always possible.² Therefore, two additional fields are added to the certificate: an *application identifier* a and a *role* r . The application identifier allows the user to request certificates for different applications. The application where the certificate is used can (but does not have to) check this field if necessary. The role allows to distinguish certificates issued for the same application. This way, the user can use different certificates for different purposes inside the same application. So, if an application wants to use roles, it has to define them. a and r are both strings. The pair (a, r) should be unique among all applications, but UniCert cannot ensure that fact since it does not know all applications.

¹For example different p , q and generators in discrete logarithm certificates.

²For example in RSA certificates: e, n is always different and thus it cannot be defined that such setup is for such task

Certificate revocation Certificates are not explicitly revoked. An application can consider a certificate as implicitly revoked if a newer one has been issued for the same user, the same application identifier a , the same role r and the same identity provider.

Certificate generation procedure The involved parties in certificate generation procedure are UniCert (UC), the user U requesting the certificate, the UniBoard instance (UBC), and the identity providers $U \in \mathcal{I}$ supported by UC. The process works as follows:

1. U chooses the following parameter: cryptographic setup s , function $f \in \mathcal{F}$, identity provider $U \in \mathcal{I}$, application identifier a , role r .
2. U requests an authentication token t_{auth} to one U and provides the required credentials

Keys preparation and certificate request

3. Using s , U generates a private key x and compute the corresponding public key y
4. U signs the data sent to UC to prove knowledge of x : $S_{req} = \text{sign}_x(s, y, t_{auth}, f, I, a, r)$.³
5. U sends $s, y, t_{auth}, f, U, a, r, S_{req}$ to UC

Certificate creation

6. UC checks if a, r, U and f are value of their respective set
7. UC checks if t_{auth} is valid
8. UC checks the validity of the cryptographic setup s
9. UC verifies S_{req} with y
10. UC generates the identifier id for U using f and t_{auth}
11. UC generates the certificate $Z_U = \text{certify}_{sk_{UC}}(id, y, t, s, a, r, I)$ where t is a timestamp
12. UC posts ($certificates : Z_U$) to UBC
13. UC returns Z_U to U

UniCert and UniBoard As already said, UniCert publishes the issued certificates on UniBoard. Therefore, UniCert must define the different parameter required by UniBoard when posting and getting messages.

- \mathcal{S} : only one section is used to publish all certificates by UniCert. This avoids that a new section has to be created each time a new application identifier is used. So, $\mathcal{S} = \{\text{"unicert"}\}$
- \mathcal{G} : one group is needed for stocking the issued certificates. So, $\mathcal{G} = \{\text{"certificates"}\}$
- $\mathcal{K} = \{k_{UC}\}$

³ElGamal encryption keys cannot properly be used to generate a signature. Thus, in case the user request a discrete logarithm certificate, a zero knowledge proof of knowledge of x replaces the signature. The other values used in the signature would be injected in the hash function of the non-interactive proof in order to also provide a commitment to these other values.

- $\mathcal{M}_{certificates}$: Format of a certificate.

6. UniVote

6.1. Overview

6.1.1. Involved Parties

UniCert. UC

Election Administration. EA

Election Coordinator. EC

UniBoard (UniVote) UBV

UniBoard (UniCert) UBC

Talliers. $T = \{T_1, \dots, T_t\}$

Mixers. $M = \{M_1, \dots, M_m\}$

Voters. $V = \{V_1, \dots, V_n\}$

Number of ballots: $N \leq n$

6.1.2. Voting Process

6.1.3. Public Identifiers and Keys

Certificates for the following identifiers are assumed to be publicly known.

Bulletin Board (UniVote):

- Identifier: UBV
- Public certificate: Z_{UBV} , signed by CA at time t
- Public verification key: vk_{UBV}
- Private signature key: sk_{UBV}

Bulletin Board (UniCert):

- Identifier: UBC
- Public certificate: Z_{UBC} , signed by CA at time t
- Public verification key: vk_{UBC}
- Private signature key: sk_{UBC}

UniCert:

- Identifier: CA
- Public certificate: Z_{CA} , self-signed or certified by public certification authority at time t
- Public verification key: vk_{CA}
- Private signature key: sk_{CA}

Certificates for the following identifiers are assumed to be available on UBC.

Election Coordinator:

- Identifier: EC
- Public certificate: Z_{EC} , signed by CA at time t
- Public verification key: vk_{EC}
- Private signature key: sk_{EC}

Election Administration:

- Identifier: EA
- Public certificate: Z_{EA} , signed by CA at time t
- Public verification key: vk_{EA}
- Private signature key: sk_{EA}

Talliers: (for $1 \leq j \leq r$)

- Identifier: T_j
- Public certificate: Z_j , signed by CA at time t_j
- Public verification key: vk_j
- Private signature key: sk_j

Mixers: (for $1 \leq k \leq m$)

- Identifier: M_k
- Public certificate: Z_k , signed by CA at time t_k
- Public verification key: vk_k
- Private signature key: sk_k

Voters: (for $1 \leq i \leq n$)

- Identifier: V_i
- Personal credentials: $cred_i$ issued by the CA or an affiliated identity provider.

6.1.4. Posting and Getting Messages

Post involves signature and section id

Get involves checking the signature

6.2. Detailed Protocol Specification

6.2.1. Election Setup

The following tasks can be performed in advance, possibly long before the election starts.

a) Initialization

EA requests from EC to run an election. EC chooses a unique election identifier id and requests from UBv the initialization of the election. UBv performs the following steps:

1. Initialize a new section with identifier id .
2. Define EC to become the section coordinator.

EC performs the following steps:

3. Get (certificate : Z_{EA}) from UBC, where Z_{EA} is the most recent UniVote election administration certificate.
4. Verify Z_{EA} .
5. Post (administrationCertificate : Z_{EA}) to UBv.

b) Election Definition

EC performs the following steps:

1. Select vk_{EA} from Z_{EA} .
2. Post (accessRight : vk_{EA} , electionDefinition, 1) to UBv.
3. Post (accessRight : vk_{EA} , trustees, 1) to UBv.
4. Post (accessRight : vk_{EA} , securityLevel, 1) to UBv.

EA performs the following steps:

5. Define election *title*.
6. Define election *period* = (t_1, t_2) .
7. Post (electionDefinition : *title*, *period*) to UBv.
8. Define talliers $T = \{T_1, \dots, T_t\}$.
9. Define mixers $M = \{M_1 \dots, M_m\}$.

10. Post (trustees : T, M) to UBv.
11. Select security $level \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$.
12. Post (securityLevel : $level$) to UBv.

EC performs the following steps:

13. Get (trustees : T, M) from UBv.
14. For each $T_j \in T$:
 - a) Get (certificate : Z_j) from UBC, where Z_j is the most recent UniVote tallier certificate.
 - b) Verify Z_j .

Let $\mathcal{Z}_T = \{Z_j : 1 \leq j \leq r\}$ denote the corresponding set of certificates.
15. For each $M_k \in M$:
 - a) Get (certificate : Z_k) from UBC, where Z_k is the most recent UniVote mixer certificate.
 - b) Verify Z_k .

Let $\mathcal{Z}_M = \{Z_k : 1 \leq k \leq m\}$ denote the corresponding set of certificates.
16. Post (trusteeCertificates : $\mathcal{Z}_T, \mathcal{Z}_M$) to UBv.

c) Cryptographic Setting

EC performs the following steps:

1. Get (securityLevel : $level$) from UBv.
2. Select
 - $encryptSetting \in \Sigma_{\text{ENCRYPT}}$,
 - $signSetting \in \Sigma_{\text{SIGN}}$,
 - $hashSetting \in \Sigma_{\text{HASH}}$

according to $level$, where Σ_{ENCRYPT} , Σ_{SIGN} , Σ_{HASH} are corresponding sets of predefined cryptographic settings for ElGamal encryptions, Schnorr signatures, and hash functions, respectively (see Chapter 7).

3. Post (cryptoSetting : $encryptSetting, signSetting, hashSetting$) to UBv.

d) Shared Encryption Key

For each $Z_j \in \mathcal{Z}_T$, EC performs the following steps:

1. Select vk_j from Z_j .
2. Post ($\text{accessRight} : vk_j, \text{encryptionKeyShare}, 1$) to UBV.

Each $T_j \in T$ performs the following steps:

3. Get ($\text{cryptoSetting} : \text{encryptSetting}, \text{signSetting}, \text{hashSetting}$) from UBV.
4. Select \mathcal{G}_Q and G from encryptSetting .
5. Choose $x_j \in_R \mathbb{Z}_Q$.
6. Compute $y_j = G^{x_j}$.
7. Generate $\pi_j = \text{NIZKP}\{(x_j) : y_j = G^{x_j}\}$.
8. Post ($\text{encryptionKeyShare} : y_j, \pi_j$) to UBV.

EC performs the following steps:

9. For each $T_j \in T$:
 - a) Get ($\text{encryptionKeyShare} : y_j, \pi_j$) from UBV.
 - b) Verify π_j .
10. Compute $y = \prod_j y_j$.
11. Post ($\text{encryptionKey} : y$) to UBV.

6.2.2. Election Preparation

The following tasks are performed shortly before starting the election.

a) Definition of Election Options and Electoral Roll

EC performs the following step:

1. Post ($\text{accessRight} : vk_{EA}, \text{electionDetails}, 1$) to UBV.
2. Post ($\text{accessRight} : vk_{EA}, \text{electoralRoll}, 1$) to UBV.

EA performs the following steps:

3. Define the set of election options $\mathcal{Q} = \{Q_1, \dots, Q_s\}$ and a list of election rules \mathcal{R} . This determines the set $\text{ValidVotes}(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{R})$ of valid votes (see Section 6.6 for details).
4. Define a detailed description of the election *issues* (type, title, options, rules, etc.).¹
5. Select $\text{encode} \in \Sigma_{\text{ENCODE}}$.
6. Post ($\text{electionDetails} : \mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{R}, \text{issues}, \text{encode}$) to UBV.

¹This information is only relevant for presenting the election options to the voter.

7. Define the *electoral roll* $V = \{V_1, \dots, V_n\}$.

8. Post (*electoralRoll* : V) to UBv.

EC performs the following steps:

9. Get (*electoralRoll* : V) from UBv.

10. For every $V_i \in V$:

- Get (*certificate* : Z_i) from UBC, where Z_i is the most recent UniVote voter certificate for *signSetting*.
- Verify Z_i .

Let $\mathcal{Z}_V = \{Z_1, \dots, Z_n\}$ denote the set of valid voter certificates.²

11. Post (*voterCertificates* : \mathcal{Z}_V) on UBv.

b) Mixing the Public Keys

EC performs the following steps:

1. Select $VK_0 = \{vk_1, \dots, vk_n\}$ from \mathcal{Z}_V .

2. Let $g_0 = g$.

The following steps are repeated for every $M_k \in M$ (in ascending order for $1 \leq k \leq m$):

3. EC performs the following steps:

- a) Post (*keyMixingRequest* : M_k, g_{k-1}, VK_{k-1}) to UBv.
- b) Select vk_k from $Z_k \in \mathcal{Z}_M$.
- c) Post (*accessRight* : $vk_k, \text{keyMixingResult}, 1$) to UBv.

4. M_k performs the following steps:

- a) Get (*cryptoSetting* : *encryptSetting*, *signSetting*, *hashSetting*) from UBv.
- b) Select G_q and g from *signSetting*.
- c) Get (*keyMixingRequest* : M_k, g_{k-1}, VK_{k-1}) from UBv.
- d) Choose $\alpha_k \in_R \mathbb{Z}_q$.
- e) Compute $g_k = g_{k-1}^{\alpha_k}$.
- f) Choose $\psi_k : [1, n] \rightarrow [1, n] \in_R \Psi_n$.
- g) Compute $VK_k = \text{shuffle}_{\psi_k}(VK_{k-1}, \alpha_k)$.
- h) Generate $\pi_k = \text{NIZKP}\{(\psi_k, \alpha_k) : g_k = g_{k-1}^{\alpha_k} \wedge VK_k = \text{shuffle}_{\psi_k}(VK_{k-1}, \alpha_k)\}$.
- i) Post (*keyMixingResult* : g_k, VK_k, π_k) to UBv.

5. EC performs the following steps:

²To simplify the notation, we assume that $|V| = |\mathcal{Z}_V| = n$. In general, the number of valid certificates will be smaller or equal than the number of eligible voters.

- a) Get ($\text{keyMixingResult} : g_k, VK_k, \pi_k$) from UBV.
- b) Verify π_k .

Let $\hat{g} = g_m$ denote the *signature generator* for this election and $\hat{VK} = VK_m$ the set of mixed public keys.

c) Finalizing Election Preparation

EC performs the following steps:

1. Post ($\text{mixedKeys} : \hat{VK}$) to UBV.
2. For every $\hat{vk}_i \in \hat{VK}$, post ($\text{accessRight} : \hat{vk}_i, \text{ballot}, 1, \text{period}$).
3. Get ($\text{electionDefinition} : \text{title}, \text{period}$) from UBV.
4. Get ($\text{electionDetails} : \mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{R}, \text{issues}, \text{encode}$) from UBV.
5. Post ($\text{votingData} : \text{title}, \text{period}, \mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{R}, \text{issues}, \text{encode}, \text{encryptSetting}, \text{signSetting}, \text{hashSetting}, y, \hat{g}$) to UBV.³

6.2.3. Election Period

$V_i \in V$ performs the following steps:

1. Get ($\text{votingData} : \text{title}, \text{period}, \mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{R}, \text{issues}, \text{encode}, \text{encryptSetting}, \text{signSetting}, \text{hashSetting}, y, \hat{g}$) from UBV.
2. Select \mathcal{G}_Q and G from encryptSetting .
3. Select G_q from signSetting .
4. Choose $v_i = (v_{i,1}, \dots, v_{i,s}) \in \text{ValidVotes}(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{R})$.
5. Compute $w_i = \text{encode}_{\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{R}}(v_i) \in \mathbb{Z}_Q$.
6. Compute $w'_i = \text{subGroup}(w_i) \in \mathcal{G}_Q$.
7. Choose $r_i \in_R \mathbb{Z}_Q$.
8. Compute $E_i = \text{encrypt}_y(w'_i, r_i) \in \mathcal{G}_Q \times \mathcal{G}_Q$.
9. Generate $\pi_i = \text{NIZKP}\{(w'_i, r_i) : E_i = \text{encrypt}_y(w'_i, r_i)\}$.⁴
10. Compute $\hat{vk}_i = \hat{g}^{sk_i} \in \hat{VK}$.
11. Post ($\text{ballot} : E_i, \pi_i$) to UBV using \hat{vk}_i .

³This post is only for improved convenience. It contains all the relevant information for casting a vote. Retrieving this information in a single step is the purpose of this post.

⁴Note that if $E_i = (a_i, b_i) = (G^{r_i}, w'_i \cdot y^{r_i})$ is an ElGamal encryption, then $\text{NIZKP}\{(w'_i, r_i) : E_i = \text{encrypt}_y(w'_i, r_i)\}$ is equivalent to $\text{NIZKP}\{(r_i) : a_i = G^{r_i}\}$, which implies knowledge of w'_i .

6.2.4. Mixing and Tallying

a) Ballot Validation

EC performs the following steps:

1. Get (ballot : \mathcal{B}) from UBV.⁵
2. For every $B_i = (E_i, \pi_i) \in \mathcal{B}$:
 - a) Verify π_i .
 - b) If π_i is valid, collect E_i .

Let $\mathcal{E}_0 = \{E_1, \dots, E_N\} \in (\mathcal{G}_Q \times \mathcal{G}_Q)^N$ be list of valid encrypted votes for $N \leq n$.

b) Mixing the Encrypted Votes

The following steps are repeated for every $M_k \in M$ (in ascending order for $1 \leq k \leq m$):

1. EC performs the following steps:
 - a) Select vk_k from $Z_k \in \mathcal{Z}_M$.
 - b) Post (accessRight : vk_k , voteMixingResult, 1) to UBV.
 - c) Post (voteMixingRequest : M_k, \mathcal{E}_{k-1}) to UBV.
2. M_k performs the following steps:
 - a) Get (encryptionSetting : \mathcal{G}_Q, G) from UBV.
 - b) Get (voteMixingRequest : M_k, \mathcal{E}_{k-1}) from UBV.
 - c) Choose $R_k = (r_{k,1}, \dots, r_{k,N}) \in_R \mathbb{Z}_Q^N$.
 - d) Choose $\tau_k : [1, N] \rightarrow [1, N] \in_R \Psi_N$.
 - e) Compute $\mathcal{E}_k = \text{shuffle}_{\tau_k}(\mathcal{E}_{k-1}, R_k)$.
 - f) Generate $\pi'_k = \text{NIZKP}\{(\tau_k, R_k) : \mathcal{E}_k = \text{shuffle}_{\tau_k}(\mathcal{E}_{k-1}, R_k)\}$.
 - g) Post (voteMixingResult : \mathcal{E}_k, π'_k) to UBV.
3. EC performs the following steps:
 - a) Get (voteMixingResult : \mathcal{E}_k, π'_k) from UBV.
 - b) Verify π'_k .

Let $\hat{\mathcal{E}} = \mathcal{E}_m$ the set of mixed encrypted votes.

⁵Using an extensive check.

c) Decrypting and Tallying the Votes

EC performs the following steps:

1. Post (mixedVotes : $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$) to UBv.
2. For each $Z_j \in \mathcal{Z}_T$:
 - a) Select vk_j from Z_j .
 - b) Post (accessRight : vk_j , partialDecryption, 1) to UBv.

Each $T_j \in T$ performs the following steps:

1. Get (mixedVotes : $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$) from UBv.
2. Select $A = (a_1, \dots, a_N) \in \mathcal{G}_Q^N$ from $\hat{\mathcal{E}} = \{(a_i, b_i) : 1 \leq i \leq N\}$.
3. Compute $A_j = (a_{1,j}, \dots, a_{N,j})$ for $a_{i,j} = a_i^{-x_j} \in \mathcal{G}_Q$.
4. Generate $\pi'_j = \text{NIZKP}\{(x_j) : y_j = G^{x_j} \wedge (\bigwedge_i a_{i,j} = a_i^{-x_j})\}$.
5. Post (partialDecryption : A_j, π'_j) to UBv.

EC performs the following steps:

6. For each $T_j \in T$:
 - a) Get (partialDecryption : A_j, π'_j) from UBv.
 - b) Verify π'_j .
7. For all $1 \leq i \leq N$:
 - a) Compute $w'_i = b_i \cdot \prod_j a_{i,j} \in \mathcal{G}_Q$.
 - b) Compute $w_i = \text{subGroup}^{-1}(w'_i) \in \mathbb{Z}_Q$.
8. Post (decryptedVotes : \mathcal{W}) to UBv, where $\mathcal{W} = \{w_1, \dots, w_N\}$ denotes the list of decrypted votes.

EA performs the following steps:

9. Get (decryptedVotes : \mathcal{W}) from UBv.
10. For all $1 \leq i \leq N$, compute $v_i = \text{encode}_{\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{R}}^{-1}(w_i)$.
11. Let $\mathcal{V} = \{v_1, \dots, v_N\} \cap \text{ValidVotes}(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{R}) = \{v'_1, \dots, v'_{N'}\}$ be the list of $N' \leq N$ valid plaintext votes $v'_i = (v'_{i,1}, \dots, v'_{i,s})$, where $v'_{i,j}$ denotes the number of votes for Q_j .
12. Post (decodedVotes : \mathcal{V}) to UBv.
13. For each $Q_j \in \mathcal{Q} = \{Q_1, \dots, Q_s\}$, compute $r_j = \sum_{i=1}^{N'} v'_{i,j}$.
14. Post (electionResult : *result*) to UBv, where *result* = $\{r_1, \dots, r_s\}$ denotes the *election result*.

6.3. Late Voter Certificates

The previously described protocol requires that all UniVote voter certificates exist prior to an election. In some contexts, however, it will be impossible to enforce the existence of all certificates when the election starts. Eligible voters without a certificate would then be excluded from casting a vote, even if they receive a valid voter certificate during the election. To handle such *late voter certificates*, the following procedure is invoked. Recall that V denotes the electoral roll published on UBV (see Subsection 6.2.2), whereas Z_V is the list of voter certificates available at the beginning of the voting period.

6.3.1. General Procedure

Upon notification of a newly issued voter certificate Z'_i for V_i , EC performs the following steps:

1. Verify Z'_i .
2. Check if $V_i \in V$.
3. Post (newVoterCertificate : Z'_i) to UBV.
4. Get (addedVoterCertificate : Z_A) from UBV.
5. Get (cancelledVoterCertificate : Z_C) from UBV.
6. Check if $(Z_V \cup Z_A) \setminus Z_C$ contains a voter certificate for V_i . If this is the case, let Z_i denote this certificate.
 - a) Select vk_i from Z_i .
 - b) Get \hat{vk}_i from calling the sub-routine described in Section 6.3.2 for vk_i .
 - c) Get (ballot : \hat{vk}_i). Abort if a ballot exists for \hat{vk}_i .
 - d) Post (cancelledVoterCertificate : Z_i) to UBV.
 - e) Post (accessRight : \hat{vk}_i , ballot, 0).
7. Select vk'_i from Z'_i .
8. Get \hat{vk}'_i from calling the sub-routine described in Section 6.3.2 for vk'_i .
9. Post (addedVoterCertificate : Z'_i) to UBV.
10. Post (accessRight : \hat{vk}'_i , ballot, 1, *period*) to UBV.

6.3.2. Mixing a Single Public Key

Let $vk = vk_0$ be a single key to be “mixed” in essentially the same way as described in Section 6.2.2. A simplified sub-routine for this is called twice in the above general procedure for different input keys. For this, the following steps are repeated for every $M_k \in M$ (in ascending order for $1 \leq k \leq m$):

1. EC performs the following steps:
 - a) Post (singleKeyMixingRequest : M_k, vk_{k-1}) to UBV.
 - b) Select vk_k from $Z_k \in \mathcal{Z}_M$.
 - c) Post (accessRight : $vk_k, \text{singleKeyMixingRequest}, x = x + 1$) to UBV.
2. M_k performs the following steps:
 - a) Get (singleKeyMixingRequest : M_k, vk_{k-1}) from UBV.
 - b) Compute $vk_k = vk_{k-1}^{\alpha_k}$
 - c) Generate $\pi_k = \text{NIZKP}\{(\alpha_k) : g_k = g_{k-1}^{\alpha_k} \wedge vk_k = vk_{k-1}^{\alpha_k}\}$.
 - d) Post (singleKeyMixingResult : vk_{k-1}, vk_k, π_k) to UBV.
3. EC performs the following steps:
 - a) Get (singleKeyMixingResult : vk_{k-1}, vk_k, π_k) from UBV.
 - b) Verify π_k .

Let $\hat{vk} = vk_m$ denote the result of this sub-routine.

6.4. Summary of Election Data

6.5. Universal Verification

Let V be a verifier. To verify the correctness of the election result, V performs the following steps:

a) Election Setup

-

b) Election Preparation

-

Author	Group	Amount	Content	Readers
EC	administrationCertificate	1	Z_{EA}	–
EA	electionDefinition	1	<i>title, period</i>	EC
EA	trustees	1	T, M	EC
EA	securityLevel	1	<i>level</i>	EC
EC	trusteeCertificates	1	Z_T, Z_M	–
EC	cryptoSetting	1	<i>encryptSetting, signSetting, hashSetting</i>	T_j, M_k
T_j	encryptionKeyShare	r	y_j, π_j	EC
EC	encryptionKey	1	y	–
EA	electionDetails	1	$\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{R}, issues, encode$	EC
EA	electoralRoll	1	V	EC
EC	voterCertificates	1	Z_V	–
EC	keyMixingRequest	m	M_k, g_{k-1}, VK_{k-1}	M_k
M_k	keyMixingResult	1	g_k, VK_k, π_k	EC
EC	mixedKeys	m	VK	–
EC	votingData	1	<i>title, period, $\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{R}, issues, encryptSetting, signSetting, hashSetting, y, \hat{g}$</i>	V_i
V_i	ballot	1	E_i, π_i	EC
EC	voteMixingRequest	m	M_k, \mathcal{E}_k	M_k
M_k	voteMixingResult	m	\mathcal{E}_k, π'_k	EC
EC	mixedVotes	1	$\hat{\mathcal{E}}$	T_j
T_j	partialDecryption	r	A_j, π'_j	EC
EC	decryptedVotes	1	\mathcal{W}	EA
EA	decodedVotes	1	\mathcal{V}	–
EA	electionResult	1	<i>result</i>	–

Table 6.1.: Summary of election data published on UBV. Corresponding access rights are attributed by EC.

c) Mixing and Tallying

•

6.6. Options, Rules, and Votes

To allow a variety of different election types, we consider two finite sets, a set $\mathcal{Q} = \{Q_1, \dots, Q_s\}$ of possible *election options* and a set \mathcal{R} of *election rules*. For each election option $Q_j \in \mathcal{Q}$ in a given election, the election system outputs the number r_j of votes that Q_j has received from the voters. Each election rule in \mathcal{R} defines some constraints on how voters can distribute their votes among the election options. We use $v_{i,j}$ to denote this number for a particular ballot B_i and an election option Q_j . The tuple $v_i = (v_{i,1}, \dots, v_{i,s}) \in \text{ValidVotes}(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{R})$ represents a valid vote, where $\text{ValidVotes}(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{R})$ denotes the set of all valid votes for given sets \mathcal{Q} and \mathcal{R} .

We distinguish three types of election rules:

- *Summation-Rule*: The sum of votes for election options in a subset $\mathcal{Q}' \subseteq \mathcal{Q}$ is within a certain range $[a, b]$, i.e., $\sum_{Q_j \in \mathcal{Q}'} v_{i,j} \in [a, b]$. Such rules will be denoted by $\Sigma : \mathcal{Q}' \rightarrow [a, b]$.
- *Cumulation-Rule*: For each election option in a subset $\mathcal{Q}' \subseteq \mathcal{Q}$, the number of votes is within a certain range $[a, b]$, i.e., $v_{i,j} \in [a, b]$ for all $Q_j \in \mathcal{Q}'$. Such rules will be denoted by $\forall : \mathcal{Q}' \rightarrow [a, b]$.
- *Distinctness-Rule*: For each election option in a subset $\mathcal{Q}' \subseteq \mathcal{Q}$, the number of votes is either equal to 0 or unique within \mathcal{Q}' , i.e., $v_{i,j} > 0$ implies $v_{i,j} \neq v(Q'_j)$ for all other election options $Q'_j \in \mathcal{Q}' \setminus \{Q_j\}$. Such rules will be denoted by $\neq : \mathcal{Q}'$.

Two or several sets of options and sets of rules can be combined to describe multiple elections that run in parallel. We call this operation *composition of elections* and denote it by

$$(\mathcal{Q}_1, \mathcal{R}_1) \circ (\mathcal{Q}_2, \mathcal{R}_2) = (\mathcal{Q}_1 \cup \mathcal{Q}_2, \mathcal{R}_1 \cup \mathcal{R}_2)$$

for two sets of options and corresponding sets of rules. Note that this can be used to describe party-list elections (see example below).

Examples

- Referendum: 1-out-of-2

$$\mathcal{Q} = \{yes, no\}, \mathcal{R} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Sigma : \{yes, no\} \rightarrow [1, 1] \\ \forall : \{yes, no\} \rightarrow [0, 1] \end{array} \right\}$$

- Referendum with Null Votes: max-1-out-of-2

$$\mathcal{Q} = \{yes, no\}, \mathcal{R} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Sigma : \{yes, no\} \rightarrow [0, 1] \\ \forall : \{yes, no\} \rightarrow [0, 1] \end{array} \right\}$$

or

$$\mathcal{Q} = \{yes, no, null\}, \mathcal{R} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Sigma : \{yes, no, null\} \rightarrow [1, 1] \\ \forall : \{yes, no, null\} \rightarrow [0, 1] \end{array} \right\}$$

- Referendum List: s times 1-out-of-2

$$\mathcal{Q} = \{yes_1, no_1, \dots, yes_s, no_s\}, \mathcal{R} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Sigma : \{yes_1, no_1\} \rightarrow [1, 1] \\ \vdots \quad \quad \quad \vdots \\ \Sigma : \{yes_s, no_s\} \rightarrow [1, 1] \\ \forall : \{yes_1, no_1, \dots, yes_s, no_s\} \rightarrow [0, 1] \end{array} \right\}$$

- Multiple-Choice Referendum (Plurality Voting): 1-out-of- n

$$\mathcal{Q} = \{Q_1, \dots, Q_n\}, \mathcal{R} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Sigma : \{Q_1, \dots, Q_n\} \rightarrow [1, 1] \\ \forall : \{Q_1, \dots, Q_n\} \rightarrow [0, 1] \end{array} \right\}$$

- Multiple-Choice Referendum with Null Votes: max-1-out-of- n

$$\mathcal{Q} = \{Q_1, \dots, Q_n\}, \mathcal{R} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Sigma : \{Q_1, \dots, Q_n\} \rightarrow [0, 1] \\ \forall : \{Q_1, \dots, Q_n\} \rightarrow [0, 1] \end{array} \right\}$$

or

$$\mathcal{Q} = \{Q_1, \dots, Q_n, \text{null}\}, \mathcal{R} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Sigma : \{Q_1, \dots, Q_n, \text{null}\} \rightarrow [1, 1] \\ \forall : \{Q_1, \dots, Q_n, \text{null}\} \rightarrow [0, 1] \end{array} \right\}$$

- Approval Voting: max- n -out-of- n

$$\mathcal{Q} = \{Q_1, \dots, Q_n\}, \mathcal{R} = \left\{ \forall : \{Q_1, \dots, Q_n\} \rightarrow [0, 1] \right\}$$

- Range Voting: Up to s votes per option

$$\mathcal{Q} = \{Q_1, \dots, Q_n\}, \mathcal{R} = \left\{ \forall : \{Q_1, \dots, Q_n\} \rightarrow [0, s] \right\}$$

- Plurality-at-Large Voting / Limited Voting: k -out-of- n

$$\mathcal{Q} = \{Q_1, \dots, Q_n\}, \mathcal{R} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Sigma : \{Q_1, \dots, Q_n\} \rightarrow [0, k] \\ \forall : \{Q_1, \dots, Q_n\} \rightarrow [0, 1] \end{array} \right\}$$

- Cumulative Voting: k votes in total, up to $s \leq k$ votes per option

$$\mathcal{Q} = \{Q_1, \dots, Q_n\}, \mathcal{R} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Sigma : \{Q_1, \dots, Q_n\} \rightarrow [0, k] \\ \forall : \{Q_1, \dots, Q_n\} \rightarrow [0, s] \end{array} \right\}$$

Note that $k > n$ is allowed here.

- Preferential Voting (Borda Count): Ranks from 1 to n

$$\mathcal{Q} = \{Q_1, \dots, Q_n\}, \mathcal{R} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \forall : \{Q_1, \dots, Q_n\} \rightarrow [1, n] \\ \neq : \{Q_1, \dots, Q_n\} \end{array} \right\}$$

- Preferential Voting (Borda Count): Ranks from 1 to k only

$$\mathcal{Q} = \{Q_1, \dots, Q_n\}, \mathcal{R} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \forall : \{Q_1, \dots, Q_n\} \rightarrow [1, k] \\ \neq : \{Q_1, \dots, Q_n\} \end{array} \right\}$$

- Party-List Election with Cumulation: Composition of cumulative voting over a set of candidates and plurality voting over a set of party-lists

$$\mathcal{Q} = \{C_1, \dots, C_n\} \cup \{L_1, \dots, L_m\},$$

$$\mathcal{R} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Sigma : \{C_1, \dots, C_n\} \rightarrow [0, k] \\ \forall : \{C_1, \dots, C_n\} \rightarrow [0, s] \end{array} \right\} \cup \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Sigma : \{L_1, \dots, L_m\} \rightarrow [1, 1] \\ \forall : \{L_1, \dots, L_m\} \rightarrow [0, 1] \end{array} \right\}$$

Null votes (with respect to party-lists) can be handled as shown above.

Symbol	Type	Element of
Z_{EA}	certificate	$\{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$
$title$	description	
$period = (t_1, t_2)$	time period	
$T = \{\mathsf{T}_1, \dots, \mathsf{T}_t\}$	identifier list	
$M = \{\mathsf{M}_1, \dots, \mathsf{M}_m\}$	identifier list	
$level$	integer	
Z_T	certificate list	
Z_M	certificate list	
$encryptSetting$	identifier	
$signSetting$	identifier	
$hashSetting$	identifier	Σ_{HASH}
y_j	public key share	\mathcal{G}_Q
π_j	zero-knowlegde proof	\mathcal{G}_Q
y	public key	
$Q = \{Q_1, \dots, Q_s\}$	option list	
\mathcal{R}	rule list	
$issues$	description	Σ_{ENCODE}
$encode$	identifier	
$V = \{\mathsf{V}_1, \dots, \mathsf{V}_n\}$	identifier list	
Z_V	certificate list	
g_k	generator	G_q
VK_k	public key list	
$\hat{V}K$	public key list	
π_k	zero-knowledge proof	
\hat{g}	generator	G_q
$E_i = (a_i, b_i)$	ElGamal encryption	
π_i	zero-knowledge proof	
\mathcal{E}_k	ElGamal encryption list	
$\hat{\mathcal{E}}$	ElGamal encryption list	$\mathcal{G}_Q \times \mathcal{G}_Q$
π'_k	zero-knowledge proof	
$A_j = (a_{1,j}, \dots, a_{N,j})$	partial decryption list	
π'_j	zero-knowledge proof	
$\mathcal{W} = \{w_1, \dots, w_N\}$	list of decrypted votes	\mathcal{G}_Q^N
$\mathcal{V} = \{v_1, \dots, v_{N'}\}$	list of decoded votes	
$result = \{r_1, \dots, r_s\}$	integer list	
		\mathbb{N}^s

Table 6.2.: Summary of election data published on UBV.

Part III.

Technical Specification

7. Cryptographic Settings

The following parameters are assumed to be known in advance and not to change over time.

Level	Strength	Residue Class Group			Hash Value
		modulo	max. order	min. order	
0 (for testing only)	2	6	5	4	4
1	80	1024	1023	160	160
2	112	2048	2047	224	224
3	128	3072	3071	256	256
4	192	7680	7679	384	384
5	256	15360	15359	512	512

$$\Sigma_{\text{ENCRYPT}} = \{\text{RC0e}, \text{RC1e}, \text{RC2e}, \text{RC3e}\}$$

$$\Sigma_{\text{SIGN}} = \{\text{RC0s}, \text{RC1s}, \text{RC2s}, \text{RC3s}\}$$

$$\Sigma_{\text{HASH}} = \{\text{H1}, \text{H2}, \text{H3}, \text{H4}, \text{H5}\}$$

7.1. Residue Class Groups

7.1.1. Level 0 (Testing Only)

Name:	RC0e
Strength:	2
Bit Length:	6/5
Modulo:	59
Order:	29
Co-factor:	2
Generator:	4

Name:	RC0s
Strength:	2
Bit Length:	6/4
Modulo:	53
Order:	13
Co-factor:	4
Generator:	16

7.1.2. Level 1

Name:	RC1e
Strength:	80
Bit Length:	1024/1023
Modulo:	89884656743115795386465259539451236680898848947115328636715040578 86633790275048156635423866120376801056005693993569667882939488440 72083112464237153197370621888839467124327426381511098006230470597 26541476042502884419075341171231440736956555270413618581675255342 293149119973622969239858152417678164812113740223
Order:	44942328371557897693232629769725618340449424473557664318357520289 43316895137524078317711933060188400528002846996784833941469744220 36041556232118576598685310944419733562163713190755549003115235298 63270738021251442209537670585615720368478277635206809290837627671 146574559986811484619929076208839082406056870111
Co-factor:	2
Generator:	4

Name:	RC1s
Strength:	80
Bit Length:	1024/160
Modulo:	89884656743115795386465259539451236680898848947115328636715040578 86633790275048156635423866120376801056005693993569667882939488440 72083112464237153197370621888839467124327426381511098006230470597 26541476042502884419075341171231440736956555270413618581675255529 365358698328774708775703215219351545329613875969
Order:	730750818665451459101842416358141509827966271787
Co-factor:	12300315572313620856784744768322366441573186913038608563891586590 09731643225564212310247174807210403340161199205264066472707044631 31395880224179114380096482367962700290567190341485994461413302531 90439936396647211870913931333558803386785682677355183130071059686 4
Generator:	43753966268956158683794141044609048074944399463497118601009260015 27890794479339688887265479743667915617170483526334209874722984198 29635508715574476834043594463776486457518569138292805779343848313 81295103182368037001170314531189658120206052644043469275562473160 989451140877931368137440524162645073654512304068

7.1.3. Level 2

Name:	RC2e
Strength:	112
Bit Length:	2048/2047
Modulo:	16158503035655503650357438344334975980222051334857742016065172713 76232756943394544659860070576145673184435898046094900974705977957 52454605475440761932241415603154386836504980458750988751948260533 98028819192033784138396109321309878080919047169238085235290822926 01815252144378794577053290430377619956196519276095716669483417121 03424873932822847474280880176631610290389028296655130963542301570 75129296432088558362971801859230928678799175576150822952201848806 61664361561356284235541010486257855086346566173483927129032834896 75229986341764993191077625831947186677718010677166148023226592393 02476074096777926805529798824879
Order:	80792515178277518251787191721674879901110256674288710080325863568 81163784716972723299300352880728365922179490230474504873529889787 62273027377203809661207078015771934182524902293754943759741302669 90144095960168920691980546606549390404595235846190426176454114630 09076260721893972885266452151888099780982596380478583347417085605 17124369664114237371404400883158051451945141483275654817711507853 75646482160442791814859009296154643393995877880754114761009244033 08321807806781421177705052431289275431732830867419635645164174483 76149931708824965955388129159735933388590053385830740116132961965 1238037048388963402764899412439
Co-factor:	2
Generator:	4

Name:	RC2s
Strength:	112
Bit Length:	2048/224
Modulo:	16158503035655503650357438344334975980222051334857742016065172713 76232756943394544659860070576145673184435898046094900974705977957 52454605475440761932241415603154386836504980458750988751948260533 98028819192033784138396109321309878080919047169238085235290822926 01815252144378794577053290430377619956196519276095716669483417121 03424873932822847474280880176631610290389028296655130963542301570 75129296432088558362971801859230928678799175576150822952201848806 61664361561356284235541010486257855086346566173483927129032834896 75229986341764993191077626018240418147728931658315222274532240351 24084988448041816607879141260367
Order:	13479973333575319897333507543509815336818572211270286240551805124 797
Co-factor:	11987043769150952793874803430453616717306443681505732405127734328 63035265241224448257650272273442117922854235775131183760154655873 23968246762186326385861552475817897567120457263303465676554996254 23218032340803416954001633709653135468880716615073290073688756152 56474280679640515153088963948173493667962666963665508943301551338 71636861394047830280606078949561993991551118388159502705296649888 16235618653175901258299087128056357203226216572397148388165640339 66583550317895814903767193536143522628430634457899343527463601070 671196072017302504100432184678
Generator:	11342698989719396602562214176029926737577815602473387457111420042 92707499263615663726956407344787191388627204394785328286520316952 45737164011970945956715626527265691980740969997148418484440443783 94889427354052771986760362837213568196773331406427909643009846645 18053443525909642640603162099914341539824434934715022408665363634 88072684751689239340161438398581968988314061683179235048497631421 26080527969429510895336688143488146365666904622327058661427606990 21764820760170288154471669270258911504614068561280584855398438862 52597327322851463914826364508484968371863196419968856241101383447 4496797602932228487527202996447

7.1.4. Level 3

Name:	RC3e
Strength:	128
Bit Length:	3072/3071
Modulo:	29048029976849790314297512666522871853434875881814476183307430761 43601865498555112868668022266559203625663078877490258721995264797 27002356083144283609351620051605581985322024942202492549452581360 01223829035209061973648402700120524139882921846907611461806043895 22384946371612875869038489784405654789562755666546621759776892408 15319079008093010012374628422407512125765222478859380206821436929 04950862757869670731279151832029575004348218660266092834162726455 53951861415817069299793203345162979862593723584529770402506155104 81950587537438000854768036711747287870813649742800665430847926497 91523388185095907970442641725306429319491358817286474417733194397 77155807723223165099627191170008146028545375587766944080959493647 79576576834935064613384273275871895789541157742231739013005144585 90162476980375209497427569055634886537394845374285218553580750606 57961012278379620619506576459855478234203189721457470807178553957 231283671210463
Order:	14524014988424895157148756333261435926717437940907238091653715380 71800932749277556434334011133279601812831539438745129360997632398 63501178041572141804675810025802790992661012471101246274726290680 00611914517604530986824201350060262069941460923453805730903021947 61192473185806437934519244892202827394781377833273310879888446204 07659539504046505006187314211203756062882611239429690103410718464 52475431378934835365639575916014787502174109330133046417081363227 76975930707908534649896601672581489931296861792264885201253077552 40975293768719000427384018355873643935406824871400332715423963248 95761694092547953985221320862653214659745679408643237208866597198 88577903861611582549813595585004073014272687793883472040479746823 89788288417467532306692136637935947894770578871115869506502572292 95081238490187604748713784527817443268697422687142609276790375303 28980506139189810309753288229927739117101594860728735403589276978 615641835605231
Co-factor:	2
Generator:	4

Name:	RC3s
Strength:	128
Bit Length:	3072/256
Modulo:	29048029976849790314297512666522871853434875881814476183307430761 43601865498555112868668022266559203625663078877490258721995264797 27002356083144283609351620051605581985322024942202492549452581360 01223829035209061973648402700120524139882921846907611461806043895 22384946371612875869038489784405654789562755666546621759776892408 15319079008093010012374628422407512125765222478859380206821436929 04950862757869670731279151832029575004348218660266092834162726455 53951861415817069299793203345162979862593723584529770402506155104 81950587537438000854768036711747287870813649742800665430847926497 91523388185095907970442641725306429319491358817286474417733194397 77155807723223165099627191170008146028545375587766944080959493647 79576576834935064613384273275871895789541157742231739013005144585 90162476980375209497427569055634886537394845374285218553580750607 16204433164749666917562781919225495884397992008274673412368259180 131087873830227
Order:	57896044618658097711785492504343953926634992332820282019728792003 956564820063
Co-factor:	50172736614702193476010968685027850385725009984025754056752351897 84354083664546427042972436257364040015705292620894021158968116670 52278736628424524481364173810719294193392884778267766720794587000 33268758995652670581883324087701986841276235090847530457242114785 08003034351863122611819867710701334475463923697518558595814763337 38120977513870008483043885705348802570420665874935680448798942948 90903505723892042077739270991797692949027455367779291040511577691 18129298733070349048604980733968237990616267319488267460569547220 82654284862289405817133424301830948180453001905416614362070755300 03690390071432433248459391309409195565274258951439355986071694366 37121676228334886710349680880099339347176122780121954092271516962 17192571430830984770102301538658775922986807509273192710496833014 02789114847803512055626615982919607247906296604849442088539792542 702
Generator:	92920125731010433218859140801915212957924174648681944569430739424 36368632400955047430931207305295452016977130491459469008272360996 46074823853068234153087337964364835389953295566321414313091913366 22552453366165871600293028420499959362443638427565001966112132064 28090905852024242916147306177433999396043807599713151522484988122 28036889780065062471230154677956427260036586710411937258219627969 44081469356220545634891695825875959220167038653315231810943582373 04642033650185021199766974695754072338180730437660124968128336662 18850668460811529129373410522906961500960262552792104927047576848 06333861136894510516508364094002731978111599401238922650475382242 11593712190511810930349828435945031628877529853083039287986906995 05264113123684970126578784098482558068892187359052293562177396159 55675269924839998252345273839236796953759255859946063157304428704 83484473572273998392773915519546757089681068563198094927055596095 60299469015227

7.2. Hash Functions

Name	H1
Level	1
Strength	80
Bit Length	160
Standard	SHA-1

Name	H3
Level	2
Strength	112
Bit Length	224
Standard	SHA-224 (truncated SHA-256)

Name	H3
Level	3
Strength	128
Bit Length	256
Standard	SHA-256

Name	H4
Level	4
Strength	192
Bit Length	384
Standard	SHA-384 (truncated SHA-512)

Name	H5
Level	5
Strength	256
Bit Length	512
Standard	SHA-512

8. Encoding Votes

With ρ_j we denote the maximum number of votes that the election rules in R allow for c_j .

To encode $v_i \in \mathbf{Votes}$ as an integer, consider a bit string of length $B = \sum_{j=1}^n b_j$, where $b_j = \lfloor \log_2 \rho_j \rfloor + 1$ denotes the number of bits reserved for each value $v_{i,j}$. Furthermore, let $B_j = \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} b_k$ be the number of bits in the bit string *prior* to $v_{i,j}$, i.e., $B_1 = 0$, $B_2 = b_1$, $B_3 = b_1 + b_2$, \dots , $B_{n+1} = B$. The encoding function $encode_{\mathcal{Q},\mathcal{R}} : \mathbf{Votes} \rightarrow \{0, \dots, 2^B - 1\}$ can then be defined as follows:

$$encode_{\mathcal{Q},\mathcal{R}}(v_i) = \sum_{j=1}^n v_{i,j} \cdot 2^{B_j}.$$

Since some integers in $\{0, \dots, 2^B - 1\}$ do not represent valid votes according to the election rules R , this encoding is not optimal in terms of memory space consumption. In the vast majority of practical cases, however, we believe that the size of the message space G_Q of the ElGamal cryptosystem is large enough to support this encoding.

To decode an integer representation $x = encode_{\mathcal{Q},\mathcal{R}}(v_i)$ back to $v = (v_{i,1}, \dots, v_{i,s})$, we must decompose the bit string into its components. Mathematically, this decomposition can be written as follows:

$$decode_{\mathcal{Q},\mathcal{R}}^{-1}(x) = (v_{i,1}, \dots, v_{i,s}), \text{ where } v_{i,j} = \lfloor x / 2^{B_j} \rfloor \bmod 2^{b_j}.$$

9. UniBoard

9.1. Basic Types to ByteArray

For hashing any part of a post, this needs to be converted to a byte array.

- ByteArray - Does not need any conversion
- String - ByteArray of the UTF-8 String
- Integer - Is a signed big integer which is translated into a bigendian byte array
- Date - Is first converted into a String following "yyyy-MM-dd'T'HH:mm:ss'Z'" in UTC time and then converted as UTF-8 String.

9.2. Post Signature

To create a signature a recursive hash-algorithm is used. To sign the post the author hashes [message,alpha] where alpha = [section, group]. To sign the post UniBoard hashes [message,alpha,beta] where alpha = [section,group, signature,key] and beta = [timestamp,rank, hash].

9.3. Read Signature

To create a signature a recursive hash-algorithm is used. To sign the result of the query UniBoard hashes [query,resultcontainer] where query=[constraints,order,limit] constraint=[type,identifier,value1,...] identifier=[type,s1,s2,s3,...] order=[identifier,ascDesc] resultcontainer=[result,gamma] result [p1,p2,...] post=[message,alpha,beta] alpha=[section,group,signature,key] beta=[timestamp,rank,hash,bo] gamma=[timestamp]

10. UniCert

10.1. Format of certificate

The issued certificate are published on UniBoard. In order to allow UniBoard to make a search into the fields of the certificate, the certificate is represented as JSON format additionally to its PEM format. The listing below shows the schema corresponding to the JSON format of a certificate.

```
1 {
2   "title": "Schema for UniCert certificates",
3   "description": "This schema describes the format of a UniCert
4     certificate in JSON format",
5   "type": "object",
6   "$schema": "http://json-schema.org/draft-04/schema",
7   "properties": {
8     "commonName": {
9       "type": "string",
10      "description": "Common name of certificate owner"
11    },
12    "uniqueIdentifier": {
13      "type": "string",
14      "description": "Unique identifier of certificate owner"
15    },
16    "organisation": {
17      "type": "string",
18      "description": "Organisation of certificate owner"
19    },
20    "organisationUnit": {
21      "type": "string",
22      "description": "Organisation unit of certificate owner"
23    },
24    "countryName": {
25      "type": "string",
26      "description": "Country of certificate owner"
27    },
28    "state": {
29      "type": "string",
30      "description": "State of certificate owner"
31    },
32    "locality": {
33      "type": "string",
```

```

33 "description": "Locality certificate owner"
34 },
35 "surname": {
36   "type": "string",
37   "description": "Surname of certificate owner"
38 },
39 "givenName": {
40   "type": "string",
41   "description": "Given name of certificate owner"
42 },
43 "issuer": {
44   "type": "string",
45   "description": "Issuer of the certificate"
46 },
47 "serialNumber": {
48   "type": "string",
49   "description": "Serial number of the certificate"
50 },
51 "validFrom": {
52   "type": "string",
53   "description": "Date when certificate starts to be valid"
54 },
55 "validUntil": {
56   "type": "string",
57   "description": "Date when certificate stops to be valid"
58 },
59 "applicationIdentifier": {
60   "type": "string",
61   "description": "Application the certificate has been issued for"
62 },
63 "role": {
64   "type": "string",
65   "description": "Role inside an application the certificate has
        been issued for"
66 },
67 "identityProvider": {
68   "type": "string",
69   "description": "Identity provider used to verify the identity
        of the certificate owner"
70 },
71 "pem": {
72   "type": "string",
73   "description": "Certificate in PEM format"
74 }
75 },
76 "required": ["commonName", "issuer", "serialNumber", "validFrom",
        "validUntil", "identityProvider", "pem" ]

```


11. UniVote

11.1. EC Setup Phase Actions

11.1.1. Initial

Notification	Work	Post	Successors
accessRight	Start the new election	-	DefineEA

11.1.2. DefineEA

Notification	Work	Post	Successors
EA defined	Search and set cert for EA	administrationCertificate : Z_{EA}	GrantElectionDefinition, GrantTrustees, GrantSecurityLevel, GrantElectionDefinition, GrantElectoralRoll, GrantElectionIssues

11.1.3. GrantElectionDefinition

Notification	Work	Post	Successors
administrationCertificate	Grant EA access to electionDefinition	accessRight : $vk_{EA}, electionDefinition, 1$	CreateElectionData

11.1.4. GrantTrustees

Notification	Work	Post	Successors
administrationCertificate	Grant EA access to trustees	accessRight : $vk_{EA}, trustees, 1$	PublishTrusteeCerts

11.1.5. GrantSecurityLevel

Notification	Work	Post	Successors
administrationCertificate	Grant EA access to securityLevel	accessRight : $vk_{EA}, securityLevel, 1$	SetCryptoSetting

11.1.1.6. Publish TrusteeCerts

Notification	Work		Successors
trustees	Get Trustee certificates and publish them	trusteeCertificates : $\mathcal{Z}_T, \mathcal{Z}_M$	GrantEncryptionKeyShares

11.1.1.7. SetCryptoSetting

Notification	Work		Successors
securityLevel	Publish the crypto setting for this election	cryptoSetting : <i>settings</i>	GrantEncryptionKeyShares

11.1.1.8. GrantEncryptionKeyShares

Notification	Work		Successors
trusteeCertificates	Grant Trustees access to keyshares	accessRight : $vk_t, encryptionKeyShare, 1$	CombineEncryptionKeyShares

11.1.1.9. CombineEncryptionKeyShares

Notification	Work		Successors
encryptionKeyShare	Validate keyshare and if all keyshare are published combine them	encryptionKey : y	CreateElectionData

11.2. EC Perparation Phase Actions

11.2.1. GrantElectionIssues

Notification	Work	Post	Successors
administrationCertificate	Grant EA access for EI	accessRight : $vk_{EA}, electionIssues, 1$	CreateElectionData

11.2.2. GrantElectoralRoll

Notification administrationCertificate	Work Grant EA access for ER	Post accessRight : $vk_{EA}, electoralRoll, 1$	Successors KeyMixing
---	--------------------------------	---	-------------------------

11.2.3. KeyMixing

Notification	Work	Post	Successors
electoralRoll	Retrieve voter certs and create the first mixing request	voterCertificates : Z_V keyMixingRequest : M_1, g_0, VK_0 accessRight : $vk_1, keyMixingResult, 1$	GrantBallot, CreateElectionData
keyMixingRequest	Create next request or publish mixed keys	keyMixingRequest : M_k, g_k, VK_k accessRight : $vk_k, keyMixingResult, 1$ mixedKeys : \hat{VK}	

11.2.4. GrantBallot

Notification	Work	Post	Successors
mixedKeys	Grant all registered voters access for Ballot	accessRight : $\hat{vk}_i, ballot, 1, period$	-

11.2.5. CreateElectionData

Notification	Work	Post	Successors
electionDefinition encryptionKey electionIssues electoralRoll mixedKeys	Create the election data when all parts available and publish it.	electionData : title, period, $C, R, issues,$ encryptSetting, signSetting, hashSetting, y, \hat{g}	-

11.3. Trustees

11.3.1. Tallier: CreateSharedKey

Notification	Work	Post	Successor
accessRight:encryptionKeyShare	Get cryptoSetting from UBV Store: $x \in_R \mathbb{Z}_Q$ $y = G^x$ $\pi = NIZKP\{(x) : y = G^x\}$ finish.	KeyShare : y $Proof : \pi$	PartialDecryption

11.3.2. Tallier: PartialDecryption

Notification	Work	Post	Successor
accessRight:partialDecryption	Get cryptoSetting from UBV Get mixedVotes : $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$ from UBV Retrieve: x $A' = (a_1, \dots, a_N) \in (G)_Q^N$ from $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$ $A = \forall a \in A', a^{-x}$ $\pi = NIZKP\{(x) : y = G^x \wedge (\bigwedge_i a_i = a_i^{-x})\}$ finish.	partialDecryption: A, π	-

11.3.3. Mixer: MixingPublicKey

Notification	Work	Post	Successor
keyMixingRequest	Get cryptoSetting from UBV Store: $\alpha \in_R \mathbb{Z}_Q$ $g = g^\alpha$ Store: $\psi \in_R \Psi_n$ (permutation vector) $\pi = NIZKP\{(\psi, \alpha) \mid g = g^\alpha \wedge VK = shuffle_\psi(VK, \alpha)\}$ finish.	keyMixingResult: g, VK, π	CancellingExistingKey AddingNewKey MixingVote

11.3.4. Mixer: MixingVote

Notification	Work	Post	Successor
voteMixingRequest	Get electionSetting: \mathcal{G}_q, G from UBV $R = (r_1, \dots, r_N) \in_R \mathbb{Z}_Q^N$ $\tau : [1, N] \rightarrow [1, N] \in_R \Psi_N$ $\mathcal{E} = shuffle_\tau(\mathcal{E}, R)$ $\pi = NIZKP\{(\tau, R) \mid \mathcal{E} = shuffle_\tau(\mathcal{E}, R)\}$ finish.	voteMixingResult: \mathcal{E}, π Store: $\psi \in_R \Psi_n$ (permutation vector)	-

11.3.5. Mixer: AddingNewKey

Notification	Work	Post	Successor
ElectionEndTimer	run finish. if ElectionEndTimer \rightarrow finish.		

11.3.6. Mixer: CancellingExistingKey

Notification	Work	Post	Successor
keyCancellingRequest ElectionEndTimer	Get $V_i, vk_{i,-}$ from UVB Get α $vk_i = vk_{i,-}^\alpha$ $\pi = NIZK P\{(\alpha) : g = g_-^\alpha \wedge vk_i = vk_{i,-}^\alpha\}$ run finish. if ElectionEndTimer \rightarrow finish.	keyCancellingResult: V_i, vk_i, π_i	-

Bibliography

- [1] T. El Gamal. A public key cryptosystem and a signature scheme based on discrete logarithms. In G. R. Blakley and D. Chaum, editors, *CRYPTO'84, Advances in Cryptology*, LNCS 196, pages 10–18, Santa Barbara, USA, 1984. Springer.
- [2] R. Gennaro, S. Jarecki, H. Krawczyk, and T. Rabin. Secure distributed key generation for discrete-log based cryptosystems. In J. Stern, editor, *EUROCRYPT'99, 18th International Conference on the Theory and Application of Cryptographic Techniques*, LNCS 1592, pages 295–310, Prague, Czech Republic, 1999.
- [3] A. J. Menezes, P. C. van Oorschot, and S. A. Vanstone. *Handbook of Applied Cryptography*. CRC Press, Boca Raton, USA, 1996.
- [4] W. Myrvold and F. Wendy. Ranking and unranking permutations in linear time. *Information Processing Letters*, 79(6):281–284, 2001.
- [5] T. P. Pedersen. A threshold cryptosystem without a trusted party. In D. W. Davies, editor, *EUROCRYPT'91, 10th Workshop on the Theory and Application of Cryptographic Techniques*, volume 547 of *LNCS 547*, pages 522–526, Brighon, U.K., 1991.
- [6] C. P. Schnorr. Efficient signature generation by smart cards. *Journal of Cryptology*, 4(3):161–174, 1991.
- [7] A. Shamir. How to share a secret. *Communications of the ACM*, 22(11):612–613, 1979.
- [8] C. E. Shannon. A mathematical theory of communication. *Bell System Technical Journal*, 27:379–423, 623–656, 1948.
- [9] M. Szudzik. An elegant pairing function. In *NKS'06, 3rd Wolfram Science Conference*, Washington, USA, 2006.
- [10] D. Wikström. *How to Implement a Stand-alone Verifier for the Verificatum Mix-Net*. Verificatum AB, Stockholm, Sweden, 2012.