

# Predictive Analysis of Bike-share Rental Demand

Benjamin Fillmore

University of Oklahoma

*benjaminpaynefillmore@ou.edu*

April 29, 2021

# Overview

1 Data Source

2 Background

3 Findings

The data for this project came from a Kaggle competition prompting the application of Machine Learning tools to predict bike share rental demand.

**datetime** - hourly date + timestamp

**season** - 1 = spring, 2 = summer, 3 = fall, 4 = winter

**holiday** - whether the day is considered a holiday

**workingday** - whether the day is neither a weekend nor holiday

**weather** - 1: Clear, Few clouds, Partly cloudy, Partly cloudy

2: Mist + Cloudy, Mist + Broken clouds, Mist + Few clouds, Mist

3: Light Snow, Light Rain + Thunderstorm + Scattered clouds, Light Rain + Scattered clouds

4: Heavy Rain + Ice Pallets + Thunderstorm + Mist, Snow + Fog

**temp** - temperature in Celsius

**atemp** - "feels like" temperature in Celsius

**humidity** - relative humidity

**windspeed** - wind speed

**casual** - number of non-registered user rentals initiated

**registered** - number of registered user rentals initiated

**count** - number of total rentals

# Bullet Points

- Bike Share programs make bike commuting more accessible
- Remove price barrier to entry
- Dependent on municipal support and weather conditions

# Existing Research

Effects of built environment and weather on bike sharing demand: a station level analysis of commercial bike sharing in Toronto

This paper is unique in that it focuses on a relatively successful bike share program, which function year-round, despite the cold average temperature. This paper builds around socio-demographic influence, as well as the effects of greater incorporation of bike-minded structural changes on active commuting probability.

Bike Share Demand Prediction using RandomForests

This paper focuses on prediction using Random Forests, but equally is primarily concerned with the effects of pollution in urban areas on active commuting probability, using urban centers in India as a benchmark.

## Existing Research Cont.

A review on bike-sharing: The factors affecting bike-sharing demand

This paper focuses on the environmental implications of bike share programs, but levies that we will not be able to adopt large-scale bike share programs without understanding the influencing factors.

Community mobility MAUP-ing: A socio-spatial investigation of bikeshare demand in Chicago

This is a unique analysis, because it focuses on an aggregate approach, which emphasizes socio-demographic impact of bike share programs, rather than as a contributing factor. This paper focuses on the measurement of outcome and equity impacts.

# Cleaning and Forecasting

## Cleaning Process

- ① Mutate as.factor
- ② Separate Time Elements
- ③ Distinguish "Workday" from "Weekend"

I used a tuned RandomForest model to make predictions based on all data input, accommodating time, place within week, and multiple weather condition factors.



# Cleaner Function

```
tune <- function(df) {  
  names = c("season", "holiday", "workingday", "weather")  
  df[,names] = lapply(df[,names], factor)  
  #  
  df$season=as.factor(df$season)  
  df$weather=as.factor(df$weather)  
  df$holiday=as.factor(df$holiday)  
  df$workingday=as.factor(df$workingday)  
  df$hour=substr(df$datetime,12,13)  
  df$hour=as.factor(df$hour)  
  return(df)  
}  
  
train    <- tune(train)  
test     <- tune(test)
```

This function is essentially applying cleaner names to the columns and then applying the `as.factor()` command to variables, making them more intuitive for this model.


# Random Forest Functions

$$\begin{aligned} \log(\text{reg}) = & \text{beta}_1 \text{datetime} + \text{beta}_2 \text{season} + \text{beta}_3 \text{holiday} \\ & + \text{beta}_4 \text{workingday} + \text{beta}_5 \text{weather} + \text{beta}_6 \text{temp} \\ & + \text{beta}_7 \text{atemp} + \text{beta}_8 \text{humidity} + \text{beta}_9 \text{windspeed} \\ & + \text{beta}_{10} \text{day} + \text{beta}_{11} \text{year} + \text{beta}_{12} \text{weekend} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \log(\text{cas}) = & \text{beta}_1 \text{datetime} + \text{beta}_2 \text{season} + \text{beta}_3 \text{holiday} + \\ & \text{beta}_4 \text{workingday} + \text{beta}_5 \text{weather} + \text{beta}_6 \text{temp} \\ & + \text{beta}_7 \text{atemp} + \text{beta}_8 \text{humidity} + \text{beta}_9 \text{windspeed} + \\ & \text{beta}_{10} \text{day} + \text{beta}_{11} \text{year} + \text{beta}_{12} \text{weekend} \end{aligned}$$


# CorMat Heat Map

Shows the relationship between different variables




# Datetime

Shows the effect of "feels like" weather on rental count, broken down by datetime - which incorporates hour and day




# Weekly Demand

Shows the effect of "feels like" weather on rental count, broken down by day of week




# Fit1

Shows the relationship between tree number and error for our first model




# Fit2

Shows the relationship between tree number and error for our second model



# Model Fit - Prediction


Shows predicted demand against actual demand, from train data





# Model Fit - Actual

Shows predicted demand against actual demand, from train data



# Wrap-Up

Ultimately this project established a strong relationship between weather (shown through temperature, humidity, and windspeed primarily) and bike rental demand. I was able to somewhat effectively predict demand, which allows policy makers, moving forward, to greater allocate resources in consideration of the demand structure.

# The End