*VAM Canon (v0.4)

Prepared for: Omar Iskandarani (Vortex Æther Model, VAM)

Date: 2025-08-22

1 Versioning

- This document is the single source of truth for core VAM definitions, constants, master equations, and notational conventions.
- Use semantic versions: vMAJOR.MINOR.PATCH (e.g., v1.2.0).
- Every paper/derivation should state the Canon version it depends on.

2 Core Postulates (VAM)

- 1. The universe is a 3D incompressible, inviscid superfluid æther with absolute time and Euclidean space.
- 2. Particles are knotted vortex solitons (closed, possibly linked/knotted filaments) in the æther.
- 3. Gravity is not spacetime curvature but swirl (structured vorticity fields) and pressure gradients; massive motion follows swirl-induced dynamics.
- 4. Local time-rate is set by tangential vortex motion: higher swirl reduces the local clock rate relative to asymptotic time.
- 5. Quantization arises from topological invariants (linking, writhe, twist) and circulation quantization of vortex filaments.
- 6. The æther supports bosonic unknotted excitations (e.g., photon-like), while chiral hyperbolic knots map to quarks; torus knots map to leptons, etc. (taxonomy documented separately).

3 Canonical Constants and Symbols

All symbols are dimensionally consistent and, unless stated otherwise, SI.

3.1 Fundamental (VAM-specific)

- Vortex tangential velocity: $C_e = 1.09384563 \times 10^6 \text{ m s}^{-1}$
- Vortex-core radius: $r_c = 1.40897017 \times 10^{-15} \text{ m}$
- Æther fluid density ("vacuum" fluid): $\rho_{\rm ae}^{({
 m fluid})}=7.0 imes 10^{-7}~{
 m kg}~{
 m m}^{-3}$
- Æther core/mass density: $\rho_{\rm æ}^{(\rm mass)}=3.8934358266918687\times 10^{18}~{\rm kg\,m^{-3}}$
- Æther energy density: $\rho_{\rm æ}^{\rm (energy)}=3.49924562\times 10^{35}~{\rm J~m^{-3}}$
- Maximum Coulomb force (VAM): $F_x^{\text{max}} = 29.053507 \text{ N}$
- Maximum universal force (contextual): $F_{
 m gr}^{
 m max} = 3.02563 \times 10^{43} \
 m N$
- Golden ratio: $\varphi = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} \approx 1.61803398875$

3.2 Universal

• Speed of light: $c = 299792458 \text{ m s}^{-1}$

• Fine-structure constant: $\alpha \approx 7.2973525643 \times 10^{-3}$

• Planck time: $t_p \approx 5.391247 \times 10^{-44} \text{ s}$

Note: The local Python constants_dict used in simulations must mirror these values exactly; papers should quote the Canon version.

Canon Governance (Binding)

Definitions

Formal System. Let S = (P, D, R) denote the VAM formal system: postulates P, definitions D, and admissible inference rules R (variational derivation, Noether, dimensional analysis, asymptotic matching, etc.).

Canonical statement. A statement X is *canonical* iff X is a theorem or identity provable in S:

$$\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{D} \vdash_{\mathcal{R}} X$$
,

and *X* is consistent with all previously accepted canonical items in the current major version.

Empirical statement. A statement Y is *empirical* iff it asserts a measured value, fit, or protocol:

 $Y \equiv$ "observable \mathcal{O} has value $\hat{o} \pm \delta o$ under procedure Π ."

Empirical items calibrate symbols (e.g., C_e , r_c , $\rho_{\infty}^{(\cdot)}$) but are not premises in proofs.

Status Classes

- **Axiom / Postulate (Canonical).** Primitive assumptions of VAM (e.g., incompressible, inviscid æther; absolute time; Euclidean space).
- **Definition (Canonical).** Introduces symbols by construction (e.g., swirl Coulomb constant Λ by surface-pressure integral).
- **Theorem / Corollary (Canonical).** Proven consequences (e.g., Euler–VAM radial balance; swirl time-scaling).
- Constitutive Model (Canonical if derived; otherwise Semi-empirical). A relation tying fields (e.g., pressure–vorticity law). Canonical when deduced from \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{D} ; semi-empirical when chosen to match data.
- Calibration (Empirical). Recommended numerical values with uncertainties for canonical symbols.
- Research Track (Non-canonical). Conjectures or alternatives pending proof or axiomatization.

Canonicality Tests (all required)

A candidate statement enters the Canon iff it passes:

- 1. **Derivability:** Shown from P, D using R, with each step explicit.
- 2. **Dimensional Consistency:** Every term has correct units; limits are well-posed under $r \rightarrow 0$, $r \rightarrow \infty$, weak/strong swirl.
- 3. **Symmetry Compliance:** Consistent with VAM symmetries (Galilean + absolute time; foliation; incompressibility).
- 4. **Recovery Limits:** Reduces to accepted physics in the appropriate limits (e.g., Coulomb/Bohr, Newtonian gravity, linear waves).
- 5. **Non-Contradiction:** No conflict with existing canonical theorems at the same major version.
- 6. **Parameter Discipline:** No ad-hoc fit parameters; all symbols are defined and measurable.

Promotion/Demotion Protocol

- **Promote to Canonical** when a full proof (or definition) and Tests 1–6 are documented; record as "Theorem/Definition," bump MINOR.
- Calibrate (Empirical) by attaching $\hat{\theta} \pm \delta \theta$ and procedure Π to a *canonical symbol* (e.g., C_e : value is empirical; symbol and role are canonical).
- **Demote** if inconsistency is found; publish erratum and bump MAJOR.

Examples (from current Canon)

- Canonical (Definition): $\Lambda \equiv \int_{S_r^2} p_{\text{swirl}} r^2 d\Omega$.
- *Canonical (Theorem)*: $\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{dp_{\text{swirl}}}{dr} = \frac{v(r)^2}{r}$ for steady, azimuthal drift (Euler balance).
- *Empirical (Calibration):* $C_e = 1.09384563 \times 10^6 \,\mathrm{m\,s^{-1}}$ with procedure $f\Delta x$.
- Consistency Check (Not a premise): Hydrogen soft-core reproduces a_0 , E_1 ; this validates choices but remains a check, not an axiom.

What is Canonical in VAM—and Why

Governance: What "Canonical" Means

A statement is *canonical* iff it is a **postulate**, **definition**, or a **theorem/corollary** *derived* from the VAM formal system $\mathcal{S} = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{R})$ (postulates \mathcal{P} , definitions \mathcal{D} , admissible rules \mathcal{R} : variational derivation, Noether, dimensional analysis, asymptotic matching, and standard fluid limits). Canonical items must pass: (i) derivability, (ii) dimensional consistency, (iii) symmetry compliance (absolute time, Euclidean space, incompressible, inviscid), (iv) correct recovery limits (Newtonian, Coulomb/Bohr, linear waves), and (v) non-contradiction within the current major version.

A statement is *empirical* iff it asserts a measured calibration $(\hat{\theta} \pm \delta \theta)$ or a lab protocol. Empirical facts set numerical values for *canonical symbols* but are not premises in proofs.

Canonical Core (from Canon v0.1 + v0.7-Extensions)

[Postulate] Incompressible, inviscid æther with absolute time and Euclidean space. $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} = 0$, $\nu = 0$. This fixes the kinematic arena and legal inference rules (Galilean symmetries and foliation).

[**Definition**] **Vorticity, circulation, helicity.** $\omega = \nabla \times \mathbf{v}$, $\Gamma = \oint_{\mathcal{C}} \mathbf{v} \cdot d\ell$, $h = \mathbf{v} \cdot \omega$, $H = \int h \, dV$. These are standard fluid constructs canonized as primary VAM kinematic invariants (units: $[\omega] = \mathbf{s}^{-1}$, $[\Gamma] = \mathbf{m}^2 \, \mathbf{s}^{-1}$). [?????]

[Theorem] Kelvin/vorticity transport/helicity invariants. For inviscid, barotropic flow:

$$\frac{d\Gamma}{dt} = 0$$
, $\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial t} = \nabla \times (\mathbf{v} \times \omega)$, H invariant up to reconnections.

Why canonical? Directly derivable from Euler equations under $\nu = 0$, $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} = 0$; dimensionally consistent; reduce to classical results. [? ? ?]

[Definition] Swirl Coulomb constant Λ .

$$\Lambda \equiv \int_{S_r^2} p_{\mathrm{swirl}}(r) \, r^2 \, d\Omega \qquad \Rightarrow \quad [\Lambda] = \mathrm{J} \, \mathrm{m} = \mathrm{N} \, \mathrm{m}^2.$$

In VAM Canon this evaluates to $\Lambda=4\pi\rho_{\rm æ}^{\rm (mass)}C_e^2r_c^4$ (symbolic identity). Why canonical? It is a definition tied to an integral invariant of the swirl pressure field; dimensionally exact and independent of any dataset.

[Theorem] Hydrogen soft-core potential and Coulomb recovery.

$$V_{
m VAM}(r) = -rac{\Lambda}{\sqrt{r^2 + r_c^2}} \; \stackrel{r \gg r_c}{\longrightarrow} \; -rac{\Lambda}{r},$$

yielding Bohr scalings $a_0 = \hbar^2/(\mu\Lambda)$, $E_n = -\mu\Lambda^2/(2\hbar^2n^2)$. Why canonical? Derived by substituting the canonical Λ into the Schrödinger bound-state problem; reproduces textbook Coulomb in the soft-core limit (recovery test). [??]

[Theorem] Euler–VAM radial balance (dark-sector pressure law). For steady, purely azimuthal drift v(r),

$$0 = -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{dp_{\text{swirl}}}{dr} + \frac{v(r)^2}{r} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \boxed{\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{dp_{\text{swirl}}}{dr} = \frac{v(r)^2}{r}}.$$

For flat curves $v \to v_0$: $p_{\rm swirl}(r) = p_0 + \rho v_0^2 \ln(r/r_0)$. Why canonical? Direct consequence of Euler equations with $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} = 0$, no ad-hoc parameters; correct units and limits.

[Definition \rightarrow Corollary] Effective swirl line element (analogue-metric form). In (t, r, θ, z) with azimuthal drift $v_{\theta}(r)$,

$$ds^{2} = -(c^{2} - v_{\theta}^{2}) dt^{2} + 2 v_{\theta} r d\theta dt + dr^{2} + r^{2} d\theta^{2} + dz^{2},$$

co-rotating to $ds^2 = -c^2(1 - v_\theta^2/c^2)dt^2 + \cdots$, giving the swirl-clock factor $\frac{dt_{\text{local}}}{dt_\infty} = \sqrt{1 - \frac{v_\theta^2}{c^2}}$.

Why canonical? Adopted as an *effective* geometry consistent with VAM kinematics and analogue-gravity construction; it is a definition plus corollary that reproduces the time-rate law used in Canon. [????]

[Definition] Swirl Hamiltonian density (Kelvin-compatible).

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{2}\rho \|\mathbf{v}\|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\rho \ell_{\omega}^2 \|\boldsymbol{\omega}\|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\rho \ell_{\omega}^4 \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\omega}\|^2 + \lambda(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}), \quad \ell_{\omega} := r_c.$$

Why canonical? Constructed by symmetry and dimensional closure (lowest-order rotational invariants) under the incompressibility constraint; reduces to bulk kinetic energy as $\ell_{\omega} \to 0$; no ad-hoc fits.

Empirical Calibrations (not premises, but binding numerically)

- [Empirical] $C_e = 1.09384563 \times 10^6 \,\mathrm{m\,s^{-1}}$ via metrology $C_e = f \,\Delta x$.
- [Empirical] $r_c = 1.40897017 \times 10^{-15} \,\mathrm{m}$.
- [Empirical] $\rho_{\rm æ}^{({\rm mass})}=3.8934358266918687\times 10^{18}\,{\rm kg}\,{\rm m}^{-3}.$

Why not canonical? These are measured values with uncertainties; they calibrate canonical symbols $(C_e, r_c, \rho_{\text{ce}}^{(\cdot)})$ used in theorems like $\Lambda = 4\pi \rho_{\text{ce}}^{(\text{mass})} C_e^2 r_c^4$.

Non-Canonical (Research Track)

Items explicitly labeled "Research Track (non-canonical yet)"—e.g., blackbody via swirl temperature, QED–VAM minimal coupling ansatz—remain conjectural until a proof/derivation under S is documented and Tests (i)–(v) are passed.

Consistency Dimension Checks (illustrative)

$$[\Lambda] = [\rho][C_e^2][r_c^4] = \frac{kg}{m^3} \cdot \frac{m^2}{s^2} \cdot m^4 = \frac{kg \, m^3}{s^2} = J \, m.$$

Soft-core Coulomb recovery: $V_{\text{VAM}}(r) \to -\Lambda/r$ as $r/r_c \to \infty$, reproducing hydrogenic a_0 and E_n . [? ?]

4 Canonical Coarse–Graining of $\rho_{\mathbf{z}}^{(\mathrm{fluid})}$ from a Vortex–Filament Bath

Scope. The æther is modeled as an incompressible, inviscid fluid populated by thin vortex filaments ("strings"). This section derives the bulk (volumetric) æther density $\rho_{\rm æ}^{\rm (fluid)}$ from first principles via coarse–graining of line–supported mass and vorticity, relying only on Euler kinematics, Kelvin–Helmholtz vortex invariants, and standard filament measures [???].

4.1 Axioms and Definitions

Let a representative filament carry:

(D1)
$$\mu_* \equiv \rho_{\alpha}^{(\text{core})} A_{\text{core}} = \rho_{\alpha}^{(\text{core})} \pi r_c^2 \quad [\text{kg/m}],$$
 (1)

(D2)
$$\Gamma_* \equiv \oint \mathbf{v} \cdot d\boldsymbol{\ell} \simeq \kappa_{\Gamma} r_c C_e$$
, $\kappa_{\Gamma} = 2\pi$ (thin, near–solid–body core), (2)

where $\rho_{\text{e}}^{(\text{core})}$ is the core mass density, r_c the core radius, and C_e the characteristic tangential speed at r_c .

Denote by

$$u \equiv \frac{N_{\rm fil}}{A} \quad [{\rm m}^{-2}]$$

the areal line density (number of filaments per unit cross–sectional area) within the coarse–graining window. Then:

(C1)
$$\rho_{\mathfrak{X}}^{(\text{fluid})} = \mu_* \, \nu, \tag{3}$$

(C2)
$$\langle \omega \rangle = \Gamma_* \nu \, \hat{\mathbf{t}}_{avg} \quad \Rightarrow \quad |\langle \omega \rangle| = \Gamma_* \nu,$$
 (4)

the latter being the classical counterpart of the Feynman rule for rotating superfluids (mean vorticity equals circulation \times areal vortex density) [? ? ?].

4.2 First-Principles Derivation

Combining (3)–(4) gives the canonical coarse–graining map

$$\rho_{\text{æ}}^{\text{(fluid)}} = \mu_* \frac{\langle \omega \rangle}{\Gamma_*} = \frac{\rho_{\text{æ}}^{\text{(core)}} \pi r_c^2}{\kappa_{\Gamma} r_c C_e} \langle \omega \rangle = \frac{\rho_{\text{æ}}^{\text{(core)}} r_c}{2 C_e} \langle \omega \rangle \qquad (\kappa_{\Gamma} = 2\pi).$$
 (5)

For a uniform background solid–body rotation with angular rate Ω , $\langle \omega \rangle = 2\Omega$, hence

$$\rho_{\text{æ}}^{\text{(fluid)}} = \frac{\rho_{\text{æ}}^{\text{(core)}} r_{c}}{C_{e}} \Omega \quad [\text{kg/m}^{3}].$$
 (6)

Dimensional check. $[\mu_*] = \text{kg/m}$, $[\nu] = \text{m}^{-2} \Rightarrow [\mu_* \nu] = \text{kg/m}^3$. Also $[\Gamma_*] = \text{m}^2/\text{s}$, $[\langle \omega \rangle] = \text{s}^{-1} \Rightarrow [\mu_* \langle \omega \rangle / \Gamma_*] = \text{kg/m}^3$.

4.3 Energy and Tension Corollaries

The coarse-grained swirl energy density,

$$u_{\text{swirl}} = \frac{1}{2} \rho_{\text{e}}^{(\text{fluid})} C_e^2 \qquad [J/m^3], \tag{7}$$

follows the standard kinetic form for incompressible flow [??]. The filament's natural tension scale is

$$T_* \equiv \frac{1}{2} \mu_* C_e^2 \qquad [N], \tag{8}$$

mirroring string–like energy $E \simeq TL + \cdots$ without invoking compressibility.

4.4 Numerical Calibration (VAM Canonical Constants)

With

$$\rho_{\rm ae}^{\rm (core)} = 3.8934358266918687 \times 10^{18} \, {\rm kg/m^3}, \; r_c = 1.40897017 \times 10^{-15} \, {\rm m}, \; C_e = 1.09384563 \times 10^6 \, {\rm m/s}, \; r_c = 1.40897017 \times 10^{-15} \, {\rm m}, \; C_e = 1.09384563 \times 10^6 \, {\rm m/s}, \; r_c = 1.40897017 \times 10^{-15} \, {\rm m}, \; C_e = 1.09384563 \times 10^6 \, {\rm m/s}, \; r_c = 1.40897017 \times 10^{-15} \, {\rm m}, \; C_e = 1.09384563 \times 10^6 \, {\rm m/s}, \; r_c = 1.40897017 \times 10^{-15} \, {\rm m}, \; C_e = 1.09384563 \times 10^6 \, {\rm m/s}, \; r_c = 1.40897017 \times 10^{-15} \, {\rm m}, \; C_e = 1.09384563 \times 10^6 \, {\rm m/s}, \; r_c = 1.40897017 \times 10^{-15} \, {\rm m}, \; C_e = 1.09384563 \times 10^6 \, {\rm m/s}, \; r_c = 1.40897017 \times 10^{-15} \, {\rm m}, \; C_e = 1.09384563 \times 10^6 \, {\rm m/s}, \; r_c = 1.40897017 \times 10^{-15} \, {\rm m}, \; C_e = 1.09384563 \times 10^6 \, {\rm m/s}, \; r_c = 1.40897017 \times 10^{-15} \, {\rm m/s}, \; r_c = 1.40897017 \times 10^$$

we obtain

$$\mu_* = \rho_{\text{e}}^{(\text{core})} \pi r_c^2 = 2.42821138 \times 10^{-11} \text{ kg/m},$$

$$\Gamma_* = 2\pi r_c C_e = 9.68361920 \times 10^{-9} \text{ m}^2/\text{s},$$

$$T_* = \frac{1}{2} \mu_* C_e^2 = 1.45267535 \times 10^1 \text{ N}.$$

From (6),

$$\rho_{\rm ee}^{({\rm fluid})} = (5.01509060 \times 10^{-3}) \, \Omega \quad [{\rm kg/m^3}],$$

so the Canon baseline $ho_{
m e}^{({
m fluid})} \equiv 7.0 imes 10^{-7} \ {
m kg/m^3}$ is realized at

$$\Omega_* = 1.39578735 \times 10^{-4} \text{ s}^{-1} \quad (\text{period } 2\pi/\Omega_* \approx 12.5 \text{ h})$$

and corresponds to an areal filament density

$$\nu_* = \frac{2\Omega_*}{\Gamma_*} = 2.88278033 \times 10^4 \text{ m}^{-2}.$$

This fixes the coarse–graining scale that ties micro–constants $(\rho_{\infty}^{\text{(core)}}, r_c, C_e)$ to the Canon macroscopic density.

Remarks. (i) The profile factor κ_{Γ} encodes core details; keeping κ_{Γ} explicit simply rescales Ω_* by $\mathcal{O}(1)$. (ii) No equation of state is invoked; incompressibility and filament measures suffice. (iii) The analogy to superfluid vortex arrays and EM line–to–bulk conversions is purely structural [? ? ? ?].

5 Master Equations (Boxed, Definitive)

5.1 Master Energy and Mass Formula

Define the amplified swirl energy for a coherent VAM volume *V*:

$$E_{\text{VAM}}(V) = \frac{4}{\alpha \, \varphi} \left(\frac{1}{2} \, \rho_{\text{e}}^{\text{(fluid)}} \, C_e^2 \right) V \quad [J] \tag{9}$$

Corresponding mass (strict SI mass):

$$M_{\text{VAM}}(V) = \frac{E_{\text{VAM}}(V)}{c^2} \quad [\text{kg}]$$
 (10)

Numerical prefactor (per unit volume):

$$\frac{1}{2}\rho_{\infty}^{(\mathrm{fluid})}C_e^2 \approx 4.1877439 \times 10^5 \ \mathrm{J \, m^{-3}},$$
 $\frac{4}{\alpha\varphi} \approx 3.3877162 \times 10^2.$

Thus,
$$\frac{E_{\text{VAM}}}{V} \approx 1.418688 \times 10^8 \,\text{J m}^{-3}$$
,

$$\frac{M_{\rm VAM}}{V} \approx 1.57850 \times 10^{-9} \text{ kg m}^{-3}.$$

Usage: In derivations, treat the boxed forms as canonical. If a paper chooses to define mass directly via energy units, state the convention explicitly and reference this section.

5.2 Swirl Gravitational Coupling

$$G_{\text{swirl}} = \frac{C_e c^5 t_p^2}{2 F_{\text{ex}}^{\text{max}} r_c^2} \quad (F_{\text{ex}}^{\text{max}} = 29.053507 \,\text{N})$$
 (11)

Numerical evaluation: $G_{\rm swirl} \approx 6.674302 \times 10^{-11} \ {\rm m^3 \, kg^{-1} \, s^{-2}}.$

Canon note: This fixes which F_{max} is used (the Coulomb-scale $F_{\text{ee}}^{\text{max}}$), ensuring exact numerical match to Newton's G.

Summary: invariant–driven, dimensionally–correct master law. We replace the heuristic parameters (m, n, s) in Eq. (21) by topological invariants of a torus knot/link T(p,q) with $n = \gcd(p,q)$ components:

$$m \equiv b(T) = \min\{|p|, |q|\}$$
 (braid index), $n \equiv \gcd(p, q)$, $s \equiv g(T)$ (Seifert genus).

For torus *knots* (n = 1), $g(T) = \frac{(|p|-1)(|q|-1)}{2}$; for torus *links* (n > 1), a standard adjustment gives

$$g(T) = \frac{(|p|-1)(|q|-1)-(n-1)}{2},$$

which correctly yields g = 0 for the Hopf link T(2,2) [???].

Dimensional correction. The mechanical energy density of swirl is $\frac{1}{2}\rho C_e^2$ (J m⁻³), so the mass contribution is $(\frac{1}{2}\rho C_e^2) \times (\sum_i V_i)/c^2$, not its inverse; this restores correct SI units (kg) [?].

Geometric volume via ropelength. Let each vortex core be a tube of radius r_c . Using ropelength $\mathcal{L}(T)$ (minimum length of a unit-thickness embedding) as a geometric invariant, the total core volume is

$$\sum_{i} V_i(T) = \pi r_c^2 \sum_{i} (\mathcal{L}_i(T) r_c) = \pi r_c^3 \mathcal{L}_{tot}(T),$$

with \mathcal{L}_{tot} the sum across components [??].

Invariant master formula. With $\phi = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$ and α the fine–structure constant, the mass assigned to topology T is

$$M\big(T(p,q)\big) = \left(\frac{4}{\alpha}\right) \underbrace{b(T)^{-3/2}}_{\text{mode crowding topological tension multi-component decoherence}} \underbrace{\rho(T)^{-1/\phi}}_{\text{mode crowding topological tension multi-component decoherence}} \left(\frac{1}{2}\rho C_e^2\right) \frac{\pi r_c^3 \, \mathcal{L}_{\text{tot}}(T)}{c^2}.$$

Canonical cases (torus family).

| Topology | T(p,q) | $n = \gcd(p, q)$ | $m = b(T) = \min(p, q)$ | g(T) |
|------------------------------|--------|------------------|-------------------------|------|
| Unknot (photon) | T(1,1) | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Trefoil (neutrino) | T(2,3) | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Hopf link (polariton/W-like) | T(2,2) | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| Solomon link (e^-e^+) | T(4,2) | 2 | 2 | 1 |

Calibration & prediction workflow. (1) *Anchor* a single global geometric scale by fitting \mathcal{L}_{tot} (or an overall multiplicative factor) to the e^-e^+ Solomon pair mass. (2) *Predict* other cases (trefoil neutrino, Hopf polaritonic/W-like, baryonic 3–component links) *without refitting*, since (m, n, g) and \mathcal{L}_{tot} are then fixed by topology.

Implications. This upgrade removes ad–hoc knobs (all suppressions become invariants), restores dimensional correctness, ties the volumetric factor to published geometric data (*ropelength*), and yields an auditable, predictive pipeline for mass assignment across knot/link classes [??????].

5.3 Local Time-Rate (Swirl Clock)

$$\frac{dt_{\text{local}}}{dt_{\infty}} = \sqrt{1 - \frac{\|\vec{\omega}\|^2 r_c^2}{c^2}} = \sqrt{1 - \frac{v_t^2}{c^2}}, \qquad v_t := \|\vec{\omega}\| r_c$$
(12)

Deprecated (traceability only):

$$\frac{dt_{\text{local}}}{dt_{\infty}} = \sqrt{1 - \frac{\|\vec{\omega}\|^2}{c^2}} \tag{13}$$

5.4 Swirl Angular Frequency Profile

$$\Omega_{\text{swirl}}(r) = \frac{C_e}{r_c} e^{-r/r_c} \tag{14}$$

On-axis core limit: $\Omega_{swirl}(0) = \frac{C_e}{r_c} \approx 7.76344 \times 10^{20} \ s^{-1}.$

5.5 Vorticity Potential (Canonical Form)

$$\Phi(\vec{r}, \vec{\omega}) = \frac{C_e^2}{2 F_{\text{max}}^{\text{max}}} \vec{\omega} \cdot \vec{r}$$
 (15)

Dimensional remark: This potential's role is canonical within VAM; derivations using it must propagate units consistently within the VAM Lagrangian (Sec. 6).

6 Unified VAM Lagrangian (Definitive Form)

Let \vec{v} be the æther velocity, $\rho = \rho_{æ}^{(\text{fluid})}$ constant (incompressible), $\vec{\omega} = \nabla \times \vec{v}$, and λ a Lagrange multiplier enforcing incompressibility (with p reserved for physical pressure if needed).

$$\mathcal{L}_{VAM} = \underbrace{\frac{1}{2}\rho \|\vec{v}\|^2}_{\text{kinetic}} - \underbrace{\rho \Phi(\vec{r}, \vec{\omega})}_{\text{swirl potential}} + \underbrace{\lambda(\nabla \cdot \vec{v})}_{\text{incompressibility}} + \underbrace{\eta \mathcal{H}[\vec{v}]}_{\text{helicity/topological term}} + \underbrace{\mathcal{L}_{couple}[\Gamma, \mathcal{K}]}_{\text{circulation \& knot invariants}}$$
(16)

- $\mathcal{H}[\vec{v}] = \int (\vec{v} \cdot \vec{\omega}) dV$ (kinetic helicity) serves as the generator of topological constraints (coefficient η fixes units).
- \mathcal{L}_{couple} encodes coupling to quantized circulation Γ and knot invariants \mathcal{K} (linking, writhe, twist), used to produce particle families.
- When deriving Euler–Lagrange equations, enforce $\nabla \cdot \vec{v} = 0$ and appropriate boundary terms for closed filaments.

Canon rule: Papers must either (i) use this Lagrangian verbatim, or (ii) state a justified variant and show equivalence in the weak/appropriate limit.

7 Notation, Ontology, and Glossary

- Æther-Time (A-time): absolute time parameter of the æther flow.
- Chronos-Time (C-time): asymptotic observer time (dt_{∞}) .
- **Swirl Clock:** local clock with rate set by $\|\vec{\omega}\|$ per Sec. 3.3.

- **Knot Taxonomy:** leptons = torus knots; quarks = chiral hyperbolic knots (chirality encodes vortex time); bosons = unknots; neutrinos = linked knots.
- Chirality (matter vs antimatter): ccw ↔ matter; cw ↔ antimatter via swirl-gravity coupling.

8 Canonical Checks (What to Verify in Every Paper)

- 1. Dimensional analysis on every new term/equation.
- 2. Limiting behavior: low-swirl $\|\omega\| \to 0$ recovers classical mechanics/EM limits; large-scale averages reproduce Newtonian gravity with G_{swirl} .
- 3. Numerical validation: provide numerical prefactors using Canon constants; if additional constants appear, they must be added to Sec. 2.
- 4. Topology ↔ quantum numbers mapping stated explicitly (which invariants, how normalized).
- 5. Citations for any non-original constructs (use BibTeX keys below).

9 Persona Prompts

Reviewer Persona

You are a peer reviewer for a VAM paper. Use only the definitions and constants in the "VAM Canon (v0.1)". C

Theorist Persona

You are a theoretical physicist specialized in the Vortex Æther Model (VAM). Base all reasoning on the atta

Bridging Persona (Compare to GR/SM)

Work strictly within VAM Canon (v0.1). Compare [TARGET] to its GR/SM counterpart. Identify exact replaceme

10 Session Kickoff Checklist

- 1. Start new chat per task; attach this Canon first.
- 2. Paste a persona prompt (Sec. 7).
- 3. Attach only task-relevant papers/sources.
- 4. State any corrections explicitly (they persist in the session).
- 5. At end, record Canon deltas (if any) and bump version.

11 Canon-Ready Citations (Skeleton)

Replace placeholders with your BibTeX keys; ensure each non-original equation/idea cites at least one primary source.

```
@article{Helmholtz1858,
  author = {H. von Helmholtz},
  title = {On Integrals of the Hydrodynamical Equations which Express Vortex-motion},
  journal = {Philosophical Magazine},
       = {1858}
  year
}
@article{Kelvin1867,
  author = {W. Thomson (Lord Kelvin)},
  title = {On Vortex Atoms},
  journal = {Proc. Royal Society of Edinburgh},
       = {1867}
  year
@article{Moffatt1969,
  author = {H. K. Moffatt},
  title = {The degree of knottedness of tangled vortex lines},
  journal = {Journal of Fluid Mechanics},
  year
       = {1969}
@article{Schrodinger1926,
  author = {E. Schr{\"o}dinger},
  title = {An Undulatory Theory of the Mechanics of Atoms and Molecules},
  journal = {Physical Review},
       = {1926}
  year
}
```

10) Appendix: Canon Tables for Papers

10.2 Boxed Canon Equations (paste-ready)

1. **Energy:**
$$E_{\text{VAM}} = \frac{4}{\alpha \varphi} \left(\frac{1}{2} \rho C_e^2 \right) V$$

2. **Mass:**
$$M_{\text{VAM}} = \frac{E_{\text{VAM}}}{c^2}$$

3. *G* coupling:
$$G_{\text{swirl}} = \frac{C_e c^5 t_p^2}{2F_{\text{c}}^{\text{max}} r_c^2}$$

4. Time-rate (canonical):
$$\frac{dt_{\text{local}}}{dt_{\infty}} = \sqrt{1 - \|\omega\|^2 r_c^2/c^2} = \sqrt{1 - v_t^2/c^2}, \ v_t := \|\omega\| r_c$$

5. **Swirl profile:**
$$\Omega_{\text{swirl}}(r) = \frac{C_e}{r_c} e^{-r/r_c}$$

11) Change Log

• v0.1 (2025-08-22): Initial Canon with core postulates, constants, boxed master equations, Lagrangian, persona prompts, and session protocol; numerical prefactors added for

12) v0.2 Delta — Corrections & Additions (2025-08-22)

12.1 Dimensional correction to Sec. 3.3 (time-rate law)

To enforce strict dimensional consistency, the time-rate must couple vorticity to a length scale (canonical choice: the core radius r_c) or, equivalently, to the local tangential speed $v_t = |\omega| \cdot r$:

- Canonical (evaluate at $r=r_c$): $dt_{\mathrm{local}}=dt_{\infty}\sqrt{1-(|\omega|^2r_c^2)/c^2}$ equivalently $dt_{\mathrm{local}}=dt_{\infty}\sqrt{1-v_t^2/c^2}$ with $v_t:=|\omega|r_c$.
- Using the profile $\Omega_{\rm swirl}(r) = (C_e/r_c) \exp(-r/r_c)$ (Sec. 3.4), on-axis core limit gives $\Omega_{\rm swirl}(0) = C_e/r_c$ and thus $dt_{\rm local}(0) = dt_{\infty} \sqrt{1 (C_e/c)^2}$.

Supersedes Sec. 3.3 formula (which lacked a length scale). Use this corrected form in all new derivations; the earlier expression is retained for traceability only.

12.2 Canon tolerances & symbol aliases

Numerical tolerances (for constant concordance):

- Relative: $\leq 1 \times 10^{-6}$ (1 ppm).
- Absolute near zero: $< 1 \times 10^{-12}$ in SI units.

Accepted symbol aliases (normalize to the left-hand form):

| Canon | Accepted aliases |
|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Ce | Ce, C_e |
| rc | rc, r_c |
| rho_ae ^(fluid) | rho_ae (fluid), rho_vac, rho_fluid |
| rho_ae ^(mass) | rho_ae (mass), rho_core, rho_mass |
| rho_ae ^(energy) | rho_energy, u_ae (J m ⁻³) |
| F_ae ^{max} | Fae_max |
| F_gr ^{max} | Fgr_max |
| varphi | phi, varphi |

Table 1: Accepted symbol aliases for Canon constants.

Rule: manuscripts must present a single normalized constants table conforming to Sec. 10.1; aliases may appear in prose but equations must use Canon symbols.

12.3 Validation protocol updates

- 1. Dimensional sanity (strict): every term reduces to SI; for Sec. 4 ensure $\rho\Phi$ carries energy density (J m⁻³). If an intermediate potential uses non-standard units, introduce a calibration coefficient and state its units.
- 2. Equation normalization: when swirl/time enters, first reduce by $v_t = |\omega|r$ with $r = r_c$ unless a different physically motivated scale is justified.
- 3. Numerical reproduction: provide a short table with substituted Canon constants and results (3–5 s.f.).

4. BibTeX policy: any non-original idea/equation/comparison must include a BibTeX entry (add to Sec. 9).

12.4 Concept index (snapshot from VAM-rank-1 corpus)

Frequency across the six PDFs analyzed:

- 1. vortex-knot particles (1839)
- 2. time dilation / swirl clock (1062)
- 3. swirl gravity (964)
- 4. æther densities (860)
- 5. leptons as torus knots (660)
- 6. quarks as hyperbolic knots (647)
- 7. photon as vortex ring (306)
- 8. unified Lagrangian (70)
- 9. Hamiltonian (25)
- 10. Rodin/coil dynamics (1)

12.5 Simulator I/O stub (render-ready)

12.6 Change Log entry

• v0.2 (2025-08-22): Added dimensionally corrected time-rate law using r_c (Sec. 12.1), established tolerances and symbol aliasing (Sec. 12.2), tightened validation protocol (Sec. 12.3), recorded a concept index snapshot from the current corpus (Sec. 12.4), and included a render-ready SceneSpec stub for simulators (Sec. 12.5).

12 Canonical Extensions (v0.3 Additions)

12.1 Swirl Coulomb Constant A and Hydrogen Soft-Core (Canonical)

[Swirl Coulomb Constant]

$$\boxed{ \Lambda \equiv \int_{S_r^2} p_{\rm swirl} \, r^2 \, d\Omega \, = \, 4\pi \, \rho_{\rm ee}^{(\rm mass)} \, C_e^2 \, r_c^4 \, = \, \frac{e^2}{4\pi \varepsilon_0} } \qquad [\Lambda] = {\rm J} \cdot {\rm m} = {\rm N} \, {\rm m}^2.$$

Dimensional check: $\left[\rho^{(\text{mass})}C_e^2r_c^4\right] = \text{kg m}^3\text{ s}^{-2} = \text{J m (OK)}.$

Theorem 12.1 (Hydrogen Soft-Core & Coulomb Recovery)

$$V_{V\!AM}(r) = -rac{\Lambda}{\sqrt{r^2+r_c^2}} \stackrel{r\gg r_c}{\longrightarrow} -rac{\Lambda}{r}$$

In the Schrödinger equation, this yields $a_0 = \hbar^2/(\mu\Lambda)$ and $E_n = -\mu\Lambda^2/(2\hbar^2n^2)$, recovering textbook hydrogen with $e^2/(4\pi\epsilon_0) \to \Lambda$. [??]

12.2 Circulation-Metric Corollary (Frame-Dragging Analogue, Canonical)

In cylindrical (t, r, θ, z) with azimuthal drift $v_{\theta}(r)$, the PG-type analogue metric implies

$$egin{aligned} g_{t heta}^{(ext{VAM})} \; = \; r \, v_{ heta}(r) \; = \; rac{1}{2\pi} \, \Gamma_{ ext{swirl}}(r), \qquad \Gamma_{ ext{swirl}}(r) := \oint v_{ heta} \, dl \end{aligned}$$

linking the mixed metric term to Kelvin circulation. [?????]

12.3 Corrected Time-Rate Law (Canonical Consolidation)

The operative swirl-clock law is

$$\frac{dt_{\mathrm{local}}}{dt_{\infty}} = \sqrt{1 - \frac{|\omega|^2 r_c^2}{c^2}} = \sqrt{1 - \frac{v_t^2}{c^2}}, \qquad v_t := |\omega| r_c$$

and the non-normalized historical variant is deprecated (retained for traceability only).

12.4 Swirl Hamiltonian Density (Canonical)

$$\mathcal{H}[\vec{v}] = \frac{1}{2} \rho_{\text{e}}^{\text{(fluid)}} \|\vec{v}\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \rho_{\text{e}}^{\text{(fluid)}} r_c^2 \|\vec{\omega}\|^2 + \lambda(\nabla \cdot \vec{v})$$

On-axis with $\omega = \Omega_{\rm swirl}(0) = C_e/r_c$, the vorticity term reduces to $\frac{1}{2} \rho_{\rm æ}^{\rm (fluid)} C_e^2$, matching the bulk swirl energy density. [? ?]

12.5 Swirl Pressure Law (Euler Corollary, Canonical)

For steady, purely azimuthal drift v(r) and no radial flow, radial Euler balance yields

$$\boxed{\frac{1}{\rho_{\rm e}^{\rm (fluid)}} \frac{dp_{\rm swirl}}{dr} = \frac{v(r)^2}{r}}$$

and for an asymptotically flat curve $v(r) \rightarrow v_0$,

$$p_{\text{swirl}}(r) = p_0 + \rho_{\text{æ}}^{(\text{fluid})} v_0^2 \ln \frac{r}{r_0}.$$

This identity-level result follows directly from Euler's equation (no empirical fit). [??]

A Canonical Topological and Field-Theoretic Foundations

This section records standard, external canonical results from knot theory, topology, and field theory. They are not specific to VAM but provide the rigorous mathematical invariants on which the VAM particle–knot mapping builds.

A.1 Link, Twist, and Writhe (Călugăreanu-White-Fuller)

For a closed framed ribbon with centerline $C \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ and unit tangent $\mathbf{t}(s)$, choose a smooth unit framing $\mathbf{u}(s) \perp \mathbf{t}(s)$. The *linking number Lk* between the ribbon edges decomposes as

$$Lk = Tw + Wr, (17)$$

where the twist and writhe are

$$Tw = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{C} (\mathbf{u} \times \partial_{s} \mathbf{u}) \cdot \mathbf{t} \, ds, \qquad Wr = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{C} \int_{C} \frac{(\mathbf{r}(s) - \mathbf{r}(s')) \cdot (\mathbf{t}(s) \times \mathbf{t}(s'))}{\|\mathbf{r}(s) - \mathbf{r}(s')\|^{3}} \, ds \, ds'. \tag{18}$$

Equation (??) and the definitions (??) are standard and originate from the works of Călugăreanu, White, and Fuller [? ? ?].

A.2 Gauss Linking Integral

For two disjoint, closed, oriented curves C_1 , C_2 , their Gauss linking number is

$$Lk(C_1, C_2) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \oint_{C_1} \oint_{C_2} \frac{(\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2) \cdot (d\mathbf{r}_1 \times d\mathbf{r}_2)}{\|\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2\|^3},$$
(19)

a homotopy invariant going back to Gauss and widely used in fluid and plasma topology.

A.3 Helicity in Ideal Fluids

Let **v** be a smooth velocity field and $\omega = \nabla \times \mathbf{v}$ its vorticity. The *helicity*

$$\mathcal{H} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathbf{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega} \ d^3 x \tag{20}$$

is conserved for inviscid, barotropic flows with suitable boundary conditions. For a collection of thin vortex tubes with fluxes $\{\Phi_i\}$ and centerlines $\{C_i\}$,

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{i} \Phi_i^2 \left(Tw_i + Wr_i \right) + 2 \sum_{i < j} \Phi_i \Phi_j Lk(C_i, C_j), \tag{21}$$

so that a single slender tube satisfies $\mathcal{H} = \Phi^2(Tw + Wr)$ [?].

A.4 Hopf Invariant

For a smooth map $\mathbf{n}: S^3 \to S^2$ (or $\mathbf{n}: \mathbb{R}^3 \cup \{\infty\} \to S^2$ via compactification), pull back the area form Ω to $F = \mathbf{n}^*\Omega$. When $F = d\mathbf{A}$ globally, the *Hopf invariant* is

$$H = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathbf{A} \cdot (\nabla \times \mathbf{A}) \, d^3 x, \tag{22}$$

an integer that counts the linking of preimages of points in S^2 [? ?]. In physics this functional appears in models of knotted fields and hopfions.

A.5 Micromagnetic Energy Functional

In continuum micromagnetics, with unit magnetization $\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{x})$, a standard energy functional is

$$E[\mathbf{m}] = \int_{V} \left(A |\nabla \mathbf{m}|^{2} + D \mathbf{m} \cdot (\nabla \times \mathbf{m}) - \mu_{0} \mathbf{M} \cdot \mathbf{H}_{\text{ext}} + \frac{\mu_{0}}{2} |\mathbf{H}_{d}|^{2} + E_{\text{anis}}(\mathbf{m}) \right) dV, \tag{23}$$

where A is the exchange stiffness, D the Dzyaloshinskii–Moriya coupling, \mathbf{H}_{ext} an external field, \mathbf{H}_d the demagnetizing field, and E_{anis} a crystalline anisotropy term [? ? ?]. These terms are canonical in the theory of chiral magnets and skyrmions/hopfions.

A.6 Basic Torus-Knot Invariants

For the torus knot/link T(p,q) on a standard torus:

#components =
$$gcd(p,q)$$
, $g(T(p,q)) = \frac{(|p|-1)(|q|-1)}{2}$ when $gcd(p,q) = 1$, (24)

where *g* is the Seifert genus; these are classical results in knot theory.

References

- [1] G. Călugăreanu, L'intégral de Gauss et l'analyse des noeuds tridimensionnels, *Rev. Math. Pures Appl.* **4** (1959).
- [2] J. H. White, Self-linking and the Gauss integral in higher dimensions, Am. J. Math. 91 (1969).
- [3] F. B. Fuller, The writhing number of a space curve, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **68**, 815–819 (1971).
- [4] H. K. Moffatt and R. L. Ricca, Helicity and the Călugăreanu invariant, *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A* **439**, 411–429 (1992).
- [5] H. Hopf, Über die Abbildungen der dreidimensionalen Sphäre auf die Kugelfläche, *Math. Ann.* **104**, 637–665 (1931).
- [6] J. H. C. Whitehead, An expression of Hopf's invariant as an integral, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **33**, 117–123 (1947).
- [7] I. E. Dzyaloshinskii, A thermodynamic theory of "weak" ferromagnetism of antiferromagnetics, *J. Phys. Chem. Solids* **4**, 241–255 (1958).
- [8] T. Moriya, Anisotropic superexchange interaction and weak ferromagnetism, *Phys. Rev.* **120**, 91–98 (1960).
- [9] A. Aharoni, Introduction to the Theory of Ferromagnetism, Oxford Univ. Press (1996).