gesis

Leibniz-Institut
für Sozialwissenschaften

German Longitudinal
Election Study

GLES

Durchgeführt von der
Deutschen Gesellschaft für Wahlforschung und GESIS

GLES Panel

Wave 21 (december 2021)

ZA7727

Documentation of Questionnaire (Preliminary Version)

The German Longitudinal Election Study (GLES) is the central scientific survey programme in Germany for the continuous collection and provision of high-quality data for national and international election research. The methodologically diverse surveys of GLES make it possible to investigate the political attitudes and behaviors of voters and candidates. GLES has been carried out in close cooperation between the German Society for Electoral Studies (DGfW) and GESIS - Leibniz Institute for the Social Sciences. More information are available here: www.gles.eu.

The GLES Panel allows users to study intra-individual change in political attitudes and behaviors during the election campaign, as well as investigates long-term change between federal elections. It is a longitudinal survey of the same individuals of currently two different samples. Sample A, "2017 Election Campaign", consists of respondents from the Short-term Campaign Panel of the GLES 2017, whereas Sample B, "2017 Pre- and Post-Election Cross-Section", includes those respondents from the 2017 Pre- and Post-Election Cross-Section of GLES (ZA6802) who agreed to be re-interviewed in the GLES Panel. While respondents from Sample A have been interviewed up to fourteen times since the beginning of the data collection in autumn 2016, Wave 10 of the GLES Panel has been the first re-interview for respondents from Sample B. In addition, individuals recruited in profile wave a2 2020 were re-surveyed for the first time in wave 15 (sample A4).

In the following, you will find some general information about the data sets and the documentation of the questionnaire of wave 21. From wave 13 onwards, both samples are surveyed solely in CAWI mode.

Please note:

This documentation of the questionnaire is a preliminary version and published before data release on the website of the German Longitudinal Election Study (GLES). Therefore, it might contain errors and adjustments might be necessary due to the data collection and processing. Preliminary English translations might change due in later revisions. Please do not cite before the data are released in the data catalogue of GESIS - Leibniz Institute for the Social Sciences. Information on data releases can be found on the GLES website or by signing up for the GLES newsletter.

As a public service to the international academic community, we provide English translations of GLES datasets, questionnaires, and related important documents. Due to specifics of the original documents in German and the fact that translations were not done by political scientists, the wording of established social and political science questions and constructs in these translations may occasionally deviate somewhat from the Standard English versions. If you have any questions concerning the translations, do not hesitate to contact the GLES staff via gles@gesis.org.

Study number	ZA7727
Title	GLES Panel 2016-2021, Wave 21
Current Version	1.0.0, 2022-06-28 (Pre-Release)
doi	10.4232/1.13939
Citation	GLES (2021): GLES Panel 2021, Wave 21. GESIS, Cologne. Data file version 1.0.0, doi: 10.4232/1.13939
Number of observations	20.563 panelists were invited. 12.997 interviews (partial & complete) were conducted.
Number of variables	212

Basic Information

Funding Agency	GESIS – Leibniz Institute for the Social Sciences		
Data Collector	GESIS – Leibniz Institute for the Social Sciences		
Date of Collection	**Wave**	**Sample**	**Date of Collection**
	21	A	2021-12-09 – 2021-12-21

Content	Intention to vote und vote choice, political involvement, political attitudes, perceptions of problems, evaluations of political actors, sociodemographics, personality, corona crisis

Methodology

Geographic Coverage	Germany (DE)
Universe	[Sample A1-A3]
	German population with Internet access eligible to vote in the 2017 federal elections.
	[Sample A4]
	German population with Internet access eligible to vote in the 2021 federal elections.
Selection	[Sample A] Quota sampling of the frame population of the Online-Access-Panel by age, gender and education.
Mode of Data Collection	[Sample A] Computer-assisted web-based interviews (CAWI)

Data access

Terms of access	Data and documents are released for academic research and teaching: Category A.
	Due to data protection regulations, some variables can also only be made available after signing an off-site data use agreement or can only be used on-site at the Secure Data Center (SDC) at GESIS (Cologne, Mannheim). If you are interested, please contact us at gles@gesis.org.

Notification of publications

To get an overview of the use of our data, we kindly request users of GLES-data to inform us about publications that utilize those data (biographic description, study no. of the dataset). Publications using GLES-data are listed in the official bibliography of the GLES project. In case of limited access to your publication (e.g. conference papers), we would highly appreciate if you could send us an electronic (PDF file, gles@gesis.org) or a print copy of your publication.

Contact

GESIS – Leibniz-Institut für Sozialwissenschaften
Postfach 122155
68072 Mannheim
E-Mail: gles@gesis.org

Verification

Variables: kp21_2291; kp21_2290; kp21_2293s
Waves: 1-21,a1, a2   **Topic**: Verification   **Items:** Month of birth; Year of birth; Location of birth
Mode: CAWI   **Sample:** A

Filter:
Presentation:
Single response list (vertical)
must answer: the following three questions are displayed on one screen; plausibility check: If no or invalid answers were given for month of birth, year of birth and/or location of birth, the following request follows: "One or more answers are invalid. Please answer all questions".

Question kp21_2291:
In what month were you born?

- Please select
- January
- February
- March
- April
- May
- June
- July
- August
- September
- October
- November
- December

Coding:
(0) Please select
(1) January
(2) February
(3) March
(4) April
(5) May
(6) June
(7) July
(8) August
(9) September
(10) October
(11) November
(12) December

(-95) not participated

Presentation:
Text field (single row) with four numerical digits; Plausibility check: Only exactly four numerical values are possible, no letters or special characters! Only entries between 1906 and 2003 are allowed. Text for plausibility check: "Please enter your year of birth in four digits".

Question kp21_2290:
Please enter the year you were born in.

Instructions:
Use four digits, e.g. 1978.

_ _ _ _

Coding:

(-95) not participated

Presentation:
Text field (single row); Field for answer with one character; Plausibility check: Only one letter (allow umlauts) and no number or punctuation is possible; Text for plausibility check: "Please enter the first letter of your location of birth."

Question kp21_2293s:
Please enter the first letter of your location of birth.

Instructions:
If you are not sure, enter the location of birth just as it is written on the front page of your ID card.

_

Coding:

(-95) not participated

Variables: kp21_2291_c2; kp21_2290_c2; kp21_2293s_c2		
Waves: 1-21,a2	**Topic:** Verification	**Items:** Month of birth; Year of birth; Location of birth
Mode: CAWI	**Sample:** A	

Filter:
Sample A1/A2:
kp1_2291!=kp21_2291 | kp1_2290!=kp21_2290 | kp1_2293s!= kp21_2293s
Sample A3:
kpa1_2291!=kp21_2291 | kpa1_2290!=kp21_2290 | kpa1_2293s!= kp21_2293s
Sample A4:
Kpa2_2291!=kp21_2291 | kpa2_2290!=kp21_2290 | kpa2_2293s!= kp21_2293s

must answer: the following three questions are displayed on one screen.
Plausibility check: If no invalid answers were given for gender, month of birth, year of birth and/or location of birth, the following request follows: "One or more answers are missing. Please answer all questions." Display instruction text in red.
Instructions (left-justified and bold letters):
"Your answers do not match your answers from the first survey of the GLES-study. Please note that you can only participate in this survey if you identify yourself correctly just as you did the first time."

Presentation:
Single, closed response list

Question kp21_2291_c2:
What month were you born in?

Instructions:
Please select
- January
- February
- March
- April
- May
- June
- July
- August
- September
- October
- November
- December

Coding:
(0) Please select
(1) January
(2) February
(3) March
(4) April
(5) May
(6) June
(7) July
(8) August
(9) September
(10) October
(11) November
(12) December

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable

Presentation:
Text field (single row) with two numerical digits

Question kp21_2290_c2:
Please enter the year you were born in.

_ _ _ _

Coding:

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable

Presentation:
Text field (single row); Field for answer with one character; plausibility check: Only one letter and no numbers are possible; Text for plausibility check: "Please enter the first letter of your location of birth."

Question kp21_2293s_c2:
Please enter the first letter of your location of birth.

Instructions:
If you are not sure, enter the location of birth just as it is written on the front page of your ID card.

_

Coding:

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable

[NOTE: Because the content of the verification variables has to be identical with the answer from the first wave of the respondent, the displayed variables are not included in the dataset but may be acquired on request.]

Variable: kp21_4280
Waves: 1-21   **Topic:** Verification   **Item:** Reason for false verification code
Mode: CAWI   **Sample:** A

Filter:
Sample A1/A2:
(kp21_2293s_c2!=-97) & (kp1_2291!=kp21_2291_c2 | (kp1_2290!=kp21_2290_c2) |
(kp1_2293s!=kp21_2293s_c2)
Sample A3:
(kp21_2293s_c2!=-97) & (kpa1_2291!=kp21_2291_c2 | (kpa1_2290!=kp21_2290_c2) |
(kpa1_2293s!=kp21_2293s_c2)
Sample A4:
(kp21_2293s_c2!=-97) & (kpa2_2291!=kp21_2291_c2 | (kpa2_2290!=kp21_2290_c2) |
(kpa2_2293s!=kp21_2293s_c2)

Presentation:
Single response list (vertical)

Question:
The answers concerning your person do not match the answers from the first survey of the GLES-study. There are several possible reasons. Please select the reason that you think is most likely.

- I did not participate in the first part of the GLES-study.
- I may have made a typing error.
- I am sure that I gave the same answers as I did in the first part of the GLES-study.

Forwarding: Closing screen >> survey completed

Coding:
(1) not participated
(2) typing error
(3) same answer

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable

General attitudes towards politics

Variable: kp21_010
Waves: 1-21,a1,a2   **Topic:** General attitudes towards politics   **Item:** Interest in politics, in general
Mode: CAWI   **Samples:** A

Filter:
Presentation:
Single response list (vertical)

Question:
Quite generally, how interested are you in politics?

- very interested
- somewhat interested
- in between
- not very interested
- not interested at all

Coding:
(1) very interested
(2) somewhat interested
(3) in between
(4) not very interested
(5) not at all interested

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp21_011a-c	
Waves: 10-16,19,20,21 **Topic:** General attitudes towards politics **Item:** Interest in politics, election levels	
Mode: CAWI **Samples:** A	

Filter:
Presentation:
Matrix; if no federal state was indicated in the previous waves, just show "state level" at item B
Question:
And how interested are you in politics at federal, state, and European level?

(A) Federal level
(B) State level: `*display federal state from kpX_2601*`
(C) European level

- very interested
- somewhat interested
- in between
- not very interested
- not interested at all

Coding:
(1) very interested
(2) somewhat interested
(3) in between
(4) not very interested
(5) not at all interested

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp21_180	
Waves: 8-9,20,21 **Topic:** Voting decision **Item:** Turnout	
Mode: CAWI **Sample:** A	

Filter Sample: dependent interviewing, kp18_170!=6 & kp19_170!=6 & kp20_180!=1,2,6,-99
Presentation:
Single response list (vertical)
Question:
At the federal election on 26 September a lot of people did not manage to vote or did not participate in the election for other reasons. How about you?

- I did vote
- I did not vote

- I had already cast a postal vote

Coding:
(1) I did vote
(2) I did not vote
(6) already cast a postal vote

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp21_200a,b		
Waves: 8-9,20,21	**Topic**: Voting decision	**Item:** Actual vote: first/second vote
Modus: CAWI	**Sample:** A	

Filter: kp21_180=1
Presentation:
Display sample ballot paper with first and second vote; settled out down below "I did not cast a first/second vote"-category
Intro:
You had two votes in the federal election. The first vote was for a candidate in your local constituency, the second vote was for a party.
Question:
How did you mark your ballot?

(A) First vote:
- Candidate of the CDU/CSU (Christlich Demokratische Union/Christlich-Soziale Union)
- Candidate of the SPD(Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- Candidate of the AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
- Candidate of the FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)
- Candidate of the Die Linke
- Candidate of the Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- Candidate of the Other party

- I did not cast a first vote

(B) Second vote:
- CDU/CSU (Christlich Demokratische Union/Christlich-Soziale Union)
- SPD(Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
- FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)
- Die Linke
- Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- Other party

- I did not cast a second vote

Coding:
(1) CDU/CSU
(4) SPD
(5) FDP
(6) GRÜNE
(7) DIE LINKE
(322) AfD
(801) other party

(-84) I didn't cast a first/second vote

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

[NOTE I: This variable and the variables kp21_201a,b were recoded into the variables kp21_200aa/kp21_200ab
and kp21_200ba/kp21_200bb in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kp21_201a		
Waves: 8-9,20,21	**Topic:** Voting decision	**Item:** Actual vote: first vote, other party
Mode: CAWI	**Sample:** A	

Filter: kp21_200a=801
Presentation:
Single response list (vertical)
Question:
And which candidate did you vote for with your FIRST vote in this federal election?

- BP (Bayernpartei)
- DiB (DEMOKRATIE IN BEWEGUNG)
- Die PARTEI (Partei für Arbeit, Rechtsstaat, Tierschutz, Elitenförderung und basisdemokratische Initiative)
- dieBasis (Basisdemokratische Partei Deutschland)
- FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
- FREIE WÄHLER
- LKR (Liberal-Konservative Reformer)
- NPD (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- ÖDP (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
- PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- Team Todenhöfer (Team Todenhöfer – Die Gerechtigkeitspartei)
- Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)
- Volt (Volt Deutschland)
- other party

Coding:
(126) BP
(349) DiB
(151) Die PARTEI
(372) dieBasis
(171) FAMILIE
(180) FREIE WAEHLER
(331) LKR
(206) NPD
(209) ödp
(215) PIRATEN
(373) Team Todenhöfer
(237) Tierschutzpartei
(364) Volt
(801) other party

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp21_200a were recoded into the variables kp21_200aa and kp21_200ab in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kp21_201b		
Waves: 8-9,20,21	**Topic:** Voting decision	**Item:** Actual vote: second vote, other party
Mode: CAWI	**Sample:** A	

Filter: kp21_200b=801
Presentation:
Single response list (vertical)
Question:
And which party did you vote for with your SECOND vote in this federal election?

- BP (Bayernpartei)
- DiB (DEMOKRATIE IN BEWEGUNG)
- Die PARTEI (Partei für Arbeit, Rechtsstaat, Tierschutz, Elitenförderung und basisdemokratische Initiative)
- dieBasis (Basisdemokratische Partei Deutschland)
- FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
- FREIE WÄHLER
- LKR (Liberal-Konservative Reformer)
- NPD (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- ÖDP (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
- PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- Team Todenhöfer (Team Todenhöfer – Die Gerechtigkeitspartei)
- Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)
- Volt (Volt Deutschland)
- other party

Coding:
(126) BP
(349) DiB
(151) Die PARTEI
(372) dieBasis
(171) FAMILIE
(180) FREIE WAEHLER
(331) LKR
(206) NPD
(209) ödp
(215) PIRATEN
(373) Team Todenhöfer
(237) Tierschutzpartei
(364) Volt
(801) other party

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp21_200b were recoded into the variables kp21_200ba and kp21_200bb in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kp21_252, kp21_252s		
Waves: 8-9,20,21	**Topic:** Voting decision	**Item:** Reasons for decision not to vote, closed
Mode: CAWI	**Sample:** A	

Filter: kp21_180=2
Presentation:
Single response list (vertical); italicize "most important"
Question:
Please state the most important reason for why you did not vote.

- I didn't have time.
- I wasn't at home on election day.
- I couldn't participate for health reasons.
- I am not interested in politics.
- I didn't know who I should vote for.
- Voting does not change anything.
- I am dissatisfied with politics and/or politicians.
- One single vote has too little influence.
- other reason: [text field]

Coding:
(1) no time
(2) absent on election day
(3) for health reasons
(4) not interested in politics
(5) didn't know who I should vote for
(6) voting does not change anything
(7) dissatisfaction with politics/politicians
(8) single vote has too little influence
(9) other reason

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

[Note: Open-ended mentions are available in variable kp21_252s]

Variable: kp21_191a,b		
Waves: 5-9,18-21	**Topic:** Voting decision	**Item:** Vote postal voter
Mode: CAWI	**Sample:** A	

Filter: kp21_180=6
Presentation:
Display sample ballot paper with first and second vote
Question:
You had two votes in the absentee ballot. The first vote was for a candidate in your local constituency, the second vote was for a party.

How did you mark your ballot?

(A) First vote:
- Candidate of the CDU/CSU (Christlich Demokratische Union/Christlich-Soziale Union)
- Candidate of the SPD(Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- Candidate of the AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
- Candidate of the FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)
- Candidate of the Die Linke
- Candidate of the Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- Candidate of the Other party

(B) Second vote:
- CDU/CSU (Christlich Demokratische Union/Christlich-Soziale Union)
- SPD(Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
- FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)
- Die Linke
- Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- Other party

Coding:
(1) CDU/CSU
(4) SPD
(322) AfD
(5) FDP
(7) DIE LINKE
(6) GRÜNE
(801) other party

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp21_193a,b were recoded into the variables kp21_191aa/kp21_191ab and kp21_191ba/kp21_191bb in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kp21_193a		
Waves: 5-9,18-21	**Topic:** Voting decision	**Item:** Vote postal voter: first vote, other party
Mode: CAWI	**Sample:** A	

Filter: kp21_191a=801
Presentation:
Single response list (vertical)
Question:
And which candidate did you vote for with your FIRST vote?

- BP (Bayernpartei)
- DiB (DEMOKRATIE IN BEWEGUNG)
- Die PARTEI (Partei für Arbeit, Rechtsstaat, Tierschutz, Elitenförderung und basisdemokratische Initiative)
- dieBasis (Basisdemokratische Partei Deutschland)
- FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
- FREIE WÄHLER
- LKR (Liberal-Konservative Reformer)
- NPD (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- ÖDP (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
- PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- Team Todenhöfer (Team Todenhöfer – Die Gerechtigkeitspartei)
- Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)
- Volt (Volt Deutschland)
- other party

Coding:
(126) BP
(349) DiB
(151) Die PARTEI
(372) dieBasis
(171) FAMILIE
(180) FREIE WAEHLER
(331) LKR
(206) NPD
(209) ödp
(215) PIRATEN
(373) Team Todenhöfer
(237) Tierschutzpartei
(364) Volt
(801) other party

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp21_191a were recoded into the variables kp21_191aa and kp21_191ab in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).

Variable: kp21_193b		
Waves: 5-9,18-21	**Topic:** Voting decision	**Item:** Vote postal voter: second, other party
Mode: CAWI	**Sample:** A	

Filter: kp21_191b=801
Presentation:
Single response list (vertical)
Question:
And which party did you vote for with your SECOND vote?

 - BP (Bayernpartei)
- DiB (DEMOKRATIE IN BEWEGUNG)
- Die PARTEI (Partei für Arbeit, Rechtsstaat, Tierschutz, Elitenförderung und basisdemokratische Initiative)
- dieBasis (Basisdemokratische Partei Deutschland)
- FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
- FREIE WÄHLER
- LKR (Liberal-Konservative Reformer)
- NPD (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- ÖDP (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
- PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- Team Todenhöfer (Team Todenhöfer – Die Gerechtigkeitspartei)
- Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)
- Volt (Volt Deutschland)
- other party

Coding:
(126) BP
(349) DiB
(151) Die PARTEI
(372) dieBasis
(171) FAMILIE
(180) FREIE WAEHLER
(331) LKR
(206) NPD
(209) ödp
(215) PIRATEN
(373) Team Todenhöfer
(237) Tierschutzpartei
(364) Volt
(801) other party

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp21_191b were recoded into the variables kp21_191ba and kp21_191bb in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).

Variable: kp21_260s
Waves: [5],[6],[7],8,9,18-21 **Topic**: Voting decision   **Item:** Reasons for voting decision, open
Mode: CAWI   **Sample:** A

Filter:
(kp21_180=1 | kp21_180=6) & kp21_200b!=-99 & kp21_201b!=-99 & kp21_191b!=-99 & kp21_193b!=-99 & kp21_200b!=-84 & kp21_191b!=-84

Presentation:
Text field four rows with visually 50 characters per line; no maximum character limit; italicize "most important"

Question:
Why did you give your second vote to this party?

Instructions:
Please state the *most important* reason.

_____

Coding:
[Open answers have been coded by the coding pattern "Gründe für Wahlentscheidung"]

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp21_340

Waves: 8-9,20,21	**Topic**: Voting decision	**Item:** Satisfaction with election outcome
Mode: CAWI	**Sample:** A	

Filter:

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

And how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the outcome of the federal election?

- very satisfied
- fairly satisfied
- neither satisfied nor dissatisfied
- not very satisfied
- not at all satisfied

Coding:

(1) very satisfied
(2) fairly satisfied
(3) neither satisfied nor dissatisfied
(4) not very satisfied
(5) not at all satisfied

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp21_341a,c-f,i

Waves: 8-9,20,21	**Topic**: Voting decision	**Item:** Election outcome: winner and loser
Modus: CAWI	**Sample:** A	

Filter:

Presentation:

Standard matrix "don't-know"-category settled out a bit

Question:

If you think about the outcome of the federal election, which parties do belong to the winners and which to the losers?

(A) CDU/CSU (Christlich Demokratische Union/Christlich-Soziale Union)
(C) SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
(I) AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
(D) FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)
(F) Die Linke [labelled in dataset as "DIE LINKE"]
(E) Bündnis 90/Die Grünen [labelled in dataset as "GRÜNE"]

Coding:

(1) clearly to the losers
(2) rather to the losers
(3) neither to the losers nor to the winners
(4) rather to the winner
(5) clearly to the winners

(-98) don't know

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Valence issues

Variable: kp21_840s		
Waves: 1-21,a1,a2	**Topic:** Valence issues	**Item:** Most important problem
Mode: CAWI	**Samples:** A	

Filter:

Presentation:

Text field (two rows) with optical 50 characters per row, no maximum limited number of characters; italicize "only one"

Intro:

You will now be asked about the two most important problems.

Question:

In your opinion, what is the most important problem facing Germany today?

Instructions:

Please mention *only one* problem.

_____

Coding:

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp21_850
Waves: 1-21,a1,a2   **Topic:** Valence issues   **Item:** Ability to solve the most important problem
Mode: CAWI   **Samples:** A

Filter: kp21_840s!=-99
Presentation:
Single response list (vertical)

Question:
And which party is best able to handle this problem?

- SPD
- CDU/CSU
- CDU
- CSU
- Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- FDP
- AfD
- Die Linke
- other party

- all parties equally good
- no party

Coding:
(4) SPD
(1) CDU/CSU
(2) CDU
(3) CSU
(6) GRÜNE
(5) FDP
(322) AfD
(7) DIE LINKE
(801) other party
(809) all parties equally good
(808) no party

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp21_851 were recoded into the variables kp21_850a and kp21_850b in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kp21_851

Waves: 1-21,a1,a2	**Topic:** Valence issues	**Item:** Ability to solve the most important problem, other party
Mode: CAWI	**Samples:** A	

Filter: kp21_850=801
Presentation:
Single response list (vertical)
Question:
And which of these parties is best able to handle this problem?

- BP (Bayernpartei)
- DiB (DEMOKRATIE IN BEWEGUNG)
- Die PARTEI (Partei für Arbeit, Rechtsstaat, Tierschutz, Elitenförderung und basisdemokratische Initiative)
- dieBasis (Basisdemokratische Partei Deutschland)
- FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
- FREIE WÄHLER
- LKR (Liberal-Konservative Reformer)
- NPD (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- ÖDP (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
- PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- Team Todenhöfer (Team Todenhöfer – Die Gerechtigkeitspartei)
- Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)
- Volt (Volt Deutschland)
- other party

Coding:
(126) BP
(349) DiB
(151) Die PARTEI
(372) dieBasis
(171) FAMILIE
(180) FREIE WAEHLER
(331) LKR
(206) NPD
(209) ödp
(215) PIRATEN
(373) Team Todenhöfer
(237) Tierschutzpartei
(364) Volt
(801) other party

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp21_850 were recoded into the variables kp21_850a and kp21_850b in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kp21_860s
Waves: 1-21,a1,a2   **Topic:** Valence issues   **Item:** Second most important problem
Mode: CAWI   **Sample:** A

Filter: kp21_840s != -99
Presentation:
Text field (two rows) with optical 50 characters per row, no maximum limited number of characters; italicize "only one"
Question:
In your opinion, what is the second most important problem facing Germany today?

Instructions:
Please mention *only one* problem.

_____

Coding:

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp21_870

Waves: 1-21,a1,a2   **Topic:** Valence issues   **Item:** Ability to solve the second most important problem

Mode: CAWI   **Samples**: A

Filter: kp21_860s != -97 | kp21_860s != -99

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

And which party is best able to handle this problem?

- SPD
- CDU/CSU
- CDU
- CSU
- Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- FDP
- AfD
- Die Linke
- other party

- all parties equally good
- no party

Coding:

(4) SPD
(1) CDU/CSU
(2) CDU
(3) CSU
(6) GRÜNE
(5) FDP
(322) AfD
(7) DIE LINKE
(801) other party
(809) all parties equally good
(808) no party

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp21_871 were recoded into the variables kp21_870a and kp21_870b in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kp21_871

Waves: 1-21,a1,a2   **Topic:** Valence issues   **Item:** Ability to solve the second most important problem, other party

Mode: CAWI   **Samples**: A

Filter: kp21_870=801

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

And which of these parties is best able to handle this problem?

- BP (Bayernpartei)
- DiB (DEMOKRATIE IN BEWEGUNG)
- Die PARTEI (Partei für Arbeit, Rechtsstaat, Tierschutz, Elitenförderung und basisdemokratische Initiative)
- dieBasis (Basisdemokratische Partei Deutschland)
- FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
- FREIE WÄHLER
- LKR (Liberal-Konservative Reformer)
- NPD (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- ÖDP (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
- PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- Team Todenhöfer (Team Todenhöfer – Die Gerechtigkeitspartei)
- Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)
- Volt (Volt Deutschland)
- other party

Coding:

(126) BP
(349) DiB
(151) Die PARTEI
(372) dieBasis
(171) FAMILIE
(180) FREIE WAEHLER
(331) LKR
(206) NPD
(209) ödp
(215) PIRATEN
(373) Team Todenhöfer
(237) Tierschutzpartei
(364) Volt
(801) other party

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp21_870 were recoded into the variables kp21_870a and kp21_870b in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Coalition talk

Variable: kp21_918d-f

Wave: 9,21	**Topic**: Coalition talks	**Item:** Behaviour parties, overall
Mode: CAWI	**Sample:** A	

Filter:
Presentation:
Standard matrix, 11-point scale
Question:
How would you rate the overall behaviour of the following parties in the negotiations to form a new federal government after the 2021 federal election?

(D) SPD
(F) Bündnis 90/Die Grünen [labelled in dataset as "GRÜNE"]
(E) FDP

Coding:
(1) -5 very bad
(2) -4
(3) -3
(4) -2
(5) -1
(6) 0
(7) +1
(8) +2
(9) +3
(10) +4
(11) +5 very good

(-98) don't know

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp21_919d-f

Wave: 9,21   **Topic**: Coalition talks   **Item:** Behaviour parties, willingness to compromise

Mode: CAWI   **Sample:** A

Filter:

Presentation:

Standard matrix

Question:

Thinking about the coalition negotiations after the 2021 federal election, how would you rate the willingness of the following parties to compromise?

(D) SPD
(F) Bündnis 90/Die Grünen [labelled in dataset as "GRÜNE"]
(E) FDP

Coding:

(1) very willing to compromise
(2) willing to compromise
(3) partly willing/partly unwilling to compromise
(4) not so willing to compromise
(5) not at all willing to compromise

(-98) don't know

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp21_921d-f

Wave: 9,21   **Topic**: Coalition talks   **Item:** Behaviour parties, assertiveness

Mode: CAWI   **Sample:** A

Filter:

Presentation:

Standard matrix

Question:

Thinking about the coalition negotiations after the 2021 federal election, how would you rate the assertiveness of the following parties?

(D) SPD
(F) Bündnis 90/Die Grünen [labelled in dataset as "GRÜNE"]
(E) FDP

Coding:

(1) very assertive
(2) assertive
(3) partly assertive/partly unassertive
(4) not so assertive
(5) not at all assertive

(-98) don't know

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp21_920d-f		
Wave: 9,21	**Topic**: Coalition talks	**Item:** Behaviour parties, faithfulness to principles
Mode: CAWI	**Sample:** A	

Filter:
Presentation:
Standard matrix
Question:
Thinking about the coalition negotiations after the 2021 federal election, how principled do you rate the following parties to be?

(D) SPD
(F) Bündnis 90/Die Grünen [labelled in dataset as "GRÜNE"]
(E) FDP

Coding:
(1) very principled
(2) principled
(3) partly principled/partly unprincipled
(4) not so principled
(5) not at all principled

(-98) don't know

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp21_922		
Wave: 9,21	**Topic**: Coalition talks	**Item:** Duration of negotiations, attitude
Mode: CAWI	**Sample:** A	

Filter:
Presentation:
Single response list (vertical)
Question:
How do you rate the fact that around two and a half months elapsed between the 2021 federal election and the formation of a government?

- very problematic
- quite problematic
- neither problematic nor unproblematic
- not so problematic
- not problematic at all

Coding:
(1) very problematic
(2) quite problematic
(3) neither problematic nor unproblematic
(4) not so problematic
(5) not problematic at all

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp21_923
Wave: 9,21   **Topic**: Coalition talks   **Item:** Results of negotiations, attitude
Mode: CAWI   **Sample:** A

Filter:
Presentation:
Single response list (vertical)
Question:
Are you more satisfied or dissatisfied with the fact that a coalition composed of SPD, Bündnis 90/Die Grünen and FDP will govern at the federal level?

Coding:
(1) -5 not at all satisfied
(2) -4
(3) -3
(4) -2
(5) -1
(6) 0
(7) +1
(8) +2
(9) +3
(10) +4
(11) +5 completely satisfied

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp21_020
Waves: 1,5,8-10,12,14-18,a2,20,21   **Topic:** General attitudes towards politics   **Item:** Satisfaction with Democracy
Mode: CAWI   **Sample:** A

Filter:
Presentation:
Single response list (vertical)
Question:
On the whole, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the way democracy works in Germany?

- very satisfied
- fairly satisfied
- neither satisfied nor dissatisfied
- not very satisfied
- not at all satisfied

Coding:
(1) very satisfied
(2) fairly satisfied
(3) neither satisfied nor dissatisfied
(4) not very satisfied
(5) not at all satisfied

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp21_170
Waves: 1-7,9-19,21,a1,a2 **Topic:** Voting decision   **Item:** Intention to vote
Mode: CAWI   **Samples:** A

Filter:
Presentation:
Single response list (vertical)
Intro:
When elections are held a lot of people vote, others do not manage to vote or do not participate in elections for other reasons.
Question:
If there was a federal election next Sunday, how likely is it that you will go to the polls?

Are you…

- certain to vote
- likely to vote
- might vote
- not likely to vote
- certain not to vote

Coding:
(1) certain to vote
(2) likely to vote
(3) might vote
(4) not likely to vote
(5) certain not to vote

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
 (-99) no answer

Variable: kp21_190a, b
Waves: 1-7,9-19,21,a1,a2 **Topic:** Vote decision   **Item:** Vote intention: first/second vote
Mode: CAWI   **Samples:** A

Filter: kp21_170=1-3
Presentation:
Display sample ballot paper with first and second vote; down below "don't know"-category for first and second vote
Intro:
You have two votes in the federal election. The first vote is for a candidate in your local constituency, the second vote is for a party.
Question How will you mark your ballot?

(A) First vote:
- Candidate of the SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- Candidate of the CDU/CSU (Christlich Demokratische Union/Christlich-Soziale Union)
- Candidate of the Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- Candidate of the FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)
- Candidate of the AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
- Candidate of the Die Linke
- Candidate of the Other party

- Don't know yet

(B) Second vote:
- SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- CDU/CSU (Christlich Demokratische Union/Christlich-Soziale Union)
- Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)
- AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
- Die Linke
- Other party

- Don't know yet

Coding:
(4) SPD
(1) CDU/CSU
(6) GRÜNE
(5) FDP
(322) AfD
(7) DIE LINKE
(801) other party

(-98) don't know

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

[NOTE I: This variable and the variables kp21_192a,b were recoded into the variables kp21_190aa/kp21_190ab and kp21_190ba/kp21_190bb in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).

Variable: kp21_192a
Waves: 1-7,9-19,21,a1,a2   **Topic:** Voting decision   **Item:** Vote intention: first vote, other party
Mode: CAWI   **Samples:** A

Filter: kp21_190a=801
Presentation:
Single response list (vertical)
Question:
And who will you vote for with your FIRST vote?

Candidate of…

- BP (Bayernpartei)
- DiB (DEMOKRATIE IN BEWEGUNG)
- Die PARTEI (Partei für Arbeit, Rechtsstaat, Tierschutz, Elitenförderung und basisdemokratische Initiative)
- dieBasis (Basisdemokratische Partei Deutschland)
- FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
- FREIE WÄHLER
- LKR (Liberal-Konservative Reformer)
- NPD (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- ÖDP (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
- PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- Team Todenhöfer (Team Todenhöfer – Die Gerechtigkeitspartei)
- Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)
- Volt (Volt Deutschland)
- other party

Coding:
(126) BP
(349) DiB
(151) Die PARTEI
(372) dieBasis
(171) FAMILIE
(180) FREIE WAEHLER
(331) LKR
(206) NPD
(209) ödp
(215) PIRATEN
(373) Team Todenhöfer
(237) Tierschutzpartei
(364) Volt
(801) other party

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp21_190a were recoded into the variables kp21_190aa and kp21_190ab in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kp21_192b
Waves: 1-7,9-19,21,a1,a2   **Topic:** Voting decision   **Item:** Vote intention: second vote, other party
Mode: CAWI   **Samples:** A

Filter: kp19_190b=801
Presentation:
Single response list (vertical)

Question:
And which party will you vote for with your SECOND vote?

- BP (Bayernpartei)
- DiB (DEMOKRATIE IN BEWEGUNG)
- Die PARTEI (Partei für Arbeit, Rechtsstaat, Tierschutz, Elitenförderung und basisdemokratische Initiative)
- dieBasis (Basisdemokratische Partei Deutschland)
- FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
- FREIE WÄHLER
- LKR (Liberal-Konservative Reformer)
- NPD (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- ÖDP (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
- PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- Team Todenhöfer (Team Todenhöfer – Die Gerechtigkeitspartei)
- Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)
- Volt (Volt Deutschland)
- other party

Coding:
(126) BP
(349) DiB
(151) Die PARTEI
(372) dieBasis
(171) FAMILIE
(180) FREIE WAEHLER
(331) LKR
(206) NPD
(209) ödp
(215) PIRATEN
(373) Team Todenhöfer
(237) Tierschutzpartei
(364) Volt
(801) other party

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp21_190b were recoded into the variables kp21_190ba and kp21_190bb in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kp21_221a,c-g,i

Waves: 1,3-9,14,16-21,a2   **Topic:** Voting decision   **Item:** Consideration Set

Mode: CAWI   **Samples:** A

Filter: (kp21_190b=1-322) | (kp21_192b=120-373) >> Receive intro and question

kp21_190b=801 & (kp21_192b= -99 | kp21_192b=801) >> Do not receive any intro but ONLY the question

Presentation:

Standard matrix, Column labels as coding labels

The party that was chosen for the second vote in kp21_190b or kp21_192b, must not appear in the answering options.

Intro:

You said that you want to cast your second vote for (<u>insert party</u>).

Question 2:

Did you consider any other parties for your second vote on federal election day?

Question 3:

Which parties did you consider for your second vote?

(C) SPD

(A) CDU/CSU

(E) Bündnis 90/Die Grünen

(D) FDP

(I) AfD

(F) Die Linke

(G) other party

Coding:

(1) would consider

(2) would rather consider

(3) would rather not consider

(4) would not consider

(-93) not asked, terminated

(-95) not participated

(-97) not applicable

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp21_211a,c-g,i		
Waves: 1,3-9,14,16-21,a2	**Topic:** Voting decision	**Item:** Consideration Set, hypothetical
Mode: CAWI	**Samples:** A	

Filter: kp21_170=4-5,-99 >> Question 1
            (kp21_190b= -98 | -99) >> Question 2

Presentation:
Standard matrix
Question 1:
Let's assume you would take part in the election. Which party would you consider for your second vote?
Question 2:
Which parties would you consider for your second vote?

(C) SPD
(A) CDU/CSU
(E) Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
(D) FDP
(I) AfD
(F) Die Linke

(G) other party

Coding:
(1) would consider
(2) would probably consider
(3) would probably not consider
(4) would not consider

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp21_050c,g,j; kp21_060c		
Waves: 2,a1,9,10,12,14,15,18,20,21	**Topic**: General attitudes towards politics	**Item:** Principles of democracy & Extremism
Mode: CAWI/PAPI	**Sample:** A	

Filter:
Presentation:
Standard matrix
Intro:
Here are some common statements on politics and society.
Question:
Please state to what extent you agree or disagree with each statement.

(C) In general, each democratic party should have the chance to assume government responsibilities.
(G) Everybody should have the right to defend his own view even if the majority dissents on that aspect.
(kp21_060c) We should finally have the courage to have a strong national sentiment again.
(J) A democracy will not work without a political opposition.

Coding:
(1) strongly disagree
(2) disagree
(3) neither agree nor disagree
(4) agree
(5) strongly agree

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp21_2880bc,bb,bn,bo,by,v,ag,ah,ai,an1		
Waves: 1,3,13-20,a2	**Topic**: Political positions	**Item:** Ego positions
Mode: CAWI	**Sample:** A	

Filter:
Presentation:
Standard-Matrix
Intro:
Now we come to your assessment of political issues. You may agree more or less with the following statements.

Question Screen 1:
Please state to what extent you agree or disagree with each statement.

(BC) Health protection must take priority over promoting economic growth.
(BB) In emergency situations, it is justified for the state to restrict civil liberties.
(BN) During a pandemic, there should be a general obligation to vaccinate
(BO) Unvaccinated people should be subject to more restrictions (e.g. on attending events) than fully vaccinated people during this phase of the pandemic.
(BY) The voting age in federal elections should be lowered from 18 to 16 years old.

Question Screen2:
And how much do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

(V) All things considered, globalisation is a good thing.
(AG) The global integration of markets should be pushed forward further.
(AH) Germany should restrict the import of goods from other countries.
(AI) Foreign companies should be allowed to invest in Germany.
(AN1) The Nord Stream 2 gas pipeline from Russia to Germany should not go into operation.

Coding:
(1) strongly disagree
(2) disagree
(3) neither agree nor disagree
(4) agree
(5) strongly agree

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp21_650f2,a,o1,l1,s,e2,g2,h2,k1		
Waves: 1-21,a1,a2	**Topic:** Candidates	**Item:** Scalometer politicians
Mode: CAWI	**Samples:** A	

Filter:

Presentation:
Standard matrix; scale: -5 to +5 including zero with numerical label; label of endpoints: -5 "I do not think much of the person at all", +5 "I think a great deal of the person"; "haven't heard of"- category is settled out a bit

Question:
Screen 1: Please state what you think of some leading politicians.
Screen 2: And what do you think of the following politicians?

Screen 1:
(F2) Annalena Baerbock
(A) Angela Merkel
(O1) Olaf Scholz
(L1) Robert Habeck
(S) Christian Lindner

Screen 2:
(E2) Saskia Esken
(G2) Dietmar Bartsch
(H2) Alice Weidel
(K1) Markus Söder

Coding:
(1) -5 I do not think much of the person at all
(2) -4
(3) -3
(4) -2
(5) -1
(6) 0
(7) +1
(8) +2
(9) +3
(10) +4
(11) +5 I think a great deal of the person

(-71) haven't heard of [labelled in dataset as "subject unknown"]

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp21_5020		
Waves: 1,5,7-10,12,14-16,a2,21	**Topic:** General attitudes towards politics	**Item:** Difference government
Mode: CAWI	**Sample:** A	

Filter:
Presentation:
Standard matrix
Question:
Some people say that it makes a big difference who is in power in Berlin. Others say that it doesn't make any difference who is in power. How about you?

Coding:
(1) 1 It doesn't make any difference who is in power.
(2) 2
(3) 3
(4) 4
(5) 5 It makes a big difference who is in power.

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp21_430a-f,i		
Waves: 1-21, a2	**Topic**: Party ratings in general	**Item:** Scalometer parties
Mode: CAWI	**Samples:** A	

Filter:
Presentation:
Standard matrix; scale: -5 to +5 including zero with numerical label; label of endpoints: -5 "I do not think much of the party at all" and +5 "I think a great deal of the party". "haven't heard of" settled out a bit
Question:
What do you think of the different parties in general?
Instructions:
Please use a scale from -5 to +5.

(C) SPD
(A) CDU
(B) CSU
(E) Bündnis 90/ Die Grünen
(D) FDP
(I) AfD
(F) Die Linke

Coding:

(1) -5 I do not think much of the party at all
(2) -4
(3) -3
(4) -2
(5) -1
(6) 0
(7) +1
(8) +2
(9) +3
(10) +4
(11) +5 I think a great deal of the party

(-71) haven't heard of [labelled in dataset as "subject unknown"]

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp21_5021		
Waves: 1,5,7-10,12,14,15,16,a2,20,21	**Topic:** General attitudes towards politics	**Item:** Difference parties
Mode: CAWI	**Sample:** A	

Filter:
Presentation:
Standard matrix
Question:
Thinking about the current political discussions, how large would you say the differences between the parties are?

Coding:

(1) 1 no differences at all
(2) 2
(3) 3
(4) 4
(5) 5 major differences

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp21_060b,d,e,i,j,l		
Waves: 2,9,13-15,17,a1,20,21	**Topic**: General attitudes towards politics	**Item:** Extremism/ Authoritarianism
Mode: CAWI	**Sample:** A	

Filter:
Presentation:
Standard matrix
Question on screen 1:
Here you can find several statements with which some people agree, while others do not. How about you?

 (E) Under certain circumstances, a dictatorship is the better form of government.
 (L) It would be better if decisions were taken by unelected, independent experts rather than by politicians or the citizens.
 (I) It should be made clear to troublemakers that they are not wanted in society.

Question on screen 2:
And how much do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

(J) We need strong leaders so we can live safely in society.
(B) The main business enterprises must be nationalized.
(D) The general welfare ranks much higher than the interest of groups and associations.

Coding:
(1) strongly disagree
(2) disagree
(3) neither agree nor disagree
(4) agree
(5) strongly agree

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

The item (L) was taken from the GESIS Panel.

Variable: kp21_1260		
Wave: 9,17,21	**Topic**: Political positions	**Item:** Globalisation, ego
Mode: CAWI	**Sample:** A	

Filter:
Presentation:
Semantic differential; only labels at the endpoints: "loser", "winner".
Question:
Do you see yourself as a loser or a winner of globalisation?

- loser
-
-
-
-
-
- winner

- don't know

Coding:
(1) loser
(2)
(3)
(4)
(5)
(6)
(7) winner

(-98) don't know

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp21_1130			
Waves: 1-4,a1,6-8,10-15,19-21,a2	**Topic:** Political positions	**Item:**	Libertarian-authoritarian dimension, ego
Mode: CAWI	**Sample:** A/B		

Filter:
Presentation:
Standard matrix; seven-point-scale without numerical label; label of endpoints: "immigration for foreigners should be easier", "immigration for foreigners should be more difficult"
Question:
Let's turn to the issue of immigration. Should it be easier or more difficult for foreigners to immigrate?

What is your opinion on this issue?

- immigration for foreigners should be easier
-
-
-
-
-
- immigration for foreigners should be more difficult

Coding:
(1) 1 immigration for foreigners should be easier
(2) 2
(3) 3
(4) 4
(5) 5
(6) 6
(7) 7 immigration for foreigners should be more difficult

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp21_2880bz,ca,cb,al,cc		
Waves: 1,3,13-21,a2	**Topic**: Political positions	**Item:** Ego positions
Mode: CAWI	**Sample:** A	

Filter:
Presentation:
Standard-Matrix
Intro:
Here you can find several statements with which some people agree, while others do not.
Question:
Please state to what extent you agree or disagree with each statement.

(BZ) Immigration to Germany should be made easier for qualified workers.
(CA) Immigration to Germany should be made easier for refugees and asylum seekers.
(CB) EU member states should engage in joint borrowing, repay them and be liable for them together.
(AL) The European Union should do more to protect its external borders.
(CC) Naturalization of migrants should be made easier in Germany.

Coding:
(1) strongly disagree
(2) disagree
(3) neither agree nor disagree
(4) agree
(5) strongly agree

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Strategic Voting

Variable: kp21_910a-c, e-i
Waves: 3,5-10,16-21   **Topic**: Strategic Voting   **Item:** Scalometer coalitions
Mode: CAWI   **Samples**: A
Filter:
Presentation:
Standard matrix; Scale: -5 to +5 with numerical label; with zero point; Label: -5 "not desirable at all", +5 "very desirable"
Question:
Regardless of which coalition governs and how likely the following coalitions are at the moment, how desirable are these coalition governments at federal level for you personally?

(A) Coalition of CDU/CSU and SPD
(C) Coalition of SPD and Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
(E) Coalition of CDU/CSU and Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
(F) Coalition of Bündnis 90/Die Grünen, SPD and FDP
(G) Coalition of CDU/CSU, Bündnis 90/Die Grünen and FDP
(H) Coalition of Bündnis 90/Die Grünen, SPD and Die Linke
(I) Coalition of CDU/CSU, SPD and FDP

Coding:
(1) -5 not desirable at all
(2) -4
(3) -3
(4) -2
(5) -1
(6) 0
(7) +1
(8) +2
(9) +3
(10) +4
(11) +5 very desirable

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp21_3103a-h		
Waves: 5,8,9,13-15,17,a2,20,21	**Topic**: General attitudes towards politics	**Item:** Populism
Mode: CAWI	**Samples**: A	

Filter:

Presentation:
Standard matrix

Intro:
Here you can find some more statements on politics and society with which some people agree, while others do not.

Question:
Screen 1: Please state whether you agree or disagree with each statement.
Screen 2: How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

Screen 1:
(A) Politicians talk too much and take too little action.
(B) Ordinary people are of good and honest character.
(C) The people should have the final say on the most important political issues by voting on them directly in referendums.
(D) Ordinary people all pull together.

Screen 2:
(E) Differences between the elite and the people are larger than the differences among the people.
(F) The people, and not politicians, should make our most important policy decisions.
(G) The politicians in Parliament need to follow the will of the people.
(H) Ordinary people share the same values and interests.

Coding:
(1) strongly disagree
(2) disagree
(3) neither agree nor disagree
(4) agree
(5) strongly agree

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp21_160 a,b,j,k,o,p1,p2,q		
Waves: 2,8-10,12,14-16,a1,20,21	**Topic:** General attitudes towards politics	**Item:** Confidence in institutions
Mode: CAWI **Sample:** A		

Filter:

Presentation:

Standard matrix

Intro:

Let's talk about the following public institutions.

Question:

Please state if you trust these institutions or not.

How about …

(A) the Bundestag?
(B) the Federal Constitutional Court?
(J) the German armed forces?
(K) the trade unions?
(O) the banks?
(P1) public service broadcasting
(P2) social media
(Q) the police?

Coding:

(1) I do not trust at all
(2) I rather do not trust
(3) neither trust nor distrust
(4) I rather trust
(5) I fully trust

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp21_730
Waves: 1-8,10-21,a1,a2   **Topic:** Party ratings in general   **Item:** Scalometer government
Mode: CAWI   **Sample:** A

Filter:
Presentation:
Standard matrix; scale: -5 to +5 including zero with numerical label; label of endpoints: -5 "not at all satisfied" and +5 "completely satisfied"

Question:
Are you more satisfied or less satisfied with the performance of the government of SPD, Bündnis 90/Die Grünen and FDP?

Coding:
(1) -5 not at all satisfied
(2) -4
(3) -3
(4) -2
(5) -1
(6) 0
(7) +1
(8) +2
(9) +3
(10) +4
(11) +5 completely satisfied

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp21_731d-f
Waves: 1-8,10-21,a1,a2 **Topic:** Party ratings in general **Item:** Scalometer governing parties
Mode: CAWI **Sample:** A

Filter:
Presentation:
Standard matrix; scale: -5 to +5 including zero with numerical label; label of endpoints: -5 "not at all satisfied" and +5 "completely satisfied"
Question:
And considering each of the governing parties separately, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with their performance? How satisfied are you with the performance of the...?

(D) SPD
(F) Bündnis 90/die Grünen
(E) FDP

Coding:
(1) -5 not at all satisfied
(2) -4
(3) -3
(4) -2
(5) -1
(6) 0
(7) +1
(8) +2
(9) +3
(10) +4
(11) +5 completely satisfied

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp21_780
Waves: 1,3,5,6,8,10-21,a2 **Topic:** Valence issues **Item:** Personal economic situation, current
Mode: CAWI **Sample:** A

Filter:
Presentation:
Single response list (vertical), italicize "own".
Intro:
Now, let us shift attention to your economic situation.
Question:
How would you evaluate your *own* current economic situation?

- very good
- good
- neither good nor bad
- bad
- very bad

Coding:
(1) very good
(2) good
(3) neither good nor bad
(4) bad
(5) very bad

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp21_770
Waves: 5,6,8,10-21,a2   **Topic:** Valence issues   **Item:** Responsibility for personal economic Situation

Mode: CAWI   **Sample:** A

Filter: kp21_780=1-5
Presentation:
Single response list (vertical)
Question:
To what extent do you think that the federal government's policies are responsible for your economic situation?

- a great deal
- a fair amount
- moderately
- not very much
- not at all

- don't know

Coding:
(1) a great deal
(2) a fair amount
(3) moderately
(4) not very much
(5) not at all

(-98) don't know

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp21_790
Waves: a2,14-21   **Topic:** Valence issues   **Item:** Personal economic situation, future
Mode: CAWI   **Sample:** A

Filter:
Presentation:
Single response list (vertical), italicize "own".
Question:
What do you think your *own* economic situation will be a year from now?

-Improved considerably
-Improved somewhat
-Remained the same
-Deteriorated somewhat
-Deteriorated considerably

Coding:
(1) Improved considerably
(2) Improved somewhat
(3) Remained the same
(4) Deteriorated somewhat
(5) Deteriorated considerably

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp21_820
Waves: 1,3,5,6,8,10-21,a2   **Topic:** Valence issues   **Item:** General economic situation, current
Mode: CAWI   **Sample:** A

Filter:
Presentation:
Single response list (vertical)
Question:
How would you evaluate the current general economic situation in Germany?

- very good
- good
- neither good nor bad
- bad
- very bad

Coding:
(1) very good
(2) good
(3) neither good nor bad
(4) bad
(5) very bad

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp21_810		
Waves: 5,6,8,10-21,a2	**Topic:** Valence issues	**Item:** Responsibility for general economic situation
Mode: CAWI	**Sample:** A	

Filter: kp21_820=1-5
Presentation:
Single response list (vertical)
Question:
To what extent do you think that the government's policies are responsible for the general economic situation in Germany?

- a great deal
- a fair amount
- moderately
- not very much
- not at all
- don't know

Coding:
(1) a great deal
(2) a fair amount
(3) moderately
(4) not very much
(5) not at all

(-98) don't know

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp21_830		
Waves: 14-21,a2	**Topic:** Valence issues	**Item:** General economic situation, future
Mode: CAWI	**Sample:** A	

Filter:
Presentation:
Single response list (vertical)
Question:
What do you think the general economic situation in Germany will be a year from now?

-Improved considerably
-Improved somewhat
-Remained the same
-Deteriorated somewhat
-Deteriorated considerably

Coding:
(1) Improved considerably
(2) Improved somewhat
(3) Remained the same
(4) Deteriorated somewhat
(5) Deteriorated considerably

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp21_4046
Waves: 15-21   **Topic:** Corona Crisis   **Item:** Corona: Government
Mode: CAWI   **Samples:** A

Filter:
Presentation:
Standard matrix; scale: -5 to +5 including zero with numerical label; label of endpoints: -5 "not at all satisfied" and +5 "completely satisfied"
Intro:
And now a few questions about the corona crisis.
Question:
How satisfied are you with the work of the federal government in combatting the corona crisis?
Coding:
(1) -5 not at all satisfied
(2) -4
(3) -3
(4) -2
(5) -1
(6) 0
(7) +1
(8) +2
(9) +3
(10) +4
(11) +5 completely satisfied

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

[NOTE: This question was taken from the GLES Tracking (T48)].

Variable: kp21_4045a-e
Waves: 13-21,a2   **Topic**: Corona Crisis   **Item:** Corona measures
Mode: CAWI   **Samples:** A

Filter:
Presentation:
Standard matrix
Question:
Do you think that in the following respects the federal government has taken too few or too many measures to combat the corona crisis?

(A) Ensuring medical care
(B) Restricting public life, e.g., closing shops and public facilities
(C) Reducing economic damage
(D) Communicating with the population
(E) Vaccination of the population

Coding:
(1) too few
(2) rather too few
(3) just right
(4) rather too many
(5) too many

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

[Note: The question is an adaptation from the GESIS Panel Special Survey on the Coronavirus SARS-CoV-2 Outbreak in Germany from 17 to 29 March 2020.]

Variable: kp21_4043a-d		
Waves: 13-21	**Topic**: Corona Crisis	**Item:** Infection
Mode CAWI	**Samples:** A	

Filter:
Presentation:
Multiple selection one below the other; Plausibility check cannot be ignored if "No" is selected with one of the other answer options: The answer "No" cannot be chosen together with other answer options. Please correct your answer."
Question:
Have you or people close to you become infected with coronavirus? Please click on all applicable answer options.

(a)   No.
(b)   Yes, I have become infected.
(c)   Yes, people in my immediate family have become infected.
(d)   Yes, people in my immediate circle of friends have become infected.

Coding:
(0) not mentioned
(1) mentioned

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp21_4044		
Waves: 13-21	**Topic**: Corona Crisis	**Item:** Financial losses
Mode: CAWI	**Samples:** A	

Filter:
Presentation:
Single response list (vertical)
Question:
Did you personally suffer financial losses in the Corona crisis?

(1) No.
(2) Yes, to a small degree.
(3) Yes, to a substantial degree.
(4) yes, to an extent threatening my existence

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp21_050a,e,h,k,l
Waves: 1,5,8,9,14,16-21,a2   **Topic**: General attitudes towards politics   **Item:** Efficacy and duty to vote
Mode: CAWI   **Sample:** A

Filter:
Presentation:
Standard matrix
Intro:
Here are some common statements on politics and society.
Question:
Please state to what extent you agree or disagree with each statement.

(A) Politicians care about what ordinary people think.
(E) Politicians try to get in close contact with the population.
(H) I have the confidence to take active part in a discussion about political issues.
(K) I am perfectly able to understand and assess important political questions.
(L) In a democracy, it is the duty of all citizens to vote regularly in elections.

Coding:
(1) strongly disagree
(2) disagree
(3) neither agree nor disagree
(4) agree
(5) strongly agree

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp21_650x1,k2,y1,l2
Wellen: 1-21,a1,a2   **Topic**: Candidates   **Item:** Scalometer politicians
Mode: CAWI   **Samples:** A

Filter:
Presentation:
Standard matrix; scale: -5 to +5 including zero with numerical label; label of endpoints: -5 "I do not think much of the politician at all", +5 "I think a great deal of the politician"; "haven't heard of"- category is settled out a bit
Question:
Here, you see some leading politicians once again. Please state what you think of these politicians.

(X1) Friedrich Merz
(K2) Lars Klingbeil
(Y1) Jens Spahn
(L2) Karl Lauterbach

Coding:
(1) -5 I do not think much of the person at all
(2) -4
(3) -3
(4) -2
(5) -1
(6) 0
(7) +1
(8) +2
(9) +3
(10) +4
(11) +5 I think a great deal of the person

(-71) haven't heard of [labelled in dataset as "subject unknown"]

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Predispositions

Variable: kp21_2090
Waves: 1-21,a1,a2   **Topic:** Predispositions   **Item:** Party identification
Mode: CAWI   **Samples:** A
Filter:
Presentation:
Single response list (vertical)
Intro:
In Germany, many people lean towards a particular party for a long time, although they may occasionally vote
for a different party.
Question:
How about you, do you in general lean towards a particular party? If so, which one?

- SPD
- CDU/CSU
- CDU
- CSU
- Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- FDP
- AfD
- Die Linke
- other party
- no party

Coding:
(4) SPD
(1) CDU/CSU
(2) CDU
(3) CSU
(6) GRÜNE
(5) FDP
(322) AfD
(7) DIE LINKE
(801) other party

(808) no party

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp21_2091 were recoded into the variables kp21_2090a and kp21_2090b
in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kp21_2091
Waves: 1-21,a1,a2   **Topic:** Predispositions   **Item:** Party identification, other party
Mode: CAWI   **Samples:** A

Filter: kp21_2090=801
Presentation:
Single response list (vertical)

Question:
And which one of these parties do you feel close to?

- BP (Bayernpartei)
- DiB (DEMOKRATIE IN BEWEGUNG)
- Die PARTEI (Partei für Arbeit, Rechtsstaat, Tierschutz, Elitenförderung und basisdemokratische Initiative)
- dieBasis (Basisdemokratische Partei Deutschland)
- FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
- FREIE WÄHLER
- LKR (Liberal-Konservative Reformer)
- NPD (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- ÖDP (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
- PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- Team Todenhöfer (Team Todenhöfer – Die Gerechtigkeitspartei)
- Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)
- Volt (Volt Deutschland)
- WiR2020
- other party

Coding:
(126) BP
(349) DiB
(151) Die PARTEI
(372) dieBasis
(171) FAMILIE
(180) FREIE WAEHLER
(331) LKR
(206) NPD
(209) ödp
(215) PIRATEN
(373) Team Todenhöfer
(237) Tierschutzpartei
(364) Volt
(371) WiR2020
(801) other party

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp21_2090 were recoded into the variables kp21_2090a and kp21_2090b in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kp21_2100
Waves: 1-21,a1,a2   **Topic:** Predisposition   **Item:** Party identification, strength
Mode: CAWI   **Samples:** A

Filter: kp21_2090>0 & <=801
Presentation:
Single response list (vertical)
Question:
All in all, how strongly or weakly do you lean towards this party?

- very strongly
- fairly strongly
- moderately
- fairly weakly
- very weakly

Coding:
(1) very strongly
(2) fairly strongly
(3) moderately
(4) fairly weakly
(5) very weakly

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp21_2095
Waves: 1-21,a1,a2   **Topic**: Predispositions   **Item:** Multiple party identification
Mode: CAWI   **Samples:** A

Filter: kp21_2090=1-801; do not display party named at kp21_2090 anymore. If kp21_2090=1 (CDU/CSU), then show neither response option 2 (CDU) nor response option 3 (CSU). If kp21_2090=2 | 3, then do not display response option 1 at kp21_2150 anymore.
Presentation:

Presentation:
Single response list (vertical)

Question:
Some people lean towards more than one party, while others don't. How about you? Do you lean towards another political party?

- SPD
- CDU/CSU
- CDU
- CSU
- Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- FDP
- AfD
- Die Linke
- other party

- no other party

Coding:
(4) SPD
(1) CDU/CSU
(2) CDU
(3) CSU
(6) GRÜNE
(5) FDP
(322) AfD
(7) DIE LINKE
(801) other party

(808) no other party

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp21_2096 were recoded into the variables kp21_2095a and kp21_2095b in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kp21_2096		
Waves: 1-21,a1,a2	**Topic:** Predispositions	**Item:** Multiple party identification, other party
Mode: CAWI	**Samples:** A	

Filter: kp21_2095=801
Presentation:
Single response list (vertical)
Question:
And which one of these parties do you feel close to?

- BP (Bayernpartei)
- DiB (DEMOKRATIE IN BEWEGUNG)
- Die PARTEI (Partei für Arbeit, Rechtsstaat, Tierschutz, Elitenförderung und basisdemokratische Initiative)
- dieBasis (Basisdemokratische Partei Deutschland)
- FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
- FREIE WÄHLER
- LKR (Liberal-Konservative Reformer)
- NPD (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- ÖDP (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
- PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- Team Todenhöfer (Team Todenhöfer – Die Gerechtigkeitspartei)
- Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)
- Volt (Volt Deutschland)
- WiR2020
- other party

Coding:
(126) BP
(349) DiB
(151) Die PARTEI
(372) dieBasis
(171) FAMILIE
(180) FREIE WAEHLER
(331) LKR
(206) NPD
(209) ödp
(215) PIRATEN
(373) Team Todenhöfer
(237) Tierschutzpartei
(364) Volt
(371) WiR2020
(801) other party

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

[NOTE I: This variable and the variables kp21_2095 were recoded into the variables kp21_2095a and kp21_2095b in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kp21_2101		
Waves: 1-21,a1,a2	**Topic:** Predispositions	**Item:** Multiple party identification, strength
Mode: CAWI	**Samples:** A	

Filter: kp21_2095=1-801
Presentation:
Single response list (vertical)
Question:
All in all, how strongly or weakly do you lean towards this party?

- very strongly
- fairly strongly
- moderately
- fairly weakly
- very weakly

Coding:
(1) very strongly
(2) fairly strongly
(3) moderately
(4) fairly weakly
(5) very weakly

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp21_5000a-c		
Waves: 1,[2],a1,9,11,21,a2	**Topic:** Predispositions	**Item:** National identity as social identity
Mode: CAWI/PAPI	**Sample:** A	

Presentation:
Standard matrix
Question:
Please state whether the statements apply to you or not.

(A) Being German is very important to me.
(B) When talking about the Germans, I say "we" more often than "they".
(C) The adjective "German" suits me very well.

Coding:
(1) does not apply at all
(2) rather does not apply
(3) neither applies nor does not apply
(4) rather applies
(5) applies completely

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp21_5010a-c,h-j		
Waves: 1,a1,9,11,21,a2	**Topic:** Predispositions	**Item:** Form of national identity
Mode: CAWI/PAPI	**Sample:** A	

Filter:
Presentation:
Standard matrix; A-E screen 1, F-J screen 2
Question screen 1:
Some people say that the following things are important for being truly German. Others say they are not important. How important do you think each of the following is…

(A) …to have been born in Germany.
(B) …to have German ancestry.
(C) …to have lived in Germany for all of one's life.

Question screen 2:
And how important are the following things to be truly German? How important do you think each of the following is…

(H) … to have democratic convictions.
(I) … to attend to one's civic duties.
(J) … to treat people of all backgrounds equally.

Coding:
(1) not important at all
(2) not very important
(3) in between
(4) somewhat important
(5) very important

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp21_2200a-e
Waves: 3,a1,9,11,16,21   **Topic**: Predispositions   **Item:** Attachment battery
Mode: CAWI   **Sample:** A

Filter:
Presentation:
Standard matrix
Intro:
People feel attached to Germany, Europe, their state and their community to a different degree.
Question:
How about you? How strongly do you feel attached to…?

(A) the community you live in?
(B) the state you live in?
(C) Germany?
(D) the European Union?
(E) Europe?

Coding:
(1) not attached at all
(2) less attached
(3) moderately
(4) rather attached
(5) strongly attached

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp21_1483a-h
Waves: 2,a1,10,21   **Topic**: Predispositions   **Item:** Foreign policy orientations
Mode: CAWI/PAPI   **Sample:** A

Filter:
Presentation:
Standard matrix; items are split on two screens; column labels according to coding labels
Intro:
Now some statements about Germany's foreign and security policy.
Question screen 1:
Please state whether you agree or disagree with the following statements.

(A) The use of military force is never justified.
(B) Germany shouldn't deal with global issues but should focus on its domestic problems.
(C) On foreign policy issues, Germany should act in harmony with the USA.
(D) In international crises, Germany and its allies should agree on a common position.

Question screen 2:
Please state whether you agree or disagree with the following statements.

(E) Germany should play a more active role in global politics.
(F) War is sometimes necessary to protect a country's interests.
(G) Germany should take care of its security primarily on its own.
(H) Germany should pursue its interests vis-à-vis the USA with more self-confidence.

Coding:
(1) strongly disagree
(2) disagree
(3) neither agree nor disagree
(4) agree
(5) strongly agree

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp22_1484a-f

Waves: 21	**Topic**: Predispositions	**Item:** Country/Politician scalometer
Mode: CAWI **Sample:** A, B, C		

Filter:
Presentation:
Standard matrix; scale: -5 to +5 including zero with numerical label; label of endpoints: -5 " I do not think anything of it at all ", and +5 " I think a lot of it ";"don't know"- category is settled out a bit
Question:
In general, what do you think of the following countries and politicians?
Hinweistext:
Please describe this with a scale from -5 to +5.

(A) United States of America
(B) China
(C) Russia
(D) Vladimir Putin
(E) Joe Biden
(F) Donald Trump

Coding:
(1) -5 I do not think anything of it at all
(2) -4
(3) -3
(4) -2
(5) -1
(6) 0
(7) +1
(8) +2
(9) +3
(10) +4
(11) +5 I think a lot of it

(-71) haven't heard of [labelled in dataset as "subject unknown"]

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp21_2250
Waves: 2,6,9,12,14,15,21,a1 **Topic**: Predispositions   **Item:** Fairness social order
Mode: CAWI   **Sample:** A

Filter:
Presentation:
Single response list (vertical)
Question:
Let's talk about the state of society in Germany as a whole. How just or unjust would you say things are generally going?

- very just
- just
- neither just nor unjust
- unjust
- very unjust

Coding:
(1) very just
(2) just
(3) neither just nor unjust
(4) unjust
(5) very unjust

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp21_2270
Waves: 2,6,9,12,14,15,21,a1   **Topic**: Predispositions   **Item:** Fairness, ego
Mode: CAWI   **Sample:** A

Filter:
Presentation:
Single response list (vertical)
Question:
In comparison to how other people live here in Germany, do you think that you get a fair share or not?

- a lot less than the fair share
- a bit less than the fair share
- the fair share
- a bit more than the fair share
- a lot more than the fair share

Coding:
(1) a lot more than the fair share
(2) a bit more than the fair share
(3) the fair share
(4) a bit less than the fair share
(5) a lot less than the fair share

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Sociodemography

Variable: kp21_2320
Waves: 1,9,21,a1,a2 | **Topic:** Sociodemography | **Item:** School leaving certificate
Mode: CAWI | **Sample:** A

Filter:
Presentation:
must answer; single response list (vertical); plausibility check: Please note that an answer to this question is mandatory for continuing the survey. If you have acquired your school leaving certificate outside of Germany, please state the respective German certificate.
Question:
What's your highest level of general education?

- Finished school without school leaving certificate
- Lowest formal qualification of Germany's tripartite secondary school system, after 8 or 9 years of schooling ("Hauptschulabschluss, Volksschulabschluss")
- Intermediary secondary qualification, after 10 years of schooling ("Mittlere Reife, Realschulabschluss, or Polytechnische Oberschule mit Abschluss 10. Klasse")
- Certificate fulfilling entrance requirements to study at a polytechnical college ("Fachhochschulreife (Abschluss einer Fachoberschule etc.)")
- Higher qualification, entitling holders to study at a university ("Abitur or Erweiterte Oberschule mit Abschluss 12. Klasse (Hochschulreife)")

- Still at school

Coding:
(1) Finished school without school leaving certificate
(2) Lowest formal qualification of Germany's tripartite secondary school system, after 8 or 9 years of schooling ("Hauptschulabschluss, Volksschulabschluss")
(3) Intermediary secondary qualification, after 10 years of schooling ("Mittlere Reife, Realschulabschluss or Polytechnische Oberschule mit Abschluss 10. Klasse")
(4) Certificate fulfilling entrance requirements to study at a polytechnical college/university of applied sciences ("Fachhochschulreife (Abschluss einer Fachoberschule etc.)")
(5) Higher qualification, entitling holders to study at a university ("Abitur or Erweiterte Oberschule mit Abschluss 12. Klasse (Hochschulreife)")
(9) Still at school

(-95) not participated

Quality of Data

Variable: kp21_4240
Waves: 1-21,a1,a2   **Topic:** Quality of Data   **Item:** Rating of survey
Mode: CAWI   **Samples:** A
Filter:
Presentation:
Single response list (vertical)

Question:
How would you rate our survey overall?

- very good
- good
- neither good nor bad
- bad
- very bad

Coding:
(1) very good
(2) good
(3) neither good nor bad
(4) bad
(5) very bad

(-93) Not asked, terminated
(-95) Not participated
(-99) No answer

Variable: kp21_4270s
Waves: 1-21,a1,a2   **Topic:** Quality of Data   **Item:** Comment, open
Mode: CAWI   **Samples:** A
Filter:
Presentation:
Text field (four rows) with optical 50 characters per row, no maximum limit of characters
Intro:
Finally, we would like to give you the possibility to comment on our today's survey.

Question:
Do you have comments or suggestions concerning the survey or particular questions?

_____

Coding:

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer