Original Article

Combined impact of risk factors on the subsequent development of hypertension

Yuli Huang^{a,b,*}, Zhihui Deng^{a,*}, Zhen Se^a, Yujia Bai^a, Chuanjie Yan^c, Qiong Zhan^a, Qingchun Zeng^a, Ping Ouyang^c, Meng Dai^c, and Dingli Xu^a

Objectives: This study aimed to develop a cumulative score composed of seven risk factors: age, resting heart rate, overweight or obesity, dyslipidemia, hyperuricemia, impaired glucose regulation, and impaired estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR), to evaluate the risk of new-onset hypertension.

Methods: We retrospectively conducted a cohort study in 23 665 participants free from hypertension at baseline, who attended at least two annual health examinations between 2011 and 2016. We defined hypertension as SBP of 140 mmHg or less and/or DBP of at least 90 mmHg, according to the 2010 Chinese guidelines for the management of hypertension. We computed a composite, individual-level cumulative score incorporating all seven risk factors (no = 0 point; yes = 1 point; total range 0–7 points). Cox regression was used to analyze the association between cumulative score and risk of hypertension.

Results: A total of 2305 participants developed hypertension during a median follow-up period of 3.6 years. Compared with participants with 0 points, the adjusted hazard ratios (95% confidence intervals) for the development of hypertension for those with 2, 3, and at least 4 points were 1.61 (1.29–2.02), 2.05 (1.64–2.57) and 2.77 (2.22–3.46), respectively (*P* trend < 0.001). This association was present after adjustment for sex and baseline blood pressure.

Conclusion: Age, resting heart rate, overweight or obesity, dyslipidemia, hyperuricemia, impaired glucose regulation, and impaired eGFR were associated with significant risk of new-onset hypertension and when combined there was an accumulation of risk.

Keywords: heart rate, hypertension, hyperuricemia, risk factor

Abbreviations: Cls, confidence intervals; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; FPG, fasting plasma glucose; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; RHR, resting heart rate

INTRODUCTION

ypertension is a common problem worldwide and a major risk factor for cardiovascular disease. In 2014, the prevalence of hypertension was 27.8% and there are 292 million hypertensive patients in China,

representing an absolute increase of 139 million since 2002. Despite efforts to improve its detection and antihypertensive treatment, just 9.7% of hypertensive patients have adequately controlled blood pressure [1]. Identifying high-risk, nonhypertensive individuals with multiple risk factors at an early stage is critical to preventing the progression of hypertension. It is recognized that age [2,3], resting heart rate (RHR) [4,5], overweight or obesity [6,7], dyslipidemia [8,9], hyperuricemia [10,11], impaired glucose regulation [12,13], and estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) [14] are all independent risk factors for the development of hypertension. However, it is not clear whether these risk factors are additive with respect to the risk of new-onset hypertension. Therefore, we aimed to develop a cumulative score composed of these seven risk factors (age, RHR, overweight or obesity, dyslipidemia, hyperuricemia, impaired glucose regulation, and impaired eGFR) and to evaluate the relationship between the clustering of risk factors and the risk of hypertension.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study population

A total of 28 923 participants (15 570 men and 13 353 women) aged at least 18 years, who underwent routine annual health examinations between October 2011 and August 2016 at Nanfang Hospital Health Management Center in Guangzhou, Guangdong Province, China, were recruited. The participants came from all social backgrounds, including teachers, professional technical personnel, civil servants, and administrative staff. We excluded 1100 individuals with incomplete data and 3028 individuals with SBP of at least 140 mmHg and/or a DBP of at least 90 mmHg or with antihypertensive medicine. We also excluded 1130

Journal of Hypertension 2019, 37:696-701

^aState Key Laboratory of Organ Failure Research, Department of Cardiology, Nanfang Hospital, Southern Medical University, Guangzhou, ^bDepartment of Cardiology, Shunde Hospital, Southern Medical University, Foshan and ^cDepartment of Health Management, Nanfang Hospital, Southern Medical University, Guangzhou, PR China

Correspondence to Dingli Xu, Department of Cardiology, Nanfang Hospital, Southern Medical University, Guangzhou, PR China. Tel: +86 20 61641493; fax: +86 20 61360416; e-mail: dinglixu@fimmu.com

*Yuli Huang and Zhihui Deng are contributed equally to this work.

Received 10 July 2018 Accepted 3 September 2018

J Hypertens 37:696–701 Copyright © 2018 Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. All rights reserved.

DOI:10.1097/HJH.000000000001956

individuals who only participated in 2011 and only included individuals that were examined more than once. Consequently, the study population for analysis consisted of 23665 participants. The institutional review boards at the participating institutions (Nanfang Hospital, Southern Medical University) approved the study protocol. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

Measurements and definitions

The annual heath examination included a physical examination, anthropometric measurements, electrocardiography, blood pressure measurement and blood biochemical measurements. BMI was calculated as mass in kilograms divided by the square of height in meters. Participants were asked to rest in a quiet room at least 10 min and not allowed to smoke, drink coffee, alcohol, or tea, or to exercise within 30 min of the RHR and blood pressure measurements. A 12-lead electrocardiogram was used to measure RHR. Right brachial artery blood pressure was measured twice at a 2 min interval by a fully automatic sphygmomanometer (Omron, HEM-7200; China). The mean of two blood pressure measurements was used for data analysis. Blood samples were collected from the elbow vein by trained nurses on the morning after an overnight fast of at least 8 h. Serum creatinine, uric acid, fasting plasma glucose (FPG), high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C), total cholesterol, and triglyceride were measured using an automated biochemical analyzer. Low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) was calculated using the Friedewald equation [15]. eGFR was calculated using the Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration (CKD-EPI) equation [16].

Overweight and obesity were defined by BMIs of 24-27.9 kg/m² and at least 28 kg/m², respectively, according to Chinese criteria [17]. Impaired glucose regulation was defined by the presence of impaired fasting glucose (5.6 mmol/l \leq FPG < 7.0 mmol/l) and/or diabetes mellitus >7.0 mmol/l), in accordance with the American Diabetes Association criteria [18]. Hyperuricemia was defined as uric acid greater than 420 \mu mol/l in men and 360 \mu mol/l in women. Dyslipidemia was defined as total cholesterol at least 5.2 mmol/l and/or triglyceride at least 1.7 mmol/l and/or LDL at least 3.4 mmol/l and/or HDL less than 1.0 mmol/l. On the basis of the 2010 Chinese guidelines for the management of hypertension [19], incident hypertension was recorded at the first follow-up health check at which a participant had an SBP at least 140 mmHg and/or a DBP at least 90 mmHg.

Statistical analysis

The study participants were divided into two groups according to whether hypertension developed or not, and their baseline characteristics are presented as means and standard deviations (SDs) or percentages. Differences in values or percentages between the two groups were compared using the unpaired Student's *t*-test or the χ^2 test. The seven nominated risk factors [age, RHR, overweight or obesity, dyslipidemia, hyperuricemia, impaired glucose regulation, and impaired eGFR (<90 ml/min per 1.73 m²)] were included in Cox regression analysis to analyze their independent influences on the development of hypertension, and were adjusted for sex and baseline SBP, and DBP. Given that the average age and resting heart rate of those

who became hypertensive were 45 years and 80 bpm, respectively, we used these as cut-off values in the Cox regression model. We used a binary score for each factor and participants were assigned one point for the presence of each risk factor at baseline, then we added the points to yield a cumulative score of 0-7 points. According to the cumulative score, participants were placed into five categories: those with 0, 1, 2, 3, or at least 4 points. The number of person-years of follow-up was determined from the date of enrolment to the date hypertension was first recorded or the date of the last complete follow-up examination. Further Cox regression analysis was used to compute hazard ratios and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for the incidence of hypertension in the five groups after adjusting for confounding factors (sex and baseline SBP and DBP). Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 23.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, New York, USA). All reported P values were two-tailed and P less than 0.05 was considered to represent statistically significance.

RESULTS

After a mean of follow-up period of 3.6 years 2502 participants (1903 men and 599 women) had developed hypertension. One thousand, three hundred and seventy-eight participants (993 men and 385 women) and 550 participants (437 men and 113 women), developed high SBP or DBP alone, respectively, whereas 574 participants (473 men and 101 women) developed high SBP and DBP.

The baseline characteristics of participants who were or not diagnosed with new-onset hypertension during the follow-up period are presented in Table 1. Participants who developed hypertension during the follow-up period were more likely to be men, to be older, to have higher BMI and baseline SBP and DBP, and to have lower eGFR and

TABLE 1. Baseline characteristics of study population with and without hypertension during follow-up

	Without new-onset hypertension (n = 21 163)	With new-onset hypertension (n = 2502)
Age (year)	34.8 ± 9.8	$44.9 \pm 13.5^*$
Sex [male (%)]	10857 (51.3)	1903 (76.1)
BMI (kg/m²)	22.5 ± 3.1	$24.9 \pm 3.2^*$
SBP (mmHg)	114.3 ± 11.6	$126.8 \pm 8.9^*$
DBP (mmHg)	69.8 ± 7.9	$77.8 \pm 7.4^*$
Resting heart rate (beats/min)	78.9 ± 11.4	79.7 ± 11.6 [#]
Serum creatinine (µmol/l)	66.7 ± 16.1	$72.3 \pm 16.9^*$
eGFR (ml/min per 1.73 m ²)	112.8 ± 14.3	$103.7 \pm 15.4^*$
Urid acid (µmol/l)	346.9 ± 93.7	$392.4 \pm 97.5^*$
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l)	4.7 ± 0.7	$5.0 \pm 1.1^*$
LDL-C (mmol/l)	2.9 ± 0.7	$3.1 \pm 0.8^*$
HDL-C (mmol/l)	1.5 ± 0.4	$1.4 \pm 0.4^{*}$
TC (mmol/l)	5.0 ± 1.0	$5.3 \pm 1.1^*$
TG (mmol/l)	1.3 ± 1.2	$1.9 \pm 1.8^{*}$
Follow-up period [median (IQR)], years	2.4 (2.2)	3.1 (1.2)

Values are the mean \pm SD or the number of participants eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; SBP, SBP; TC, total cholesterol; TG, triglyceride.

*P < 0.01 vs. 'without new-onset hypertension' group

P < 0.001 vs. 'without new-onset hypertension' group.

TABLE 2. Assignment for each risk factor and its prevalence

		Nur	Number and prevalence in study		
Risk factors	Assigned point	Male	Female	Overall	
Age at least 45 years	1	2808 (22.0%)*	1733 (15.9%)	4541 (19.2%)	
Resting heart rate at least 80 bpm	1	4676 (36.6%)*	5964 (54.7%)	10615 (45.0%)	
Overweight or obesity	1	6037 (47.3%)*	1864 (17.1%)	7901 (33.4%)	
Dyslipidemia	1	7660 (60.0%)*	4076 (37.4%)	11736 (49.6%)	
Hyperuricemia	1	4965 (38.9%)*	1403 (12.9%)	6368 (26.9%)	
Impaired glucose regulation	1	970 (7.6%)*	480 (4.4%)	1450 (6.1%)	
eGFR less than 90 ml/min/1.73 m ²	1	1551 (12.2%)*	383 (3.5%)	1934 (8.2%)	

^{*}P < 0.001 vs. female.

HDL-C. In addition, the mean values of RHR, serum creatinine, uric acid, FPG, LDL-C, total cholesterol, and triglyceride in the participants diagnosed with new-onset hypertension were significantly higher than those who did not develop hypertension.

In this study $10\,\dot{6}15$ (45%) and 4541 (19.2%) participants had an RHR of greater than 80 bpm and were older than 45 years, respectively (Table 2). Of the participants, 7901 (33.4%) were overweight or obese. Serum lipid, uric acid, and FPG concentrations were not within the recommended range in 50, 27, and 7% of participants, respectively. At baseline, less than 9% of participants had an eGFR of less than 90 ml/min per $1.73\,\mathrm{m}^2$. The prevalence of all the risk factors were higher in men than women (P < 0.001).

Table 3 lists the hazard ratios for incident hypertension associated with the presence of specific baseline risk factors. Participants with an RHR greater than 80 bpm or who were over 45 years old had a risk of hypertension that was 72 and 12% greater, respectively. There was a 14% increase in risk for participants who were overweight or obese. Dyslipidemia, hyperuricemia, and impaired glucose regulation were also risk factors for new-onset hypertension. In this analysis, an eGFR less than 90 ml/min per 1.73 m² was also a significant

risk factor (hazard ratio: 1.35, 95% CI 1.21–1.51, P < 0.001), after adjustment for sex and baseline SBP and DBP.

The incidence of hypertension increased with the cumulative score (Table 4). It was substantially higher in participants with at least 4 points (101.51 in 1000 person-years) than in those with 0 points (10.96 in 1000 person-years). The relationship between higher incidence and high cumulative score was also present in participants when they were analyzed according to sex. There was a strong, graded relationship between incident hypertension and cumulative score. After adjustment for sex and baseline SBP and DBP, the hazard ratios (CIs) for incident hypertension for participants with 2, 3, and at least 4 points were 1.61 (1.29– 2.02), 2.05 (1.64–2.57), and 2.77 (2.22–3.46), respectively (P trend < 0.001) compared with those with 0 points. Each additional point was associated with a 31% higher risk of hypertension. This dose-response relationship was observed in men and women separately after adjustment.

DISCUSSION

In this retrospective study of participants from southern China, the main finding was that a cumulative score

TABLE 3. Correlation of risk factors at baseline and incidence of hypertension

	Case	Person-year	Incidence rate*	Unadjusted hazard ratio (95% CI)	Adjusted ^a hazard ratio (95% CI)
Age at least 45	years				
No	1299	45 839.14	28.34	1	1
Yes	1203	13 025.50	92.36	2.91 (2.69-3.15)	1.72 (1.58-1.87)
Resting heart rat	te at least 80 bpm				
No	1342	32 833.14	40.87	1	1
Yes	1160	26 031.50	44.56	1.22 (1.13-1.32)	1.12 (1.03-1.22)
Overweight/obes	sity				
No	989	37 693.98	26.24	1	1
Yes	1513	21 170.66	71.47	2.35 (2.17-2.55)	1.14 (1.05-1.24)
Dyslipidemia					
No	758	28 798.64	26.32	1	1
Yes	1744	30 066.00	58.01	2.13 (1.95-2.32)	1.12 (1.03-1.23)
Hyperuricemia					
No	1492	43 358.52	34.41	1	1
Yes	1010	15 506.12	65.14	1.73 (1.60–1.88)	1.15 (1.06–1.25)
Impaired glucose	e regulation				
No	2090	54831.22	38.12	1	1
Yes	412	4033.42	102.15	2.45 (2.21-2.73)	1.17 (1.05–1.31)
	eGFR less than 90 ml/min per 1.73 m ²				
No	2067	54 101.60	37.70	1	1
Yes	435	4763.04	91.33	2.54 (2.29–2.81)	1.35 (1.21–1.51)

^aAdjusted for sex and baseline SBP and DBP. eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate.

TABLE 4. Risk for hypertension according to the accumulative scores

Accumulative scores	Cases	Incidence rate ^a	Unadjusted hazard ratio (95% CI)	P	Adjusted ^b hazard ratio (95% CI)	P
All participants	_	_		_		
o '	91	10.96	1.00	_	1.00	_
1	294	17.38	1.66 (1.31-2.10)	< 0.001	1.16 (0.92-1.47)	0.206
2	528	35.68	3.29 (2.63-4.11)	< 0.001	1.61 (1.29-2.02)	< 0.001
3	666	63.48	5.42 (4.35-6.74)	< 0.001	2.05 (1.64-2.57)	< 0.001
≥4	923	110.51	9.22 (7.43-11.44)	< 0.001	2.77 (2.22-3.46)	< 0.001
P trend			< 0.001		< 0.001	
Per one point increase			1.74 (1.68-1.80)		1.32 (1.27-1.36)	
Males						
0	59	17.92	1.00	_	1.00	_
1	205	28.30	1.66 (1.24–2.22)	0.001	1.21 (0.91–1.62)	0.193
2	402	46.19	2.71 (2.06-3.56)	< 0.001	1.59 (1.21–2.10)	0.001
3	513	67.32	3.84 (2.94-5.03)	< 0.001	1.88 (1.43-2.47)	< 0.001
≥4	724	109.68	6.29 (4.82-8.20)	< 0.001	2.58 (1.97-3.39)	< 0.001
P trend			< 0.001		< 0.001	
Per one point increase			1.55 (1.49-1.62)		1.28 (1.22-1.33)	
Females						
0	32	6.39	1.00	_	1.00	_
1	89	9.20	1.53 (1.02–2.29)	0.040	1.05 (0.70-1.58)	0.813
2	126	20.68	3.47 (2.36–5.12)	< 0.001	1.54 (1.04–2.29)	0.032
3	153	53.29	8.19 (5.59–11.00)	< 0.001	2.51 (1.69–3.72)	< 0.001
≥4	199	113.61	16.17 (11.12–23.51)	< 0.001	3.16 (2.12-4.69)	< 0.001
P trend			< 0.001		< 0.001	
Per one point increase			2.14 (2.00–2.28)		1.41 (1.31–1.52)	

indicative of the presence or absence of seven risk factors (age, RHR, overweight or obesity, dyslipidemia, hyperuricemia, impaired glucose regulation, and eGFR <90 ml/min per 1.73 m²) at baseline was associated with the risk of newonset hypertension. There was a strong, graded relationship between higher cumulative score and a greater risk of developing hypertension. In addition, higher scores in men and women were individually associated with progressively higher incidences of hypertension. This score may be of clinical value for the identification of nonhypertensive individuals who are at high risk of developing hypertension, in order to prevent its development, and suggests that the clustering of multiple risk factors plays an important role in the pathogenesis of hypertension.

Previous cross-sectional studies have demonstrated that hypertension occurs in combination with other risk factors. In a study of 3227 Han and Mongolian participants, a doseresponse relationship was identified between the number of risk factors present and hypertension. Specifically, the odd ratios (ORs) for hypertension increased as the number of risk factors increased [20]. In another study of 176740 men and women, Li and colleagues showed that clustering of risk factors was associated with higher BP [21]. However, the cross-sectional design of these studies does not allow exploration of the causal relationships between risk factor clustering and the development of hypertension.

To the best of our knowledge, only a few studies have shown an association between the clustering of risk factors and incident hypertension. However, a retrospective study constituting 4857 normotensive individuals showed that clustering of risk factors significantly predicted the development of hypertension. Participants with four or more risk factors, including a family history of hypertension, obesity, diabetes, hypercholesterolemia, and hypertriglyceridemia,

had a 4.86-fold higher risk of developing hypertension [22]. In addition, a longitudinal study of 3784 male Japanese office workers documented that compared with the presence of no risk factors (obesity, hypercholesterolemia, low HDL-C, hypertriglyceridemia, high FPG, hyperuricemia, and high white blood cell count), the relative risk of hypertension was 1.41, 1.64, 1.93, 2.01, and 3.34 for participants with 1, 2, 3, 4 and at least 5 risk factors, respectively [23]. However, these studies did not include eGFR and RHR in their analyses. We have extended these previous findings by adding these additional risk factors for hypertension to our analysis.

Renal mechanisms, such as greater norepinephrine secretion and salt sensitivity have been demonstrated to cause or accelerate hypertension [24,25]. In the REACTION Study, individuals with impaired eGFR (<90 ml/min per 1.73 m²) had a higher risk of hypertension compared with those who had normal eGFR (\geq 90 ml/min per 1.73 m²) [26]. Takase et al. [14] showed that baseline eGFR independently predicted the onset of hypertension: a reduction in eGFR of 10 ml/min per 1.73 m² was associated with an 11% increase in the risk of developing hypertension. Our study also demonstrated that a baseline eGFR of less than 90 ml/ min per 1.73 m² is a predictor of incident hypertension after adjustment.

RHR is simply measured on an electrocardiogram, an essential component of a health check. Epidemiologic studies of either the general or hypertensive population have found significantly greater all-cause and cardiovascular mortality risk is associated with an RHR of 76–84 bpm or more [27–31]. Some studies have also evaluated the association between RHR and the risk of progression to hypertension. The Kailuan cohort study conducted in China indicated that an increase in RHR of 10 bpm was associated

^alncidence rate per 1000 person-years. ^bAdjusted for sex (except where sex-stratified), baseline SBP and DBP.

with an 8% increase in new-onset hypertension [32]. Prospective studies in other locations have also shown that RHR is an independent risk factor for incident hypertension [33–36]. The statement from European Society of Hypertension defined RHR to be high when it is greater than 80–85 bpm and recommended that RHR be measured as part of the overall assessment of the hypertensive patient [37]. Our results are consistent with this statement and show that RHR plays an important role in predicting the risk of hypertension.

As baseline blood pressure is the strongest predictor of incident hypertension [38,39], the impact of the clustering of risk factors on the development of hypertension may be masked by high baseline blood pressure. However, in our study, the association between higher cumulative score and higher risk of developing hypertension persisted even after accounting for baseline blood pressure. This indicates that the presence of a number of risk factors increases the risk of the patient subsequently developing hypertension irrespective of baseline blood pressure classification, and that appropriate risk stratification and risk factor control are required to prevent hypertension, even in currently normotensive patients.

Compared with previous studies, a strength of the present study is that the cohort population was relatively large. Another strength is that all the participants were examined in the same location and all the blood samples were analyzed using the same devices and reagents each year. However, our study has some potential limitations. First, because of its retrospective design, we cannot rule out the possibility that other known risk factors for hypertension may affect our results, such as plasma insulin level, family history of hypertension, smoking, heavy drinking, and socioeconomic status. Thus, further prospective studies taking account of these factors are necessary to confirm our findings. Thirdly, the study data might be skewed because the participants likely represent the healthier portion of the general southern Chinese population who participate in regular health checks, and may therefore, not be representative of all Chinese people. In addition, masked hypertension may not be detected as incident hypertension was defined by a single measurement of blood pressure, which was repeated at follow-up. It should be assessed using either self-monitoring of blood pressure by the patients at home or ambulatory BP monitoring in future study.

In conclusion, our findings demonstrate that a higher cumulative score created from the presence or absence of seven risk factors (age ${\ge}45$ years, RHR ${\ge}80\,\mathrm{bpm}$, overweight or obesity, dyslipidemia, hyperuricemia, impaired FPG, and eGFR less than $90\,\mathrm{ml/min}$ per $1.73\,\mathrm{m}^2)$ is associated with a higher risk of incident hypertension. Early identification of high-risk individuals with multiple risk factors may be crucial for the prevention of future hypertension, irrespective of their baseline blood pressure.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

- Li Y, Yang L, Wang L, Huang Z, Deng Q, Zhou M, et al. Burden of hypertension in China: a nationally representative survey of 174,621 adults. Int J Cardiol 2017; 227:516–523.
- Rywik SL, Williams OD, Pajak A, Broda G, Davis CE, Kawalec E, et al. Incidence and correlates of hypertension in the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) study and the Monitoring Trends and Determinants of Cardiovascular Disease (POL-MONICA) project. J Hypertens 2000; 18:999–1006.
- 3. Thawornchaisit P, Looze FD, Reid CM, Seubsman S, Sleigh AC, Thai Cohort Study Team*. Health risk factors and the incidence of hypertension: 4-year prospective findings from a national cohort of 60 569 Thai Open University students. *BMJ Open* 2013; 3:e2826.
- Kim JR, Kiefe CI, Liu K, Williams OD, Jacobs DR, Oberman A. Heart rate and subsequent blood pressure in young adults The CARDIA Study. *Hypertension* 1999; 33:640–646.
- 5. Aladin AI, Rifai MA, Rasool SH, Keteyian SJ, Brawner CA, Michos ED. The association of resting heart rate and incident hypertension: the Henry Ford Hospital Exercise Testing (FIT) Project. *Am J Hypertens* 2016; 29:251–257.
- Tsujimoto T, Sairenchi T, Iso H, Irie F, Yamagishi K, Tanaka K, et al. Impact of obesity on incident hypertension independent of weight gain among nonhypertensive Japanese: the Ibaraki Prefectural Health Study (IPHS). J Hypertens 2012; 30:1122–1128.
- Shihab HM, Meoni LA, Chu AY, Wang NY, Ford DE, Liang KY, Gallo JJ, et al. Body mass index and risk of incident hypertension over the life course: the Johns Hopkins Precursors Study. Circulation 2012; 126:2983–2989.
- 8. Halperin RO, Sesso HD, Ma J, Buring JE, Stampfer MJ, Gaziano JM. Dyslipidemia and the risk of incident hypertension in men. *Hypertension* 2006; 47:45–50.
- Laaksonen DE, Niskanen L, Nyyssönen K, Lakka TA, Laukkanen JA, Salonen JT. Dyslipidaemia as a predictor of hypertension in middleaged men. Eur Heart J 2008; 29:2561–2568.
- Sundström J, Sullivan L, D'Agostino RB, Levy D, Kannel WB, Vasan RS. Relations of serum uric acid to longitudinal blood pressure tracking and hypertension incidence. *Hypertension* 2005; 45:28–33.
- Krishnan E, Kwoh CK, Schumacher HR, Kuller L. Hyperuricemia and incidence of hypertension among men without metabolic syndrome. *Hypertension* 2007; 49:298–303.
- Morio M, Inoue M, Inoue K, Akimoto K. Impaired fasting glucose as an independent risk factor for hypertension among healthy middle-aged Japanese subjects with optimal blood pressure: the Yuport Medical Checkup Centre retrospective cohort study. *Diabetol Metab Syndr* 2013; 5:81.
- Talaei M, Sadeghi M, Mohammadifard N, Shokouh P, Oveisgharan S, Sarrafzadegan N. Incident hypertension and its predictors: the Isfahan Cohort Study. J Hypertens 2014; 32:30–38.
- 14. Takase H, Dohi Y, Toriyama T, Okado T, Tanaka S, Sonoda H, Kimura G. Evaluation of risk for incident hypertension using glomerular filtration rate in the normotensive general population. *J Hypertens* 2012; 30:505–512.
- Friedewald WT, Levy RI, Fredrickson DS. Estimation of the concentration of low-density lipoprotein cholesterol in plasma, without use of the preparative ultracentrifuge. Clin Chem 1972; 18:499–502.
- Levey AS, Stevens LA, Schmid CH, Zhang YL, Castro AF 3rd, Feldman HI. A new equation to estimate glomerular filtration rate. *Ann Intern* Med 2009: 150:604–612.
- 17. Zhou B, Coorperative Meta-Analysis Group Of China Obesity Task Force. Predictive values of body mass index and waist circumference to risk factors of related diseases in Chinese adult population. *Zhonghua Liu Xing Bing Xue Za Zhi* 2002; 23:5–10.
- Ondiagnosis EC. Report of the expert committee on the diagnosis and classification of diabetes mellitus. *Diabetes Care* 2003; 20:1183– 1197.
- Liu LS, Writing Group of 2010 Chinese Guidelines for the Management of Hypertension. 2010 Chinese guidelines for the management of hypertension. Zhonghua Xin Xue Guan Bing Za Zhi 2011; 39:579– 615
- Li G, Guo G, Wang W, Wang K, Wang H, Dong F, et al. Association of prehypertension and cardiovascular risk factor clustering in Inner Mongolia: a cross-sectional study. BMJ Open 2017; 7:e015340.

- 21. Li Y, Feng X, Zhang M, Zhou M, Wang N, Wang L. Clustering of cardiovascular behavioral risk factors and blood pressure among people diagnosed with hypertension: a nationally representative survey in China. Sci Rep 2016; 6:27627.
- 22. Tozawa M, Iseki K, Iseki C, Oshiro S, Higashiuesato Y, Ikemiya Y, *et al.* Impact of multiple risk factor clustering on the elevation of blood pressure. *Hypertens Res* 2002; 25:811–816.
- Nakanishi N, Suzuki K, Tatara K. Clustering of cardiovascular risk factors and risk of development of hypertension in Japanese male office workers. J Cardiovasc Risk 2003; 10:213–220.
- 24. Campese VM. Neurogenic factors and hypertension in chronic renal failure. *J Nephrol* 1997; 10:184–187.
- 25. Kimura G, Dohi Y, Fukuda M. Salt sensitivity and circadian rhythm of blood pressure: the keys to connect CKD with cardiovascular events. *Hypertens Res* 2010; 33:515–520.
- 26. Lu J, Mu Y, Su Q, Shi L, Liu C, Zhao J, et al., REACTION Study Group. Reduced kidney function is associated with cardiometabolic risk factors, prevalent and predicted risk of cardiovascular disease in Chinese adults: results from the REACTION Study. J Am Heart Assoc 2016; 5:; pii: e003328.
- 27. Woodward M, Webster R, Murakami Y, Barzi F, Lam TH, Fang X, et al. The association between resting heart rate, cardiovascular disease and mortality: evidence from 112,680 men and women in 12 cohorts. Eur J Prev Cardiol 2014; 21:719–726.
- 28. Hsia J, Larson JC, Ockene JK, Sarto GE, Allison MA, Hendrix SL. Resting heart rate as a low tech predictor of coronary events in women: prospective cohort study. *BMJ* 2009; 338:b219.
- Saxena A, Minton D, Lee DC, Sui X, Fayad R, Lavie CJ, Blair SN. Protective role of resting heart rate on all-cause and cardiovascular disease mortality. *Mayo Clin Proc* 2013; 88:1420–1426.
- 30. Paul L, Hastie CE, Li WS, Harrow C, Muir S, Connell JM, et al. Resting heart rate pattern during follow-up and mortality in hypertensive patients. *Hypertension* 2010; 55:567–574.
- 31. Okin PM, Kjeldsen SE, Julius S, Hille DA, Dahlof B, Edelman JM, Devereux RB. All-cause and cardiovascular mortality in relation to

- changing heart rate during treatment of hypertensive patients with electrocardiographic left ventricular hypertrophy. *Eur Heart J* 2010; 31:2271–2279
- 32. Wang A, Liu X, Guo X, Dong FR Y. Wu Y, Huang Z. Resting heart rate and risk of hypertension: results of the Kailuan cohort study. *J Hypertens* 2014; 32:1600–1605.
- Kim JR, Kiefe CI, Liu K, Williams OD, Jacobs DJ, Oberman A. Heart rate and subsequent blood pressure in young adults: the CARDIA study. *Hypertension* 1999; 33:640–646.
- 34. Palatini P, Dorigatti F, Zaetta V, Mormino P, Mazzer A, Bortolazzi A, et al., HARVEST Study Group. Heart rate as a predictor of development of sustained hypertension in subjects screened for stage 1 hypertension: the HARVEST Study. J Hypertens 2006; 24:1873–1880.
- Inoue T, Iseki K, Iseki C, Kinjo K, Ohya Y, Takishita S. Higher heart rate predicts the risk of developing hypertension in a normotensive screened cohort. Circ J 2007; 71:1755–1760.
- Aladin AI, Al RM, Rasool SH, Keteyian SJ, Brawner CA, Michos ED, et al.
 The association of resting heart rate and incident hypertension: The Henry Ford Hospital Exercise Testing (FIT) Project. Am J Hypertens 2016; 29:251–257.
- 37. Palatini P, Rosei EA, Casiglia E, Chalmers J, Ferrari R, Grassi G, et al. Management of the hypertensive patient with elevated heart rate: Statement of the Second Consensus Conference endorsed by the European Society of Hypertension. J Hypertens 2016; 34:813– 821.
- Zhang H, Thijs L, Kuznetsova T, Fagard RH, Li X, Staessen JA. Progression to hypertension in the nonhypertensive participants in the Flemish Study on Environment, Genes and Health Outcomes. *J Hypertens* 2006; 24:1719–1727.
- Diederichs C, Neuhauser H. The incidence of hypertension and its risk factors in the German adult population: results from the German National Health Interview and Examination Survey 1998 and the German Health Interview and Examination Survey for Adults 2008-2011. J Hypertens 2017; 35:250–258.