Structural Vector Autoregression

Structural Vector Autoregression

Time Series Econometrics

# Impulse Response Functions, Forecasts

- Errors across equations in the system are correlated.
  - −  $Cov(\epsilon_{it}\epsilon_{it}) \neq 0$  in general.
  - Therefore a shock to  $\epsilon_{it}$  contains information about all the other  $\epsilon_{it}$ 's, and so is not orthogonal to them in reality.
  - When we calculate the simple derivative, we cannot hold the other  $\epsilon_{jt}$ 's constant without controlling for this.

- If the equations are appropriately ordered, then shock of  $\epsilon_{1t}$  has information about contemporaneous shocks  $\epsilon_{2t}, \ldots \epsilon_{nt}$
- We might want to take account of this extra information if we learn  $\epsilon_{1t}$  but have not yet learned the value of the other shocks.
- Another way to ask the question:
  - Suppose we have only period t-1 information  $(\mathbf{y_{t-1}}, \mathbf{y_{t-2}}, \ldots)$ .
  - Then suddenly we learn the value of one variable, say  $y_{1t}$ .
  - How does learning only  $y_{1t}$  change our forecast of  $y_{t+s}$ ?

- Our VAR says:
- $\begin{aligned} \mathbf{y}_{t+\mathbf{s}} &= \mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{s}} + \epsilon_{t+\mathbf{s}} + \Psi_1 \epsilon_{t+\mathbf{s}-1} + \dots + \Psi_{s-1} \epsilon_{t+1} + \\ \Psi_s \mathbf{y}_t + \mathbf{F}_{12}^{(\mathbf{s})} \mathbf{y}_{t-1} + \dots + \mathbf{F}_{1p}^{(\mathbf{s})} \mathbf{y}_{t-p+1} \end{aligned}$
- For forecasting, E(ε<sub>t+k</sub>) = 0, linear projection of y<sub>t+s</sub> on period t information is
- $\hat{\mathbf{y}}_{t+s|t} = \mathbf{c_s} + \Psi_s \mathbf{y_t} + \mathbf{F_{12}^{(s)}} \mathbf{y_{t-1}} + \dots + \mathbf{F_{1p}^{(s)}} \mathbf{y_{t-p+1}}$
- Row *i* of this is our forecast of  $y_{i,t+s}$  based on period *t* information.

- What happens if we only knew period t-1 information and then learned  $\epsilon_{1t}$ ,
  - $\epsilon_{1t}$  is correlated with  $\epsilon_{2t}, \dots, \epsilon_{nt}$  through the first column of  $\Omega$ .
- Suppose our linear projection of y<sub>t+s</sub> is based only on knowing ε<sub>1t</sub> and all the t – 1 information:
- $$\begin{split} & \quad \hat{E}(y_{t+s}|\epsilon_{1t},y_{t-1},y_{t-2},\ldots) = \\ & \quad c_s + \Psi_s \hat{E}(\epsilon_t|\epsilon_{1t},y_{t-1},y_{t-2},\ldots) + F_{12}^{(s)}y_{t-1} + \cdots + F_{1p}^{(s)}y_{t-p+1} \end{split}$$
  - We use  $\epsilon_{1t}$  to guess the rest of the period t information.

- Let  $\mathbf{a}_1 \epsilon_{1t} = \hat{E}(\epsilon_t | \epsilon_{1t})$
- $\hat{E}(y_{t+s}|\epsilon_{1t},y_{t-1},y_{t-2},\ldots) = c_s + \Psi_s a_1 \epsilon_{1t} + F_{12}^{(s)} y_{t-1} + \cdots + F_{1p}^{(s)} y_{t-p+1}$
- So the effect of y<sub>1t</sub> on our forecast of y<sub>t+s</sub> if y<sub>1t</sub> is all we know at period t is

$$\begin{aligned} & - \frac{\partial \hat{E}(\mathbf{y}_{t+s}|\epsilon_{1t},\mathbf{y}_{t-1},\mathbf{y}_{t-2},...)}{\partial \mathbf{y}_{t}} = \Psi_{s} \mathbf{a}_{1} \\ & - \mathbf{a}_{1} = \frac{\partial \hat{E}(\epsilon_{1}|\epsilon_{1t})}{\partial \epsilon_{1t}} \end{aligned}$$

• How do we estimate this?

- Notice that  $\mathbf{a_1}$  accounts for how  $\epsilon_{1t}$  is correlated with  $\epsilon_{2t}, \ldots, \epsilon_{nt}$  through the first column of  $\Omega$ .
- Recall  $\hat{\Omega} = \frac{1}{\tau} \sum \hat{\epsilon}_t \hat{\epsilon}_t'$
- We can factor this as  $\hat{\Omega} = \hat{A}\hat{D}\hat{A}'$
- $\hat{A}$  is a lower triangular matrix with ones on the principle diagonal, and  $\hat{D}$  is diagonal with all elements positive.
- â<sub>1</sub> is the first column of Â

- We could take the Cholesky factorization of  $\hat{\Omega}$ :
  - $\hat{\Omega} = \hat{P}\hat{P}' = \hat{A}\hat{D}\hat{A}' = \hat{A}\hat{D}^{\frac{1}{2}}\hat{D}^{\frac{1}{2}}\hat{A}'$
  - $\hat{P}$  is lower triangular with positive elements (standard deviations) along principle diagonal.
  - Let  $\hat{D}^{\frac{1}{2}}$  be the diagonal matrix whose diagonal is the diagonal of  $\hat{P}$  (and all other elements of  $\hat{D}$  are zero).
  - Then  $\hat{A} = \hat{P}\hat{D}^{-\frac{1}{2}}$

- The orthogonalized impulse response function is  $\hat{\Psi}_s \hat{a}_1$ .
  - Many statistical packages report  $\hat{\Psi}_s\hat{\mathbf{p}}_1$  where  $\hat{\mathbf{p}}_1$  is the first column of  $\hat{P}$
  - This only differs by the scale.
  - $\hat{\Psi}_s \hat{\mathbf{a}}_1$  is the effect of a one-unit increase in  $y_{1t}$
  - $\hat{\Psi}_s \hat{\mathbf{p}}_1$  is the effect of a one-standard deviation increase in  $y_{1t}$ .

- Now what happens once I've learned y<sub>1t</sub>, if I then learn y<sub>2t</sub> but not y<sub>3t</sub>, etc.?
  - $\hat{\Psi}_s \hat{\mathbf{a}}_2$  where  $\hat{\mathbf{a}}_2$  is the second column of  $\hat{\mathbf{A}}$ .
  - or use  $\hat{\Psi}_s \hat{\mathbf{p}}_2$  in terms of standard deviations.
- More generally, these are called "recursively orthogonalized impulse response functions"
  - $-\hat{\Psi}_s\hat{\mathbf{A}}$
- The value of this is different depending on the ordering of the equations.
  - How you order them depends on what question you want to answer.
  - Typically order them in the order in which you think information would be made known or in which you think effects happen.

- VARs we have looked at so far have been "reduced form": each equation in the system is a function of lags only: of its own value, and lags of other variables
- Maybe we can use/test structural information about contemporaneous relationships.
- This increases the number of parameters we need to estimate only okay if the number of parameters is not too large to be recovered from reduced form estimates.
  - If the number of parameters is too large, we may impose some structural parameters in order to estimate others.

- Consider a macroeconomic relationship
  - y<sub>1t</sub> =real GDP growth
  - $y_{2t} = inflation$
  - $y_{3t}$  =fed funds rate
  - $y_{4t}$  =rate of growth of M2

Current spending (GDP growth) depends only on past shocks

- 
$$y_{1t} = k_1 + B_1^{(1,.)} \mathbf{y_{t-1}} + \cdots + u_{1t}$$

Inflation has a Phillips curve relation between spending and inflation:

- 
$$y_{2t} = k_2 + b_0^{(2,1)} y_{1t} + B_1^{(2,.)} \mathbf{y_{t-1}} + \cdots + u_{2t}$$

Federal reserve responds to current output growth and inflation:

$$- y_{3t} = k_3 + b_0^{(3,1)} y_{1t} + b_0^{(3,2)} y_{2t} + B_1^{(3,1)} \mathbf{y_{t-1}} + \dots + u_{3t}$$

• Money demand depends on current output, inflation, and interest rates:

- 
$$y_{3t} = k_3 + b_0^{(4,1)} y_{1t} + b_0^{(4,2)} y_{2t} + b_0^{(4,3)} y_{3t} + B_1^{(3,.)} \mathbf{y_{t-1}} + \dots + u_{4t}$$

- We can stack these equations into a vector dynamic structural model:
  - $\ B_0y_t=k+B_1y_{t-1}+\cdots+B_py_{t-p}+u_t$
  - Any dynamic structural model that has a linear approximation can be written in this way.

$$- \mathbf{B_0} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ b_0^{(2,1)} & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ b_0^{(3,1)} & b_0^{(3,2)} & 1 & 0 \\ b_0^{(4,1)} & b_0^{(4,2)} & b_0^{(4,3)} & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

The lower triangular form is a special case for this model

If we premultiply by B<sub>0</sub><sup>-1</sup>, we get the reduced form:

$$- \mathbf{y_t} = \mathbf{c} + \Phi_1 \mathbf{y_{t-1}} + ... + \Phi_p \mathbf{y_{t-p}} + \epsilon_t$$

$$- c = B_0^{-1} k$$

$$- \Phi_{i} = B_{0}^{-1}B_{i}$$

$$- \epsilon_t = B_0^{-1} u_t$$

- The reduced form VAR is just another representation of a linearized dynamic structural model.
  - If we knew the structural parameters  ${\bf B}$  and shocks  ${\bf u}$  we could calculate the VAR parameters  ${\bf \Phi}$  and  ${\bf \epsilon}$  and the associated impulse response functions.

$$- \frac{\partial y_{t+m}}{\partial u_t'} = \frac{\partial y_{t+m}}{\partial \epsilon_t'} \frac{\partial \epsilon_t}{\partial u_t'} = \Psi_m B_0^{-1}$$

- So can we estimate the reduced form and recover the structural parameters?
  - Reduced form VAR parameters:  $n + n(n+1)/2 + n^2p$
  - Structural VAR parameters:  $n + n(n+1)/2 + n^2p + n^2$
  - Need enough restrictions on B<sub>0</sub> (e.g., zeros for some elements) and E(u<sub>t</sub>u'<sub>t</sub>) (e.g., diagonal matrix) in order for their to be a one-to-one mapping.
  - The earlier lower triangular form, with diagonal  $E(\textbf{u}_t\textbf{u}_t')$ , is one such example.

- If there is a one-to-one mapping, then estimate reduced form and figure out the appropriate transformation of  $\Phi$  and  $\Omega$ 
  - For example in the case above:
  - $-\epsilon_t = B_0^{-1} u_t$
  - $-\Omega = B_0^{-1}D(B_0^{-1})'$
  - Find triangular factorization of  $\hat{\Omega}$  as before.
  - When the structural equation is lower triangular, this is the same as the recursively orthogonalized impulse response function.

- For non-recursive structural models:
  - Let  $E(\mathbf{u}_t\mathbf{u}_t') = \mathbf{D}$
  - Estimate reduced form by OLS, put enough restrictions on  $\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{B_0}, \dots, \mathbf{B_p}$  so that there is a unique mapping from  $\Omega, \Phi_1, \dots, \Phi_p$ .
  - Typical approach: let  $\mathbf{B_1}, \dots, \mathbf{B_p}$  be unrestricted.
  - $E(\epsilon_t \epsilon_t') = \Omega = B_0^{-1} D(B_0^{-1})'$
  - $\hat{\Omega}$  has n(n+1)/2 distinct elements. so we can have just that many unknowns in  ${\bf B_0}$  and  ${\bf D}$
  - Need to impose as many structural restrictions from theory, long run equilibrium, etc., to reduce parameters to a number that can be recovered from the reduced form (or estimated directly using MLE).