Flux annotations in SBML

11th SBML Forum, Tokyo

Karthik Raman

{karthik@rishi.serc.iisc.ernet.in}

Supercomputer Education and Research Centre Indian Institute of Science

Introduction

- Constraint-based methods are quite popular for analysis of biochemical systems
- Flux Balance Analysis (FBA) models need to be stored in SBML
- Currently not much direct support... workarounds are in use
- BIOMODELS.NET has flux models, but they have not been curated

Critical points

- FBA is an *operation* performed on a model, essentially specified by the objective function, unlike a kinetic model *definition*
 - Flux bounds may be biochemical constraints on the model (or based on the simulation)
- Fundamental question: should SBML also allow
 - exchange of simulation results (flux values), esp. for FBA?
 - Specification of a model *instance*, in terms of a particular objective function

Current annotations - Models

http://sbml.org/wiki/Known_SBML_annotations

■ This annotation includes information about what kinds of analysis will be performed with the model which is **strictly outside** the scope of SBML

Current annotations – Reactions

http://sbml.org/wiki/Known_SBML_annotations

This information includes objective and prediction, which are simulation parameters and simulation results, which are, strictly speaking, outside the scope of SBML

Workarounds – Palsson's models

```
<kineticLaw>
   <math xmlns="http://www.w3.org/1998/Math/MathML">
        <apply>
                 <ci> LOWER BOUND </ci>
                                                  Invalid SBML; also,
                 <ci> UPPER BOUND </ci>
                 <ci> FLUX VALUE </ci>
                                                  FLUX VALUE is a
                 <ci> REDUCED_COST </ci>
                                                   simulation result
        </apply>
   <fr>
        <parameter id="LOWER BOUND"</pre>
                                           value="-999999.000000"
   units="mmol_per_gDW_per_hr"/>
        <parameter id="UPPER BOUND"</pre>
                                            value="999999,000000"
   units="mmol_per_gDW_per_hr"/>
        <parameter</pre>
                          id="FLUX VALUE"
                                                 value="0.000000"
   units="mmol per gDW per hr"/>
        <parameter id="REDUCED COST" value="0.000000"/>
   </listOfParameters>
</kineticLaw>
```

■ FLUX_VALUE can also be viewed as a property of the steady state model, but it is dependent on the objective function...

Workarounds

```
<kineticlaw>
   <math xmlns="http://www.w3.org/1998/Math/MathML">
        <ci> LOWER BOUND </ci>
        <ci> UPPER BOUND </ci>
        <ci> FLUX VALUE </ci>
                                               Valid SBML
        <ci> REDUCED COST </ci>
   </apply>
   <listOfParameters>
        <parameter id="LOWER BOUND"</pre>
                                             value="-999999,000000"
   units="mmol per gDW per hr"/>
        <parameter id="UPPER_BOUND"</pre>
                                             value="999999,000000"
   units="mmol per gDW per hr"/>
        <parameter id="FLUX VALUE"</pre>
                                                   value="0.000000"
   units="mmol per gDW per hr"/>
        <parameter id="REDUCED COST" value="0.000000"/>
   </listOfParameters>
</kineticLaw>
```

But should these really be a part of the kineticLaw?!

Pointers for Discussion

- Standard for representation of flux models in SBML (13?)?
- Alternative Reactions (13)?
- Test suite for FBA/MoMA analyses?
- Software for FBA/MoMA
 - □ FluxAnalyzer/CellAnalyzer
 - □ Fluxor?
 - □ PathwayAnalyser?