Evolution of Religion and Morality Project:

Dataset Materials

Last Updated: February 02, 2022

Maintained by Martin Lang (email: martinlang@mail.muni.cz) and Benjamin Grant Purzycki (bgpurzycki@gmail.com).

Please include both of us in conversation in case of questions.

Contents

1.	Intro	oduct	ion	2
	1.1	Site	description:	2
	1.2	Part	icipant exclusion criteria:	8
	1.3	Prot	cocol deviations:	8
	1.3.	1	Gameplay	8
	1.3.	2	LOCAL, DISTANT, and OUTGROUP definitions (see Tab. 2)	8
	1.3.	3	Priming	9
	1.3.	4	Demographic questions	10
	1.3.	5	Religiosity questions	10
2.	Cod	ebool	k and Notes	12



1. Introduction

This project aimed to explore the role belief in culturally evolving supernatural agents plays in stabilizing non-kin cooperation in large-scale societies. With the rise of food production, cultural evolutionary forces increasingly favored societal mechanisms that permitted populations to scale up in size, complexity and the extent of cooperation. Specialization and transportation increased trade, as exchange increasingly included strangers and those well outside people's communities, ethnic groups or social networks. In this project, as one of these societal mechanisms, we proposed that belief in increasingly morally concerned gods (MGs) harnessed a variety of human mental mechanisms, including those related to reputation and punishment, to promote mutual cooperation in situations where reciprocity, signaling, and kin mechanisms are not sufficient. To this end, we collected data from 15 different societies on their religious beliefs and behaviors, demography, and decisions in two economic games—the Random Allocation Game (RAG) and Dictator Game (DG). The data were collected in two waves between 2013-2015. The main published outputs are summarized below.

This document accompanies the final data set resulting from the Evolution of Religion and Morality Project and details all used variables and site-specific deviations from the protocol that need to be considered when further using this dataset.

When using the data set, please cite Purzycki et al. (2016) and Lang et al. (2019) detailed below in the Main publications.

Main publications:

- Lang, M., Purzycki, B. G., Apicella, C. L., Atkinson, Q. D., Bolyanatz, A., Cohen, E., Handley, C., Klocová, E. K., Lesorogol, C., Mathew, S., McNamara, R. A., Moya, C., Placek, C. D., Soler, M., Vardy, T., Weigel, J. L., Willard, A. K., Xygalatas, D., Norenzayan, A., & Henrich, J. (2019). Moralizing gods, impartiality, and religious parochialism across 15 societies. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B*, 286(1898), 1–10. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2019.0202
- Purzycki, B. G., Apicella, C., Atkinson, Q. D., Cohen, E., McNamara, R. A., Willard, A. K., Xygalatas, D., Norenzayan, A., & Henrich, J. (2016). Moralistic gods, supernatural punishment and the expansion of human sociality. *Nature*, *530*(7590), 327–330. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature16980
- Purzycki, B. G., Henrich, J., Apicella, C., Atkinson, Q. D., Baimel, A., Cohen, E., McNamara, R. A., Willard, A. K., Xygalatas, D., & Norenzayan, A. (2018). The evolution of religion and morality: A synthesis of ethnographic and experimental evidence from eight societies. *Religion, Brain & Behavior*, 8(2), 101–132. https://doi.org/10.1080/2153599X.2016.1267027

Purzycki, Benjamin G., Lang, M., Henrich, J., & Norenzayan, A. (In press). The Evolution of Religion and Morality Project: Reflections and looking ahead. *Religion, Brain & Behavior*.

Site-specific publications:

- Apicella, C. L. (2018). High levels of rule-bending in a minimally religious and largely egalitarian forager population. *Religion, Brain & Behavior*, 8(2), 133–148. https://doi.org/10.1080/2153599X.2016.1267034
- Atkinson, Q. D. (2018). Religion and expanding the cooperative sphere in Kastom and Christian villages on Tanna, Vanuatu. *Religion, Brain & Behavior*, 8(2), 149–167. https://doi.org/10.1080/2153599X.2016.1267028
- Bolyanatz, A. (In press). When god is watching: Dictator game tesults from the Sursurunga of New Ireland, Papua New Guinea. *Religion, Brain & Behavior*.
- Cohen, E., Baimel, A., & Purzycki, B. G. (2018). Religiosity and resource allocation in Marajó, Brazil. *Religion, Brain & Behavior*, 8(2), 168–184. https://doi.org/10.1080/2153599X.2016.1267029
- Klocová Kundtová, E., Lang, M., Maňo, P., Kundt, R., & Xygalatas, D. (In press). Cigarettes for the dead: Effects of sorcery beliefs on parochial prosociality in Mauritius. *Religion, Brain & Behavior*.
- McNamara, R. A., & Henrich, J. (2018). Jesus vs. the ancestors: how specific religious beliefs shape prosociality on Yasawa Island, Fiji. *Religion, Brain & Behavior*, 8(2), 185–204. https://doi.org/10.1080/2153599X.2016.1267030
- Placek, C. D., & Lightner, A. (In press). Big gods, local Gods, and complexity in Hindu god concepts: Evidence from South India. *Religion, Brain & Behavior*.
- Purzycki, B. G., & Kulundary, V. (2018). Buddhism, identity, and class: Fairness and favoritism in the Tyva Republic. *Religion, Brain & Behavior*, 8(2), 205–226. https://doi.org/10.1080/2153599X.2016.1267031
- Soler, M., Purzycki, B. G., & Lang, M. (In press). Perceptions of moralizing agents and cooperative behavior in Northeastern Brazil. *Religion, Brain & Behavior*.
- Stagnaro, N., Stibbard-Hawkes, D. N. E., & Apicella, C. L. (In press). Do religious and market-based institutions promote cooperation in Hadza hunter-gatherers? *Religion, Brain & Behavior*.
- Vardy, Tom, & Atkinson, Q. D. (In press). Moralistic and local god beliefs and the extent of prosocial preferences on Tanna Island, Vanuatu. *Religion, Brain & Behavior*.
- Weigel, J. L. (In press). Prosociality, insurance, and Pentecostalism in the D. R. Congo. *Religion, Brain & Behavior*.
- Willard, A. K. (2018). Religion and prosocial behavior among the Indo-Fijians. *Religion, Brain & Behavior*, 8(2), 227–242. https://doi.org/10.1080/2153599X.2016.1267032

Xygalatas, D., Kotherová, S., Maňo, P., Kundt, R., Cigán, J., Kundtová Klocová, E., & Lang, M. (2018). Big Gods in small places: The random allocation game in Mauritius. *Religion, Brain & Behavior*, 8(2), 243–261. https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/2153599X.2016.1267033

Publication detailing Wave I dataset:

Purzycki, B. G., Apicella, C., Atkinson, Q. D., Cohen, E., McNamara, R. A., Willard, A. K., Xygalatas, D., Norenzayan, A., Henrich, J., Botero, C. A., Henrich, J., Heine, S. J., Norenzayan, A., Peoples, H. C., Marlowe, F. W., Roes, F. L., Raymond, M., Sears, D. O., Shariff, A. F., ... Huici, C. (2016). Cross-cultural dataset for the evolution of religion and morality project. *Scientific Data*, *3*, 160099. https://doi.org/10.1038/sdata.2016.99

Further publications using these data:

- Baimel, A., Apicella, C. L., Atkinson, Q., Bolyanatz, A., Cohen, E., Handley, C., Henrich, J., Kundtová Klocová, E., Lang, M., Lesogorol, C., Mathew, S., McNamara, R. A., Moya, C., Norenzayan, A., Placek, C., Soler, M., Vardy, T., Weigel, J., Willard, A. K., ... Purzycki, B. G. (In press). Material insecurity predicts greater commitment to moralistic and less commitment to local deities: A cross-cultural investigation. *Religion, Brain &*
- Purzycki, B. G., & Lang, M. (2019). Identity fusion, outgroup relations, and sacrifice: A cross-cultural test. *Cognition*, *186*, 1–6. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cognition.2019.01.015
- Purzycki, B. G., Pisor, A. C., Apicella, C., Atkinson, Q., Cohen, E., Henrich, J., Mcelreath, R., Mcnamara, R. A., Norenzayan, A., Willard, A. K., & Xygalatas, D. (2018). The cognitive and cultural foundations of moral behavior. *Evolution and Human Behavior*, *39*(5), 490–501. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.evolhumbehav.2018.04.004
- Purzycki, B. G., Ross, C. T., Apicella, C., Atkinson, Q. D., Cohen, E., McNamara, R. A., Willard, A. K., Xygalatas, D., Norenzayan, A., & Henrich, J. (2018). Material security, life history, and moralistic religions: A cross-cultural examination. *PLoS ONE*, *13*(3), e0193856. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0193856
- Vardy, T, Moya, C., Placek, C., Apicella, C. D., Bolyanatz, A., Cohen, E., Handley, C., Klocová, K., Lesorogol, C., Mathew, S., Mcnamara, R. A., Purzycki, B. G., Soler, M., Willard, A. K., Xygalatas, D., Norenzayan, A., Henrich, J., Lang, M., & Atkinson, Q. D. (In press). The religiosity gender gap in 14 diverse societies. *Religion, Brain & Behavior*.

1.1 Site description:

The data were collected among 15 different societies (including sites from Wave I), namely among 1) Cachoeira in the state of Bahia, Brazil; 2) Coastal Tanna in Vanuatu; 3) the Hadza of Tanzania; 4) Huatasani in Peru; 5) Indo-Fijians in Lovu, Fiji; 6) Inland Tanna in Vanuatu; 7) Kananga in the Democratic Republic of Congo; 8) Mysore in India; 9) Marajo in the state of Pará, Brazil; 10) Indo-Mauritians in Point aux Piments, Mauritius; 11) Samburu in Kenya; 12) Sursurunga in New Ireland; 13) Turkana in Kenya; 14) Kyzyl, Tyva Republic in Russia; and 15) Yasawa in Fiji. The overall number of participants taking part at least in one game was 2228 (see Table 1 for detailed descriptive statistics). Readers should consult general publications on the project (especially the Supplementary Material of Lang at al., 2019) and site-specific publications listed above for details on the data collected and particular sites.



Figure 1 | Map of data-collection sites.

Tab. S2. Site description

Site	Wave	Moralistic God	Local God	Distant religion/ <i>ethnicity</i>	Outgroup religion/ethnicity	Sampling	Economy	GDPPC
Cachoeira	II	Christian God	Candomblé God (<i>Ogum</i>)	Candomble	Evangelical	Chain sample (temples)	Market	8678
Coastal Tanna	+	Christian God	Garden spirit (<i>Tupunus</i>)	Christian	Kastom	Cluster sample (census)	Horticulture	2805
Hadza	+	Haine (Traditional)	Ishoko*	Hadza	Datoga	Entire camps	Hunting	879
Huatasani	II	Christian God	Mountain Spirits/Christian Saints	Catholic	Evangelical	Random/chain sample (street)	Farming/ Herding	6027
Inland Tanna	+	Kalpapan (Traditional)	Garden spirit (<i>Tupunus</i>)	Kastom	Christian	Entire community	Horticulture	2805
Kananga	II	Christian God	Kadim/Ancestor spirits	Non-Luluwa Christian	Non-Luluwa	Random sample (census)	Market	456
Lovu	1	Hindu Bhagwan	Nonavailable	Hindu		Door-to-door	Market	4375
Marajó	1	Christian God	Virgin Mary	Christian		Random sample (census)	Market	11208
Mauritius	+	Hindu Shiva	Ghost (Nam)	Hindu	Muslim	Random sample (street)	Market/ Farming	9252
Mysore	II	Hindu Shiva	Chamundeshwari	Hindu	Christian	Random sample (street)	Market	1593
Samburu	II	Christian God (<i>Nkai</i>)	Nonavailable	Christian	Samburu	Random sample (households)	Herding	1377
Sursurunga	II	Christ. God (<i>Káláu</i>)	Spirit (<i>Sírmát</i>)	Christian	Foreigner	Random/chain sample (street)	Horticulture	2268
Turkana	II	Christ. God (Akuj)	Ancestor spirits	Christian	Turkana	Door-to-door	Herding	1377
Tyva	1	Buddha Burgan	Spirit-masters (<i>Cher eezi</i>)	Buddhist		Random/chain sample (street)	Market/ Herding	14612
Yasawa	I	Christian God	Ancestor spirits (Kalou-vu)	Hindu		Door-to-door (cluster)	Fishing/ Farming	4375

Note. *There are no Ishoko data in Wave II. GDPDPC = 2016 Gross Domestic Product Per Capita in \$USD as calculated by the World Bank.

Tab. 2. Definitions of DISTANT and OUTGROUP

SITE	DISTANT	OUTGROUP
Cachoeira	A member of Candomble in Salvador	Evangelical living in Salvador
Co.Tanna	Person from another Christian village	Person from a Kastom village
Hadza	Person living in a different Hadza camp	A Datoga member (pastoralists who dwell in and around Hadzaland)
Huatasani	Person from another village – ½ Quechua Taraco or ½ Aymara Vilque Chico – who was Catholic	Person from another village – ½ Quechua Taraco or ½ Aymara Vilque Chico – who was Evangelical
In.Tanna	Person from another Kastom	Person from a Christian village
Kananga	A born-again Christian who is NOT a member of the same tribe (Luluwa)	Neither Luluwa NOR born-again Christian.
Lovu	Hindu living on Vanua Levu	
Marajó	Christian from Rondon, either Catholic or Evangelical, depending on participants' affiliations.	
Mauritius	Hindu from another village on the island	Muslim from a bigger town on the south of the island
Mysore	Hindu from Tamil Nadu	Christian from Tamil Nadu
Samburu	Person from Poro who shares your religion	Person from Poro who shares the same ethnic group (Samburu)
Sursurunga	Person of the same Christian denomination	Person who lived in a different part of New Ireland, much farther north, literally "foreigner/different one."
Turkana	A Turkana person you don't know who lives in and attends church in Awar Naparan.	A Turkana person living in Awar Naparan whom you don't know and who never attends church
Tyva	Person from Ak Dovurak, a familiar asbestos-mining town	
Yasawa	A Fijian member of a church on another island	

1.2 Participant exclusion criteria:

We excluded participants' game data if the money allocated between the two cups did not sum to 30 for RAGs and 10 for DGs; specifically, we excluded 30 participants from at least one RAG and 33 from at least one DG. Furthermore, we excluded 22 participants who misunderstood the procedure or did not correctly follow procedural steps. At the Kananga site, two research assistants counterfeited the results for monetary gain, thus all RAG and DG data collected by these assistants were removed (72 participants).

1.3 Protocol deviations:

Despite significant efforts to standardize protocol at all sites, some site-specific settings necessitated protocol deviations. We suggest that readers carefully study the individual deviations and consider them in their analyses. Furthermore, while deviations at individual sites can be easily accounted for by omitting a site from analysis or transforming scores for the particular sites, some sites that took part in both data collection waves corrected errors from Wave I during Wave II collection. Hence, we recommend paying attention to the data collection wave as well. To make this easier, we created variables WAVE (Wave I, Wave II, or both) and QUEST referring to the type of questionnaire participants filled out (Wave I type vs. Wave II type).

1.3.1 Gameplay

All sites played RAGs and DGs with cups as specified in the methods section of Lang et al. (2016) except for the Hadza site where one DG was played with LOCAL vs. OUTGROUP cups instead of DISTANT vs. OUTGROUP cups. Therefore, the Hadza are excluded from the analysis of this particular DG, and their data are included at the end of the data set. Furthermore, since the value of money is questionable for the Hadza, they played RAGs and DGs with tokens exchanged for eight ounces of maize each at the end of the gameplay. Similarly, it was not feasible to acquire the necessary amount of coins for gameplay at the Turkana site, and participants played with beans later exchanged for bills. Next, the Huatasani and Inland Tanna sites tested participants (7 in total) who self-identified as affiliated with the purported OUTGROUP's religion, thereby obscuring the meaning of the game cups. Specifically, four Inland Tanna self-identified as Christians, but we treated them as Inland Tanna due to their coresidence with other Inland Tannese, which is the most salient in-group marker in the Tannese context. At the Huatasani site, three DG participants identified themselves as Evangelical, which was an OUTGROUP designation. We, therefore, reversed their cups where possible (we changed DISTANT to OURGOUP and vice versa) and excluded those participants from DG where the change would lead to a dyad not explored in our study (LOCAL vs. OUTGROUP). Finally, the Mauritian site did not ask about game associations during Wave II, and thus had to be excluded from models controlling for mentioning honesty in association with RAGs and DGs.

1.3.2 LOCAL, DISTANT, and OUTGROUP definitions (see Tab. 2)

At the Hadza site, the DISTANT and OUTGROUP were defined by ethnic proximity rather than religious similarity because these groups lack institutionally framed religious beliefs allowing

them to differentiate between co-religionists and OUTGROUPs from other clans. Likewise, OUTGROUPs at the Samburu, Sursurunga, and Turkana sites were defined as absenting religiosity or without mentioning their religious affiliation. We did not use ethnic OUTGROUPs at the Samburu and Turkana sites because those OUTGROUPs are often engaged in an open conflict with the local ethnic group. We did use such differentiation at the Kananga site, however, where both the DISTANT and OUTGROUP were from a different ethnic group, varying their born-again Christian affiliation. Furthermore, while LOCAL is defined as a coresiding member of the local community, which usually implies the same religious affiliation, LOCAL at the Mysore site refers to a district where Hindus co-reside with Christians. At the Huatasani site, participants played with two different types of DISTANT and OUTGROUP defined by residence in a village speaking predominantly either Aymar (Vilque Chico) or Quechua (Taraco). Nevertheless, both Vilque Chico and Taraco cups shared their respective religious affiliations (Catholic for DISTANT and Evangelical for OUTGROUP). The subsequent questions about DISTANT and OUTGROUP at the Huatasani site (CORELEMO, OUTGREMO, CORELSIM, etc.) correspond to the respective villages. The different villages are recorded in the variable DISTTOWN.Huatasani.

When asking about the size of LOCAL, DISTANT, and OUTGROUP communities, participants were often able to respond only in ranges, verbal descriptions ("a few", "many"), percentages, or saying "more than" or "less than" specific number. In the first case, we converted the ranges to middle values; verbal descriptions were coded as "do not know"; percentages were converted to numbers using the size of respective communities as estimated by local governmental sources; and, in the last case, we just retained the reported number as the closest estimate of participants' perception (without the "more" or "less" designations). We were unable to convert percentages to the number of community members at the Kananga site because DISTANT was defined along ethnic lines rather than residence. Finally, during Wave I, the Hadza answered the variables INGREMO, CORELEMO, and OUTGREMO with a different scale than during Wave II. Since some participants took part in both waves, we did not merge these variables for the Hadza and instead stored the Wave I variables as INGREMOH, CORELEMOH, and OUTGREMOH.

1.3.3 Priming

Our aim was to investigate the effects of priming participants with supernatural concepts on behavior in economic games. However, note that used priming materials substantially differed among sites. Four of our sites (Cachoeira, Mauritius, Sursurunga, Yasawa) used contextual priming in the RAGs (games were played inside a temple and a control location), while other sites used religious/secular imagery printed on a mat/tablecloth (Coastal and Inland Tanna, Kananga, Huatasani, and Mauritius DG); religious/secular material objects (Cachoeira DG, Lovu, Marajó, Mysore, Turkana, Tyva); or verbal priming (Sursurunga DG). Importantly, some sites did not use control objects/primes (Mysore in RAGs; and Mysore, Cachoeira, and

Sursurunga in DGs). Apart from these priming materials, half of the participants at the Huatasani site played RAGs and DGs in a parish area, which may have additional priming effects. These participants are coded in the variable LOCATION.RELIG.

1.3.4 Demographic questions

Since the Hadza do not count, their age is only estimated and sometimes differ by several years between the Wave I and Wave II collection. Likewise, Apicella asked the Hadza to name their children's names and count those names rather than asking the Hadza for the number of children. However, despite this assurance mechanism, some individuals increased their number of children between the collection waves by a number exceeding physiological possibilities. When data from Wave I collection were available, we kept those in the data set and used new data only for participants who did not participate in Wave I. The variable NATLANG had five items, but due to a clerical error, participants at the Sursurunga site were asked question with six items. We converted the middle values (2 and 3) specified as "well" and "good" into one variable "well", which was in the original scale (two participants affected). The Hadza answered only MAT1 question during Wave II from the material insecurity question set (as opposed to Wave I where they answered also MAT3). Finally, questions on FAMILY, HOWLONG, BIRTHPLACE, GROWUP, and CITYYRS sometimes contain answers in words rather than numbers. Generally, we suggest recoding these answers as "NA" since it is often difficult to assign an exact numeric value to these responses. However, the answers to FAMILY variable in Marajó stating "amaziado" (which means "living together") could be recoded as 1 for "single" or 3 for "engaged." The answers in the Tyva Republic including "chadaana" might be recoded, depending on one's definition of "urbanity". Chadaana (or Chadan) is a village of about 9,000 people in central Tyva.

1.3.5 Religiosity questions

Participants in Marajó, Cachoeira, Sursurunga, and the Tyva Republic used a five-item frequency scale (4 = every day or multiple times per day; 3 = a few times per week; 2 = a few times per month; 1 = a few times per year; and 0 = very rarely/never) instead of a four-item frequency scale when asking about variables BGFREQW, BGSTEAL, BGLYING, BGMURDER, BGREWARD and their LG equivalents. To account for these discrepancies, we suggest dividing each affected variable by the maximum value at each site, creating variables BGFREOW2, BGSTEAL2, BGLYING2, BGMURDER2, BGREWARD2 and their LG equivalents. Coastal and Inland Tanna had the same problem with variables BGFREQW and LGFREQW during Wave I. Furthermore, there was a clerical error in the four-point frequency scale in Coastal Tanna during Wave I, rendering the last two scale items virtually indistinguishable. This issue concerns BGSTEAL, BGMURDER, BGLYING, BGREWARD and their LG equivalents. Thus, including this data collection (e.g., BGREWARD[QUEST == 1] in Coastal Tanna) in the analysis should take this error into account. Finally, the Huatasani site differed in the BGBP and LGBP variables because the researcher at this site offered participants a fourth item that could not be recoded into a three-item scale, and thus the site should be omitted from models that include the BGBP and LGBP variables.

The Hadza answered religiosity questions using four- or five-point scales only as "yes" and "no" due to their difficulty with continuous measures. Furthermore, while we asked the Hadza during the Wave I collection about *Haine* (a moralistic god) and *Ishoko* (a local god), the results and subsequent interviews revealed that most participants (82%) do not differentiate between these two gods. We chose to ask only about Haine in Wave II. Likewise, we could not identify a local god at the Samburu and Lovu sites. The Huatasani and Kananga sites, on the other hand, have two different local gods. When conducting our preliminary religious landscape interviews, participants indicated selecting Apus (local spirits living in the mountains) in Huatasani and Kadima in Kananga. However, during subsequent data collection, many participants were not familiar with these local gods and were instead asked about Christian saints in Huatasani and local spirits in Kananga. We recorded the type of LG in the variable LGTYPE. Finally, during Wave II the Hadza answered the POLEVAL variable with "good" or "bad" instead of by numbers on a [-2, 2] scale. However, in Wave 1, the answers to this question were coded as "bad", "D/K", and "good" using numbers [-1,0,1] and are stored as POLEVALH. Since some participants took part in both waves, we did not merge this variable for the Hadza. Likewise, the Hadza answered the questions BGTHINK, BGFREQW, BGSTEAL, BGLYING, BGMURDER, BGREWARD with a different scale during Wave I compared to the rest of the sites (and did not answer these questions during Wave II). Hence, we store these answers separately as BGTHINKH, BGFREQWH, BGSTEALH, BGLYINGH, BGMURDERH, BGREWARDH.

2. Codebook and Notes

Note on variable names. To be consistent with previous data collections and the original materials distributed to researchers, we kept the original variable names referring to gameplay and religious survey. However, in our latest publications, we used the following nomenclature and recommend using the same in future projects:

Moralizing god = BIG GOD in previous materials

LOCAL = INGROUP in previous materials

DISTANT = COREL in previous materials

Thus, variables referring to the LOCAL games usually contain "ING" or "INGROUP" and variables referring to the DISTANT games usually contain "COREL". Similarly, variables referring to moralizing god characteristics use an abbreviation "BG".

†† Questions asked only during Wave II data collection

CODE	DESCRIPTION	DATA
SITE	Data collection site	string
CID	Individual participant ID	string
WAVE	Took part in first, second, or both waves	1 = Wave I; $2 = Wave II$; $3 = Wave I + II$
QUEST	Type of questionnaire administered	1 = Wave I; $2 = $ Wave II;
RESEARCHER	Name of the lead researcher at a specific site	string
RAG.DATE	Date of RAG	date
RAG.TIME	Time of RAG	time
RAG.LOCATION	Place where RAG was conducted	string
RAG.SESSNUM	RAG session number of the day	number
RAG.TREATMENT	Prime condition for RAG	0 = no prime; 1 = control prime; 2 = Moralistic God prime; 3 = Local God prime
RAG.ORDER	Order of RAG (first two)	12 = LOCAL vs DISTANT game first; 21= SELF vs DISTANT game first; 321; Tyva variant (SELF vs. INGROUP)

RAG.INGFIRST	RAG played Local Community Game first	0 = SELF game first; $1 = LOCAL$ game first
RAG.EXUSED1	Number of examples used for RAG1	number
RAG.INGROUP1 ¹	RAG Allocation to LOCAL	amount
RAG.COREL1	RAG Allocation to DISTANT	amount
RAG1.NOSUM	RAG Cups did not sum up to 30	NA = no or does not apply; 1 = yes
RAG.EXUSED2	Number of examples used for RAG2	number
RAG.SELF2	RAG Allocation to SELF	amount
RAG.COREL2	RAG Allocation to DISTANT	amount
RAG2.NOSUM	RAG2 Cups did not sum up to 30	NA = no or does not apply; 1 = yes
RAG.ORDER2††	RAG Order of RAG (second two)	34 = DISTANT vs OUTGROUP game first; 43 =
		SELF vs OUTGROUP game first
RAG.INGFIRST2	RAG played Local Community Game first	0 = SELF game first; $1 = DISTANT$ game first
RAG.EXUSED3††	Number of examples used for RAG3	number
RAG.COREL3††	RAG Allocation to COREL	amount
RAG.OUTGROUP3††	RAG Allocation to OUTGROUP	amount
RAG3.NOSUM††	RAG3 Cups did not sum up to 30	NA = no or does not apply; 1 = yes
RAG.EXUSED4††	Number of examples used for RAG4	number
RAG.INGROUP4††	RAG Allocation to LOCAL	amount
RAG.OUTGROUP4††	RAG Allocation to OUTGROUP	amount
RAG4.NOSUM††	RAG4 Cups did not sum up to 30	NA = no or does not apply; 1 = yes
RAG.OUTOFPOCKET	Participants contributed money from their	0 = no; 1 = yes
	pocket to the RAG	
RAG.POCKET	Participants pocketed money from the RAG	0 = no; 1 = yes
RAG.EXCLUDE	Exclude participant from RAG analysis	0 = no; 1 = yes
RAG.GA1	What did the RAG remind you of in real life?	string

¹ Note that variables related to cup allocations (e.g., RAG.INGROUP1, RAG.COREL1) have numbers at the end. These numbers always refer to a pair of cups (e.g., 1 = LOCAL vs DISTANT) that participants decided between when allocating endowed money. Hence, the value of variables referring to cup allocations with the same number at the end should always sum up to 30 for RAG and to 10 for DG. Since these variables are symmetric, one can choose which of the two use in the analyses (we opted to model the less parochial cups, using variables RAG.COREL1, RAG.COREL2, RAG.OUTGROUP3, RAG.OUTGROUP4 for the analyses of the RAGs and likewise for DGs).

RAG.RELREMIND	Coded answers – RAG remind of religion	0 = no; 1 = yes
RAG.GA2	What do you think this study is about?	string
RAG.GA3	What does the [priming] object remind you of?	string
RAG.HONEST13	Mentioned honesty, cheating, or fairness in GA2 in Wave I data (should be used for RAG1-2)	0 = no; $1 = yes$
RAG.HONEST††	Mentioned honesty, cheating, or fairness in GA2 (should be used for RAG 3-4)	0 = no; $1 = yes$
RAG.NOTES	Notes on the RAG	string
DG.DATE	Date of DG experiment	date
DG.TIME	Time of DG experiment	time
DG.LOCATION	Place where DGs were conducted	string
DG.SESSNUM	Session number of the day (i.e., how many DG sessions were done)	number
DG.TREATMENT††	Prime condition for DG	0 = no prime; 1 = control prime; 2 = Moralizing God prime; 3 = Local God prime; 4 = secular authority prime
DG.ORDER††	Order of DGs	order of DGs
DG.ORDCODE††	Code of DG order	1 = DG1 first; 2 = DG2 first; 3 = DG3 first; 4 = DG4 first
DIDRAG††	DG Participant took part in RAGs	0 = no; 1 = yes
DG.INGROUP1††	DG Allocation to LOCAL	amount
DG.COREL1††	DG Allocation to DISTANT	amount
DG1.NOSUM††	DG Cups did not sum up to 10	0 = no; 1 = yes
DG.SELF2††	DG Allocation to SELF	amount
DG.COREL2††	DG Allocation to DISTANT	amount
DG2.NOSUM††	DG Cups did not sum up to 10	0 = no; 1 = yes
DG.COREL3††	DG Allocation to DISTANT	amount
DG.OUTGROUP3††	DG Allocation to OUTGROUP	amount
DG3.NOSUM††	DG Cups did not sum up to 10	0 = no; 1 = yes
DG.INGROUP4††	DG Allocation to LOCAL	amount
DG.OUTGROUP4††	DG Allocation to OUTGROUP	amount

DG4.NOSUM††	DG Cups did not sum up to 10	0 = no; 1 = yes
DG.OUTOFPOCKET††	Participants contributed money from their	0 = no; 1 = yes
	pocket to the DG	
DG.POCKET††	Participants pocketed money from the DG	0 = no; $1 = yes$
DG.EXCLUDE††	Exclude participant from DG analysis	0 = no; 1 = yes
DG.GA1††	What did the DG remind you of in real life?	string
DG.RELREMIND	Coded answers – DG remind of religion	0 = no; 1 = yes
DG.GA2††	What do you think this study is about?	string
DG.GA3††	What does the [priming] object remind you of?	string
DG.HONEST††	Mentioned honesty, cheating, or fairness in GA2	0 = no; 1 = yes
DG. NOTES	Notes on the DG	string
DEMOG.DATE	Date of demographic survey	date
DEMOG.TIME	Time of demographic survey	time
DEMOG.LOCATION	Place where the demographic survey was conducted	string
SEX	Sex: Male/Female	0 = female; 1 = male
AGE13	Age at 2013 data collection for Wave I participants in (should be used for RAG 1-2)	Age
AGE	Age at 2015 data collection for Wave I + II collections (should be used for RAG 3-4 and DG 1-4)	Age
OCCUP	Occupation	string
FAMILY	Family status	1 = single; 2 = married; 3 = engaged; 4 = divorced; 5 = widowed; 6 = other
LIVE	Where do you live (district, town, or region)?	location
HOWLONG	How long have you lived here?	# of years
BIRTHPLACE	Was your birthplace rural or urban?	0 = rural; 1 = urban
GROWUP	Was where you grew up rural or urban?	0 = rural; 1 = urban
CITYYRS	For how many years total have you lived in a town/city?	# of years
CHILDREN	How many children have you given birth	# of children

	to/fathered?	
FORMALED	Total years of formal education?	# of years
HOUSEHOLD	How many people are in your household? (family that lives with you)	# of people in household
NATLANG	How well do you speak [NATIVE LANGUAGE]?	0 = I don't speak native language; 1 = not well; 2 = well; 3 = very good; 4 = fluent
MAT1	Do you worry that in the next month your household will have a time when it is not able to buy or produce enough food to eat?	0 = no; $1 = yes$
MAT1C	How certain are you that you will be able to buy or produce enough food to eat in the next month?	-2 = very uncertain; -1 = a little uncertain; 0 = I don't know; 1 = a little certain; 2 = very certain
MAT2	Do you worry that in the next six months your household will have a time when it is not able to buy or produce enough food to eat?	0 = no; $1 = yes$
MAT2C	How certain are you that you will be able to buy or produce enough food to eat in the next six months?	-2 = very uncertain; -1 = a little uncertain; 0 = I don't know; 1 = a little certain; 2 = very certain
MAT3	Do you worry that in the next year your household will have a time when it is not able to buy or produce enough food to eat?	0 = no; $1 = yes$
MAT3C	How certain are you that you will be able to buy or produce enough food to eat in the next year?	-2 = very uncertain; -1 = a little uncertain; 0 = I don't know; 1 = a little certain; 2 = very certain
MAT4	Do you worry that in the next five years your household will have a time when it is not able to buy or produce enough food to eat?	0 = no; $1 = yes$
MAT4C	How certain are you that you will be able to buy or produce enough food to eat in the next five years?	-2 = very uncertain; -1 = a little uncertain; 0 = I don't know; 1 = a little certain; 2 = very certain
DEMOG. NOTES	Notes on demography questions	string
SURVEY.DATE	Date of religiosity survey	date
SURVEY.TIME	Time of religiosity survey	time

SURVEY.LOCATION	Place where religiosity survey was conducted	string
BGLG1ST	Participant asked first about BIG GOD	0 = no; 1 = yes
PRAY††	How often do you pray?	4 = every day or mult. times per day; 3 = a few times per week; 2 = a few times per month; 1 = a few times per year; 0 = very rarely/never
BGRIT††	How often do you perform rituals or ceremonies devoted to BIG GOD?	4 = every day or mult. times per day; 3 = a few times per week; 2 = a few times per month; 1 = a few times per year; 0 = very rarely/never
LGRIT††	How often do you perform rituals or ceremonies devoted to LOCAL GOD?	4 = every day or mult. times per day; 3 = a few times per week; 2 = a few times per month; 1 = a few times per year; 0 = very rarely/never
BGBLV††	Do you believe in BIG GOD?	0 = no; 1 = yes
LGBLV††	Do you believe in LOCAL GOD?	0 = no; 1 = yes
BGBP††	If you had to pick one, which is more important to you?	That people participate in rituals devoted to BIG GOD = 1; That people believe in BIG GOD = 2; Neither are important to me=3
LGBP††	If you had to pick one, which is more important to you?	That people participate in rituals devoted to BIG GOD = 1; That people believe in BIG GOD = 2; Neither are important to me=3
BGTHINK	How often do you think about BIG GOD ?	4 = every day or mult. times per day; 3 = a few times per week; 2 = a few times per month; 1 = a few times per year; 0 = very rarely/never
BGPERF	Do you perform activities or practices to talk to, or appease BIG GOD ?	0 = no; 1 = yes
BGPERFHO	If yes, how often? ((a) Daily, (b) weekly, (c) month, (d) annually, (e) other)	4 = every day or mult. times per day; 3 = a few times per week; 2 = a few times per month; 1 = a few times per year; 0 = very rarely/never
LGTHINK	How often do you think about LOCAL GOD ?	4 = every day or mult. times per day; 3 = a few times per week; 2 = a few times per month; 1 = a few times per year; 0 = very rarely/never
LGPERF	Do you perform activities or practices to talk to, or appease LOCAL GOD ?	0 = no; $1 = yes$

LGPERFHO	If yes, how often? ((a) Daily, (b) weekly, (c) month, (d) annually, (e) other)	4 = every day or mult. times per day; 3 = a few times per week; 2 = a few times per month; 1 = a few times per year; 0 = very rarely/never
BGFREQW ²	How frequently do you worry about what BIG GOD thinks about you?	0 = never; 1 = sometimes; 2 = frequently; 3 = all the time;
BGPUNISH	Does BIG GOD ever punish people for their behavior?	0 = no; $1 = yes$
BGPUNHO††	What kinds of things does BIG GOD punish people for doing?	string
BGSTEAL ³	How often does BIG GOD punish people for <u>stealing</u> ?	0 = never; 1 = sometimes; 2 = frequently; 3 = all the time;
BGSTEALIMP	How important is punishing thieves to BIG GOD?	(0) Not important at all, (1) A little important, (2) Important, (3) Very important, (4) The most important thing.
BGLYING ³	How often does BIG GOD punish people for <u>lying</u> ?	0 = never; 1 = sometimes; 2 = frequently; 3 = all the time;
BGLIEIMP	How important is punishing liars to BIG GOD?	(0) Not important at all, (1) A little important, (2) Important, (3) Very important, (4) The most important thing.
BGMURDER ³	How often does BIG GOD punish people for murder?	0 = never; 1 = sometimes; 2 = frequently; 3 = all the time;
BGMURDIMP	How important is punishing murderers to BIG GOD?	(0) Not important at all, (1) A little important, (2) Important, (3) Very important, (4) The most important thing.
BGFEEL	Can BIG GOD see into people's hearts or know their thoughts and feelings? $(1 = yes, 0 = no)$	0 = no; $1 = yes$
BGSEE	Can BIG GOD see what people are doing if they are far away, in[in distance	0 = no; 1 = yes

² For Cachoeira, Coastal Tanna (Wave I), Inland Tanna (Wave I), Marajo, Sursurunga, and Tyva Republic these questions were (0) very rarely/never; (1) a few times per year; (2) a few times per month; (3) a few times per week; (4) every day or multiple times per day. One way to normalize the data is to divide values by the maximum possible values in each site.

³ For Cachoeira, Marajo, Sursurunga, and Tyva Republic these questions were (0) very rarely/never; (1) a few times per year; (2) a few times per month; (3) a few times per week; (4) every day or multiple times per day. One way to normalize the data is to divide values by the maximum possible values in each site.

	town or city familiar to locals; further is better)	
BGREWARD ³	How often does BIG GOD assist people in their	0 = never; $1 = sometimes$; $2 = frequently$; $3 = all the$
	lives or reward them for proper behavior?	time;
BGDIE	Can BIG GOD influence what happens to	0 = no; 1 = yes
	people after they die? (yes =1, no = 0)	
BGSTRANGER	Does BIG GOD care about how people treat	0 = no; 1 = yes
	strangers? (yes =1, no = 0)	
BGOTHERRIT	Does BIG GOD care about how people treat	0 = no; 1 = yes
	other people who perform rituals for BIG GOD	
	(yes = 1, no = 0)	
BGPERFC	Does BIG GOD care about whether people	0 = no; 1 = yes
	perform certain rituals? (yes =1, no = 0)	
BGMON††	Does BIG GOD care about some people having	0 = no; 1 = yes
_	more money and food than other people?	
LGFREQW ²	How frequently do you worry about what	0 = never; 1 = sometimes; 2 = frequently; 3 = all the
	LOCAL GOD thinks about you?	time;
LGPUNISH	Does LOCAL GOD ever punish people for	0 = no; 1 = yes
	their behavior? [yes = 1 , no = 0]	
LGPUNHO††	What kinds of things does LOCAL GOD punish	string
	people for doing?	
LGSTEAL ³	How often does LOCAL GOD punish people	0 = never; 1 = sometimes; 2 = frequently; 3 = all the
	for stealing?	time;
LGSTEALIMP	How important is punishing thieves to LOCAL	(0) Not important at all, (1) A little important, (2)
	GOD?	Important, (3) Very important, (4) The most important
- 07		thing.
LGLYING ³	How often does LOCAL GOD punish people	0 = never; 1 = sometimes; 2 = frequently; 3 = all the
	for lying?	time;
LGLIEIMP	How important is punishing liars to LOCAL	(0) Not important at all, (1) A little important, (2)
	GOD?	Important, (3) Very important, (4) The most important
L CLAUDDED?	II. C. I. LOCAL COD.	thing.
LGMURDER ³	How often does LOCAL GOD punish people	0 = never; 1 = sometimes; 2 = frequently; 3 = all the
I CIMIDDING	for murder?	time;
LGMURDIMP	How important is punishing murderers to	(0) Not important at all, (1) A little important, (2)

	LOCAL GOD?	Important, (3) Very important, (4) The most important thing.
LGFEEL	Can LOCAL GOD see into people's hearts or know their thoughts and feelings? (1= yes, 0 = no)	0 = no; $1 = yes$
LGSEE	Can LOCAL GOD see what people are doing if they are far away, in[in distance town or city familiar to locals; further is better)	0 = no; $1 = yes$
LGREWARD ³	How often does LOCAL GOD assist people in their lives or reward them for proper behavior?	0 = never; 1 = sometimes; 2 = frequently; 3 = all the time;
LGDIE	Can LOCAL GOD influence what happens to people after they die? (yes $=1$, no $=0$)	0 = no; $1 = yes$
LGSTRANGER	Does LOCAL GOD care about how people treat strangers? (yes $=1$, no $=0$)	0 = no; $1 = yes$
LGOTHERRIT	Does LOCAL GOD care about how people treat other people who perform rituals for LOCAL GOD (yes = 1, no = 0)	0 = no; $1 = yes$
LGPERFC	Does LOCAL GOD care about whether people perform certain rituals? (yes $=1$, no $=0$)	0 = no; $1 = yes$
LGMON††	Does LOCAL GOD care about some people having more money and food than other people?	0 = no; $1 = yes$
POLEVAL	Most members of the police are	-2=extremely bad; =1=bad; 0=neither good nor bad; 1=good; 2=extremely good
CORELEMO	Using these pictures, how emotionally close do you feel to a DISTANT?	1 = completely separate to 5 = completely part of
INGREMO	Using these pictures, how emotionally close do you feel toward an LOCAL?	1 = completely separate to 5 = completely part of
OUTGREMO	Using these pictures, how emotionally close do you feel toward an OUTGROUP?	1 = completely separate to 5 = completely part of
RELCOR††	What kind of relations do LOACL have with DISTANT?	-2 = very bad; 1 = a little bad; 0 = I don't know/neutral; 1 = a little good; 2 = very good
RELOUT††	What kind of relations to LOCAL have with OUTGROUP?	-2 = very bad; 1 = a little bad; 0 = I don't know/neutral; 1 = a little good; 2 = very good

CORELSIM	How similar are DISTANT's traditions/religious	2 = very similar; 1 = similar; 0 = same; -1 = different;
	beliefs and practices with the LOCAL?	-2 = very different
OUTSIM	How similar are OUTGROUP's	2 = very similar; 1 = similar; 0 = same; -1 = different;
	traditions/religious beliefs and practices with the	-2 = very different
	LOCAL?	
INNUM	How many people make up the LOCAL?	number
CONUM	How many people make up the DISTANT?	number
OUTNUM	How many people make up the OUTGROUP?	number
SURVEY. NOTES	Notes on religiosity survey	string
LOCATION.RELIG	Games/interviews played in a parish location	0 = no; 1 = yes
	(Huatasani)	
RELCAT	Person affiliated to BG religion (Huatasani &	0 = no; 1 = yes
	Inland Tanna sites)	
LANG.Huatasani	Language spoken: Aymara/Quechua (Huatasani)	1 = Quechua; 2 = Aymara
DISTTOWN.Huatasani	Type of DISTANT/OUTGROUP (Huatasani)	1 = Vilque Chicho; 2 = Taraco
LGTYPE	The type of local god at Huatasani & Kananga	1 = Apus; $2 = Saints$; $3 = Kadima$; $4 = Ancestors$
	sites	
MISSIONARY	Have a missionary ever tried to convert you?	0 = no; $1 = yes$
THE CALLS	(Hadza site)	0.10
INGROUP3	Donation to LOCAL cup in DG3 (Hadza)	0-10
OUTGROUP3	Donation to OUTGROUP cup in DG3 (Hadza)	0-10
BGTHINKH	Do you think about BIG GOD?	(-1) no; (0) don't know; (1) yes
BGFREQWH	Does BIG GOD think about you?	(-1) no; (0) don't know; (1) yes
BGSTEALH	Does BIG GOD punish people for stealing?	(-1) no; (0) don't know; (1) yes
BGLYINGH	Does BIG GOD punish people for lying?	(-1) no; (0) don't know; (1) yes
BGMURDERH	Does BIG GOD punish people for murder?	(-1) no; (0) don't know; (1) yes
BGREWARDH	Does BIG GOD help people or give them gifts	(-1) no; (0) don't know; (1) yes
	for being good?	
LGTHINKH	Do you think about LOCAL GOD?	(-1) no; (0) don't know; (1) yes
LGFREQWH	Does LOCAL GOD think about you?	(-1) no; (0) don't know; (1) yes
LGSTEALH	Does LOCAL GOD punish people for stealing?	(-1) no; (0) don't know; (1) yes
LGLYINGH	Does LOCAL GOD punish people for lying?	(-1) no; (0) don't know; (1) yes

LGMURDERH	Does LOCAL GOD punish people for murder?	(-1) no; (0) don't know; (1) yes
LGREWARDH	Does LOCAL GOD help people or give them gifts for being good?	(-1) no; (0) don't know; (1) yes
POLEVALH	Do you think the POLICE are good, bad, or don't know?	(-1) bad; (0) don't know; (1) good
CORELEMOH	Using these pictures, how emotionally close do you feel to a DISTANT?	0 (completely separate to) to 4 (completely part of)
INGREMOH	Using these pictures, how emotionally close do you feel toward an LOCAL?	0 (completely separate to) to 4 (completely part of)
OUTGREMOH	Using these pictures, how emotionally close do you feel toward an OUTGROUP?	0 (completely separate to) to 4 (completely part of)