

# PART II

## MEROMORPHIC FUNCTIONS

We can use the tools developed about holomorphic functions to study certain functions that are holomorphic except at a few points. We can study such functions by studying the functions around the ‘singularities’. The important tool to study such functions is the Laurent series expansion. With analytic functions we generalised polynomials to power series, with meromorphic functions, our goal is to similarly generalise rational functions.

### 1 | SINGULARITIES AND RESIDUES

We are interested in functions that are holomorphic in a neighborhood, except at some isolated points. These are similar to rational functions of the form  $1/P(z)$ . The zeros of the  $P(z)$  are the problematic parts. To study such functions we study the behavior of the function in an annulus around the point where it's not holomorphic.

Let  $\Omega$  be the annulus  $\rho_1 < |z| < \rho_2$ , then for any function  $f \in \mathcal{H}(\Omega)$ , and a loop,  $\gamma_r = re^{2\pi it}$ , for  $t \in [0, 1]$ , we have,

$$\int_{\gamma_r} f dz = \int_{[0,1]} f(re^{2\pi it})(2\pi i)re^{2\pi it} dt = 2\pi i \int_{[0,1]} g(re^{2\pi it}) dt$$

where  $g(z) = zf(z)$ . So,

$$\frac{d}{dr} \int_{\gamma_r} f dz = 2\pi i \int_{[0,1]} g'(re^{2\pi it}) \cdot e^{2\pi it} dt = r^{-1} \int_{[0,1]} \frac{d}{dt} g(re^{2\pi it}) dt = r^{-1} [g(r) - g(r)] = 0.$$

So the integral,  $\int_{\gamma_r} f dz$  is independent of  $\rho_1 < r < \rho_2$ . For any  $w \in \Omega$ , define a holomorphic function  $g \in \mathcal{H}(\Omega)$  by,

$$g(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{f(z)-f(w)}{z-w} & z \in \Omega, w \neq z \\ f'(w) & z = w \end{cases}$$

$$\int_{\gamma_r} \frac{f(z)-f(w)}{z-w} dz = \int_{\gamma_r} \frac{f(z)}{z-w} dz - \int_{\gamma_r} \frac{f(w)}{z-w} dz.$$

The second term equals  $2\pi i f(w)$  if  $|w| < r$  and is zero for  $|w| > r$ . For all  $w \in \Omega$ , we can find  $r_1, r_2$  with  $\rho_1 < r_1 < |w| < r_2 < \rho_2$ , by the independence of  $\int_{\gamma_r} g dz$  on  $r$  for all  $\rho_1 < r < \rho_2$ , we get,

$$f(w) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left[ \int_{\gamma_{r_2}} \frac{f(z)}{z-w} dz - \int_{\gamma_{r_1}} \frac{f(z)}{z-w} dz \right]$$

we will exploit this formula to study these functions.

**THEOREM 1.1. (LAURENT SERIES)** Let  $f \in \mathcal{H}(\Omega)$ , where  $\Omega$  is the annulus with  $\rho_1 < |z| < \rho_2$ . Then  $f$  can be uniquely written as,

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n z^n.$$

The series converges uniformly and absolutely for any compact set in  $\Omega$ .

### PROOF

Similar to the proof of showing holomorphic functions are analytic, the proof involves expanding  $1/(z-w)$ . Let  $a_n$  be defined by,

$$a_n = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma_r} \frac{f(z)}{z^{n+1}} dz$$

For  $|w| < |z| = r_2$ , we have,  $1/(z-w) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} w^n/z^{n+1}$  and for  $|w| > |z| = r_1$  we have,  $1/(z-w) = -\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} z^m/w^{m+1} = -\sum_{n=-\infty}^{-1} w^n/z^{n-1}$ , where  $n = -m-1$ . This gives us,

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma_{r_2}} \frac{f(z)}{(z-w)} dz = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n w^n \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma_{r_1}} \frac{f(z)}{(z-w)} dz = -\sum_{n=-\infty}^{-1} a_n w^n.$$

Since,  $f(w) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left[ \int_{\gamma_{r_2}} \frac{f(z)}{z-w} dz - \int_{\gamma_{r_1}} \frac{f(z)}{z-w} dz \right]$ , we have,

$$f(w) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n z^n.$$

convergence follows from the convergence of  $\sum_{n=-\infty}^0 a_n z^n$  and  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ . For the uniqueness, let  $f(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} c_n z^n$ , we can determine  $c_n$ , by the uniform convergence of  $\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} c_n z^n$ , consider the integral

$$\int_{[0,1]} f(re^{2\pi i t}) e^{2\pi i m t} dt = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} c_n \int_{[0,1]} r^n e^{2\pi i(n-m)t} dt = c_m r^m.$$

or we can write  $c_n = r^{-n} \int_{[0,1]} f(re^{2\pi i t}) e^{2\pi i n t} dt = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma_r} \frac{f(z)}{z^{n+1}} dz$  which is the same as  $a_n$ .  $\square$

**THEOREM 1.2. (RIEMANN EXTENSION THEOREM)** Let  $\Omega$  be a disc of radius  $\rho$  around 0, and let  $f \in \mathcal{H}(\Omega^*)$ ,  $\Omega^* = \Omega \setminus \{0\}$ . If

$$zf(z) \rightarrow 0$$

as  $z \rightarrow 0$ , then there exists  $F \in \mathcal{H}(\Omega)$  such that  $F|_{\Omega^*} = f$ .

### PROOF

For  $w \in \Omega^*$  we have,

$$f(w) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n z^n$$

where  $a_n = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma_r} \frac{f(z)}{z^{n+1}} dz$  for  $\gamma_r \subset \Omega$ . Let  $M(r) = \sup_{|z|=r} |f(z)|$ , by assumption we have,  $rM(r) \rightarrow 0$  as  $r \rightarrow 0$ . So we have,

$$|a_n| = \left| \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma_r} \frac{f(z)}{z^{n+1}} dz \right| = \left| \int_{[0,1]} f(re^{2\pi i t}) r^{-n} e^{-2\pi i n t} dt \right| \leq r^{-n} M(r).$$

for  $n \leq -1$  the term  $r^{-n-1} \cdot rM(r) \rightarrow 0$  as  $r \rightarrow 0$ . Since  $a_n$  is independent of  $r$   $a_n$  must be identically zero. Thus we have,

$$f(w) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n.$$

By Weirstrass theorem,  $\{\sum_{n=0}^m a_n z^n\}_{m \geq 0} \subset \mathcal{H}(\Omega)$  converges to  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$  and hence  $F := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n \in \mathcal{H}(\Omega) \in \mathcal{H}(\Omega)$  with  $F|_{\Omega^*} = f$ .  $\square$

A rational function is a function of the form,  $\frac{Q(z)}{P(z)}$ , where  $Q(z)$  and  $P(z)$  are polynomials. Analytic functions were a generalization of polynomial functions, and we considered all power series. Now our goal is to study functions of the form

$$f(z) = \frac{g(z)}{h(z)}$$

where  $h$  and  $g$  are analytic functions, i.e., they can be locally written as power series.

A function  $f$  on  $\Omega$  is meromorphic if it's holomorphic on  $\Omega$  except at a finite number of points  $\mathcal{E}$ , such that around each point in  $\mathcal{E}$ , there exists a small disc  $D \subset \Omega$  such that

$$f \cdot h|_D = g|_D.$$

with  $h$  and  $g$  being holomorphic functions on  $D$ .

**LEMMA 1.3.** *Let  $\Omega$  is a disc around 0, and let  $f \in \mathcal{H}(\Omega^*)$ , let  $f(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n z^n$  be its Laurent expansion at 0.  $f$  is meromorphic on  $D$  iff there exists an integer  $N$  such that  $c_n = 0$  for  $n < -N$ .*

### PROOF

Suppose  $f$  is meromorphic on  $\Omega$ , let  $B_\rho$  be a disc around 0 for which there exists two holomorphic functions  $g, h \in \mathcal{H}(B_\rho)$  such that

$$f \cdot h|_{B_\rho} = g|_{B_\rho}.$$

Since  $h \in \mathcal{H}(B_\rho)$  we can write it as,  $h(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} h_n z^n$ , let  $N = \inf\{n | h_n \neq 0\}$ . Now  $h(z)$  can be written as,  $h(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} h_n z^n = z^N \varphi(z)$ , so by definition of  $N$  we have that  $\varphi(0) = h_N$ . So there exists some neighborhood  $U$  of 0 for which  $\varphi(z) \neq 0$  and hence  $g/\varphi \in \mathcal{H}(U)$ .

If  $g(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g_n z^n$  is the power series expansion of  $g$  then we have,

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g_n z^{n-N}$$

By uniqueness of Laurent expansion we have that  $a_n = g_{n+N}$ .

The converse is much simpler, given  $f(z) = \sum_{n=-N}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ , we can write it as,

$$\underbrace{(z)^N}_{h(z)} f(z) = \underbrace{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n-N} z^n}_{g(z)}.$$

$\square$

**THEOREM 1.4.** *Let  $f \in \mathcal{H}(\Omega \setminus \mathcal{E})$ .  $f$  is meromorphic on  $\Omega$  iff for every  $z_0 \in \mathcal{E}$ , there exists a neighborhood  $U$  of  $z_0$  with  $U \cap \mathcal{E} = \{z_0\}$  such that*

$$f|_{U \setminus \{z_0\}} \text{ is bounded, or, } |f(z)| \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } z \rightarrow z_0.$$

**PROOF**

If  $f|_{U \setminus \{z_0\}}$  is bounded then by Riemann extension theorem, there exists a holomorphic function  $g \in \mathcal{H}(U)$  such that  $f|_{U \setminus \{z_0\}} = g|_{U \setminus \{z_0\}}$ , and hence we have,

$$1 \cdot f|_{U \setminus \{z_0\}} = g|_{U \setminus \{z_0\}}.$$

If  $|f(z)| \rightarrow \infty$  as  $z \rightarrow z_0$  then by continuity there exists some disc  $B_\rho$  around 0 for which  $|f(z)| \geq 1$ , or  $1/|f(z)|$  is bounded. So, applying Riemann extension to  $1/f(z)$ , we have,  $1 \cdot \frac{1}{f(z)} = g(z)$ , or

$$f \cdot g|_{B_\rho} = 1|_{B_\rho}.$$

Hence  $f$  is meromorphic.

For the other side, let  $f$  be a meromorphic function, let  $U$  be a neighborhood of  $z_0 \in \mathcal{E}$  such that  $U \cap \mathcal{E} = \{z_0\}$ . Since  $f$  is meromorphic, we have,

$$hf|_{U \setminus \{z_0\}} = g|_{U \setminus \{z_0\}}.$$

$h, g$  holomorphic on  $U$ . So we can write them as  $h(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} h_n(z - z_0)^n = (z - z_0)^k \varphi(z)$  and  $g(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g_n(z - z_0)^n = (z - z_0)^l \varkappa(z)$ , with  $\varphi(z), \varkappa(z) \neq 0$ . So, we have,

$$f(z) = (z - z_0)^{k-l} \varphi(z) / \varkappa(z)$$

if  $k \geq l$ , then  $f$  is bounded otherwise  $|f(z)| \rightarrow \infty$  as  $z \rightarrow z_0$ . □

Let  $f$  be a meromorphic function on an open set  $\Omega$ , a point  $z_0$  is said to be a pole of  $f$  if  $|f(z)| \rightarrow \infty$  as  $z \rightarrow z_0$ . If it's not a pole then it can be extended to a holomorphic function by Riemann extension theorem.

**THEOREM 1.5. (CASORATI-WEIERSTRASS)**

## REFERENCES