

Inference in Explicit Duration Hidden Markov Models

Frank Wood

Joint work with Chris Wiggins, Mike Dewar

Columbia University


November, 2011

Hidden Markov models (HMMs) [Rabiner, 1989] are an important tool for data exploration and engineering applications.

Applications include

- Speech recognition [Jelinek, 1997, Juang and Rabiner, 1985]
- Natural language processing [Manning and Schütze, 1999]
- Hand-writing recognition [Nag et al., 1986]
- DNA and other biological sequence modeling applications [Krogh et al., 1994]
- Gesture recognition [Tanguay Jr, 1995, Wilson and Bobick, 1999]
- Financial data modeling [Rydén et al., 1998]
- ... and many more.

Graphical Model: Hidden Markov Model



Notation: Hidden Markov Model

$$z_t | z_{t-1} = m \sim \text{Discrete}(\boldsymbol{\pi}_m)$$

$$y_t | z_t = m \sim F_{\theta}(\theta_m)$$

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \boldsymbol{\pi}_1 & \cdots & \boldsymbol{\pi}_m & \cdots & \boldsymbol{\pi}_K \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \end{bmatrix}$$

HMM: Typical Usage Scenario (Character Recognition)

- Training data: multiple “observed” $y_t = \{v_t, h_t\}$ sequences of stylus positions for each kind of character
- Task: train $|\Sigma|$ different models, one for each character
- Latent states: sequences of strokes
- Usage: classify new stylus position sequences using trained models $\mathcal{M}_\sigma = \{A_\sigma, \Theta_\sigma\}$

$$P(\mathcal{M}_\sigma | y_1, \dots, y_T) \propto P(y_1, \dots, y_T | \mathcal{M}_\sigma) P(\mathcal{M}_\sigma)$$

Shortcomings of Original HMM Specification

- Latent state dwell times are not usually geometrically distributed

$$\begin{aligned} P(z_t = m, \dots, z_{t+L} = m | A) \\ &= \prod_{\ell=1}^L P(z_{t+\ell+1} = m | z_{t+\ell} = m, A) \\ &= \text{Geometric}(L; \pi_m(m)) \end{aligned}$$

- Prior knowledge often suggests structural constraints on allowable transitions
- The state cardinality of the latent Markov chain K is usually unknown

[Mitchell et al., 1995, Murphy, 2002, Yu and Kobayashi, 2003, Yu, 2010]

- Latent state sequence $\mathbf{z} = (\{s_1, r_1\}, \dots, \{s_T, r_T\})$
- Latent state id sequence $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_T)$
- Latent “remaining duration” sequence $\mathbf{r} = (r_1, \dots, r_T)$
- State-specific duration distribution $F_r(\lambda_m)$
- Other distributions the same


An EDHMM transitions between states in a different way than does a typical HMM. Unless $r_t = 0$ the current remaining duration is decremented and the state does not change. If $r_t = 0$ then the EDHMM transitions to a state $m \neq s_t$ according to the distribution defined by π_{s_t}

Problem: inference requires enumerating possible durations.

Latent state $z_t = \{s_t, r_t\}$ is tuple consisting of state identity and time left in state.

$$\begin{aligned} s_t | s_{t-1}, r_{t-1} &\sim \begin{cases} \mathbb{I}(s_t = s_{t-1}), & r_{t-1} > 0 \\ \text{Discrete}(\boldsymbol{\pi}_{s_{t-1}}), & r_{t-1} = 0 \end{cases} \\ r_t | s_t, r_{t-1} &\sim \begin{cases} \mathbb{I}(r_t = r_{t-1} - 1), & r_{t-1} > 0 \\ F_r(\lambda_{s_t}), & r_{t-1} = 0 \end{cases} \\ y_t | s_t &\sim F_\theta(\theta_{s_t}) \end{aligned}$$

EDHMM: Graphical Model



Structured HMMs: i.e. left-to-right HMM [Rabiner, 1989]

Example: Chicken pox

Observations vital signs

Latent states pre-infection, infected, post-infection^a

State transition structure can't go from infected to pre-infection


^adisregarding shingles

Structured transitions also imply zeros in the transition matrix A , i.e.

$$p(s_t = m | s_{t-1} = \ell) = 0 \quad \forall m < \ell$$

- We will put a *prior* on parameters so that we can effect a solution that conforms to our ideas about what the solution should look like
 - Structured prior examples
 - $A_{i,j} = 0$ (hard constraints)
 - $A_{i,j} \approx \sum_j A_{i,j}$ (rich get richer)
- *Regularization* means that we can specify a model with more parameters than could possibly be needed
 - infinite complexity (i.e. $K \rightarrow \infty$) avoids many model selection problems
 - “extra” states can be thought of as auxiliary or nuisance variables
 - inference requires sampling in a model with countably infinite support
- Posterior over latent variables encodes uncertainty about interpretation of data.

Bayesian HMM




$$\pi_m \sim H_z$$

$$\theta_m \sim H_y$$

$$z_t | z_{t-1} = m \sim \text{Discrete}(\pi_m)$$

$$y_t | z_t = m \sim F_\theta(\theta_m)$$

Infinite HMMs (IHMM) [Beal et al., 2002, Teh et al., 2006]



Sticky Infinite HMM [Fox et al., 2011]

Inference for the Explicit Duration HMM (EDHMM)


Simple Idea

- Infinite HMMs and EDHMMs share fundamental characteristic: countable support
- Inference techniques for Bayesian nonparametric (infinite) HMMs can be used for EDHMM inference

Result

- Approximation-free, efficient inference algorithm for EDHMM inference

Bayesian EDHMM: Graphical Model



A choice of prior

$$\lambda_m | H_r \sim \text{Gamma}(H_r)$$

$$\pi_m | H_z \sim \text{Dir}(1/K, 1/K, \dots, 1/K, 0, 1/K, \dots, 1/K, 1/K)$$

We employ the forward-filtering, backward slice-sampling approach for the IHMM of [Van Gael et al., 2008] , in which the state and duration variables \mathbf{s} and \mathbf{r} are sampled conditioned on auxiliary slice variables \mathbf{u} .

Net result: efficient, always finite forward-backward procedure for sampling latent states and the amount of time spent in them.

Auxiliary Variables for Sampling


Objective: get samples of x .



Auxiliary Variables for Sampling

Objective: get samples of x .

Sometimes it is easier to introduce an auxiliary variable u and to Gibbs sample the joint $P(x, u)$ (i.e. sample from $P(x|u; \lambda)$ then $P(u|x, \lambda)$, etc.) then discard the u values than it is to directly sample from $p(x|\lambda)$.




Auxiliary Variables for Sampling

Objective: get samples of x .

Sometimes it is easier to introduce an auxiliary variable u and to Gibbs sample the joint $P(x, u)$ (i.e. sample from $P(x|u; \lambda)$ then $P(u|x, \lambda)$, etc.) then discard the u values than it is to directly sample from $p(x|\lambda)$.

Useful when: $p(x|\lambda)$ does not have a known parametric form but adding u results in a parametric form *and* when x has countable support and sampling it requires enumerating all values.



Slice Sampling: A very useful auxiliary variable sampling trick

Pedagogical Example:

- $x|\lambda \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda)$ (countable support)
- *enumeration* strategy for sampling x (impossible)¹
- auxiliary variable u with $P(u|x, \lambda) = \frac{\mathbb{I}(0 \leq u \leq P(x|\lambda))}{P(x|\lambda)}$

Note: Marginal distribution of x is

$$\begin{aligned} P(x|\lambda) &= \sum_u P(x, u|\lambda) \\ &= \sum_u P(x|\lambda) P(u|x, \lambda) \\ &= \sum_u P(x|\lambda) \frac{\mathbb{I}(0 \leq u \leq P(x|\lambda))}{P(x|\lambda)} \\ &= \sum_u \mathbb{I}(0 \leq u \leq P(x|\lambda)) = P(x|\lambda) \end{aligned}$$


¹Necessary in EDHMM

Slice Sampling: A very useful auxiliary variable sampling trick


This suggests a Gibbs sampling scheme: alternately sampling from

- $P(x|u, \lambda) \propto \mathbb{I}(u \leq P(x|\lambda))$
 - *finite support, uniform above slice, enumeration possible*
- $P(u|x, \lambda) = \frac{\mathbb{I}(0 \leq u \leq P(x|\lambda))}{P(x|\lambda)}$
 - uniform between 0 and $y = P(x|\lambda)$

then discarding the u values to arrive at x samples marginally distributed according to $P(x|\lambda)$.



EDHMM Graphical Model with Auxiliary Variables



With auxiliary variables defined as

$$p(u_t | z_t, z_{t-1}) = \frac{\mathbb{I}(0 < u_t < p(z_t | z_{t-1}))}{p(z_t | z_{t-1})}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} p(z_t | z_{t-1}) &= p((s_t, r_t) | (s_{t-1}, r_{t-1})) \\ &= \begin{cases} r_{t-1} > 0, & \mathbb{I}(s_t = s_{t-1}) \mathbb{I}(r_t = r_{t-1} - 1) \\ r_{t-1} = 0, & \pi_{s_{t-1}s_t} F_r(r_t; \lambda_{s_t}). \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

one can run standard forward-backward conditioned on u 's.

Forward-backward slice sampling only has to consider a finite number of successor states at each timestep.

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\alpha}_t(z_t) &= p(z_t, \mathcal{Y}_1^t, \mathcal{U}_1^t) \\ &= \sum_{z_{t-1}} p(z_t, z_{t-1}, \mathcal{Y}_1^t, \mathcal{U}_1^t) \\ &\propto \sum_{z_{t-1}} p(u_t | z_t, z_{t-1}) p(z_t, z_{t-1}, \mathcal{Y}_1^t, \mathcal{U}_1^{t-1}) \\ &= \sum_{z_{t-1}} \mathbb{I}(0 < u_t < p(z_t | z_{t-1})) p(y_t | z_t) \hat{\alpha}_{t-1}(z_{t-1}).\end{aligned}$$

Backward Sampling

Recursively sample a state sequence from the distribution $p(z_{t-1}|z_t, \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{U})$ which can be expressed in terms of the forward variable:

$$\begin{aligned} p(z_{t-1}|z_t, \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{U}) &\propto p(z_t, z_{t-1}, \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{U}) \\ &\propto p(u_t|z_t, z_{t-1})p(z_t|z_{t-1})\hat{\alpha}_{t-1}(z_{t-1}) \\ &\propto \mathbb{I}(0 < u_t < p(z_t|z_{t-1}))\hat{\alpha}_{t-1}(z_{t-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Results

To illustrate EDHMM learning on synthetic data, five hundred datapoints were generated using a 4 state EDHMM with Poisson duration distributions


$$\lambda = (10, 20, 3, 7)$$

and Gaussian emission distributions with means


$$\mu = (-6, -2, 2, 6)$$

all unit variance.


EDHMM: Synthetic Data Results




EDHMM: Synthetic Data, State Mean Posterior



EDHMM: Synthetic Data, State Duration Parameter Posterior



EDHMM: Nanoscale Transistor Spontaneous Voltage Fluctuation



EDHMM: States Not Distinguishable By Output

Task: understand system with states that have identical observation distributions and differ only in duration distribution.


Observation distributions have means $\mu_1 = 0$, $\mu_2 = 0$, and $\mu_3 = 3$ and the duration distributions have rates $\lambda_1 = 5$, $\lambda_2 = 15$, $\lambda_3 = 20$.

(Next slide)


a) observations; b) true states overlaid with 20 randomly selected state traces produced by the sampler.

Samples from the posterior observation distribution mean are shown in c), and samples from the posterior duration distribution rates are shown in d).


EDHMM: States Not Distinguishable By Output




(a)



(b)




(c)



(d)

EDHMM vs. IHMM: Modeling the Morse Code Cepstrum



- Novel inference procedure for EDHMMs that doesn't require truncation and is more efficient than considering all possible durations.




Figure: Mean number of transitions considered per time point by the beam sampler for 1000 post-burn-in iterations. Compare to $(KT)^2 = O(10^6)$ transitions that would need to be considered by standard forward backward without truncation, the only surely safe, truncation-free alternative.

- Novel Gamma process construction for dependent, structured, infinite dimensional HMM transition distributions.
- Generalize to spatial prior on HMM states (“location”)
 - Simultaneous location and mapping
 - Process diagram modeling for systems biology
- Applications; seeking “users”

Questions?

Thank you!



- M J Beal, Z Ghahramani, and C E Rasmussen. The Infinite Hidden Markov Model. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, pages 29–245, March 2002.
- M Dewar, C Wiggins, and F Wood. Inference in hidden Markov models with explicit state duration distributions. *In Submission*, 2011.
- E B Fox, E B Sudderth, M I Jordan, and A S Willsky. A Sticky HDP-HMM with Application to Speaker Diarization. *Annals of Applied Statistics*, 5(2A):1020–1056, 2011.
- F. Jelinek. *Statistical Methods for Speech Recognition*. MIT Press, 1997.
- B H Juang and L R Rabiner. Mixture Autoregressive Hidden Markov Models for Speech Signals. *IEEE Transactions on Acoustics, Speech, and Signal Processing*, 33(6):1404–1413, 1985.

- A. Krogh, M. Brown, I. S. Mian, K. Sjolander, and D. Haussler. Hidden Markov models in computational biology: Applications to protein modelling . *Journal of Molecular Biology*, 235:1501–1531, 1994.
- C. Manning and H. Schütze. *Foundations of statistical natural language processing*. MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, 1999.
- C Mitchell, M Harper, and L Jamieson. On the complexity of explicit duration HMM's. *IEEE Transactions on Speech and Audio Processing*, 3 (3):213–217, 1995.
- K P Murphy. Hidden semi-markov models (HSMMs). Technical report, MIT, 2002.
- R. Nag, K. Wong, and F. Fallside. Script regonition using hidden Markov models. In *ICASSP86*, pages 2071–2074, 1986.
- L R Rabiner. A tutorial on hidden Markov models and selected applications in speech recognition. In *Proceedings of the IEEE*, pages 257–286, 1989.

- T. Rydén, T. Teräsvirta, and S. rAsbrink. Stylized facts of daily return series and the hidden markov model. *Journal of Applied Econometrics*, 13(3):217–244, 1998.
- D.O. Tanguay Jr. *Hidden Markov models for gesture recognition*. PhD thesis, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 1995.
- Y W Teh, M I Jordan, M J Beal, and D M Blei. Hierarchical Dirichlet Processes. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 101(476): 1566–1581, 2006.
- J Van Gael, Y Saatchi, Y W Teh, and Z Ghahramani. Beam sampling for the infinite hidden Markov model. In *Proceedings of the 25th International Conference on Machine Learning*, pages 1088–1095. ACM, 2008.
- A.D. Wilson and A.F. Bobick. Parametric hidden Markov models for gesture recognition. *Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, IEEE Transactions on*, 21(9):884–900, 1999.

- S Yu and H Kobayashi. An Efficient Forward–Backward Algorithm for an Explicit-Duration Hidden Markov Model. *Signal Processing letters*, 10(1):11–14, 2003.
- S Z Yu. Hidden semi-Markov models. *Artificial Intelligence*, 174(2): 215–243, 2010.