Quadratic Optimization Introduction and Equality Constrained QP

John Bagterp Jørgensen

Department of Applied Mathematics and Computer Science The Technical University of Denmark jbjo@dtu.dk

> 02612 Constrained Optimization March 2020

Outline

Introduction

Examples

QP without Constraints

Equality Constrained Convex $\operatorname{\mathsf{QP}}$

Convex Quadratic Program

The convex quadratic programming problem

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \quad F(x) = \frac{1}{2}x'Hx + g'x + \gamma \tag{1a}$$

$$s.t. a_i'x = b_i i = 1, \dots, m_a (1b)$$

$$c_i'x \ge d_i \qquad i = 1, \dots, m_c$$
 (1c)

H positive semi-definite: Convex QPH positive definite: Strictly Convex QP

Convex QP

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \quad F(x) = \frac{1}{2}x'Hx + g'x + \gamma \tag{2a}$$

$$s.t. A'x = b (2b)$$

$$C'x \ge d \tag{2c}$$

Equality Constrained QP

Equality constrained QP

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \quad F(x) = \frac{1}{2}x'Hx + g'x + \gamma \tag{3a}$$

$$s.t.$$
 $a_i'x = b_i$ $i = 1, 2, ..., m_a$ (3b)

Equality constrained QP in matrix form

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \qquad F(x) = \frac{1}{2}x'Hx + g'x + \gamma \tag{4a}$$

$$s.t. A'x = b (4b)$$

 $A = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & \dots & a_{m_a} \end{bmatrix}$ and $b = \begin{bmatrix} b_1 & b_2 & \dots & b_{m_a} \end{bmatrix}'$ The associated necessary and sufficient conditions for optimality

$$\begin{bmatrix} H & -A \\ -A' & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = - \begin{bmatrix} g \\ b \end{bmatrix}$$
 (5)

Applications of Quadratic Optimization/Programming

Applications of convex QPs:

- 1. Return-risk trade-off in portfolio-optimization (Markowitz portfolio optimization problem)
- 2. Constrained least squares regression
- Subproblem in sequential quadratic programming (SQP) for solution of nonlinear programming problems
- 4. Discrete-time optimal control: Model predictive control (MPC), moving-horizon estimation (MHE)
- 5. Dynamic versions of Markowitz portfolio optimization (MPC)
- 6. Discrete-time optimal control: Production-Inventory models
- 7. Huber regression of linear models
- 8. De-trending (ℓ_1 -detrending)

Example: Portfolio Optimization

- $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ assets to invest in
- \blacktriangleright x_i fraction of fund invested in asset i
- r_i return of asset i. $r \in \mathbb{F}(\mu, H)$

Return, expected return and variance of the portfolio

$$R = \sum_{i=1}^{n} r_i x_i = r' x \tag{6}$$

$$\bar{R} = E\{R\} = E\{r'x\} = \mu'x$$
 (7)

$$V\{R\} = E\{(R - \bar{R})^2\} = E\{x'(r - \mu)(r - \mu)'x\} = x'Hx \quad (8)$$

Markowitz Portfolio Optimization Problem (risk-tolerance parameter $\kappa \in [0,\infty)$):

$$\max_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} F(x) = x'\mu - \kappa x' H x \tag{9a}$$

$$s.t.$$
 $\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i = 1$ (9b)

$$x \ge 0 \tag{9c}$$

Example: Portfolio Optimization

Markowitz Portfolio Optimization Problem ($\kappa \in [0, \infty)$):

$$\max_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} F(x) = x'\mu - \kappa x' H x$$

$$s.t. \qquad \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = 1$$
(10a)

$$x \ge 0 \tag{10c}$$

Alternative formulation (that does not always has a feasible solution)

$$\max_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} F(x) = x'\mu$$
 (11a)

$$s.t. \quad x'Hx \le \gamma$$
 (11b)

$$\sum_{i=1}^n x_i = 1$$
 (11c)

$$x \ge 0$$
 (11d)

Example: Portfolio Optimization

Markowitz Portfolio Optimization Problem ($\kappa \in [0,\infty)$):

$$\max_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} F(x) = x'\mu - \kappa x'Hx \tag{12a}$$

$$s.t.$$
 $\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i = 1$ (12b)

$$x \ge 0 \tag{12c}$$

Alternative formulation $R \in \begin{bmatrix} \min_i r_i & \max_i r_i \end{bmatrix}$

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \quad F(x) = \frac{1}{2}x'Hx \tag{13a}$$

$$s.t. \mu'x = R (13b)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i = 1 \tag{13c}$$

$$i=1 x \ge 0$$
 (13d)

Example: Constrained Least Squares Regression

Constrained Least Squares Regression Problem

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} F(x) = \frac{1}{2} \|Ax - b\|_2^2$$
 (14a)

$$s.t. l \le x \le u (14b)$$

The objective function is quadratic

$$F(x) = \frac{1}{2} \|Ax - b\|_2^2 = \frac{1}{2} (Ax - b)' (Ax - b)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} x' A' Ax + (-A'b)' x + \frac{1}{2} b' b = \frac{1}{2} x' Hx + g' x + \gamma$$
(15)

with

$$H = A'A \quad g = -A'b \quad \gamma = \frac{1}{2}b'b \tag{16}$$

Consequently, it is a convex quadratic program

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \quad F(x) = \frac{1}{2}x'Hx + g'x + \gamma \tag{17a}$$

$$s.t. l \le x \le u (17b)$$

Example: Constrained Weighted Least Squares Regression

Constrained Least Squares Regression Problem

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} F(x) = \frac{1}{2} \|Ax - b\|_W^2$$
 (18a)

$$s.t. l \le x \le u (18b)$$

The objective function is quadratic

$$F(x) = \frac{1}{2} \|Ax - b\|_{W}^{2} = \frac{1}{2} (Ax - b)' W (Ax - b)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} x' A' W Ax + (-A' W b)' x + \frac{1}{2} b' W b = \frac{1}{2} x' H x + g' x + \gamma$$
(19)

with

$$H = A'WA \quad g = -A'Wb \quad \gamma = \frac{1}{2}b'Wb \tag{20}$$

Consequently, it is a convex quadratic program

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \quad F(x) = \frac{1}{2}x'Hx + g'x + \gamma \tag{21a}$$

$$s.t. l \le x \le u (21b)$$

Example: Linear Model Predictive Control

Compute $\{u_k\}_{k=0}^{N-1}$ such that the predicted output trajectory $\{y_k\}_{k=0}^{N-1}$ follows the specified output trajectory $\{r_k\}_{k=0}^{N-1}$ as good as possible.

$$\min \quad \phi = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \|y_k - r_k\|_Q^2 + \|\Delta u_k\|_S^2$$
 (22a)
$$s.t. \quad x_{k+1} = Ax_k + Bu_k + Ed_k \qquad k = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$$
 (22b)
$$y_k = Cx_k + c_k \qquad k = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$$
 (22c)
$$u_{\min} \le u_k \le u_{\max} \qquad k = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$$
 (22d)
$$\Delta_l \le \Delta u_k \le \Delta_u \qquad k = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$$
 (22e)

Constrained least squares problem with dynamic structure.

 $\Delta u_k = u_k - u_{k-1}$

(23)

Example: Linear Moving Horizon Estimation

- ► Measurements $\{y_k\}_{k=0}^N$ given
- ▶ Exogeneous signals $\{b_k\}_{k=0}^N$ and $\{c_k\}_{k=0}^N$ given
- ▶ Determine the states $\{x_k\}_{k=0}^N$, the process noise $\{w_k\}_{k=0}^N$, and the measurement noise $\{v_k\}_{k=0}^N$

To do this solve

$$\min_{\{x_k, w_k, v_k\}} \quad \phi = \frac{1}{2} \|x_0 - \bar{x}_0\|_{P_0^{-1}}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^N \|w_k\|_{Q^{-1}}^2 + \|v_k\|_{R^{-1}}^2$$

$$(24a)$$

$$s.t. \qquad x_{k+1} = Ax_k + Hw_k + b_k \qquad k = 0, 1, \dots, N \quad (24b)$$

$$y_k = Cx_k + c_k + v_k \qquad k = 0, 1, \dots, N \quad (24c)$$

$$w_{\min} \le w_k \le w_{\max} \qquad k = 0, 1, \dots, N \quad (24d)$$

$$x_{\min} \le x_k \le x_{\max} \qquad k = 0, 1, \dots, N \quad (24e)$$

This is a smoothing problem, and an example of a constrained least squares regression problem.

Example: Production-Inventory Optimization

- ▶ u_k change in labor force (hiring / firing) in period k
- $ightharpoonup L_k$ total labor force at time k
- ▶ d_k demand in period k. Known (estimated)
- ▶ I_k inventory at time k
- ▶ p number of units produced per worker per time period
- ▶ b production capacity of machinery (units / period)

$$\min_{\{u_k\}_{k=0}^{N-1}} \quad \phi = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \left(c_1 u_k^2 + c_2 I_k \right)$$

$$s.t. \qquad L_{k+1} = L_k + u_k \qquad \qquad k = 0, 1, \dots, N-1 \quad \text{(25b)}$$

$$I_{k+1} = I_k + pL_k - d_k \qquad \qquad k = 0, 1, \dots, N-1 \quad \text{(25c)}$$

$$0 \le L_k \le b/p \qquad \qquad \text{(25d)}$$

$$0 \le I_k \qquad \qquad \text{(25e)}$$

Example: Huber Regression of Linear Models

Gertz and Wright (2001)

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \quad \phi = \sum_{i=1}^m \rho\left([Ax - b]_i \right) \qquad \rho(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}t^2 & |t| \le \tau \\ \tau |t| - \frac{1}{2}\tau^2 & |t| > \tau \end{cases}$$
 (26)

This problem can be expressed as (Mangasarian and Musicant, 2000)

$$\min_{x,y,z,w} \quad \phi = \frac{1}{2}w'w + \tau e'(y+z) \tag{27a}$$

$$s.t.$$
 $w - Ax + b - y + z = 0$ (27b)

$$y \ge 0 \tag{27c}$$

$$z \ge 0 \tag{27d}$$

or it can be obtained through solution of (Li and Swetits, 1998)

$$\min_{w} \quad \phi = \frac{1}{2}w'w + b'w \tag{28a}$$

$$s.t. \quad A'w = 0 \tag{28b}$$

$$-\tau e \le w \le \tau e \tag{28c}$$

Quadratic Unconstrained Optimization

Quadratic unconstrained optimization problem

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \quad F(x) = \frac{1}{2}x'Hx + g'x + \gamma \tag{29}$$

Optimality condition (necessary and sufficient)

$$\nabla F(x) = Hx + g = 0 \qquad \Leftrightarrow \qquad Hx = -g$$
 (30)

The optimum is

$$x = -H^{-1}g \tag{31}$$

Equality Constrained Convex QP

Equality constrained convex QP

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} F(x) = \frac{1}{2}x'Hx + g'x + \gamma$$
 (32a)
s.t. $A'x = b$ (32b)

Lagrangian

$$L(x,y) = \frac{1}{2}x'Hx + g'x + \gamma - y'(A'x - b)$$
 (33)

First order necessary and sufficient optimality conditions

$$\nabla_x L(x,y) = Hx + g - Ay = 0 \tag{34a}$$

$$\nabla_y L(x, y) = -(A'x - b) = 0$$
 (34b)

This is the augmented equation (KKT equation)

$$\begin{bmatrix} H & -A \\ -A' & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = - \begin{bmatrix} g \\ b \end{bmatrix}$$
 (35)

Equality Constrained Convex QP

Equality constrained convex QP

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \quad F(x) = \frac{1}{2}x'Hx + g'x + \gamma \tag{36a}$$

$$s.t. A'x = b (36b)$$

The corresponding KKT-system

$$\begin{bmatrix} H & -A \\ -A' & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = - \begin{bmatrix} g \\ b \end{bmatrix}$$
 (37)

(KKT system, equilibrium system, augmented system)

Take home message:

Solution of the equality constrained convex QP corresponds to solution of a KKT-system.

Solution of a KKT-system corresponds to solution of an equality constrained convex QP.

Direct Solution of the KKT System

KKT system

$$\begin{bmatrix} H & -A \\ -A' & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = - \begin{bmatrix} g \\ b \end{bmatrix}$$
 (38)

- Matlab: General sparse matrix, dense matrix LU-factorization. Not tailored for this system.
- ► LAPACK: DGESV (general dense LU-solver), DSYSV (sense solver for symmetric indefinite systems, Bunch-Kaufman pivoting)
- Harwell Subroutine Library (HSL): MA27, MA57 for sparse symmetric indefinite matrices. MA48 for sparse general matrices.
- ► Problems with special structure: Dynamic problems (linear optimal control problems), support vector machines, ...

Explicit Expression for the Inverse of the KKT-matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} H & -A \\ -A' & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} H & -D \\ -D' & U \end{bmatrix}$$
 (39)

$$H = H^{-1} - H^{-1}A(A'H^{-1}A)^{-1}A'H^{-1}$$
 (40a)

$$D = H^{-1}A(A'H^{-1}A)^{-1}$$
 (40b)

$$U = -(A'H^{-1}A)^{-1} (40c)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = - \begin{bmatrix} H & -A \\ -A' & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} g \\ b \end{bmatrix} = - \begin{bmatrix} H & -D \\ -D' & U \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} g \\ b \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -Hg + Db \\ D'g - Ub \end{bmatrix}$$
(41)

Range-Space Method / Schur-Complement Method

$$\begin{bmatrix} H & -A \\ -A' & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = - \begin{bmatrix} g \\ b \end{bmatrix}$$
 (42)

$$Hx - Ay = -g \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad x = H^{-1}Ay - H^{-1}g \tag{43}$$

$$b = A'x = (A'H^{-1}A)y - A'H^{-1}g$$
(44)

Procedure

- 1. Solve: Hv = g
- 2. Solve: $(A'H^{-1}A) y = b + A'v$
- 3. Solve: Hx = Ay g

Useful when

- ► H is well-conditioned and easy to invert (H is diagonal or block-diagonal)
- $ightharpoonup H^{-1}$ is known explicitly, e.g. through quasi-Newton update formulas
- ▶ The number of equality constraints (m_a) is small, i.e. $A'H^{-1}A \in \mathbb{R}^{m_a \times m_a}$ is small.

Null-Space Method

$$\begin{bmatrix} H & -A \\ -A' & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = - \begin{bmatrix} g \\ b \end{bmatrix} \qquad A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m_a}$$
 (45)

Define the non-singular matrix $\begin{bmatrix} Y & Z \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ with $Y \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m_a}$ and $Z \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times (n-m_a)}$ such that

$$A'\begin{bmatrix}Y & Z\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}A'Y & A'Z\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}A'Y & 0\end{bmatrix} \quad A'Y \in \mathbb{R}^{m_a \times m_a} \text{ non-singular}$$

$$x = Yx_Y + Zx_Z$$

$$-g = Hx - Ay = HYx_y + HZx_Z - Ay$$

$$b = A'x = A'Yx_y + A'Zx_Z = A'Yx_Y$$

$$(Z'HZ) x_Z = -Z'(HYx_Y + g)$$

$$(A'Y)' x_Y = Y'(Hx + g)$$

Procedure

- 1. Solve: $(A'Y)x_Y = b$
- 2. Solve: $(Z'HZ)x_Z = -Z'(HYx_Y + g)$
- 3. Compute: $x = Yx_Y + Zx_Z$
- 4. Solve: (A'Y)'y = Y'(Hx + g)

Null-Space Method

$$\begin{bmatrix} H & -A \\ -A' & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = - \begin{bmatrix} g \\ b \end{bmatrix} \qquad A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m_a}$$
 (46)

Define the non-singular matrix $\begin{bmatrix} Y & Z \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ with $Y \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m_a}$ and $Z \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times (n-m_a)}$ such that

$$A'\begin{bmatrix}Y & Z\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}A'Y & A'Z\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}A'Y & 0\end{bmatrix} \quad A'Y \in \mathbb{R}^{m_a \times m_a} \text{ non-singular}$$

Procedure

- 1. Solve: $(A'Y)x_Y = b$
- 2. Solve: $(Z'HZ)x_Z = -Z'(HYx_Y + g)$
- 3. Compute: $x = Yx_Y + Zx_Z$
- 4. Solve: (A'Y)'y = Y'(Hx + g)

Properties

- ▶ Useful when the number of degrees of freedom, $n-m_a$, is small.
- ▶ Main drawback is its need for the null-space matrix, Z, which may be expensive to compute.

Null-Space Method: Orthonormal basis

$$A = Q \begin{bmatrix} R \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} Q_1 & Q_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} R \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = Q_1 R \tag{47}$$

$$A' = \begin{bmatrix} R' & 0 \end{bmatrix} Q' \tag{48}$$

$$A'Q = A' \begin{bmatrix} Q_1 & Q_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A'Q_1 & A'Q_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} R' & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
 (49)

$$[Y \ Z] = [Q_1 \ Q_2] \ A'Y = A'Q_1 = R'$$
 (50)

Procedure

- 1. Solve: $R'x_Y = b$
- 2. Solve: $(Q_2'HQ_2)x_Z = -Q_2'(HQ_1x_Y + g)$
- 3. Compute: $x = Q_1 x_Y + Q_2 x_Z$
- 4. Solve: $Ry = Q'_1(Hx + g)$