## Coding scheme: Educator interview

Six main themes, 18 second-level thems, 36 third-level thems, and 12 fourth-level sub-codes

| Name                                   | Description  |
|--|--|
| Feedback Impact & interaction tracking | The current practice of tracking the impact and interaction of feedback, as well as the data that educators want to have in order to better understand them.   |
| Desirable data                         | Data that educators want to have in order to better understand students' interactions with feedback and feedback impact.   |
| Interaction with the feedback          | e.g., read? understood? what actions have been taken? need support? how do they feel?  |
| Offline activities                     | Time is taken for learning, reading, doing assignments, etc.   |
| Student background information         | e.g., language level, cultural nuances   |
| Methods or Sources of data             | Methods and information/data educators rely on to track the impact of feedback.  |
| Direct feedback from students          | Dialogue/communication, consultation, and direct feedback to educators regarding the feedback that they are given.   |
| Engagement                             | Class participation, e.g., attendance, class engagement, and direct observation (changing in their attitude and behaviors in the class). Student inquiries, e.g., seeking more feedback or asking questions. |
| Follow-up activities                   | Following exams, assessments, or other activities to check students' improvement.  |
| Performance                            | The improvement of assessment results or the correction of errors based on feedback.   |
| No way to track                        | Educators either do not track feedback impact or have no idea how to track feedback impact.  |
| Data-driven feedback                   | Educators' perception and concern regarding data-driven feedback.  |
| Concern                                | Educators' concerns regarding data-driven feedback.  |
| Accuracy                               | The accuracy of the data/data model used in the data-driven feedback approach.   |
| Bias                                   | The biases might be led by data-driven feedback, e.g., labeling or judging students.   |
| Ethics & Privacy                       | Issues related to ethics and privacy.  |

| Security                     | Issues related to data security, e.g., losing control, data leakage, etc.  |
|------------------------------|--|
| Student acceptance           | Students' responses to data-driven feedback.   |
| Accept                       | Students appreciate, trust or accept data-driven feedback.   |
| Do not accept                | Students do not accept, doubt about data-driven feedback.  |
| Educator perception          | Educators' perception of how useful the data-driven feedback is.   |
| Not useful                   | Educators perceive that data-driven feedback is not useful.  |
| Semi-useful                  | Educators perceive data-driven feedback as only semi-useful.   |
| Useful                       | Educators perceive data-driven feedback as useful.   |
| Perception                   | Educators' perceptions of feedback effective elements and challenges.  |
| Challenges                   | All challenges that educators and students face during the feedback process.   |
| Student-related challenges   | Challenges/reasons that stop students from benefiting from the feedback.   |
| Educator-related challenges  | Challenges that educators face when providing feedback to students.  |
| Effective elements           | Elements and factors that educators believe or experience to be effective in the feedback process.                                     |
| Feedback design              | Educator perceptions, beliefs, and current practice of or experience with feedback.  |
| Cognitive                    | How the feedback is constructed to improve students' cognitive ability.  |
| Feedback content & structure | The feedback content and structure can be based on the concept, technique, strategy, process, and other aspects of the students' work. |
| FP                           | Feedback on process, e.g., feedback on the method or process that is used to complete a task, and how students complete the task.      |
| FR                           | Feedback on self-regulation, e.g., Encouraging students to have the self-regulation ability, giving some cues and prompts.             |
| FS                           | Feedback on person, e.g., you are a great student, well done, praises to students, etc.  |
| FT                           | Feedback on Task, e.g., whether a work is correct or incorrect.  |
| Individualized               | e.g., Individualized feedback, personalized feedback, specific feedback, targeted feedback, etc.                                       |
|                              |  |

| language & clarity           | The feedback language and terminology are expressed in a clear and unambiguous manner, so students can easily understand.  |
|------------------------------|--|
| Feedback purpose             | The reasons for giving feedback, such as comments on goals, student processes, or future progress.   |
| Feed back                    | The purpose of providing feedback on the progress, e.g., How am I going? What progress is being made toward the goal?  |
| Feed forward                 | The purpose of giving suggestions and instructions for making improvements, e.g., Where to next? What activities need to be undertaken to make better progress?  |
| Feed up                      | The purpose of indicating the goals and objectives of the activity, e.g., Where am I going? What are the goals?  |
| Impact on learning           | e.g., continuous impact, long-term impact.   |
| Social-affective             | The social and relational impact on students' learning.  |
| Feedback tone                | The manner in which feedback is expressed(e.g., positive, negative, polite, encouraging, unbiased, non-personal, too critical, educator's unacceptable communication, etc.)  |
| T-S relationship             | Good or bad educator and student relationship.   |
| Two-way process (reciprocal) | e.g., two-way communication, dialogic feedback, conversational feedback, encouraging continuous dialogue, etc.   |
| Structural                   | How the feedback is constructed and managed by the educator and institution.   |
| Feedback media               | In what manner the feedback is provided.   |
| F2F                          | e.g., Face to face communication, virtual/zoom meeting, consultation, etc.   |
| Video                        | e.g., Verbal feedback recorded in video format, visual presentation, etc.  |
| Written                      | e.g., Written feedback on formal assignment, feedback is provided via email, annotation on the assignment, etc.  |
| Feedback timing              | The opportune timing of providing feedback, e.g., live, within timeframe, late, etc.   |
| Learning design              | How the feedback is designed to be aligned with learning, e.g., learning objectives, opportunities for applying the feedback, marking rubric, assessment design (subsequent), feedback mechanism, class arrangement (groups activity, class size, etc.), providing exemplars of the assignment or works. |
| Technology                   | The accessibility of the feedback, or the technology constraint for providing effective feedback.  |
|                              |  |

| Student-related factors                           | Student-related issues or factors that influence whether or not feedback works.  |
|---|--|
| Appreciation                                      | Recognizing the value of feedback and perceiving their active role in the feedback process.  |
| Self-regulation capability                        | Students' cognitive capabilities, e.g., self-reflection capability, the level of knowledge or understanding, time management skills, ability to control over their thoughts, feelings and actions. |
| Sense-making                                      | The ability to understand feedback, e.g., language barrier.  |
| Language barrier                                  | Unable to understand the feedback due to the language barrier, e.g., international students, non-native speaker of English.  |
| Understanding requirements and criteria           | Unable to understand the feedback because students don't understand the assessment criteria.   |
| Student disposition                               | Student characteristics and the tendency to behave.  |
| Expectation                                       | Different expectations compared with educators in terms of feedback or results.  |
| Student attitude                                  | Attitude towards learning, e.g., willing to learn, careless with their learning/education, engaging in learning activities, lack of motivation, etc.   |
| Student personality                               | e.g., shy, fear of criticism, grade-oriented, demotivated, etc.  |
| Educator-related factors                          | Educator-related issues or factors that influence whether or not feedback works.   |
| Educator capability                               | Thatcher's knowledge level, communication skills, etc.   |
| Educator disposition                              | Educator characteristics and the tendency to behave.   |
| Belief  | The educator's belief/prejudice about what makes feedback effective or ineffective.  |
| Educator attitude                                 | Educator's attitude towards teaching, e.g., professional, passionate about teaching, etc.  |
| Educator personality                              | e.g., approachable, friendly, etc.   |
| Educator time constraints or resource limitations | Educator time constraints due to unmanageable workload, the volume of the feedback, multiple roles in the institution, admin pressure (cross-checking), etc.                                       |