

### [5.3 Manufacture](#) ([UN-13](#))

↳ [UN-83079] [Batch Code to Hauni Spoke - Post Radom Go-Live](#) Created:

14/Mar/25 Updated: 14/Aug/25 Resolved: 30/May/25

Status:	Approved
Project:	<a href="#">Unify</a>
Components:	None
Affects versions:	None
Fix versions:	None
Parent:	<a href="#">5.3 Manufacture</a>

Type:	Change Request	Priority:	Medium
Reporter:	<a href="#">Pantelis Georgiades</a>	Assignee:	<a href="#">René de Haan</a>
Resolution:	Done	Votes:	0
Labels:	Batch_Management, Manufacturing		
Σ Remaining Estimate:	Not Specified	Remaining Estimate:	Not Specified
Σ Time Spent:	Not Specified	Time Spent:	Not Specified
Σ Original Estimate:	Not Specified	Original estimate:	Not Specified

Issue links:	<b>Logical order</b>				
	predecessor	<a href="#">UN-72764</a>	Cross Functional Design - Batch Manag...	Done	
	<b>Relates</b>				
	relates to	<a href="#">UN-92780</a>	EA_ALL_FTS_I_483 - Batch Code to Hau...	Build	
	relates to	<a href="#">UN-92330</a>	EA_ALL_FTS_I_173 - V2 Batch Code to H...	Cancelled	
Sub-tasks:	relates to	<a href="#">UN-92779</a>	EA_ALL_FTS_I_483 - Batch Code to Hau...	Approved	
	<b>Key</b>	<b>Summary</b>	<b>Type</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>Assignee</b>
	<a href="#">UN-83080</a>	<a href="#">Imperial PM Approval</a>	Sub-tasks	Done	Renata Fekete
<b>Change Request Type:</b>	GT - D (Delta)				
<b>New or Modify Catalog Item:</b>	Modify existing				
<b>Catalog Item ID:</b>	EA_ALL_FTS_I_483				

<b>Catalogue Item ID Version:</b>	EA_ALL_FTS_I_483_V1
<b>Catalog Item Type:</b>	Interface
<b>Tower:</b>	Global Supply Chain
<b>Level 1:</b>	5 Forecast to Stock
<b>Level 2:</b>	5.3 Manufacture
<b>Level 3:</b>	5.3.2 Conversion
<b>Level 4:</b>	5.3.2.1 (Interim)Primary Planning
<b>Unify Impact Assessment:</b>	Global Supply Chain: Finance: Commercial: Data Migration: Analytics: MDG: Architecture: Development: Integration / SPOKE: Deployment / Testing: Change / Training: Delivery Schedule (Partner): Other:
<b>CR Priority Rating:</b>	An important change; its absence would be very inconvenient, although a 'work-around' is possible
<b>CR Reason Rating:</b>	Stakeholder/governance additions, changes, removal
<b>CR Change Rating:</b>	Very Low
<b>Complexity:</b>	Low
<b>Relevant for Countries:</b>	Poland-Radom (factory)
<b>Implementation:</b>	EA-Radom
<b>Decision Board:</b>	TDА
<b>Global / Local:</b>	Global

<b>Unify Delivery Team:</b>	Unify_Project_IBM
<b>Change Category:</b>	Small Change
<b>New SPOKE:</b>	HAUNI
<b>Source System Name:</b>	S/4
<b>Target System Name:</b>	HAUNI
<b>Delivery Status:</b>	UAT
<b>MoSCoW:</b>	Could-have

### Description

#### High Level Solution

This section must be completed when the CR is raised. Once details have been added, set Status = High Level Assessment Ready

\*• What is the recommended solution and why?\*

Send Batch Code in the interface with the Hauni Spoke so that this is used when producing export cutrag.

Modification will be required also in the Spoke system to receive and use this code for printing on labels.

\*• For enhancements, has the clean core decision tree been applied to assess solution options as part of the Unify Custom Development Approach)?\*

N/A

\*• What is the source / reason for change?\*

The current workaround assumes that a person manually creates a Batch Code and assigns it to the Export Cutrag Process Order. This code is to match the Batch Code that is internally used by the Hauni Traceability System and is then printed in the label used for Intercompany Shipments.

The proposed solution requires that SAP creates a Batch with internal coding during Process Order release and in the existing interface to the Traceability system, a new field is sent. The Hauni system can then store and forward the code where applicable.

\*• What areas / towers / programmes are affected by this change?\*

GSC

\*• What alternatives have been considered to reduce negative impact of the change?\*

Maintain a solution where a Batch Code is created manually and assigned to the relevant Process Order.

\*• What are the implications of not implementing this change?\*

Manual work that could lead to errors.

\*• What happens if we do not do this in the current wave (currently Early Adopters)?\*

Maintain a solution where a Batch Code is created manually and assigned to the relevant Process Order.

\*• If it must be there for this wave, does it need to be there for Day 1?\*

No

\*• What benefit will this bring the business?\*

Manual work avoidance and eliminating risk of errors.

\*• What is the manual workaround?\*

A Batch Code is created manually and assigned to the relevant Process Order.

---

#### Detailed Solution

This section must be completed when the High Level Assessment has been Accepted by TDA. Once details have been added, set Status = Ready for TDA Review

- Describe the detailed design of the agreed solution (ensure enough details are provided to allow for a comprehensive impact assessment)
- Identify any risks

#### Comments

Comment by [Darshini D D](#) [ 18/Jun/25 ]

[Raghu Machanie https://imptob.atlassian.net/browse/UN-92779](https://imptob.atlassian.net/browse/UN-92779)  
<https://imptob.atlassian.net/browse/UN-92780>

New WRICEF has been created and the EA\_ALL\_FTS\_I\_173\_V2 will be cancelled.

[Sharlin S Ramesh Panda](#) [René de Haan](#) [Pantelis Georgiades](#)

Comment by [Darshini D D](#) [ 17/Jun/25 ]

[Raghu Machanie](#) Should EA\_ALL\_FTS\_I\_173\_V2 be cancelled

Comment by [Raghu Machanie](#) [ 17/Jun/25 ]

[Darshini D D](#) as discussed with Rene , this is not direct change to the old version, but a brand new interface to be developed ground up from IDD , as we did not have any outbound to PC600 from S4

hence we need new WRICEF ID

CC: [René de Haan](#) [Pantelis Georgiades](#) [Ramesh Panda](#)

Comment by [René de Haan](#) [ 17/Jun/25 ]

## [René de Haan](#)

Just aligned with [Meghana Kokatam](#) that fields to be used whould be similar to M2 message, but will contain multiple order details:

```
<WorkOrder>
<WorkOrderNumber> process order number 1 </WorkOrderNumber>
<WorkOrderID>batch number 1 </WorkOrderID>
<ItemToProduce>Item code 1</ItemToProduce>
</WorkOrder>
```

```
<WorkOrder>
<WorkOrderNumber> process order number 2</WorkOrderNumber>
<WorkOrderID>batch number 2</WorkOrderID>
<ItemToProduce>Item code 2</ItemToProduce>
</WorkOrder>
```

Comment by [Darshini D D](#) [ 16/Jun/25 ]

[Raghu Machanie](#) [Meghana Kokatam](#) <https://imptob.atlassian.net/browse/UN-92330>

WRICEF new version has been created.

[Becki Spicer](#) [Sharlin S Ramesh Panda](#) -FYI.

Comment by [Ramesh Panda](#) [ 16/Jun/25 ]

[Darshini D D](#) We need a new version for wricef id I\_173

[Raghu Machanie](#) [Vijay Kotra](#)

Comment by [René de Haan](#) [ 11/Jun/25 ]

[Raghu Machanie](#) / [Meghana Kokatam](#) after discussion with the factory we want to provide PC600 with batch information for the export product line.

It would require a file / interface that delivers:

- Process order number
- Header material
- SAP batch

Can we define what the message structure will look like?

And can we trigger this file each time we release process orders for 03 /003 related to specific resources?

This so the factory can align it with the control services company for build.

Comment by [Darshini D D](#) [ 06/Jun/25 ]

[Raghu Machanie](#) Please let me know if you will requiring the WRICEF new version for this CR.

Comment by [Lan Jin](#) [ 30/May/25 ]

Integration meeting 30/05/25:

**Decision**

Approved for documentation update and build.

## Discussion

Workaround - maintain batch code in Spoke.

We might consider connection to PC600.

[René de Haan](#) [Pantelis Georgiades](#) FYI

[Darshini D](#) [D Becki Spicer](#) [Sharlin S](#) please follow up if needs to.

Comment by [Lan Jin](#) [ 27/Mar/25 ]

[Raghu Machanie](#) could you fill in the 'Unify Phase' field on the right-hand side please. This is now mandatory field, without it the IA sub-tasks won't auto appear when this is changed to IA status. Thanks.

Generated at Mon Aug 18 10:45:52 UTC 2025 by Tom Basey using Jira 1001.0.0-SNAPSHOT#100288-rev:843aecdd72b8bc4f6da2627bc3f981356f2922f7.