# 1. Joint, Marginal, and Conditional Probabilities

1.1 Compute  $P(X \le 2, Y > 1)$ .

$$P(X \le 2, Y > 1) = P(X = 1, Y = 2) + P(X = 2, Y = 2) = \frac{1}{12}$$

1.2 Compute marginal probability mass function for X and Y.

1.3 Compute P(Y = 2|X = 1).

$$P(Y = 2|X = 1) = \frac{P(Y = 2, X = 1)}{P(X = 1)} = \frac{1/12}{1/3 + 1/12} = \frac{1}{5}$$

1.4 Are X and Y independent?

$$P(X = 1) = \frac{5}{12} \neq \frac{4}{7} = P(X = 1|Y = 1)$$

Thus they are not independent.

1.5 Define Z = X - 2Y, compute P(X = 2|Z = 0).

$$P(X = 2|Z = 0) = \frac{P(X = 2, Z = 0)}{P(Z = 0)}$$

$$P(Z=0)=P(X=2,Y=1)+P(X=4,Y=2)=\frac{1}{6}+\frac{1}{3}=\frac{1}{2}$$
 and  $P(X=2,Z=0)=P(X=2,Y=1)=\frac{1}{6}$  so

$$P(X = 2|Z = 0) = \frac{1/6}{1/2} = \frac{1}{3}$$

1.6 Compute E[X|Y=1]. First compute  $P(Y=1) = \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{12} = \frac{7}{12}$ .

$$\begin{split} E[X|Y=1] &= 1(P(X=1|Y=1) + 2(P(X=2|Y=1)) + 4(P(X=4|Y=1)) \\ &= 1(\frac{P(X=1,Y=1)}{P(Y=1)}) + 2(\frac{P(X=2,Y=1)}{P(Y=1)}) + 4(\frac{P(X=4,Y=1)}{P(Y=1)}) \\ &= \frac{1/3}{7/12} + 2(\frac{1/6}{7/12}) + 4(\frac{1/12}{7/12}) \\ &= \frac{12}{7} \end{split}$$

1.7 Compute Var[X|Y=2].  $\text{Var}[X|Y=2] = \text{E}[X^2|Y=2] - \text{E}[X|Y=2]^2$  First compute  $P(Y=2) = \frac{1}{12} + \frac{1}{3} = \frac{5}{12}$ .

$$\begin{split} E[X|Y=2] &= 1(P(X=1|Y=2) + 2(P(X=2|Y=2)) + 4(P(X=4|Y=2)) \\ &= 1(\frac{P(X=1,Y=2)}{P(Y=2)}) + 2(\frac{P(X=2,Y=2)}{P(Y=2)}) + 4(\frac{P(X=4,Y=2)}{P(Y=2)}) \\ &= \frac{1/12}{5/12} + 4(\frac{1/3}{5/12}) \\ &= \frac{17}{5} \end{split}$$

and

$$\begin{split} E[X^2|Y=2] &= 1(P(X=1|Y=2) + 4(P(X=2|Y=2)) + 16(P(X=4|Y=2)) \\ &= 1(\frac{P(X=1,Y=2)}{P(Y=2)}) + 4(\frac{P(X=2,Y=2)}{P(Y=2)}) + 16(\frac{P(X=4,Y=2)}{P(Y=2)}) \\ &= \frac{1/12}{5/12} + 16(\frac{1/3}{5/12}) \\ &= 13 \end{split}$$

so 
$$Var[X|Y=2] = 13 - \frac{17}{5}^2 = 1.44$$

#### Solution

## 2. Proof of Probabilistic Ranking Principle

#### Solution

Assume that the system returns n results. If the user reads all of the results or none of the results then it is clear the ranking doesn't matter. So we assume that the user reads some number  $r \in \mathbb{N}$  of the results and then stops. We will show that changing the ordering of the results (by swapping two results) can only make the ordering worse from a risk minimization perspective. First consider the risk of presenting an irrelevant document. This risk is calculated as:

$$\prod_{i=0}^{r} 1 - p(R = 1|Q, D_i)$$

where  $D_1$  is the first result presented,  $D_2$  the second, and so on. Consider what happens when we swap the ordering of two documents  $D_j$  and  $D_k$ . If  $j, k \leq r$  or if j, k > r then the risk doesn't change. So we only care what happens when one is less than or equal to r and the other is greater. Without loss of generality assume  $j \leq r$  and k > r. In calculating the risk we've replaced the term  $1 - p(R = 1|Q, D_j)$  with  $1 - p(R = 1|Q, D_k)$ . By our ordering we know that  $1 - p(R = 1|Q, D_j) \leq 1 - p(R = 1|Q, D_k)$ . Thus the risk either increases or stays the same as a result of the swap.

Now we look at the risk of missing a relevant document. This risk is computed as:

$$\prod_{i=r+1}^{n} p(R=1|Q,D_i)$$

Consider what happens when we swap the ordering of two documents  $D_j$  and  $D_k$ . If  $j, k \leq r$  or if j, k > r then the risk doesn't change. So we only care what happens when one is less than or equal to r and the other is greater. Without loss of generality assume  $j \leq r$  and k > r. In calculating the risk we've replaced the term  $p(R = 1|Q, D_j)$  with  $p(R = 1|Q, D_k)$ . By our ordering we know that  $p(R = 1|Q, D_j) \geq p(R = 1|Q, D_k)$ . Thus the risk either increases or stays the same as a result of the swap.

### 3. Maximum Likelihood Estimation

#### Solution

The likeliness function is:

$$L(\mu, \sigma) = f(x_1 | \mu, \sigma) \times \dots \times f(x_n | \mu, \sigma)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{\frac{-(x_1 - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}} \times \dots \times \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{\frac{-(x_n - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi\sigma^2)^n}} e^{\frac{-1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2}$$

Take the log to get:

$$L(\mu, \sigma) = -\frac{n}{2}(\ln 2\pi) - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \mu)^2$$

To maximize this function we take the derivative and then set it equal to zero. First we take the derivative with respect to  $\mu$ .

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \mu} = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \mu) = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i - n\mu$$

In order for this to be zero we must have  $\hat{\mu} = \bar{x}$ .

We repeat the process for  $\sigma$ . We take the derivative by  $\sigma^2$  to simplify computations.

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \sigma^2} = -\frac{n}{2\sigma^2} + \frac{1}{(2\sigma^2)^2} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2 \right] = \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \left[ \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2 - n \right]$$

.

In order for this to be zero we must have

$$\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \left[ \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2 \right] = n$$

$$\implies \sigma^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2$$

$$\implies \hat{\sigma} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \hat{\mu})^2}$$

### 4. Cosine vs. Euclidean Distance

#### Solution

Let  $x = \{x_1, ..., x_n\}$  and  $y = \{y_1, ..., y_n\}$ . Then

$$C(x,y) = \frac{x \cdot y}{|x||y|}$$

We are dealing with unit vectors so the denominator is zero and

$$C(x,y) = x \cdot y = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i y_i$$

Euclidean distance is given by  $E(x,y) = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - y_i)^2}$ . Then to discover the relationship between C and E consider  $E(x,y)^2$ .

$$E(x,y)^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_{i} - y_{i})^{2}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2} + y_{i}^{2} - 2x_{i}y_{i}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i}^{2} - 2\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}y_{i}$$

$$= 2 - 2\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}y_{i}$$

$$= 2(1 - C(x_{i}y_{i}))$$

# 5. $\alpha_d$ in Language Model Ranking Modules

#### Solution

By definition if w is not seen in d then  $p(w|d) = \alpha_d p(w|REF)$ . For Dirichlet prior smoothing

$$p(w|d) = \frac{|d|}{|d| + \mu} \frac{c(w, d)}{|d|} + \frac{\mu}{|d| + \mu} p(w|REF)$$

In the case of an unseen word c(w,d) = 0. This implies that

$$p(w|d) = \alpha_d p(w|REF) = \frac{\mu}{|d| + \mu} p(w|REF) \implies \alpha_d = \frac{\mu}{|d| + \mu}$$