Problem 1

- (a) Prove -(-x) = x.
- (b) Prove -(xy) = (-x)y.

Solution

Part (a)

$$0 + -(-x) = -(-x) \tag{A3}$$

$$[x + -x] + -(-x) = -(-x)$$
(A4)

$$x + [-x + -(-x)] = -(-x) \tag{A2}$$

$$x + 0 = -(-x) \tag{A4}$$

$$x = -(-x) \tag{A3}$$

Part (b)

$$(-x)y + xy = (-x+x)y \tag{D}$$

$$(-x)y + xy = (0)y \tag{A4}$$

In class it was proved that $0 \cdot x = 0$ for all x. By this result I get

$$(-x)y + xy = 0$$

$$(-x)y + xy + -(xy) = 0 + -(xy)$$

$$(-x)y + 0 = 0 + -(xy)$$

$$(-x)y = -(xy)$$
(A3)

Problem 2

- (a) Prove if x > y, z < 0 then xz < yz.
- (b) Prove if x > y > 0, z > w > 0 then xz > yw.
- (c) Prove if x > 0 then $x^{-1} > 0$.

Solution

Part (a)

In order to prove this I will first prove consequent 7 introduced in class, that $(-x) \cdot (y) = (-xy) = x \cdot (-y)$.

$$x + [(-1) \cdot x] = [1 \cdot x] + [(-1) \cdot x]$$

$$x + [(-1) \cdot x] = (1 + (-1)) \cdot x$$

$$x + [(-1) \cdot x] = 0 \cdot x$$

$$x + [(-1) \cdot x] = 0$$

$$x + [(-1) \cdot x] = 0$$

$$-x + x + [(-1) \cdot x] = -x + 0$$

$$0 + [(-1) \cdot x] = -x + 0$$

$$[(-1) \cdot x] = -x + 0$$
(A4)
$$(A3)$$

Using the equivalence established above;

$$(-x)(y) = (-1 \cdot x) \cdot (y)$$

$$(-x)(y) = -1 \cdot (x \cdot y)$$

$$(-x)(y) = -(xy)$$
(D)

This shows that (-x)(y) = -(xy). The argument that -(xy) = (x)(-y) has an identical structure.

z < 0 and -1 < 0 so

$$0 \cdot -1 < z \cdot (-1)$$
 (O7)
 $0 < z \cdot (-1)$ consequent 3 proved in class
 $0 < -(z \cdot 1)$ consequent 7
 $0 < -z$ M3
 $-z > 0$ definition of $>$

Having -z > 0 and x > y I use (O6) to get x(-z) > y(-z)

$$-(xz) > -(yz)$$
 consequent 7
$$-(xz) + ((xz) + (yz)) > -(yz) + ((xz) + (yz))$$
 (O4)
$$-(xz) + ((xz) + (yz)) > -(yz) + ((yz) + (xz))$$
 (A1)
$$(-(xz) + (xz)) + (yz) > (-(yz) + (yz)) + (xz)$$
 (M2)
$$0 + (yz) > 0 + (xz)$$
 (A4)
$$(yz) > (xz)$$
 (A3)

By the definition of > this is the same as saying xz < yz.

Part (b)

It is given that z > w and w > 0. By (O2) z > 0. It is also given that x > y. Then by (O6) xz > yz. It is also given that y > 0. By (O6) again zy > wy. Then by (M1) yz > yw and finally by (O2) xz > yw.

Part (c)

First assume that $x^{-1} < 0$. Then by (O7) proved in class:

$$x \cdot x^{-1} < 0 \cdot x^{-1}$$

It was also proved in class that $0 \cdot x = 0$ for all x. Thus,

$$x \cdot x^{-1} < 0$$

$$1 < 0 \qquad \text{by (M4)}$$

This is a contradiction with (O1) because I know that 1 > 0, so $x^{-1} > 0$.

Problem 3

Prove that there does not exist an $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that 0 < x < 1. $\mathbb{Z} = \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid x \in \mathbb{N} \lor x = 0 \lor -x \in \mathbb{N}\}.$

Solution

Consider any arbitrary $x \in \mathbb{R}$. There are three possible cases.

- (a) Case 1: $x \in \mathbb{N}$ It was proven in class that for all x in \mathbb{N} , $x \ge 1$. Thus it is impossible that x < 1.
- (b) Case 2: x = 0If x = 0 then it is impossible that x > 0.
- (c) Case 3: $-x \in \mathbb{N}$ By the same fact used in case 1,

$$-x \ge 1$$

$$-x + (-1) \ge 1 + (-1)$$

$$-x + (-1) \ge 0$$

$$x + (-x) + (-1) \ge 0 + x$$
(A4)

$$0 + (-1) \ge 0 + x \tag{A4}$$

$$-1 \ge x \tag{A3}$$

If x = -1 it is shown in problem 4 that -1 < 0. If x < -1 then by (O2) x < 0. So it is impossible that x > 0.

There is no case in which it is possible that 0 < x < 1.

Problem 4

Prove that it is impossible to define inequalities in \mathbb{C} such that (O1)-(O4) hold.

Solution

The proof given in the book that for any nonzero $a \in \mathbb{R}$, $a^2 > 0$ depends only on axioms (O1)-(O4). Thus if these axioms held in \mathbb{C} then it would have to be the case that the square of any nonzero element of \mathbb{C} was greather than 0. However, i is defined such that $i^2 = -1$. Using the fact introduced in class that 1 > 0 I can say

$$1 + (-1) > 0 + (-1) \tag{O4}$$

$$0 > -1 \tag{A4}$$

By axiom (O1) it is impossible for it also to be the case that 0 < -1. Thus this is a contradiction. Therefore it is impossible to define inequalities in \mathbb{C} in such a way that axioms (O1)-(O4) hold.

Problem 5

- (a) Let $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$. Prove $x \leq y$ if and only if $x \epsilon < y + \epsilon \ \forall \epsilon > 0$.
- (b) Let $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ with x < y. Prove there exists $z \in \mathbb{R}$ with x < z < y.
- (c) Let $a, x, b \in \mathbb{R}$ with a < x < b. Prove there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that $a < x \epsilon < x + \epsilon < b$. Deduce that $(x \epsilon, x + \epsilon) \subset (a, b)$.

Solution

Part (a)

First let $x \leq y$. By part (i) of theorem 1.9 proved in the textbook I know that $x < y + \epsilon$ for all $\epsilon > 0$. As done with z in problem 2.a I can show that for any ϵ , $-\epsilon < 0$. Thus by (O5) $x - \epsilon > y + \epsilon$.

Now let $x - \epsilon < y + \epsilon$ for all $\epsilon > 0$. Assume that x > y. Then x - y > 0 so I can set $\epsilon_0 = \frac{x - y}{3}$. Then plugging in I get $x - \frac{x - y}{3} < y + \frac{x - y}{3}$. $\epsilon_0 > 0$ so by (O5)

$$x < y + \epsilon_0 + \epsilon_0$$

$$x + \epsilon_0 < y + \epsilon_0 + \epsilon_0 + \epsilon_0$$
 (O5)

$$x + \epsilon_0 < y + (x + (-y))$$

$$x + \epsilon_0 < y + ((-y) + x) \tag{A1}$$

$$x + \epsilon_0 < (y + (-y)) + x \tag{A2}$$

$$x + \epsilon_0 < 0 + x \tag{A4}$$

$$x + \epsilon_0 < x \tag{A3}$$

$$(-x) + x + \epsilon_0 < (-x) + x \tag{O4}$$

$$0 + \epsilon_0 < 0 \tag{A4}$$

$$\epsilon_0 < 0$$
 (A3)

This is a contradiction with (O1) because I know that $\epsilon_0 > 0$. Therefore $x \leq y$.

Part (b)

Let n be the largest natural number such that $\frac{1}{n} < y - x$. Let k be the largest natural number such that $\frac{k}{n} \le x$. Then by our selection of k, $\frac{k+1}{n} > x$. Now assume that $y \le \frac{k+1}{n}$. Then I have that $\frac{k+1}{n} \ge y$ and $-\frac{k}{n} \ge -x$ so by (O5)":

$$\frac{1}{n} = \frac{k+1}{n} - \frac{k}{n} \ge y - x$$

. This is a contradiction so it must be the case that $y>\frac{k+1}{n}$. Thus $z=\frac{k+1}{n}$ is a number satisfying x < z < y.

Part (c)

First I prove consequent 5 introduced in class that -(x-y) = y - x.

$$-(x - y) = -(x + (-y))$$
 def. of -
$$-(x - y) = -1 \cdot (x + (-y))$$
 see prob. 2
$$-(x - y) = -1 \cdot x + -1 \cdot (-y))$$
 (D)
$$-(x - y) = -x + -(-y)$$
 see prob. 2
$$-(x - y) = -x + y$$
 proved in class
$$-(x - y) = y + (-x)$$
 (A1)
$$-(x - y) = y - x$$
 def. of -

Let y be the smaller value of b-x and x-a, both of which are positive. If y=x-a then

$$x - y = x + (-(x - a))$$

 $x - y = x + (a + (-x))$ consequent 5
 $x - y = x + ((-x) + a)$ (A1)
 $x - y = (x + (-x)) + a$ (A2)
 $x - y = 0 + a$ (A4)
 $x - y = a$ (A3)

 $a \leq a$ by definition so in this case $a \leq x - y$.

The other case is when y = b - x. By our selection of y I know x - a > y so (x - a) - y > 0and I also know from the first case that $x - (x - a) \ge a$. So by (O5)'

$$x + (-(x - a)) + ((x - a) + (-y)) \ge a$$

$$x + ((-(x - a)) + (x - a)) + (-y) \ge a$$
(A2)

$$x + 0 + (-y) \ge a \tag{A4}$$

$$x + (-y) \ge a \tag{A3}$$

so in both cases $a \leq x - y$. It is given that both b - x and x - a are positive so in either case y > 0. By (O4) x + y > x. Application of (O5) obtains x > x - y.

Now I want to show that $x + y \le b$. In the case when y = b - x

$$x + y = x + (b + (-x))$$

$$x + y = x + ((-x) + b)$$
(A1)

$$x + y = (x + (-x)) + b (A2)$$

$$x + y = 0 + b \tag{A4}$$

$$x + y = b \tag{A3}$$

so $x + y \le b$ by definition.

In the case when y = x - a I know by our selection of y that y < (b - x) and thus 0 > y + (-(b - x)) by (O4). I also know from the first case that $b \ge x + (b - x)$. Then by (O5)'

$$b+0 > x + (b-x) + (y + (-(b-x)))$$

$$b+0 > x + (b-x) + ((-(b-x)) + y)$$
(A1)

$$b+0 > x + ((b-x) + (-(b-x))) + y \tag{A2}$$

$$b+0 > x+0+y \tag{A4}$$

$$b > x + y \tag{A3}$$

So therefore $x + y \le b$ by the definition of \le .

Having shown that x < x + y I can use the result of part b) to produce some number z such that x < z < x + y. Let $\epsilon = z - x$. To show that ϵ satisfies the desired characteristics it must be shown that $\epsilon > 0$, and that $a < x - \epsilon < x + \epsilon < b$. It is known that x < z so by(O4) $\epsilon = z - x > 0$. By the same process as before, $0 > -\epsilon$. Then by (O2) $-\epsilon < \epsilon$ and by (O4) $x - \epsilon < x + \epsilon$.

It is known that z < x + y. Then by (O4) z - x < y so $\epsilon < y$. It is also known $a \le x - y$. Then by (O5)' $a + \epsilon < x$ and by (O4) $a < x - \epsilon_0$.

From above it is known that $x + y \le b$ and that $\epsilon < y$. Then by (O5)' $x + y + \epsilon < b + y$ and by (O4) $x + \epsilon < b$.

Thus ϵ satisfies the desired properties and by definition $(x - \epsilon, x + \epsilon) \subset (a, b)$.

Problem 6

Prove that each of the following are metric spaces.

(a)
$$X = \mathbb{R}, d(x, y) = |y - x|$$

(b)
$$X = \text{any set}, d(x, y) = 1 \text{ if } x \neq y \text{ and } d(x, y) = 0 \text{ if } x = y.$$

(c) Give another example of a metric space.

Solution

Part (a)

This proof will use the fact that $-1 \cdot x = -x$. This was proven as an intermediate step in problem 2.

First I will prove that -(x - y) = y - x.

$$-(x - y) = -1 \cdot (x + (-y))$$
 see problem 2
 $-(x - y) = -1 \cdot x + -1 \cdot -y$ (D)
 $-(x - y) = -x + -(-y)$ see problem 2
 $-(x - y) = -x + y$ proved in class
 $-(x - y) = y + (-x)$ (A1)
 $-(x - y) = y - x$ def. of -

$$i d(x,y) = 0 \iff x = y$$

First assume x = y. Then |y - x| = |0| = 0. Now assume that |y - x| = 0. Then either y - x = 0 or x - y = 0. In the first case y - x + x = x so by (A4) y = x. In the second case x - y + y = y so by (A4) x = y.

ii
$$d(x,y) = d(y,x)$$

This would directly follow from a proof of property 2 of absolute values that states |y-x| = |x-y|. There are two cases.

(i) Case: y - x > 0.

By the definition of absolute value |y-x|=y-x. Then

$$y-x>0$$

 $y-x+x>0+x$ O4
 $y+0>0+x$ A4
 $y>x$ A3
 $y+(-y)>x+(-y)$ O4
 $0>x+(-y)$ A4
 $0>x-y$ def. of -

Thus by the definition of absolute value |x - y| = -(x - y) which, as proved at the beginning of this problem, is equal to y - x.

i. Case: y - x < 0.

By the definition of absolute value |y-x|=-(y-x). Using the same fact as

above, this equals x - y.

$$y - x < 0$$

 $y - x + x < 0 + x$ O4
 $y + 0 < 0 + x$ A4
 $y < x$ A3
 $y + (-y) < x + (-y)$ O4
 $0 < x + (-y)$ A4
 $0 < x - y$ def. of -

Thus |x - y| = x - y by definition.

ii. Case: y - x = 0In this case |y - x| = y - x = 0 by definition.

$$y - x = 0$$

$$y - x + x = 0 + x$$

$$y + 0 = 0 + x$$

$$y = x$$
(A4)
(A3)

$$y = x$$

$$y + (-y) = x + (-y)$$

$$0 = x + (-y)$$

$$0 = x - y$$
(A5)
$$(A4)$$

$$def. of -$$

So |x - y| = y - x = 0 by definition.

iii $d(x,z) \le d(x,y) + d(y,z)$ $|z-x| \le |y-x| + |z-y|$ by the triangle inequality proved in class.

Part (b)

 $i d(x,y) = 0 \iff x = y$

This is true by the definition of the function d.

ii d(x,y) = d(y,x)

In the case when x=y, d(x,y)=0=d(y,x). In the case when $x\neq y,$ d(x,y)=1=d(y,x).

iii $d(x,z) \le d(x,y) + d(y,z)$

(i) Case: x = y = z $0 \le 0$

(ii) Case: $x \neq y \neq z$ $1 \leq 2$

- (iii) Case: $x = y \neq z$ $1 \leq 1$
- (iv) Case: $x \neq y = z$ $1 \leq 1$
- (v) Case: $x = z \neq y$ $0 \leq 1$

Part (c)

$$X = \mathbb{C}, d(x, y) = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}.$$