NeetCode 150

Bhanu Prasanna Koppolu

2023-11-04

Table of contents

Notations	3
Day - 9	4
Contains Duplicate - 217 - LeetCode - Easy - Array & Hashing	 4
Approach - 1	 4
Approach - 2	 5
Approach - 3	 6
Valid Anagram - 242 - LeetCode - Easy - Array & Hashing	 7
Approach - 1	 7
Approach - 2	 8
Two Sum - 1 - LeetCode - Easy - Array & Hashing	 9
Approach - 1	 9
Approach - 2	 10
Day - 10	11
Group Anagrams - 49 - LeetCode - Medium - Array & Hashing	 11
Approach - 1	 11
Approach - 2	 12

Notations

• The first approach is the idea popped from my mind when I looked at the problem.

Day - 9

After going through lot of things I have come across NeetCode. I have decided to solve all the 150 questions provided by NeetCode.

Contains Duplicate - 217 - LeetCode - Easy - Array & Hashing

Approach - 1

The First Approach that came to my mind was to write a Nested For Loop to check if it Contains Duplicate.

```
class Solution {
public:
    bool containsDuplicate(vector<int>& nums) {
        for (auto i = nums.begin(); i != nums.end(); i++) {
            for (auto j = i + 1; j != nums.end(); j++) {
                if (*i == *j) return true;
            }
        }
        return false;
    }
};
```

Output

The First Approach has Time Complexity of $O(N^2)$ and Space Complexity of O(1).

```
Time Limit Exceeded
70 / 75 testcases passed
```

Another Approach to this problem is using Sorting then Checking if it Contains Duplicate.

```
class Solution {
public:
    bool containsDuplicate(vector<int>& nums) {
        sort(nums.begin(), nums.end());

    for (int i = 0; i < nums.size() - 1; i++) {
        if (nums[i] == nums[i+1]) {
            return true;
        }
    }
    return false;
}</pre>
```

Output

The Second Approach has Time Complexity of $O(N \log(N))$ and Space Complexity of O(1).

Using unordered_set to check if it Contains Duplicate. The Time Complexity for Basic operations in unordered_set is O(1) and for set it is O(log(N)).

```
class Solution {
public:
    bool containsDuplicate(vector<int>& nums) {
        unordered_set<int> us;

        for (auto i = nums.begin(); i != nums.end(); i++) {
            if (us.find(*i) != us.end()) {
                return true;
            }
            us.insert(*i);
        }

        return false;
    }
};
```

Output

The Third Approach has Time Complexity of O(N) and Space Complexity of O(N). *The optimal solution*.

Valid Anagram - 242 - LeetCode - Easy - Array & Hashing

Approach - 1

Sort the characters of the string of ${\tt t}$ and ${\tt s}$ then check if both are same or not.

```
class Solution {
public:
    bool isAnagram(string s, string t) {
        sort(s.begin(), s.end());
        sort(t.begin(), t.end());

        if (s == t) {
            return true;
        }
        return false;
    }
};
```

Output

The First Approach has Time Complexity of $O(N \log(N))$ and Space Complexity of O(1).

Using unordered_map which has principles derived from Hash Map. So basic operations are O(1).

```
class Solution {
public:
    bool isAnagram(string s, string t) {
        if (s.size() != t.size()) {
            return false;
        }
        unordered_map<char, int> ums;
        unordered_map<char, int> umt;
        for (int i = 0; i < s.size(); i++) {</pre>
            ums[s[i]]++;
            umt[t[i]]++;
        }
        for (int i = 0; i < s.size(); i++) {</pre>
            if (ums[s[i]] != umt[s[i]]) {
                 return false;
            }
        }
        return true;
};
```

Output

The Second Approach has Time Complexity of O(S + T) and Space Complexity of O(S + T).

Two Sum - 1 - LeetCode - Easy - Array & Hashing

Approach - 1

The general approach to this problem is Brute Force Approach.

Output

The First Approach has Time Complexity of $\mathcal{O}(N^2)$ and Space Complexity of $\mathcal{O}(1)$.

Using unordered_map which has principles derived from Hash Map. So basic operations are O(1).

```
class Solution {
public:
    vector<int> twoSum(vector<int>& nums, int target) {
        unordered_map<int, int> um;

        for (int i = 0; i < nums.size(); i++) {
            if (auto search = um.find(target - nums[i]); search != um.end()) {
                return {search->second, i};
            }

            um[nums[i]] = i;
        }

        return {};
}
```

Output

The Second Approach has Time Complexity of O(N) and Space Complexity of O(N).

Day - 10

Group Anagrams - 49 - LeetCode - Medium - Array & Hashing

Approach - 1

Sorting and Matching Strings.

```
class Solution {
public:
    vector<vector<string>> groupAnagrams(vector<string>& strs) {
        vector<string> st = strs;
        vector<vector<string>> fs;
        unordered_set<int> us;
        for (int i = 0; i < st.size(); i++) {</pre>
            sort(st[i].begin(), st[i].end());
        }
        for (int i = 0; i < st.size(); i++) {</pre>
            vector<string> vs;
            for (int j = i; j < st.size(); j++) {</pre>
                 if (us.find(j) == us.end()) {
                     if (st[i] == st[j]) {
                         vs.push_back(strs[j]);
                         us.insert(j);
                     }
                 }
            }
            if (vs.size() != 0) {
                 fs.push_back(vs);
            }
        }
```

```
return fs;
};
```

Output

The First Approach has Time Complexity of O(mn log(n)) and Space Complexity of O(n).

```
Accepted
```

Approach - 2

Used Hash Map to reduce the Time Complexity.

```
class Solution {
public:
    vector<vector<string>> groupAnagrams(vector<string>& strs) {
        unordered_map<string, vector<string>> m;
        for (int i = 0; i < strs.size(); i++) {</pre>
            string key = getkey(strs[i]);
            m[key].push_back(strs[i]);
        vector<vector<string>> fs;
        for (auto i = m.begin(); i != m.end(); i++) {
            fs.push_back(i->second);
        }
        return fs;
    }
private:
    string getkey (string val) {
        string s = "";
        vector<int> c(26);
        for (int i = 0; i < val.size(); i++) {</pre>
            c[val[i] - 'a'] += 1;
```

```
for (int i = 0; i < 26; i++) {
    s = s + to_string(c[i]) + '#';
}

return s;
}
};</pre>
```

Output

The Second Approach has Time Complexity of O(mn) and Space Complexity of O(mn).