## BEFORE THE CONSUMER GRIEVANCES REDRESSAL ELECTRICITY DEPARTMENT, VIDYUT BHAVAN, 4<sup>TH</sup> FLOOR, VASCO, GOA. FORUM (CGRF), GOVERNMENT OF GOA

Complaint/Representation No. 21/2021/30

Desterro Wado, Vasco - Goa. H. No. 325, EI - Monte Theatre, C/o Mr. Longuinhos Shri. Raymond Fernandes, Fernandes (Constituted Attorney) Complainant

#### V/S

 The Chief Electrical Engineer, Electricity Department, Government of Goa, Vidyut Bhavan, Panaji, Goa.

0

- The Executive Engineer, Electricity Department, Div - XI, Vasco - Goa.
- 3. The Assistant Engineer, Electricity Department, Div. XI, S/D III, Vasco Goa.

.... Respondents

Dated: - 15/04/2021.

### ORDER

# <u>Per Smt Sandra Vaz e Correia, Independent Member</u>

by the exorbitant bills issued to him by the licensee Department. The complainant is a resident of Desterro, Vasco da Gama; he is aggrieved

# Case of the complainant.

for domestic purposes and no commercial activ under CA no. In brief, it is his case 60001741788. The installation was availed at his residence that he has LTD electricity was ever carried out in connection since 1983

serecited inpural

the amount to premises. outdoor bills activities. He every his S. neighbours or friends partially blind and always needed assistance month for Under domestic bonafide connection, to pay off the monthly electricity belief that he used to he was paying hand Ö

Op C he received a bill for Rs. 4340/- including arrears of March that seemed erroneously charged Rs. 1,84,647/-. A request was made on 18.05.2018 concerned AE that the bill for Rs. 50807/- was exorbitant. In April 2018, premises of Rs. 201332/-27.01.2016, officials of the Department inspected the complainant's õ reading was and replaced the old meter with an electronic meter. displayed Thereafter, defective 2018 with current meter reading 32776 was abnormal readings taken meter. between April 2018 to June 2018, the as Subsequently, 30431 instead and the മ of. request was bill 3431 units ģ the issued for a period made and However, ç Ö

However, the plea fell on deaf ears demand to bills issued from regarding excess complainant again represented to the respondent no. 1 on 27.08.2018 were furnished. He paid a sum of Rs. 10000/- under protest with a rectify 1983 till date. Only bills between April 2017 to November billing, followed by an RTI query to furnish copies the errors and issue bills under domestic category. of

(D)

The being charged under complainant then approached the department of the complainant was commercial tariff since Civil Supplies ξω informed by his advocate that he Consumer Consumer Conciliation 2010. Affairs at Panaji. Committee Ħ

sum of Rs. 30,000/- on 30.01.2021 under protest avail of demanding Rs. complainant OTS floated by the 2,30,000/-. 3,29,061/received Unable to meet the demand, the Department, but was told that he within 15 മ disconnection days. The complainant sought notice complainant 20 would have 12.10.2020 paid a б

Sandy Valores

the commercial tariff from 2010 till date claims separate under commercial tariff from 2010 without his consent, which resulted in to LTD Restaurant" piling up of arrears. complainant ರ meter and no þe Ħ. entitled another states commercial activity is carried out in H. no. 325. for readjustment that premises He is running a restaurant known as he was under and for conversion of the erroneously of Ħ. excess no. 10/325and amount illegally charged which paid meter back under has He Ø

# Case of the Department.

case 2019 through Assistant Engineer S/D III (M) Vasco da Gama. Briefly, it is adjustment of credit of Rs. 14614/- was given to the consumer. The same DPC for adjustment 98117/- as adjustment of credit was done on 13.08.2018 of Rs. 83503/- instead of Rs billing in April paying the based on actual consumption/reading; for commercial purposes, hence bills were issued under LTC. The Engineer Upon being noticed, Department contested the complaint and filed its It was was found 1943/- and from 10.07.2018 12.01.2017 paid communicated to denied that the consumer sought change in category in November of. the was replaced on and meter 200 monthly bills and the arrears regularly without any objection or protest. during ç said bills for the period from 11.06.2018 to 10.07.2018 of Rs of credit late ĆΊ the consumer and copy of test report was also submitted to the consumer. 10.04.2018. In addition, digits. 2018 an reader had found that the installation was being used was However, inspection the after 11.06.2019; admitted; the had made payment of bills for the period of consumer on to 09.08.2018 of Rs. 4083/-, the bills the Ħ, May error the reading was recorded in 4 digits were issued the consumer was however, the started old meter 2010, 19.11.2019. was rectified and manual accumulating. the and he sent for MRT consumer was concerned Junior Bills were The given was so manual meter Erroneous charged manual testing issued bills

consumer only paid an amount of Rs. 30000/- on 30.01.2021 117266/- (DPC) and issued fresh bills for March 2021. However, Consumer Conciliation Committee, Department gave credit

Sandy Con Server

#### Hearing.

reiterated their case set out in the pleadings represented represented by Kum. were the called Department. ğ Snehal Jane Fernandes while Shri Nitin a hearing at which  $\vdash$ heard them at length; time the essentially, complaint Verlekar they Æ

## Issues & Findings.

commercial tariff regularly since then without any imposed from the year 2010, and at para 30 he claims that the cause in January 2020 when he handed over the file to his advocate to petition domestic category. At para 17 of the complaint, he states that it was only being partially blind, he paid the bills thinking that they were billed under 2010, the category was converted. He commercial (LTC) was fair and the conversion of the submissions advanced by the parties. In view of the rival contentions, Department perused Consumer Conciliation Committee that he learnt about the LTC tariff issue that crystallizes for determination of this complaint is whether following arose the has when he category an records contested the inspection change approached complainant's installation from ij detail and gave by the to LTC in 2010 and was claim on proper. the Consumer concerned was unaware of this change, the ground that the The complainant's due JE protest. Conciliation consideration and meter paying the bills with domestic consumer Committee. 끍. reader (LTD) б and the Ħ, Ç

Ø

blind; the without any protest or demur. The complainant states clearly stale and untenable and barred by limitation. The bills were Restaurant" no house changed by of last eleven years to LTD tariff instead of LTC. Such not find any merit in the case of the consumer. He however, there is nothing on commercial 'nе number was run by the Department. The complainant has claimed that there suffering the him was in a different premises. He has claimed of. establishment in the installation disability record to was in 2010 when the his house, "325" substantiate and and that that he is that seeks revision this claim tariff a claim is category partially "Newton and  $^{\rm of}$ 

marghall mound

discharge this burden of proof. numbers. Restaurant" was "10/325". There is a striking similarity in the two house The complainant has not produced anything on record ಕ

consumer had paid Rs. 8760/-. Further, manual adjustment of credit of manual adjustment of credit of Rs. 83503/- instead of Rs. also replaced on 11.06.2019 and found OK upon testing respectively. The same was communicated to the consumer. The meter was Rs. 1943/- and Rs. 14614/- were given to the consumer for the period Turning 11.06.2018 фy Ö the the issue Department. ᅙ of erroneous 10.07.2018 However, billing, and the 10.07.2018 error was rectified by the meter reading Ó 98117/-09.09.2018 error giving

agreed to give credit of Rs. On C 209054/- was issued for March 2021. The complainant paid only Supplies 30000/bills before 1,17,266/- was fair and reasonable in the facts and circumstances against the & Consumer Affairs, Govt of Goa, and revised bill for the Consumer Conciliation Committee, ∄. an effort said revised bill. I feel that the ਨੂੰ 117266/- (being DPC charges) against pending amicably resolve the dispute, Department of Civil credit Department of Rs. of.

cycles In the interest of justice, the consumer can be given the facility of payment of the without DPC outstanding amount in equal installments over the next 12 billing

It was brought to my notice in course of the hearing that the complainant accordance with rules in view of the order passed below 20.10.2020, but that SAP would not accept the request in view of The an department is requested to process the application for change of category from said application in CIC ਠ pending

In view of the foregoing, I pass the following order:

(1) The complaint is partly allowed.

mangla Jahanna

- (2) The amount of Rs. 209054/- raised by the Department in bill dated next 12 (twelve) billing cycles without DPC. be recovered from the complainant in equal installments over the complainant. However, the balance amount against the said bill shall 11.03.2021 is fair and reasonable and has ៩ þе paid by
- (3) Department shall process and grant the complainant's application in force. receipt of this order, on condition that the same complies with rules for change in category made on 20.10.2020 within 15 days from
- (4) Department shall report compliance of the order to the Registry of this Forum within 30 days.
- (5) The complaint stands disposed accordingly.

SANDRA VAZ E CORREIA
(Member)