Project 4

Bharath Karumudi

August 5, 2019

#### Abstract

This project is to demonstrate the capabilities of implementing constructing and deconstructing HOL Terms using the tools and techniques - LATEX, AcuTeX, emacs and ML.

Each chapter documents the given problems with a structure of:

- 1. Problem Statement
- 2. Relevant Code
- 3. Execution Transcripts
- 4. Explanation of results

<b>Acknowledgments:</b> Professor Marvine Hamner and Professor Shiu-Kai Chin who taught the Certified Security By Design.

# Contents

1	Executive Summary						
2	Exercise 9.5.1 2.1 Problem Statement	4 4 4 4					
3	Exercise 9.5.2 3.1 Problem Statement						
4	Exercise 9.5.3 1.1 Problem Statement						
5	Exercise 10.4.1 5.1 Problem Statement	8					
6	Exercise 10.4.2  3.1 Problem Statement	9 9 9 10					
7	Appendix A: Chapter 9						
8	Appendix B: Chapter 10						

# **Executive Summary**

Some requirements for this project are statisfied specifically, and by using HOL proved the below theorems:

```
[absorptionRule]  \vdash \forall p \ q. \ (p \Rightarrow q) \Rightarrow p \Rightarrow p \land q  [absorptionRule2]  \vdash \forall p \ q \ r \ s. \ (p \Rightarrow q) \land (r \Rightarrow s) \Rightarrow p \lor r \Rightarrow q \lor s  [constructiveDilemmaRule]  \vdash \forall p \ q \ r \ s. \ (p \Rightarrow q) \land (r \Rightarrow s) \Rightarrow p \lor r \Rightarrow q \lor s  [constructiveDilemmaRule2]  \vdash \forall p \ q \ r \ s. \ (p \Rightarrow q) \land (r \Rightarrow s) \Rightarrow p \lor r \Rightarrow q \lor s  [problemonethm]  \vdash M \ s  [problemtwothm]  \vdash M \ s  [problemtwothm]  \vdash p \Rightarrow \neg q
```

10.4.3 is not included.

## Exercise 9.5.1

#### 2.1 Problem Statement

In this exercise we need to prove the theorem:  $\vdash \forall p \ q. \ (p \Rightarrow q) \Rightarrow p \Rightarrow p \land q$ 

#### 2.2 Relevant Code

```
val absorptionRule =
TAC_PROOF (
   ([], ''!p q. (p \improx q) \improx p \improx p/\q''),
   (REPEAT_STRIP_TAC_THEN
ASM_REWRITE_TAC_[] THEN
RES_TAC) );
val _ = save_thm("absorptionRule", absorptionRule);
```

### 2.3 Execution Transcripts

```
HOL-4 [Kananaskis 11 (stdknl, built Sat Aug 19 09:30:06 2017)]

For introductory HOL help, type: help "hol";
    To exit type <Control>-D

>> >> # # # # # # # * * types trace now on
> *** Globals.show_assums now true ***
> # # # # # # # * * Unicode trace now off
>
> # # # # val absorptionRule =
    [] |- !(p :bool) (q :bool). (p ==> q) ==> p => p /\ q:
    thm
>
```

#### 2.3.1 Explanation of Results

## Exercise 9.5.2

#### 3.1 Problem Statement

In this exercise we need to prove the theorem:  $\vdash \forall p \ q \ r \ s. \ (p \Rightarrow q) \land (r \Rightarrow s) \Rightarrow p \lor r \Rightarrow q \lor s$ 

#### 3.2 Relevant Code

```
val constructiveDilemmaRule =
  TACPROOF (
  ([], ''!p q r s.(p ⇒> q) /\ (r ⇒> s) ⇒> (p\/r) ⇒> (q\/s)''),
  REPEAT STRIP_TAC THEN
  ASM_REWRITE_TAC [] THEN
  RES_TAC THEN
  ASM_REWRITE_TAC [] THEN
  RES_TAC THEN
  ASM_REWRITE_TAC []
  NES_TAC THEN
  ASM_REWRITE_TAC []
  (constructiveDilemmaRule);
```

### 3.3 Execution Transcripts

#### 3.3.1 Explanation of Results

## Exercise 9.5.3

#### 4.1 Problem Statement

In this exercise we need to prove the theorem:

```
\vdash \forall p \ q \ r \ s. \ (p \Rightarrow q) \land (r \Rightarrow s) \Rightarrow p \lor r \Rightarrow q \lor s
\vdash \forall p \ q \ r \ s. \ (p \Rightarrow q) \land (r \Rightarrow s) \Rightarrow p \lor r \Rightarrow q \lor s
```

#### 4.2 Relevant Code

```
val absorptionRule2 =
  TAC_PROOF (
  ([], ''!p q r s.(p ⇒ q) /\ (r ⇒ s) ⇒ (p\/r) ⇒ (q\/s)''),
  PROVE_TAC []
  );

val _= save_thm("absorptionRule2", absorptionRule2);

val constructiveDilemmaRule2=
  TAC_PROOF (
  ([], ''!p q r s.(p ⇒ q) /\ (r ⇒ s) ⇒ (p\/r) ⇒ (q\/s)''),
  PROVE_TAC []
  );

val _= save_thm("constructiveDilemmaRule2", constructiveDilemmaRule2);
```

# 4.3 Execution Transcripts

#### 4.3.1 Explanation of Results

## Exercise 10.4.1

#### 5.1 Problem Statement

In this exercise we need to prove the theorem:  $\vdash M s$ 

#### 5.2 Relevant Code

```
val problemonethm=
TAC_PROOF(
    ([ '' !x: 'a.P(x) => M(x) '', ''(P: 'a->bool) (s: 'a)''],
    ''(M: 'a->bool) (s: 'a)''),
RES_TAC
    );
val _=save_thm("problemonethm", problemonethm);
```

### 5.3 Execution Transcripts

```
HOL-4 [Kananaskis 11 (stdknl, built Sat Aug 19 09:30:06 2017)]

For introductory HOL help, type: help "hol";
    To exit type <Control>-D

>> >> # # # # # # # * * types trace now on
> *** Globals.show_assums now true ***
> # # # # # # # * * Unicode trace now off
>
> # # # # val problemonethm =

[(P :'a -> bool) (s :'a),
!(x :'a). (P :'a -> bool) x ==> (M :'a -> bool) x]
|- (M :'a -> bool) (s :'a):
    thm
>>
>
```

#### 5.3.1 Explanation of Results

### Exercise 10.4.2

#### 6.1 Problem Statement

In this exercise we need to prove the theorem:  $\vdash p \Rightarrow \neg q$ 

#### 6.2 Relevant Code

### 6.3 Execution Transcripts

6.3.1	Expla	anation	of	Result	S

ASM\_REWRITE\_TAC []

# Appendix A: Chapter 9

The following code is from the file project3bScript.sml (\* Exercise: Chapter 9 (\* Author: Bharath Karumudi \* ) (\* Date: Aug 2, 2019 structure exercise9Script = struct open HolKernel Parse boolLib bossLib; val \_ = new\_theory "exercise9"; Exercise: 9.5.1(\* p q . (p)q)\* ) val absorptionRule = TAC\_PROOF (  $([], ``!p q. (p \Longrightarrow q) \Longrightarrow p \Longrightarrow p/\backslash q``),$ (REPEAT STRIP\_TAC THEN ASM\_REWRITE\_TAC [] THEN RES\_TAC) ); val \_ = save\_thm("absorptionRule", absorptionRule); (\* Exercise: 9.5.2 val constructiveDilemmaRule = TAC\_PROOF ( ([], ''!pqrs.(p $\Longrightarrow$ q) /\ (r $\Longrightarrow$ s)  $\Longrightarrow$  (p\/r)  $\Longrightarrow$  (q\/s)''), REPEAT STRIP\_TAC THEN ASM\_REWRITE\_TAC [] THEN RES\_TAC THEN ASM\_REWRITE\_TAC [] THEN RES\_TAC THEN

```
);
val _ = save_thm("constructiveDilemmaRule", constructiveDilemmaRule);
(* Exercise: 9.5.3
                                                          *)
(* Repeat 9.5.1, 9.5.2 using PROVE_TAC
                                                          *)
val absorptionRule2 =
TAC_PROOF (
([], ""!p q r s.(p \Longrightarrow q) / (r \Longrightarrow s) \Longrightarrow (p/r) \Longrightarrow (q/s)""),
PROVE_TAC []
);
val = save_thm("absorptionRule2", absorptionRule2);
val constructiveDilemmaRule2=
TAC_PROOF (
([], "!p q r s.(p \Longrightarrow q) / (r \Longrightarrow s) \Longrightarrow (p/r) \Longrightarrow (q/s)"),
PROVE_TAC []
);
val _ = save_thm("constructiveDilemmaRule2",constructiveDilemmaRule2);
(* Exporting Theory
val _ = export_theory();
end (* Structure *)
```

# Appendix B: Chapter 10

The following code is from the file project3bScript.sml (\* Exercise: Chapter 10 (\* Author: Bharath Karumudi (\* Date: Aug 2, 2019 structure exercise 10Script = structopen HolKernel Parse boolLib bossLib; val \_ = new\_theory "exercise10"; (\* Exercise: 10.4.1 \* )  $\begin{array}{ll} ([```!x:`a.P(x) = > M(x)``,``(P:`a->bool)(s:`a)``],\\ (``(M: a ->bool)(s: a) ); \end{array}$ val problemonethm= TAC\_PROOF(  $([ `` !x: 'a.P(x) \Longrightarrow M(x) ``, ``(P: 'a->bool) (s: 'a)``],$ ''(M: 'a->bool) (s: 'a)''), RES\_TAC ); val \_=save\_thm("problemonethm", problemonethm); (\* Exercise: 10.4.2  $set_{-}goal([``p \land q \Longrightarrow r``,``r \Longrightarrow s``,`` s``],``p \Longrightarrow q``)$ val problem twothm= TAC\_PROOF(  $([``p]/\ q \Longrightarrow r``, ``r \Longrightarrow s``, ``~s``], ``p \Longrightarrow ~q``),$  $(PAT\_ASSUM ''r \Longrightarrow s''$ (**fn** th => ASSUME\_TAC

```
(DISJ_IMP (ONCE_REWRITE_RULE [DISJ_SYM] (IMP_ELIM th) )
) THEN
(PAT_ASSUM ''p /\ q \Longrightarrow r''
         (\mathbf{fn} \ \mathrm{th2} \Rightarrow
         ASSUME_TAC
         (DISJ_IMP (ONCE_REWRITE_RULE [DISJ_SYM] (IMP_ELIM th2))))) THEN
REPEAT STRIP_TAC THEN
RES_TAC
)
val _=save_thm("problemtwothm", problemtwothm);
(* Exercise: 10.4.3
   set_{-}goal([`` (p \land q)``, `` p \Longrightarrow r``, ``
            ***********************
(* Exporting Theory
val _ = export_theory();
end (* Structure *)
```