

Project 7

Bharath Karumudi

August 31, 2019

Abstract

This project is to demonstrate the capabilities of implementing constructing and deconstructing HOL Terms using the tools and techniques - L^AT_EX, AcuTeX, emacs and ML.

Each chapter documents the given problems with a structure of:

1. Problem Statement
2. Relevant Code
3. Execution Transcripts
4. Explanation of results

Acknowledgments: Professor Marvine Hamner and Professor Shiu-Kai Chin who taught the Certified Security By Design.

Contents

1	Executive Summary	3
2	Exercise 15.6.1	4
2.1	Problem Statement	4
2.2	Proof of exercise15_6_1a.thm	4
2.2.1	Relevant Code	4
2.2.2	Execution Transcripts	4
2.2.3	Explanation of Results	4
2.3	Proof of exercise15_6_1b.thm	4
2.3.1	Relevant Code	4
2.3.2	Execution Transcripts	5
2.3.3	Explanation of Results	5
3	Exercise 15.6.2	6
3.1	Problem Statement	6
3.2	Proof of exercise15_6_2a.thm	6
3.2.1	Relevant Code	6
3.2.2	Execution Transcripts	6
3.2.3	Explanation of Results	6
3.3	Proof of exercise15_6_2b.thm	7
3.3.1	Relevant Code	7
3.3.2	Execution Transcripts	7
3.3.3	Explanation of Results	7
4	Exercise 15.6.3	8
4.1	Problem Statement	8
4.1.1	Relevant Code	8
4.1.2	Execution Transcripts	8
4.1.3	Explanation of Results	8
5	Appendix A: cipherScript	9
6	Appendix B: cryptoExericisesScript	20

Executive Summary

All requirements for this project are statisfied specifically, and by using HOL proved the below theorems:

Exercise 15.6.1

2.1 Problem Statement

In this we need to prove:

$$\begin{aligned} & \vdash \forall \text{keyAlice } k \text{ text. } (\text{deciphS } \text{keyAlice } (\text{Es } k \text{ (SOME text)})) = \text{SOME "This is from Alice"} \iff (k = \\ & \text{keyAlice}) \wedge (\text{text} = \text{"This is from Alice"}) \\ & \vdash \forall P \text{ message. } (\text{deciphP } (\text{pubK } P) \text{ enMsg} = \text{SOME message}) \iff (\text{enMsg} = \text{Ea } (\text{privK } P) \text{ (SOME} \\ & \text{message)}) \end{aligned}$$

2.2 Proof of exercise15_6_1a_thm

2.2.1 Relevant Code

```
val exercise15_6_1a_thm =
TACPROOF(
  ([],
    ‘! key enMsg message. (deciphS key enMsg = SOME message) <=> (enMsg = Es key (SOME message))’,
    ASMREWRITE_TAC [deciphS_one_one]));

val _ = save_thm("exercise15_6_1a_thm", exercise15_6_1a_thm)
```

2.2.2 Execution Transcripts

<pre>----- HOL-4 [Kananaskis 11 (stdknl, built Sat Aug 19 09:30:06 2017)] For introductory HOL help, type: help "hol"; To exit type <Control>-D ----- [extending loadPath with Holmakefile INCLUDES variable] > > > val exercise15_6_1a_thm = [] - !(key :symKey) (enMsg :’a symMsg) (message :’a). (deciphS key enMsg = SOME message) <=> (enMsg = Es key (SOME message)): thm ></pre>	1
---	---

2.2.3 Explanation of Results

The above results shows that the requirements are satisfied.

2.3 Proof of exercise15_6_1b_thm

2.3.1 Relevant Code

```

val exercise15_6_1b_thm =
TACPROOF(
  ([],
  ‘! keyAlice k text.
  (deciphS keyAlice (Es k (SOME text)) =
  SOME "This is from Alice") <=>
  (k = keyAlice) /\ (text = "This is from Alice") ‘),
  ASMREWRITE_TAC [deciphS_one_one] THEN
  PROVE_TAC[]
);

val _ = save_thm("exercise15_6_1b_thm", exercise15_6_1b_thm)

```

2.3.2 Execution Transcripts

<pre> ----- HOL-4 [Kananaskis 11 (stdknl, built Sat Aug 19 09:30:06 2017)] For introductory HOL help, type: help "hol"; To exit type <Control>-D ----- [extending loadPath with Holmakefile INCLUDES variable] > > > > ##### Meson search level: val exercise15_6_1b_thm = [] - !(keyAlice :symKey) (k :symKey) (text :string). (deciphS keyAlice (Es k (SOME text)) = SOME "This is from Alice") <=> (k = keyAlice) /\ (text = "This is from Alice"): thm > </pre>	1
--	---

2.3.3 Explanation of Results

The above results shows that the requirements are satisfied.

Exercise 15.6.2

3.1 Problem Statement

In this we need to prove:

$$\vdash \forall key\ text. (\text{deciphP}(\text{pubK Alice}) (\text{Ea key (SOME text)}) = \text{SOME "This is from Alice"}) \iff (key = \text{privK Alice}) \wedge (text = \text{"This is from Alice"})$$

$$\vdash \forall key\ text. (\text{deciphP}(\text{pubK Alice}) (\text{Ea key (SOME text)}) = \text{SOME "This is from Alice"}) \iff (key = \text{privK Alice}) \wedge (text = \text{"This is from Alice"})$$

3.2 Proof of exercise15_6_2a_thm

3.2.1 Relevant Code

```
val exercise15_6_2a_thm =
TACPROOF(
  ([],
  '!' P message.
  (deciphP (pubK P) enMsg = SOME message)<=>
  (enMsg = Ea (privK P) (SOME message))) ' '),
PROVE_TAC[deciphP_one_one]
);

val _ = save_thm("exercise15_6_2a_thm", exercise15_6_2a_thm)
```

3.2.2 Execution Transcripts

<pre>----- HOL-4 [Kananaskis 11 (stdknl, built Sat Aug 19 09:30:06 2017)] For introductory HOL help, type: help "hol"; To exit type <Control>-D ----- > ##### <<HOL message: inventing new type variable names: 'a', 'b'>> Meson search level: val exercise15_6_2a_thm = [] - !(P : 'a) (message : 'b). (deciphP (pubK P) (enMsg : ('b, 'a) asymMsg) = SOME message) <=> (enMsg = Ea (privK P) (SOME message)): thm ></pre>	1
---	---

3.2.3 Explanation of Results

The above results shows that the requirements are satisfied.

3.3 Proof of exercise15_6_2b_thm

3.3.1 Relevant Code

```

val exercise15_6_2b_thm =
TACPROOF(
  ([],
  ‘‘! key text.
  (deciphP (pubK Alice) (Ea key (SOME text)) =
  SOME "This is from Alice") <=>
  (key = privK Alice) (text = "This is from Alice")) ‘‘),
  ASMREWRITE_TAC[deciphP_one_one] THEN
  ASMREWRITE_TAC[option_CLAUSES]);

val _ = save_thm("exercise15_6_2b_thm", exercise15_6_2b_thm)

```

3.3.2 Execution Transcripts

<pre> ----- HOL-4 [Kananaskis 11 (stdknl, built Sat Aug 19 09:30:06 2017)] For introductory HOL help, type: help "hol"; To exit type <Control>-D ----- > # # # # # <<HOL message: inventing new type variable names: 'a'>> val exercise15_6_2b_thm = [] - !(key : 'a pKey) (text : string). (deciphP (pubK (Alice : 'a)) (Ea key (SOME text)) = SOME "This is from Alice") <=> (key = privK Alice) /\ (text = "This is from Alice"): thm > </pre>	1
--	---

3.3.3 Explanation of Results

The above results shows that the requirements are satisfied.

Exercise 15.6.3

4.1 Problem Statement

In this we need to prove:

$$\vdash \forall \text{signature}. \text{signVerify} (\text{pubK Alice}) \text{signature} (\text{SOME "This is from Alice"}) \iff (\text{signature} = \text{sign} (\text{privK Alice}) (\text{hash} (\text{SOME "This is from Alice"})))$$

4.1.1 Relevant Code

```
val exercise15_6_3_thm =
TAC_PROOF(
  ([],
   ' '! signature.signVerify (pubK Alice) signature
   (SOME "This is from Alice") <=>
   (signature =
    sign (privK Alice) (hash (SOME "This is from Alice")))' ),
  ASM_REWRITE_TAC[signVerify_one_one]);
```

```
val _ = save_thm("exercise15_6_3_thm", exercise15_6_3_thm)
```

4.1.2 Execution Transcripts

<pre>----- HOL-4 [Kananaskis 11 (stdknl, built Sat Aug 19 09:30:06 2017)] For introductory HOL help, type: help "hol"; To exit type <Control>-D ----- > ##### <<HOL message: inventing new type variable names: 'a>> val exercise15_6_3_thm = [] - !(signature :(string digest, 'a) asymMsg). signVerify (pubK (Alice : 'a)) signature (SOME "This is from Alice") <=> (signature = sign (privK Alice) (hash (SOME "This is from Alice"))): thm ></pre>	1
---	---

4.1.3 Explanation of Results

The above results shows that the requirements are satisfied.

Appendix A: cipherScript

The following code is from the file cipherScript.sml

```
(*****)
(* Cipher operations *)
(* Created 3 May 2014: Shiu-Kai Chin *)
(* Replaced datatype contents with HOL built-in optionTheory *)
(*****)

(* Interactive mode
app load ["isainfRules","TypeBase","optionTheory"]

(* Disable Pretty-Printing *)
set_trace "Unicode" 0;
*)

structure cipherScript = struct

open HolKernel boolLib Parse bossLib
open TypeBase isainfRules optionTheory

(*****
* create a new theory
*****)
val _ = new_theory "cipher";

(*****
* THE DEFINITIONS START HERE
*****)

(*****)
(* Symmetric Encryption/Decryption *)
(*****)

(*****)
(* Creating symmetric (secret) keys and *)
(* encrypted messages with symmetric keys. *)
(*****)

val _ = Datatype 'symKey = sym num';
val _ = Datatype 'symMsg = Es symKey ('message option)';

val symKey_one_one = TypeBase.one_one_of '':symKey'
val _ = save_thm("symKey_one_one",symKey_one_one)
```

```

val symMsg_one_one = TypeBase.one_one_of ‘ ‘:’ message symMsg ‘ ‘
val _ = save_thm("symMsg_one_one", symMsg_one_one)

(*****)
(* Deciphering with symmetric keys *)
(* Define with pattern matching. If the key *)
(* matches then we can retrieve the plain text. *)
(* No definition is offered for the result if *)
(* the key in the message doesn't match the key *)
(* that is supplied. *)
(*****)
val deciphS_def =
  Define
    ‘(deciphS (k1:symKey) (Es k2 (SOME (x:’message))) =
      if (k1 = k2) then (SOME x) else (NONE:’message option)) /\
      (deciphS (k1:symKey) (Es k2 (NONE:’message option)) = NONE)’;

(*****)
(* Creating asymmetric public and private keys. *)
(* As these keys are created using a common *)
(* parameter, we will model this parameter with *)
(* the principal with whom the keys are *)
(* associated. *)
(*****)
val _ = Datatype ‘pKey = pubK ’princ | privK ’princ ‘;
val _ = Datatype ‘asymMsg = Ea (’princ pKey) (’message option)’;

val pKey_one_one = TypeBase.one_one_of ‘ ‘:’ princ pKey ‘ ‘
val _ = save_thm("pKey_one_one", pKey_one_one)

val pKey_distinct_clauses = distinct_clauses ‘ ‘:’ princ pKey ‘ ‘
val _ = save_thm("pKey_distinct_clauses", pKey_distinct_clauses)

val asymMsg_one_one = TypeBase.one_one_of ‘ ‘:(’ princ , ’message) asymMsg ‘ ‘
val _ = save_thm("asymMsg_one_one", asymMsg_one_one)

(*****)
(* Deciphering with asymmetric keys *)
(* Define with pattern matching. If the *)
(* corresponding keys match then the text is *)
(* recovered. In all other cases NONE is *)
(* returned. *)
(*****)
val deciphP_def =
  Define
    ‘(deciphP (key:’princ pKey) (Ea (privK (P:’princ)) (SOME (x:’message))) =
      if ((key:’princ pKey) = (pubK (P:’princ))) then (SOME (x:’message)) else (NONE:’message option)) /\
      (deciphP (key:’princ pKey) (Ea (pubK (P:’princ)) (SOME (x:’message))) =
      if ((key:’princ pKey) = (privK (P:’princ))) then (SOME (x:’message)) else (NONE:’message option)) /\
      (deciphP (k1:’princ pKey)(Ea (k2:’princ pKey) (NONE:’message option)) = (NONE:’message option))’;

```

```

(*****)
(* Message digests are cryptographic hashes of *)
(* messages. *)
(*****)
val _ = Datatype 'digest = hash ('message option)';
val digest_one_one = TypeBase.one_one_of '':'message digest';
val _ = save_thm("digest_one_one", digest_one_one);

(*****)
(* Signatures are digests encrypted by the *)
(* private key of the sender. *)
(*****)
val sign_def =
  Define
    'sign (pubKey:'princ pKey) (dgst:'message digest) = Ea pubKey (SOME dgst)';

(*****)
(* Integrity checking of messages is checking *)
(* the hash of the received message equals the *)
(* signature decrypted with the sender's public *)
(* key. *)
(*****)
val signVerify_def =
  Define
    'signVerify (pubKey:'princ pKey)(signature:( 'message digest , 'princ)asymMsg)(msgContents:
      (SOME (hash msgContents)) = (deciphP pubKey signature))';

(*****)
(* A theorem to make sure that our integrity *)
(* checking function works with the way we *)
(* create digital signatures. *)
(*****)
val signVerifyOK =
  save_thm
    ("signVerifyOK",
    TAC_PROOF(
      ([], '!(P:'princ)(msg:'message). signVerify (pubK P) (sign (privK P) (hash (SOME msg)))
      (REWRITE_TAC [signVerify_def, sign_def, deciphP_def])));

val th1 =
  TAC_PROOF(
    ([], '!(P text.((deciphP (pubK P)(Ea (privK P) (SOME text))) = (SOME text))) /\
    (deciphP (privK P)(Ea (pubK P) (SOME text))) = (SOME text)))',
    (REPEAT STRIP_TAC THEN
      REWRITE_TAC [deciphP_def]));

val option_distinct =
  save_thm("option_distinct", TypeBase.distinct_of (Type 'a option'));

val th2a =
  TAC_PROOF(
    ([], '!(k P text.
      (deciphP k (Ea (privK P) (SOME text))) = (SOME text))) ==> (k = pubK P)',

```

```

(REPEAT GEN_TAC THEN
  REWRITE_TAC [deciphP_def] THEN
  BOOL_CASES_TAC ‘‘k = (pubK P)‘‘ THEN
  REWRITE_TAC [option_distinct]));

val th2b =
TAC_PROOF(
  ([],
  ‘‘!k P text.
    (k = pubK P) ==> (deciphP k (Ea (privK P) (SOME text)) = (SOME text))‘‘),
  PROVE_TAC[deciphP_def])

val th2 =
TAC_PROOF(
  ([], ‘‘!k P text.
    (deciphP k (Ea (privK P) (SOME text)) = (SOME text)) = (k = pubK P)‘‘),
  PROVE_TAC[th2a, th2b])

val th3a = TAC_PROOF(
  ([], ‘‘!k P text.
    (deciphP k (Ea (pubK P) (SOME text)) = (SOME text)) ==> (k = privK P)‘‘),
  (REPEAT GEN_TAC THEN
    REWRITE_TAC [deciphP_def] THEN
    BOOL_CASES_TAC ‘‘k = (privK P)‘‘ THEN
    REWRITE_TAC [option_distinct]));

val th3b = TAC_PROOF(
  ([],
  ‘‘!k P text.
    (k = privK P) ==> (deciphP k (Ea (pubK P) (SOME text)) = (SOME text))‘‘),
  PROVE_TAC[deciphP_def])

val th3 = TAC_PROOF(
  ([],
  ‘‘!k P text.
    (deciphP k (Ea (pubK P) (SOME text)) = (SOME text)) = (k = privK P)‘‘),
  PROVE_TAC[th3a, th3b])

val th4 =
GEN_ALL
(REWRITE_RULE[pKey_distinct_clauses]
  (ISPECL
    [‘‘pubK (P1:’b)‘‘, ‘‘P2:’b‘‘]
    (GENL [‘‘key:’ princ pKey‘‘, ‘‘P:’ princ ‘‘](CONJUNCT2 (SPEC_ALL deciphP_def)))))

val th5 =
GEN_ALL
(REWRITE_RULE[pKey_distinct_clauses]
  (ISPECL
    [‘‘privK (P1:’b)‘‘, ‘‘P2:’b‘‘]
    (GENL [‘‘key:’ princ pKey‘‘, ‘‘P:’ princ ‘‘](CONJUNCT1 (SPEC_ALL deciphP_def)))))

val deciphP_clauses =

```

```

    save_thm("deciphP_clauses", LIST_CONJ [th1, th2, th3, th4, th5]);

val th1 =
  TACPROOF(
    ([], '!(k text.(deciphS k (Es k (SOME text)) = (SOME text)))',
    (REPEAT STRIP_TAC THEN
      REWRITE_TAC [deciphS_def]));

val th2a =
  TACPROOF(
    ([], '!(k1:symKey) (k2:symKey) text.
      (deciphS k1 (Es k2 (SOME text)) = (SOME text)) ==> (k1 = k2)',
    (REPEAT GEN_TAC THEN
      REWRITE_TAC [deciphS_def] THEN
      BOOL_CASES_TAC 'k1:symKey = k2:symKey' THEN
      REWRITE_TAC [option_distinct]));

val th2b =
  TACPROOF(
    ([], '!(k1:symKey) (k2:symKey) text.
      (k1 = k2) ==> (deciphS k1 (Es k2 (SOME text)) = (SOME text))',
  PROVE_TAC[deciphS_def])

val th2 =
  TACPROOF(
    ([], '!(k1:symKey) (k2:symKey) text.
      (deciphS k1 (Es k2 (SOME text)) = (SOME text)) = (k1 = k2)',
  PROVE_TAC[th2a, th2b])

val th3 =
  TACPROOF(
    ([], '!(k1:symKey) (k2:symKey) text.
      (deciphS k1 (Es k2 (SOME text)) = NONE) = (k1 <> k2)',
  REPEAT STRIP_TAC THEN
  Cases_on 'k1 = k2' THEN
  EQ_TAC THEN
  ASM_REWRITE_TAC[deciphS_def, NOT_SOME_NONE])

val th4 =
  TACPROOF(
    ([], '!(k1:symKey) (k2:symKey).
      deciphS k1 (Es k2 NONE) = NONE',
  REWRITE_TAC[deciphS_def])

val deciphS_clauses =
  save_thm("deciphS_clauses", LIST_CONJ [th1, th2, th3, th4]);

val option_one_one = TypeBase.one_one_of ':' a option
val _ = save_thm("option_one_one", option_one_one)

val option_distinct_clauses = CONJ (distinct_of ':' a option) (GSYM(distinct_of ':' a option))

val signlemma1 =

```

```

GEN_ALL(TAC.PROOF(
  ([],
    ‘‘(sign pubKey1 (hash m1) = sign pubKey2 (hash m2)) ==> ((pubKey1 = pubKey2) /\ (m1 = m2))
  REWRITE_TAC[sign_def, pKey_one_one, option_one_one, asymMsg_one_one, digest_one_one]))

val signlemma2 =
GEN_ALL(TAC.PROOF(
  ([],
    ‘‘((pubKey1 = pubKey2) /\ (m1 = m2)) ==> (sign pubKey1 (hash m1) = sign pubKey2 (hash m2))
  PROVE_TAC[]))

val sign_one_one =
TAC.PROOF(
  ([],
    ‘‘!pubKey1 pubKey2 m1 m2.
      (sign pubKey1 (hash m1) = sign pubKey2 (hash m2)) = ((pubKey1 = pubKey2) /\ (m1 = m2)) ‘‘,
  PROVE_TAC[signlemma1, signlemma2]))

val _ = save_thm("sign_one_one", sign_one_one)

val lemma1a =
GEN_ALL(TAC.PROOF(
  ([], ‘‘(deciphS k1 (Es k2 (SOME text2)) = SOME text1) ==> ((k1 = k2) /\ (text1 = text2)) ‘‘,
  (REWRITE_TAC [deciphS_def] THEN
  COND_CASES_TAC THEN
  REWRITE_TAC[option_distinct_clauses, option_one_one] THEN
  PROVE_TAC[])))

val lemma1b =
TAC.PROOF(
  ([],
    ‘‘((k1 = k2) /\ (text1 = text2)) ==> (deciphS k1 (Es k2 (SOME text2)) = SOME text1) ‘‘,
  PROVE_TAC[deciphS_clauses]))

val lemma1 =
TAC.PROOF(
  ([], ‘‘!k1 k2 text1 text2.(deciphS k1 (Es k2 (SOME text2)) = SOME text1) = ((k1 = k2) /\ (text1 = text2)) ‘‘,
  PROVE_TAC[lemma1a, lemma1b]))

val lemma2 =
TAC.PROOF(
  ([], ‘‘!(enMsg:'message symMsg) text key.(deciphS key enMsg = (SOME (text:'message))) = (enMsg = SOME (text:'message)) ‘‘,
  Cases_on 'enMsg' THEN
  REWRITE_TAC[deciphS_def, symMsg_one_one] THEN
  REPEAT GEN_TAC THEN
  EQ_TAC THEN
  REPEAT(DISCH.THEN (fn th => ASSUME_TAC th THEN ONCE_REWRITE_TAC[th])) THEN
  REWRITE_TAC[deciphS_clauses] THEN
  UNDISCH_TAC
    ‘‘deciphS (key :symKey) (Es (s :symKey) (o' :'message option)) =
      SOME (text :'message) ‘‘ THEN
  Cases_on 'o' THEN
  REWRITE_TAC[deciphS_def, option_CLAUSES] THEN

```

```
COND_CASES_TAC THEN
PROVE_TAC[option_CLAUSES])
```

```
val deciphS_one_one = CONJ lemma1 lemma2
val _ = save_thm("deciphS_one_one", deciphS_one_one)
```

```
val lemma1a =
GEN_ALL(TAC_PROOF(
([], ‘‘(deciphP (pubK P1)(Ea (privK P2) (SOME text2)) = SOME text1) ==> ((P1 = P2) /\ (text1 = text2))’’
(REWRITE_TAC[deciphP_def] THEN
COND_CASES_TAC THEN
REWRITE_TAC[option_distinct_clauses, option_one_one] THEN
PROVE_TAC[pKey_one_one])))
```

```
val lemma1b =
TAC_PROOF(
([],
‘‘!P1 P2 text1 text2.
((P1 = P2) /\ (text1 = text2)) ==> (deciphP (pubK P1)(Ea (privK P2) (SOME text2)) = SOME text1)’’,
PROVE_TAC[deciphP_def])
```

```
val lemma1 =
TAC_PROOF(
([],
‘‘!P1 P2 text1 text2.
(deciphP (pubK P1)(Ea (privK P2) (SOME text2)) = SOME text1) = ((P1 = P2) /\ (text1 = text2))’’
PROVE_TAC[lemma1a, lemma1b])
```

```
val lemma2a =
GEN_ALL(TAC_PROOF(
([], ‘‘(deciphP (privK P1)(Ea (pubK P2) (SOME text2)) = SOME text1) ==> ((P1 = P2) /\ (text1 = text2))’’
(REWRITE_TAC[deciphP_def] THEN
COND_CASES_TAC THEN
REWRITE_TAC[option_distinct_clauses, option_one_one] THEN
PROVE_TAC[pKey_one_one])))
```

```
val lemma2b =
TAC_PROOF(
([],
‘‘!P1 P2 text1 text2.
((P1 = P2) /\ (text1 = text2)) ==> (deciphP (privK P1)(Ea (pubK P2) (SOME text2)) = SOME text1)’’,
PROVE_TAC[deciphP_def])
```

```
val lemma2 =
TAC_PROOF(
([],
‘‘!P1 P2 text1 text2.
(deciphP (privK P1)(Ea (pubK P2) (SOME text2)) = SOME text1) = ((P1 = P2) /\ (text1 = text2))’’
PROVE_TAC[lemma2a, lemma2b])
```

```

val lemma3a =
TACPROOF(
  ([], ‘!(p:’b pKey)(c:’a option).(deciphP(pubK (P:’b))(Ea p c) = SOME (msg:’a)) ==> (p = pri
  Cases THEN
  Cases THEN
  REWRITE_TAC[deciphP_def, pKey_distinct_clauses, deciphP_clauses, option_distinct_clauses, lemma
  PROVE_TAC[COND.ID, option_distinct_clauses])

val lemma3b =
TACPROOF(
  ([],
  ‘!(p:’b pKey)(c:’a option).
    ((p = privK P) /\ (c = SOME msg)) ==> (deciphP(pubK (P:’b))(Ea p c) = SOME (msg:’a)) ‘‘),
  PROVE_TAC[deciphP_def])

val lemma3 =
TACPROOF(
  ([],
  ‘!(p:’b pKey)(c:’a option) P msg.
    (deciphP(pubK (P:’b))(Ea p c) = SOME (msg:’a)) = (p = privK P) /\ (c = SOME msg) ‘‘),
  PROVE_TAC[lemma3a, lemma3b])

val lemma4a =
TACPROOF(
  ([], ‘!(enMsg:(’a, ’b)asymMsg).(deciphP(pubK (P:’b))enMsg = SOME (msg:’a)) ==> (enMsg = Ea
  Cases THEN
  REWRITE_TAC[asymMsg_one_one, lemma3])

val lemma4b =
TACPROOF(
  ([], ‘!(enMsg:(’a, ’b)asymMsg).(enMsg = Ea (privK P) (SOME msg)) ==> (deciphP(pubK (P:’b))en
  PROVE_TAC[deciphP_def])

val lemma4 =
TACPROOF(
  ([],
  ‘!(enMsg:(’a, ’b)asymMsg) P msg.
    (deciphP(pubK (P:’b))enMsg = SOME (msg:’a)) = (enMsg = Ea (privK P) (SOME msg)) ‘‘),
  PROVE_TAC[lemma4a, lemma4b])

val lemma5a =
TACPROOF(
  ([], ‘!(p:’b pKey)(c:’a option).(deciphP(privK (P:’b))(Ea p c) = SOME (msg:’a)) ==> (p = pu
  Cases THEN
  Cases THEN
  REWRITE_TAC[deciphP_def, pKey_distinct_clauses, deciphP_clauses, option_distinct_clauses, lemma
  PROVE_TAC [COND.ID, option_distinct_clauses])

val lemma5b =
TACPROOF(
  ([],
  ‘!(p:’b pKey)(c:’a option).
    ((p = pubK P) /\ (c = SOME msg)) ==> (deciphP(privK (P:’b))(Ea p c) = SOME (msg:’a)) ‘‘),

```

```
PROVE_TAC [deciphP_clauses])
```

```
val lemma5 =
TAC_PROOF(
  ([],
   ‘!(p:’b pKey)(c:’a option) P msg.
    (deciphP(privK (P:’b))(Ea p c) = SOME (msg:’a)) = (p = pubK P) /\ (c = SOME msg)‘),
  PROVE_TAC [lemma5a, lemma5b])
```

```
val lemma6a =
TAC_PROOF(
  ([], ‘!(enMsg:(’a,’b)asymMsg) P msg.(deciphP(privK (P:’b))enMsg = SOME (msg:’a)) ==> (enMsg = SOME (msg:’a))’,
  Cases THEN
  REWRITE_TAC[asymMsg_one_one, lemma5])
```

```
val lemma6b =
TAC_PROOF(
  ([],
   ‘!(enMsg:(’a,’b)asymMsg) P msg.
    (enMsg = Ea (pubK P) (SOME msg)) ==> (deciphP(privK (P:’b))enMsg = SOME (msg:’a))‘),
  PROVE_TAC[deciphP_def])
```

```
val lemma6 =
TAC_PROOF(
  ([],
   ‘!(enMsg:(’a,’b)asymMsg) P msg.
    (deciphP(privK (P:’b))enMsg = SOME (msg:’a)) = (enMsg = Ea (pubK P) (SOME msg))‘),
  PROVE_TAC[lemma6a, lemma6b])
```

```
val deciphP_one_one = LIST_CONJ [lemma1, lemma2, lemma3, lemma4, lemma5, lemma6]
```

```
val _ = save_thm("deciphP_one_one", deciphP_one_one)
```

```
val lemma1a =
TAC_PROOF(
  ([], ‘!P m1 m2.signVerify (pubK (P:’a)) (Ea (privK P) (SOME (hash (SOME (m1 :’b)))))
    (SOME (m2:’b)) ==> (m1 = m2)‘),
  PROVE_TAC[signVerify_def, deciphP_def, option_one_one, digest_one_one])
```

```
val lemma1b =
TAC_PROOF(
  ([], ‘!(P:’a) (m1:’b) (m2:’b).
    (m1 = m2) ==>
    signVerify
    (pubK (P:’a)) (Ea (privK P) (SOME (hash (SOME (m1 :’b)))))
    (SOME (m2:’b))‘),
  PROVE_TAC[signVerify_def, deciphP_def])
```

```
val lemma1 =
TAC_PROOF(
  (
    ([], ‘!P m1 m2.signVerify (pubK (P:’a)) (Ea (privK P) (SOME (hash (SOME (m1 :’b)))))
      (SOME (m2:’b)) = (m1 = m2)‘),
  )
```

```
PROVE_TAC[lemma1a, lemma1b])
```

```
(* Start here *)
val lemma2 =
TAC.PROOF(
  ([], '!signature P text.signVerify (pubK (P:'princ)) signature (SOME (text:'message)) = (s
let val [-,-, lemma3, -, -, -] = CONJUNCTS deciphP_one_one
in
  Cases_on 'signature' THEN
  REWRITE_TAC[signVerify_def] THEN
  REWRITE_TAC[sign_def] THEN
  REWRITE_TAC[asymMsg_one_one] THEN
  REPEAT STRIP_TAC THEN
  EQ_TAC THEN
  DISCH_TAC THEN
  ASM.REWRITE_TAC[deciphP_clauses] THEN
  PAT_ASSUM('x' ( fn th => ASSUME_TAC(SYM th)) THEN
  IMP_RES_TAC lemma3 THEN
  (* The ASM.REWRITE_TAC appears to go on forever *)
  (* PROVE_TAC [] *)
PROVE_TAC[]
end)
```

```
val lemma3a =
GEN_ALL(TAC.PROOF(
  ([], 'signVerify (pubK P1) (sign (privK P2) (hash (SOME text2)))(SOME text1) ==> ((P1 = P2
  (REWRITE_TAC[signVerify_def, sign_def] THEN
  DISCH_TAC THEN
  PAT_ASSUM('a = b' ( fn th => ASSUME_TAC (SYM th)) THEN
  IMP_RES_TAC deciphP_one_one THEN
  PAT_ASSUM('hash a = hash b' ( fn th => ASSUME_TAC (REWRITE_RULE[digest_one_one, option_one_on
  ASM.REWRITE_TAC[])))
```

```
val lemma3b =
GEN_ALL(TAC.PROOF(
  ([],
  '((P1 = P2) /\ (text1 = text2)) ==> signVerify (pubK P1) (sign (privK P2) (hash (SOME text
  PROVE_TAC[signVerifyOK]))
```

```
val lemma3 =
GEN_ALL(TAC.PROOF(
  ([],
  'signVerify (pubK P1) (sign (privK P2) (hash (SOME text2)))(SOME text1) = ((P1 = P2) /\ (
  PROVE_TAC[lemma3a, lemma3b]))
```

```
val signVerify_one_one = LIST_CONJ [lemma1, lemma2, lemma3]
val _ = save_thm("signVerify_one_one", signVerify_one_one)
```

```
(* ===== start here =====
===== end here ===== *)
```

```
(*****)
(* Print and export the theory *)
```

```
(*****)  
val _ = print_theory "-";  
  
val _ = export_theory ();  
  
end;
```

Appendix B: cryptoExercisesScript

The following code is from the file cryptoExercisesScript.sml

```
(*****)
(* Exercise Chapter 15 *)
(* Author: Bharath Karumudi *)
(* Date: Aug 27 2019 *)
(*****)

structure cryptoExercisesScript = struct

open HolKernel boolLib Parse bossLib
open TypeBase isainfRules optionTheory cipherTheory stringTheory

val _ = new_theory "cryptoExercises";

(*****)
(* Exercise 15.6.1A *)
(* ' key enMsg message. *)
*)
(* deciphS key enMsg = SOME message) (enMsg = Es key (SOME message)) *)
*)
(*****)

val exercise15_6_1a_thm =
TACPROOF(
([],
'! key enMsg message. (deciphS key enMsg = SOME message) <=> (enMsg = Es key (SOME message))
ASMREWRITE_TAC [deciphS_one_one]);

val _ = save_thm("exercise15_6_1a_thm", exercise15_6_1a_thm)

(*****)
(* Exercise 15.6.1B *)
(* ' keyAlice k text. *)
*)
(* (deciphS keyAlice (Es k (SOME text))) = *)
(* SOME This is from Alice ) *)
*)
(* (k = keyAlice) (text = This is from Alice ) *)
*)
(*****)

val exercise15_6_1b_thm =
TACPROOF(
```

```
([],
  ‘‘! keyAlice k text.
  (deciphS keyAlice (Es k (SOME text))) =
  SOME "This_is_from_Alice") <=>
  (k = keyAlice) /\ (text = "This_is_from_Alice") ‘‘),
  ASMREWRITE_TAC [deciphS_one_one] THEN
  PROVE_TAC[]
);
```

```
val _ = save_thm("exercise15_6_1b_thm", exercise15_6_1b_thm)
```

```
(*****
(* Exercise 15.6.2A *)
(* ‘ P message.
*)
(* (deciphP (pubK P) enMsg = SOME message)
*)
(* (enMsg = Ea (privK P) (SOME message)) *)
(*****)
```

```
val exercise15_6_2a_thm =
TACPROOF(
([],
  ‘‘! P message.
  (deciphP (pubK P) enMsg = SOME message)<=>
  (enMsg = Ea (privK P) (SOME message)) ‘‘),
  PROVE_TAC[deciphP_one_one]
);
```

```
val _ = save_thm("exercise15_6_2a_thm", exercise15_6_2a_thm)
```

```
(*****
(* Exercise 15.6.2B *)
(* ‘ key text.
*)
(* (deciphP (pubK Alice) (Ea key (SOME text))) = *)
(* SOME This is from Alice )
*)
(* (key = privK Alice) (text = This is from Alice )
*)
(*****)
```

```
val exercise15_6_2b_thm =
TACPROOF(
([],
  ‘‘! key text.
  (deciphP (pubK Alice) (Ea key (SOME text))) =
  SOME "This_is_from_Alice") <=>
  (key = privK Alice) (text = "This_is_from_Alice") ‘‘),
  ASMREWRITE_TAC[deciphP_one_one] THEN
  ASMREWRITE_TAC[option_CLAUSES]);
```

```

val _ = save_thm("exercise15_6_2b_thm", exercise15_6_2b_thm)

(* ***** *)
(* Exercise 15.6.3 *)
(* ' signature. *)
(*)
(* signVerify (pubK Alice) signature *)
(* (SOME This is from Alice) *)
(*)
(* (signature = *)
(* sign (privK Alice) (hash (SOME This is from Alice)) *)
(*)
(* ***** *)

val exercise15_6_3_thm =
TACPROOF(
  ([],
  ' '! signature.signVerify (pubK Alice) signature
  (SOME "This_is_from_Alice") <=>
  (signature =
  sign (privK Alice) (hash (SOME "This_is_from_Alice")) ' ' ),
  ASMREWRITE_TAC[signVerify_one_one]);

val _ = save_thm("exercise15_6_3_thm", exercise15_6_3_thm)

(* ***** *)
(* Exporting Theory *)
(* ***** *)

val _ = print_theory "-";
val _ = export_theory();

end (* structure *)

```