

## Homework 5

---

1. *Cost-constrained binary channels.* Consider a cost-constrained binary input channel with cost function  $b(x) = x$  for  $x \in \{0, 1\}$ . Find the capacity-cost function  $C(B)$  (a) for the binary symmetric channel and (b) for the binary erasure channel.

**Solution:** Recall that the capacity-cost function is given by

$$C(B) = \max_{p(x): \mathbb{E}b(X) \leq B} I(X; Y).$$

- (a) For the binary symmetric channel with crossover probability  $p$ , let  $X \sim \text{Bern}(r)$ . To satisfy the cost constraint  $\mathbb{E}b(X) \leq B$ , we need  $r \leq B$ . Since  $r = 1/2$  is the optimal choice of input distribution without a cost constraint, if  $B \geq 1/2$  then  $r = 1/2$  satisfies the cost constraint, so the capacity-cost function is simply the capacity of the channel with no constraint, i.e.  $C(B) = 1 - H(p)$ .

Now consider the case  $B < 1/2$ . Note that  $\Pr\{Y = 1\} = r(1 - p) + (1 - r)p = p + r(1 - 2p)$ . If  $p < 1/2$  and  $r < 1/2$  then this quantity is increasing in  $r$  and less than  $1/2$ , meaning  $H(Y)$  is increasing in  $r$ . If  $p > 1/2$  and  $r < 1/2$ , then this quantity is decreasing in  $r$  but greater than  $1/2$ , meaning again that  $H(Y)$  is increasing in  $r$ . Thus

$$C(B) = \max_{r \leq B} H(Y) - H(Y|X) = H(B(1 - p) + (1 - B)p) - H(p)$$

where equality is achieved by  $r = B$ .

In summary,

$$C(B) = \begin{cases} H(B(1 - p) + (1 - B)p) - H(p) & B < 1/2 \\ 1 - H(p) & B \geq 1/2. \end{cases}$$

- (b) For the binary erasure channel with erasure probability  $\alpha$ , again let  $X \sim \text{Bern}(r)$ . We may write the mutual information as

$$\begin{aligned} I(X; Y) &= H(Y) - H(Y|X) \\ &= H(r(1 - \alpha), (1 - r)(1 - \alpha), \alpha) - H(\alpha) \\ &= H(\alpha) + (1 - \alpha)H(r) - H(\alpha) \\ &= (1 - \alpha)H(r). \end{aligned}$$

This is maximized over  $r \leq B$  at  $r = \min\{B, 1/2\}$ , so

$$C(B) = \begin{cases} (1 - \alpha)H(B) & B < 1/2 \\ 1 - \alpha & B \geq 1/2. \end{cases}$$

2. *Parallel Gaussian channels.* Consider 3 parallel Gaussian channels with noise variances given by

$$N_1 = 1, \quad N_2 = 4, \quad N_3 = 10.$$

Find the capacity as a function of total power  $P$ . Make sure your answer is in completely closed form (i.e., solve for  $\alpha^*$  as a function of  $P$ ).

**Solution:** The water filling solution is

$$C = \sum_i \frac{1}{2} \log \left( 1 + \frac{P_i}{N_i} \right)$$

where  $P_i = (\alpha^* - N_i)^+$ , and  $\alpha^*$  is chosen such that  $\sum_i P_i = P$ . Let us consider different ranges for  $\alpha^*$ . For  $1 \leq \alpha^* \leq 4$ , we have

$$P_1 = \alpha^* - 1, \quad P_2 = P_3 = 0.$$

Thus this range works for  $P = \alpha^* - 1$ , so we must have  $P \in [0, 3]$ . Thus, in this range we have

$$C = \frac{1}{2} \log \left( 1 + \frac{\alpha^* - 1}{1} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \log(1 + P).$$

The next range is  $4 \leq \alpha^* \leq 10$ , where

$$P_1 = \alpha^* - 1, \quad P_2 = \alpha^* - 4, \quad P_3 = 0.$$

Thus the total power is

$$P = 2\alpha^* - 5$$

which means  $3 \leq P \leq 15$ , and  $\alpha^* = \frac{P+5}{2}$ , so

$$C = \frac{1}{2} \log \left( 1 + \frac{\alpha^* - 1}{1} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \log \left( 1 + \frac{\alpha^* - 4}{4} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \log \left( 1 + \frac{P+3}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \log \left( 1 + \frac{P-3}{8} \right).$$

The final range is  $\alpha^* \geq 10$ , where

$$P_1 = \alpha^* - 1, \quad P_2 = \alpha^* - 4, \quad P_3 = \alpha^* - 10.$$

Thus the total power is

$$P = 3\alpha^* - 15$$

which means  $P \geq 15$ , and  $\alpha^* = \frac{P+15}{3}$ , so

$$\begin{aligned} C &= \frac{1}{2} \log \left( 1 + \frac{\alpha^* - 1}{1} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \log \left( 1 + \frac{\alpha^* - 4}{4} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \log \left( 1 + \frac{\alpha^* - 10}{10} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \log \left( 1 + \frac{P+12}{3} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \log \left( 1 + \frac{P+3}{12} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \log \left( 1 + \frac{P-15}{30} \right). \end{aligned}$$

To summarize,

$$C = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \log(1 + P), & 0 \leq P \leq 3, \\ \frac{1}{2} \log \left( 1 + \frac{P+3}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \log \left( 1 + \frac{P-3}{8} \right), & 3 \leq P \leq 15, \\ \frac{1}{2} \log \left( 1 + \frac{P+12}{3} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \log \left( 1 + \frac{P+3}{12} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \log \left( 1 + \frac{P-15}{30} \right), & P \geq 15. \end{cases}$$

3. *Parallel binary erasure channels.* Consider  $k$  parallel binary erasure channels with an overall cost constraint. That is, the input is  $X = (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_k)$  where  $X_j \in \{0, 1\}$ . The output is  $Y = (Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_k)$ , where  $Y_j$  is the output of a binary erasure channel with  $X_j$  as the input and erasure probability  $p_j$ . There is a joint cost constraint on the input codeword  $x^n = (x_1^n, x_2^n, \dots, x_k^n)$  given by

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^k x_{ji} \leq B.$$

Find the capacity of this channel. You may give your answer in parametric form (similar to the water filling solution, in which the capacity is written in terms of a Lagrange variable).

**Solution:** We know that the capacity-cost function will be

$$C(B) = \max_{p(x_1, \dots, x_k): \mathbb{E}[\sum_{j=1}^k X_j] \leq B} I(X_1, \dots, X_k; Y_1, \dots, Y_k).$$

We may upper bound the mutual information by

$$\begin{aligned}
I(X_1, \dots, X_k; Y_1, \dots, Y_k) &= H(Y_1, \dots, Y_k) - H(Y_1, \dots, Y_k | X_1, \dots, X_k) \\
&\leq \sum_{j=1}^k H(Y_j) - \sum_{j=1}^k H(Y_j | X_j) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^k I(X_j; Y_j).
\end{aligned}$$

This upper bound is achievable by taking  $X_1, \dots, X_k$  to be independent. If we assume that  $X_j \sim \text{Bern}(r_j)$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
I(X_j; Y_j) &= H(Y_j) - H(Y_j | X_j) \\
&= H(r_j(1 - p_j), (1 - r_j)(1 - p_j), p_j) - H(p_j) \\
&= H(p_j) + (1 - p_j)H(r_j) - H(p_j) = (1 - p_j)H(r_j).
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{j=1}^k X_j\right] = \sum_{j=1}^k r_j.$$

Thus we may write the capacity-cost function as

$$C(B) = \max_{r_1, \dots, r_k: \sum_{j=1}^k r_j \leq B} \sum_{j=1}^k (1 - p_j)H(r_j).$$

Without considering the cost constraint, the optimal choice of  $r_j$  is  $r_j = 1/2$  for all  $j$ ; thus if  $B \geq k/2$ , then  $C(B) \geq \sum_{j=1}^k (1 - p_j)$ . Otherwise, we have the constrained optimization problem

$$\begin{aligned}
&\text{maximize} && \sum_{j=1}^k (1 - p_j) (-r_j \log r_j - (1 - r_j) \log(1 - r_j)) \\
&\text{subject to} && \sum_{j=1}^k r_j \leq B, \\
&&& r_j \geq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

The Lagrangian for this optimization problem is

$$\sum_{j=1}^k (1 - p_j) (r_j \ln r_j + (1 - r_j) \ln(1 - r_j)) + \nu \left( \sum_{j=1}^k r_j - B \right) - \sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j r_j.$$

Differentiating with respect to  $r_j$ , the optimal point satisfies the condition

$$0 = (1 - p_j) (\ln r_j - \ln(1 - r_j)) + \nu - \lambda_j$$

Solving for  $r_j$ , we find

$$r_j = \frac{e^{\frac{\lambda_j - \nu}{1 - p_j}}}{1 + e^{\frac{\lambda_j - \nu}{1 - p_j}}}.$$

Note that for any finite  $\lambda_j, \nu$ , this is always positive. That is,  $r_j > 0$ , so by complementary slackness, we actually have  $\lambda_j = 0$ , so

$$r_j = \frac{e^{\frac{-\nu}{1 - p_j}}}{1 + e^{\frac{-\nu}{1 - p_j}}}. \tag{1}$$

Therefore, for  $B \leq k/2$ , we have

$$C(B) = \sum_{j=1}^k (1 - p_j)H(r_j)$$

where  $r_j$  is given by (1), and  $\nu$  is chosen so that  $\sum_{j=1}^k r_j = B$ .

4. Problem 10.5 from Cover-Thomas: *Rate distortion for uniform source with Hamming distortion*. Consider a source  $X$  uniformly distributed on the set  $\{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ . Find the rate distortion function for this source with Hamming distortion; that is,

$$d(x, \hat{x}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = \hat{x}, \\ 1 & \text{if } x \neq \hat{x}. \end{cases}$$

**Solution:** Consider any  $p(\hat{x}|x)$  such that  $\mathbb{E}d(X, \hat{X}) \leq D$ . Let  $D' = \mathbb{E}d(X, \hat{X})$ . Note that  $d(X, \hat{X})$  is a binary random variable with entropy  $H(D')$ . We may write

$$\begin{aligned} I(X; \hat{X}) &= H(X) - H(X|\hat{X}) \\ &\stackrel{(a)}{=} \log m - H(X, d(X, \hat{X})|\hat{X}) \\ &= \log m - H(d(X, \hat{X})|\hat{X}) - H(X|\hat{X}, d(X, \hat{X})) \\ &\stackrel{(b)}{\geq} \log m - H(d(X, \hat{X})) - \Pr\{d(X, \hat{X}) = 1\}H(X|\hat{X}, d(X, \hat{X}) = 1) \\ &\quad - \Pr\{d(X, \hat{X}) = 0\}H(X|\hat{X}, d(X, \hat{X}) = 0) \\ &\stackrel{(c)}{=} \log m - H(D') - D'H(X|\hat{X}, d(X, \hat{X}) = 1) \\ &\stackrel{(d)}{\geq} \log m - H(D') - D'\log(m-1) \end{aligned}$$

where:

- in (a) we have used the fact that  $d(X, \hat{X})$  is a function of  $X$  and  $\hat{X}$ ,
- in (b) we have used the fact that conditioning reduces entropy, and expanded the third term around  $d(X, \hat{X})$
- in (c) we have used the fact that if  $d(X, \hat{X}) = 0$ , then  $X = \hat{X}$  so  $X$  is determined by  $\hat{X}$
- in (d) we have used the fact that if  $d(X, \hat{X}) = 1$ , then  $X \neq \hat{X}$  so it may only take on  $m-1$  possible values, so its entropy is at most  $\log(m-1)$ .

We may achieve equality in the above analysis with the following test channel. (Recall that a test channel is a channel from  $\hat{X}$  back to  $X$ .) Given  $\hat{X} = \hat{x}$ ,  $X = \hat{x}$  with probability  $1 - D'$ , and  $X = x$  with probability  $\frac{D'}{m-1}$  for all  $x \neq \hat{x}$ . This test channel is valid because a uniform  $\hat{X}$  leads to a uniform  $X$ . Moreover,  $\mathbb{E}d(X, \hat{X}) = \Pr(X \neq \hat{X}) = D'$ , and  $H(X|\hat{X}) = H(D) + (1-D)\log(m-1)$ , so equality is achieved in the above analysis. Therefore we may write the rate-distortion function as

$$R(D) = \min_{D' \leq D} \log m - H(D') - D'\log(m-1).$$

Note that  $H(D') + D'\log(m-1)$  is a concave function of  $D'$ . We may find the value of  $D'$  that maximizes  $H(D') + D'\log(m-1)$  as follows:

$$\frac{d}{dD'}[-D'\log D' - (1-D')\log(1-D') + D'\log(m-1)] = \log \frac{1-D'}{D'} + \log(m-1).$$

The above equals 0 (i.e. is a critical point) if  $D' = \frac{m-1}{m}$ . Thus  $H(D') + D'\log(m-1)$  is increasing for  $D' \leq \frac{m-1}{m}$  and decreasing for larger values. Hence if  $D \leq \frac{m-1}{m}$ , the best choice is  $D' = D$ , and if  $D > \frac{m-1}{m}$ , the best choice is  $D' = \frac{m-1}{m}$ . Therefore the rate distortion function is

$$R(D) = \begin{cases} \log m - H(D) - D\log(m-1) & D \leq \frac{m-1}{m} \\ \log m - H\left(\frac{m-1}{m}\right) - \frac{m-1}{m}\log(m-1) & D > \frac{m-1}{m}. \end{cases}$$

5. Problem 10.7 from Cover-Thomas: *Erasure distortion*. Consider  $X \sim \text{Bernoulli}(\frac{1}{2})$ , and let the distortion measure be given by a matrix (each row is a letter of  $\mathcal{X}$ , each column is a letter of  $\hat{\mathcal{X}}$ )

$$d(x, \hat{x}) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & \infty \\ \infty & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Calculate the rate distortion function for this source. Can you suggest a simple scheme to achieve any value of the rate distortion function for this source?

**Solution:** Denote the reconstruction alphabet by  $\{0, e, 1\}$ . To give a finite distortion, we cannot have  $(X, \hat{X}) = (0, 1)$  or  $(X, \hat{X}) = (1, 0)$  occur with positive probability. Assuming these do not occur,  $\mathbb{E}d(X, \hat{X}) = \Pr\{\hat{X} = e\}$ . If  $D \geq 1$ , then we may simply set  $\hat{X} = e$  and automatically satisfy the distortion constraint, giving  $R(D) = 0$ .

Now consider the case  $D < 1$ . For a distribution that satisfies the distortion constraint  $\Pr\{\hat{X} = e\} \leq D$ , we may write

$$\begin{aligned} I(X; \hat{X}) &= H(X) - H(X|\hat{X}) \\ &= 1 - \Pr\{\hat{X} = 0\}H(X|\hat{X} = 0) - \Pr\{\hat{X} = 1\}H(X|\hat{X} = 1) - \Pr\{\hat{X} = e\}H(X|\hat{X} = e) \\ &\stackrel{(a)}{=} 1 - \Pr\{\hat{X} = e\}H(X|\hat{X} = e) \\ &\stackrel{(b)}{\geq} 1 - \Pr\{\hat{X} = e\} \\ &\stackrel{(c)}{\geq} 1 - D \end{aligned}$$

where (a) follows because when  $\hat{X} = 0$  or  $\hat{X} = 1$ , we must have  $X = \hat{X}$ , (b) follows by the uniform bound, and (c) follows since by the distortion constraint.

The following test channel achieves equality in the above analysis. If  $\hat{X} = 0$  or  $\hat{X} = 1$ , then  $X = \hat{X}$ , and if  $\hat{X} = e$ , then  $X$  is uniformly distributed between 0 and 1. This gives the proper distribution on  $X$  as long as  $\hat{X} = 0$  and  $\hat{X} = 1$  are equally likely. Moreover, by choosing  $\Pr\{\hat{X} = e\} = D$ , we satisfy the distortion constraint and achieve equality in the above. Therefore

$$R(D) = \begin{cases} 1 - D & D < 1 \\ 0 & D \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

The following simple schemes achieves the above rate-distortion function. Assuming  $D < 1$ , let  $k = \lfloor n(1 - D) \rfloor$ . Given  $x^n$ , we encode the first  $k$  bits. This requires at most  $n(1 - D)$  bits, so we achieve rate  $R = 1 - D$ . At the decoder, we are able to determine  $x_1, \dots, x_k$  with no error, so we decode to  $\hat{x}^n = (x_1, \dots, x_k, e, e, \dots, e)$ . Thus  $d(x^n, \hat{x}^n) = n - k \leq n - n(1 - D) + 1 = nD + 1$ , so

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} d(x^n, \hat{x}^n) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} (nD + 1) = D.$$

6. *Rate-distortion for exponential random variable*. Let  $X$  be an exponential random variable with expectation  $\mu$ ; i.e.,  $f_X(x) = \frac{1}{\mu} e^{-x/\mu}$  for  $x \geq 0$ . Define a distortion function for  $x, \hat{x} \in \mathbb{R}$  as

$$d(x, \hat{x}) = \begin{cases} x - \hat{x}, & \hat{x} \leq x \\ \infty, & \hat{x} > x. \end{cases}$$

Find the rate-distortion function. *Hint:* Remember the results from problems 7 and 8 from Homework 4.

**Solution:** Recall from Homework 4 that for an exponential random variable with mean  $\mu$ , the differential entropy is  $\log(e\mu)$ . Moreover, for any non-negative variable  $Z$ , if  $\mathbb{E}[Z] \leq \mu$ , then  $h(Z) \leq \log(e\mu)$ . Consider first  $D \geq \mu$ . We can choose  $\hat{X} = 0$ , so

$$\mathbb{E}[d(X, \hat{X})] = \mathbb{E}[X - \hat{X}] = \mathbb{E}[X] = \mu \leq D$$

where we have used the fact that since  $\hat{X} = 0$ , then  $X$  is always greater than  $\hat{X}$ . Moreover,  $I(X; \hat{X}) = 0$ , so  $R(D) = 0$ .

Now consider any  $D < \mu$ . Consider any  $f(\hat{x}|x)$  such that  $\mathbb{E}d(X, \hat{X}) \leq D$ . Since  $d(x, \hat{x}) = \infty$  when  $\hat{x} > x$ , we may assume that  $\hat{X} \leq X$ , so  $\mathbb{E}d(X, \hat{X}) = \mathbb{E}[X - \hat{X}] \leq D$ . Thus we may lower bound the mutual information by

$$\begin{aligned} I(X; \hat{X}) &= h(X) - h(X|\hat{X}) \\ &= \log(e\mu) - h(X - \hat{X}|\hat{X}) \\ &\geq \log(e\mu) - h(X - \hat{X}) \\ &\stackrel{(a)}{\geq} \log(e\mu) - \log(eD) \\ &= \log\left(\frac{\mu}{D}\right). \end{aligned}$$

where in (a) we have used the fact that  $X - \hat{X}$  is non-negative with expectation less than  $D$ , so by the earlier result  $h(X - \hat{X}) \leq \log(eD)$ . To achieve equality in the above bound, we must have  $Z = X - \hat{X}$  be independent of  $\hat{X}$ , and  $Z$  be an exponential random variable with  $\mathbb{E}[Z] = D$ . That is,  $X = \hat{X} + Z$ , where  $\hat{X}$  and  $Z$  are independent, and both  $X$  and  $Z$  are exponential random variables. In order to show that this is achievable, we need to show that there exists a distribution for  $\hat{X}$  that gives the correct distribution for  $X$ . We use moment generation functions. In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[e^{tX}] &= \frac{1}{1 - \mu t}, \\ \mathbb{E}[e^{tZ}] &= \frac{1}{1 - Dt}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\hat{X}$  and  $Z$  should be independent, we have

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{tX}] = \mathbb{E}[e^{t(\hat{X}+Z)}] = \mathbb{E}[e^{t\hat{X}}] \mathbb{E}[e^{tZ}].$$

Thus we should have

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{t\hat{X}}] = \frac{\mathbb{E}[e^{tX}]}{\mathbb{E}[e^{tZ}]} = \frac{1 - Dt}{1 - \mu t} = \frac{\frac{D}{\mu}(1 - \mu t) + 1 - \frac{D}{\mu}}{1 - \mu t} = \frac{D}{\mu} + \frac{1 - \frac{D}{\mu}}{1 - \mu t}.$$

The last expression is the moment generation function of the following distribution. Letting  $\hat{X}'$  be an exponential distribution with mean  $\mu$ ,

$$\hat{X} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{w.p. } \frac{D}{\mu} \\ \hat{X}' & \text{w.p. } 1 - \frac{D}{\mu}. \end{cases}$$

This distribution exists because  $D < \mu$ . Therefore, the lower bound is achievable, so

$$R(D) = \begin{cases} \log\left(\frac{\mu}{D}\right), & D < \mu, \\ 0, & D \geq \mu. \end{cases}$$

7. Problem 10.17 from Cover-Thomas: *Source-channel separation theorem with distortion*. Let  $V_1, V_2, \dots, V_n$  be a finite alphabet i.i.d. source with is encoded as a sequence of  $n$  input symbols  $X^n$  of a discrete memoryless channel. The output of the channel  $Y^n$  is mapped onto the reconstruction alphabet  $\hat{V} = g(Y^n)$ . Let  $D = \mathbb{E}d(V^n, \hat{V}^n) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}d(V_i, \hat{V}_i)$  be the average distortion achieved by this combined source and channel coding scheme.



- (a) Show that if  $C > R(D)$ , where  $R(D)$  is the rate distortion function for  $V$ , it is possible to find encoders and decoders that achieve an average distortion arbitrarily close to  $D$ .
- (b) (Converse) Show that if the average distortion is equal to  $D$ , the capacity of the channel  $C$  must be greater than  $R(D)$ .

**Solution:**

- (a) Choose rate  $R$  where  $C > R > R(D)$ . Thus, since  $R < C$ , there exists a channel code over the noisy channel with rate  $R$  and arbitrarily small probability of error. Moreover, since  $R > R(D)$ , there exists a rate-distortion code for source  $V$  and distortion function  $d$  that achieves expected distortion arbitrarily close to  $D$ . Plugging these two codes together (i.e. the output of the rate-distortion encoder is used as the message for the channel code), the overall distortion is arbitrarily close to  $D$ .
- (b) Using the data processing inequality, we have

$$I(V^n; \hat{V}^n) \leq I(X^n; Y^n).$$

Reproducing part of the converse argument for rate-distortion, we have (assuming the expected distortion is  $D$ )

$$\begin{aligned} I(V^n; \hat{V}^n) &\geq \sum_{i=1}^n I(V_i; \hat{V}_i) \\ &\geq \sum_{i=1}^n R(\mathbb{E}d(V_i, \hat{V}_i)) \\ &\geq nR(\mathbb{E}d(V^n, \hat{V}^n)) \\ &= nR(D). \end{aligned}$$

Now reproducing part of the converse argument for channel coding, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I(X^n; Y^n) &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n I(X_i; Y_i) \\ &\leq nC. \end{aligned}$$

Chaining together all of the above inequalities and dividing by  $n$  gives  $R(D) \geq C$ .

8. *Source-channel separation for a Gaussian source with a Gaussian channel.* Consider a special case of the setup from problem 7 where  $V \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$ , the channel is a Gaussian noise channel with power constraint  $P$  and noise variance  $N$ , and the distortion function is the squared error distortion; i.e.,  $d(v, \hat{v}) = (v - \hat{v})^2$ .

- (a) Using the result from problem 7, find the smallest possible expected distortion  $D$  given the other parameters.
- (b) Now consider the following simple approach without coding. For each  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , the encoder sends  $X_i = \alpha V_i$ , and the decoder estimates the source using  $\hat{V}_i = \beta Y_i$ . Here,  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are constants that must be determined. What is the minimum expected distortion  $D$  achievable using this scheme? Be sure to choose  $\alpha$  to satisfy the power constraint of the channel, and  $\beta$  to minimize the expected distortion. Compare your answer to that from part (a).

**Solution:**

- (a) From problem 7, we need  $C > R(D)$ . The capacity is  $C = \frac{1}{2} \log \left( 1 + \frac{P}{N} \right)$ , and the rate-distortion function is  $R(D) = \frac{1}{2} \log \left( \frac{\sigma^2}{D} \right)$ . Thus we can achieve any distortion  $D$  where

$$\frac{1}{2} \log \left( 1 + \frac{P}{N} \right) > \frac{1}{2} \log \left( \frac{\sigma^2}{D} \right).$$

We can rewrite this condition as

$$1 + \frac{P}{N} < \frac{\sigma^2}{D}.$$

Rearranging this, we need

$$D > \frac{\sigma^2}{1 + \frac{P}{N}} = \frac{N\sigma^2}{N + P}.$$

Thus, the smallest achievable distortion is  $\frac{N\sigma^2}{N+P}$ .

(b) In order to satisfy the power constraint, we need  $\mathbb{E}[X_i^2] \leq P$ . Since  $X_i = \alpha V_i$ , we have

$$\mathbb{E}[X_i^2] = \alpha^2 \mathbb{E}[V_i^2] = \alpha^2 \sigma^2.$$

Thus we need  $\alpha^2 \leq \frac{P}{\sigma^2}$ . We now calculate the expected distortion (in the following  $Z_i \sim \mathcal{N}(0, N)$  is the channel noise):

$$\begin{aligned} D &= \mathbb{E}(V_i - \hat{V}_i)^2 \\ &= \mathbb{E}(V_i - \beta Y_i)^2 \\ &= \mathbb{E}(V_i - \beta(X_i + Z_i))^2 \\ &= \mathbb{E}(V_i - \beta(\alpha V_i + Z_i))^2 \\ &= \mathbb{E}((1 - \alpha\beta)V_i - \beta Z_i)^2 \\ &= (1 - \alpha\beta)^2 \mathbb{E}[V_i^2] + \beta^2 \mathbb{E}[Z_i^2] \\ &= (1 - \alpha\beta)^2 \sigma^2 + \beta^2 N. \end{aligned}$$

We can minimize this distortion over  $\beta$  by setting with respect to  $\beta$  to 0:

$$0 = \frac{\partial D}{\partial \beta} = -2\alpha(1 - \alpha\beta)\sigma^2 + 2\beta N = 2(\alpha^2\sigma^2 + N)\beta - 2\alpha\sigma^2$$

so the best choice of beta is

$$\beta = \frac{\alpha\sigma^2}{\alpha^2\sigma^2 + N}$$

and the minimal distortion is

$$\begin{aligned} D &= \left(1 - \alpha \frac{\alpha\sigma^2}{\alpha^2\sigma^2 + N}\right)^2 \sigma^2 + \left(\frac{\alpha\sigma^2}{\alpha^2\sigma^2 + N}\right)^2 N \\ &= \frac{N^2\sigma^2}{(\alpha^2\sigma^2 + N)^2} + \frac{\alpha^2\sigma^4 N}{(\alpha^2\sigma^2 + N)^2} \\ &= \frac{\sigma^2 N(N + \alpha^2\sigma^2)}{(\alpha^2\sigma^2 + N)^2} \\ &= \frac{\sigma^2 N}{\alpha^2\sigma^2 + N}. \end{aligned}$$

Recalling the power constraint that  $\alpha^2 \leq \frac{P}{\sigma^2}$ , the smallest we can make the distortion is

$$D = \frac{\sigma^2 N}{P + N}.$$

This is exactly the same as we found in part (a)!