Cross-Layer Optimization in Decentralized Cognitive Radio Networks

Bharath Keshavamurthy

School of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Purdue University

24 April, 2019

Outline

- Motivation Why Cross-Layer Optimization?
- ▶ Related Work What has been done already?
- Challenges tackled in our work What is new?
- System Model Just the important bits
- Solution Approach Formulation and Decomposition
- Results and Discussions Algorithms

Motivation - Why Cross-Layer Optimization?

- ➤ Objective: Maximize the throughput of a set of assigned end-to-end multi-hop flows in a Secondary User (SU) network by intelligently exploiting the spectrum holes left unused by the licensed user
- Pure divide-and-conquer protocol design strategies do not work because the performance across all layers are dependent on the resource allocation constraints and the incumbent interference constraints.
- ► A cross-layer optimization framework is the way-to-go because it brings in requirements from all five layers of the stack with one global objective of maximizing the throughput while enforcing strict PU non-interference compliance.
- ▶ Devise a distributed, layered solution for the optimal performance of a cognitive radio node.

Related Work – What has been done already?

- ➤ Y. Teng and M. Song, "Cross-Layer Optimization and Protocol Analysis for Cognitive Ad Hoc Communications"
 - ► Power Allocation, Channel Allocation, Routing, and Flow Rate Control solutions with MRR in the APP GUOP formulations
 - Convex optimization using vertical decomposition techniques
 - Complexity analysis and heuristics to overcome the computational overhead/intractability
- ► A. Cammarano, F. L. Presti, G. Maselli, L. Pescosolido and C. Petrioli, "Throughput-Optimal Cross-Layer Design for Cognitive Radio Ad Hoc Networks"
 - MAC, Rate Control, and Flow Scheduling NUM problem formulation
 - Common control channel for the dissemination of known channel occupancy behavior and queue lengths at links for different flows
 - ► A static multi-graph topology for the SU network and a conflict graph to capture scheduling constraints among sub-links

Challenges tackled in our work - What is new?

- MCS adaptation in the PHY Frame it as an optimization problem and incorporate it into the cross-layer framework
- ► An intelligent, process-interactive agent model to learn the channel occupancy behavior of the incumbents
- The incumbent channel occupancy behavior is not independent spatially and temporally – there exists a correlation model
- Different flows have different QoS constraints and hence, some flows need to be prioritized over others – weighted flow scheduling

System Model (just the important bits)

- ► Network Model:
 - ▶ A Secondary User network with M licensed users, N SU nodes, and K channels of equal capacity C
 - ▶ $\mathcal{L} = \{(n, m; c) : n, m \in \mathcal{N}, c \in \mathcal{C}\}$ denotes the set of all sub-links and \mathcal{F} denotes the set of end-to-end flows assigned to the SU network with flow $f \in \mathcal{F}$ having weight/priority w_f
- ► Link Adaptation Model
 - $PER = \phi(MCS_{choice}, \sigma_V^2, H, L)$
 - $\mathbb{P}(PER_{MCS_{choice}} > \gamma_{PER}) \le \mu, \ 0 < \mu < 1$
 - ► PER Estimation and Approximation for each MCS
- ► Flow Routing Model:
 - Non-negative and maximum flow rate constraints for $x_f \in [0, x_M]$
 - Node balance equations for the flows,

$$\begin{cases} x_f + \sum_{l \in \mathcal{L}_i(n)} s_{fl} = \sum_{l \in \mathcal{L}_o(n)} s_{fl}, & \text{if } n = s_f, \ f \in \mathcal{F} \\ \sum_{l \in \mathcal{L}_i(n)} s_{fl} = \sum_{l \in \mathcal{L}_o(n)} s_{fl}, & \text{if } n \neq s_f, \ d_f, f \in \mathcal{F} \end{cases}$$

System Model...

- ▶ Interference Model: Two or more SUs cannot employ the same channel at the same time and none of the SUs can employ a channel that is being used by an incumbent in that time slot captured by the conflict graph interpretation
- Incumbent(s) Channel Occupancy Model: Temporal Markov Chain

$$\mathbb{P}(\vec{X}(i+1)|\vec{X}(j), \ \forall j \leq i) \ = \ \mathbb{P}(\vec{X}(i+1)|\vec{X}(i))$$

Spatio-Temporal Markov Chain

$$\mathbb{P}(\vec{X}(i+1)|\vec{X}(i)) = \prod_{k=1}^{n} \mathbb{P}(X_{k+1}(i+1)|X_{k+1}(i), X_{k}(i+1))$$

▶ Spectrum Access Model: Formulate the spectrum access problem as a POMDP denoted by $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$

Solution Approach - Problem Formulation

- ► Separate Correlation Model Problems:
 - ▶ Learn the model: $A^*, B^* = argmax_{A,B} \mathbb{P}(\vec{y}|A,B)$ [MLE]
 - Estimate the occupancy states:

$$\vec{x}^*(i) = \operatorname{argmax}_{\vec{x}} \mathbb{P}(\vec{X}(i) = \vec{x}(i) | \vec{Y}(i) = \vec{y}(i))$$
 [MAP]

- ► Main Cross-Layer Numerical Utility Maximization Problem
 - ▶ Objective function: $\max_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \frac{x_f^{(1-\eta)}}{(1-\eta)}$, $\eta > 0$
 - Power constraints: $\forall n \in \mathcal{N}, P_{n,l}^{(f)} \geq 0,$

$$\sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_{l \in \mathcal{L}_o(n)} P_{n,l}^{(f)} \leq P_n^{max}$$
• Packet Error Rate constraint for MCS adaptation:

- $\mathbb{P}(PER_{MCS_{choice}} > \gamma_{PER}) \leq 0.05$
- ► Constraints from the conflict graph interpretation:

$$\sum_{I \in \mathcal{I}} p_I \theta_{II} \leq \alpha_I, \ \forall I \in \mathcal{L},$$
$$\sum_{I \in \mathcal{I}} p_I = 1, \ p_I \geq 0, \ \forall I \in \mathcal{I}$$

Flow routing constraints: $x_f \in [0, x_M]$ and

$$\begin{cases} x_f + \sum_{l \in \mathcal{L}_i(n)} s_{fl} = \sum_{l \in \mathcal{L}_o(n)} s_{fl}, & \text{if } n = s_f, \ f \in \mathcal{F} \\ \sum_{l \in \mathcal{L}_i(n)} s_{fl} = \sum_{l \in \mathcal{L}_o(n)} s_{fl}, & \text{if } n \neq s_f, \ d_f, f \in \mathcal{F} \end{cases}$$

Solution Approach - Important Decomposition Details

- ▶ Solving for P^* , x^* , s^* , and p^*
- ► Formulate the Lagrangian and the various decomposed sub-problems are:
 - MCS adaptation: $max_{MCS} r_{MCS} (1 PER_{MCS})$ PER computation and approximation - [Tan et. al, 2008]
 - Flow Scheduling Dual Function: $\max_s \sum_{l \in \mathcal{L}} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}} s_{fl} [w_f(q_{h(l)f} - q_{t(l)f})]$
 - Rate control dual function: $max_x (U_f(x_f) q_{s(f)f}x_f)$
 - A POMDP agent (essentially on a quorum-designated gateway node) will disseminate the "utility" of channels in the discretized spectrum of interest to all the SU nodes and this "utility" will be encapsulated in the α_I variable in the cross-layer optimization problem.
 - ► MAC dual function:

$$\max_{p} \left[-\frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{I \in \mathcal{I}} p_{I} log p_{I} + \sum_{I \in \mathcal{I}} p_{I} \sum_{I} (z_{nm} - w_{I}) a_{II} + \sum_{I} w_{I} (\alpha_{I} - \epsilon) \right]$$

Results and Discussions - The Algorithms

- ► MCS selection algorithm:
 - Upon receiving a packet, the SU node computes the SNR of the sub-link using MMSE estimation and knowing the modulation scheme and code rate used at the transmitter, the SU node calculates the PER.
 - An exhaustive search (or a more optimal search, if possible) is performed over the set of MCS choices to determine their PER estimates. Then, choose an MCS such that, MCS_{choice} = argmax_{MCS} r_{MCS}(1 – PER_{MCS})
- ► Power allocation:

$$P_{n,l}^{f*} = \left[\frac{\mathbb{I}(PU \ idle) \ \mathbb{J}(SUs \ m \neq n \ idle)BW_c}{\lambda_n ln2} - \frac{\Gamma \sigma_V^2}{g_l} \right]^+$$

Prioritized Flow Scheduling: Maximum weighted queue differential back-pressure with priority queues...

$$s^* = argmax_s \sum_{l} \sum_{f} s_{fl} [w_f(q_{h(l)f} - q_{t(l)f})]$$

- ► Rate Control: $x_f^* = U_f^{-1}(q_{s(f)f})$
- MAC Protocol: CSMA with back-off rate determined by, $e^{\beta(z_{nm}-w_l)} = e^{\beta(z_{nm}-w_l)} = e^{\beta(z_{nm}$

$$R_I = \frac{e^{eta(z_{nm} - w_I)}}{lpha_I}$$
 where $I = (n, m; c), \ n, m \in \mathcal{N}, \ c \in \mathcal{C}$

Fin