

Manifesto for Software Craftsmanship

Raising the bar.

A s aspiring Software Craftsmen we are raising the bar of professional software development by practicing it and helping others learn the craft. Through this work we have come to value:

Not only working software, but also well-crafted software

Not only responding to change, but also **steadily adding value**

Not only individuals and interactions, but also a community of professionals

Not only customer collaboration, but also **productive partnerships**

That is, in pursuit of the items on the left we have found the items on the right to be indispensable.

https://manifesto.softwarecraftsmanship.org/

MANIFESTO FOR SOFTWARE CRAFTSMANSHIP

MOTIVATION

The origins of software craftsmanship came from the <u>Agile software development</u> movement which aimed to reform software project management in the 1990s. In December of 2008, a summit was held in Chicago that resulted in the drafting of the <u>Software Craftsmanship Manifesto</u>:

THE PROBLEM DEFINITION

In spite of close to 2 decades, the adoption and sustenance is still not as much as it should be. A very small percentage of organization practice the software craftsmanship to it's core.

Objective of this research is to propose the model that would help adopt and most importantly sustain SOFTWARE CRAFTSMANSHIP

The model that covers four areas and 40+ practices that can be measured over 5 key process indicators

FOUR AREAS

PEOPLE

TOOL MASTERY DEVELOPER EXPERIENCE DEVELOPMENT PRACTICES

CHANGE DEPLOYMENT LEAD TIME FREQUENCY CHANGE FAIL RATE SERVICE MEAN TIME AVAILABILITY TO RESTORE

5 KEY PROCESS INDICATORS

5 KEY PROCESS INDICATORS

- Reduced LEAD TIME by reducing wasteful activities, which, in turn, let us deploy more frequently.
- Increased DEPLOYMENT FREQUENCY forces the teams to improve their practices and automation.
- Better practices and automation leads to the improved CHANCE FAIL RATE and TIME
 TO RESTORE.
- The improved Change Fail Rate and Time to Restore lead to an improved SERVICE AVAILABILITY

FORTY+ PRACTICES

Development Practices	People	Tool Mastery	Developer Experience
Naming Conventions/Clean Code	Recruitment Evaluation	Development Environment (code editor, IDE)	Backing from PO & Senior Leadership on craft
API Naming Conventions	Growth Mindset	GIT or any other VCS	Trainings
Restfulness	Psychological safety	CI/CD Pipeline	access to information
Design Patterns	Re-usability	Tests are triggered automatically with metrics	onboarding process
Domain Definition (DDD)	Refuse complexity and over- engineering (YAGNI)	Code Quality SONAR	Administrative processes vs development time
Design Principles (SOLID, KISS, DRY, YAGNI, POLA)	Ownership Mindset	Code Security, Checkmarks	Softwares & Hardwares
Code Reviews	End-to-End value delivery	Deployment XLDeploy	
Pair Programming	Cross functional teams	Infra as a code	
Continuous Refactoring	The code is own by the community		
TDD			
Code Coverage			
BDD			
Business Involvement for BDD			
Mutation Testing			
Integration Testing			
Logging			
UX For developers			
Microservices Design			
Think of performance during design			
Vulnerability			

DEVELOPMENT PRACTICES

LFFOr mod-use z

Fror mod.use x

ob.select= 1

er ob.select-1

Fror mod.use y =

LFFOF_mod.use_z = True

election at the end

mtext.scene.objects.

rror ob.select = 0

Design Principles

We apply best suited design and patterns, for example DDD with Hexagonal architecture only if relevant

- 1. We immediately start coding without taking design into consideration
- 2. We seldom apply design principles. When we do, it's an individual effort. It can result in design flaws that go unnoticed for a long time
- 3. We usually apply design principles. However still it's an individual effort and is not always collectively discussed
- 4. We often apply design principles. This time it's the result of a collective effort and is systematically discussed
- 5. Architecture is constantly reviewed and discussed collectively. Spotted design issues are addressed as soon as possible

HINT

- 1. Importance of Design
- 2. Design is discussed
- 3. Review frequency

