# DSA Assignment 1

Ishaq Hamza (22187), Nagasai (), Naman Mishra () UMC201

## Question 2.6

**Notation:**  $A[a_1,...,a_2][b_1,...,b_2]$  denotes the following subset of the array A[m][n]

$${A[i][j] | a_1 \le i \le a_2, \ j = 1, ..., n} \cup {A[i][j] | b_1 \le i \le b_2, \ i = 1, ..., m}$$

## Part a

**Algorithm 1:**  $\mathcal{O}(n \log n)$  algorithm to search for an element in a sorted row-wise and column-wise array

```
Input: an n \times n array A[n][n] of integers which is sorted row-wise and column-wise, integer x

Output: index (i, j) of the element x if present or NULL if absent in the array

for i = 1 to n do

t = binarySearch(A[i][1, ..., n], x);

if t \neq -1 then

return(i, t);

end if

end for

return NULL;
```

Here the binarySearch function is the same as we discussed in class.

**Proof of Correctness** 

```
Loop Invariant: L(i): x \notin A[1,...,i-1][1,...,n]
Base Case: i=1
```

$$L(1): x \notin A[1,...0][1,...,n]$$

the above holds trivially as the array A[1,...,0][1,...,n] is empty. **Inductive Step:** assume that L(i) holds before entering the loop

$$x \notin A[1, ..., i-1][1, ..., n]$$

Case 1:  $x \notin A[i][1, ..., n]$ 

$$\implies x \notin A[1,...,i-1][1,...,n] \cup A[i][1,...,n]$$
 
$$\implies \mathsf{L}(i+1)$$

 $i \mapsto i+1$ , therefore L holds after the iteration of the loop

Case 2:  $x \in A[i][1,...,n]$ 

binary Search returns the index of x in A[i][1,...,n], therefore the algorithm returns the index (i,t) and the loop breaks, hence  $\mathsf L$  holds vacuously

Therefore the loop invariant holds inductively.

**Termination:** the loop terminates when i = n + 1 $\mathsf{L}(n+1) \colon x \notin A[1,...,n][1,...,n]$  (which is the complete array)  $\implies x \notin A$ , output should be NULL.

 $\mathbb{QED}$ 

**Time Complexity:** the loop runs for n iterations and each iteration performs a binary search on an array of size n, hence the time complexity is  $\mathcal{O}(n \log n)$ 

## Part b

**Algorithm 2:**  $\mathcal{O}(m+n)$  algorithm to search for an element in a sorted row-wise and column-wise array

```
Input: an m \times n array A[m][n] of integers which is sorted row-wise and
        column-wise, integer x
Output: index (i, j) of the element x if present or NULL if absent in
          the array
i = m, j = 1;
while i > 0 and j < n + 1 do
   if A[i][j] < x then
   i--;
   end if
   else if A[i][j] < x then
   j++;
   end if
   else
    return (i, j);
   end if
end while
```

## **Proof of Correctness**

we define the loop invariant L as follows:

$$L(i, j) : x \notin A[i + 1, ..., m][1, ..., j - 1]$$

we shall prove the invariance inductively

Base Case: i = m, j = 1

$$L(m,1): x \notin A[m+1,...,m][1,...,0]$$

the above statement holds trivially as in the array A[m+1,...,m][1,...,0] is empty

**Inductive Step:** assume that  $\mathsf{L}(i,j)$  and the loop condition hold before entering the loop

$$x \notin A[i+1,...,m][1,...,j-1]$$

Case 1: A[i][j] > x

$$\implies x < A[i][j] \le A[i][j+1] \le \dots \le A[i][n]$$
$$\implies x \notin A[i][j,j+1...,n]$$

this result when combined with the induction hypothesis yeilds

$$x \notin A[i+1,...,m][1,...,j-1] \cup A[i][j,j+1,...,n] \ (=A[i,...,m][1,...,j])$$

$$\implies \mathsf{L}(i+1,j)$$

 $i \mapsto i+1$ , therefore L holds after the iteration of the loop Case 2: A[i][j] < x

$$\implies x > A[i][j] \ge A[i-1][j] \ge \dots \ge A[1][j]$$
$$\implies x \notin A[1,2,\dots,i-1][j]$$

this result when combined with the induction hypothesis yeilds

$$x \notin A[i+1,...,m][1,...,j-1] \cup A[1,2,...,i-1][j] \ (=A[1,...,i][j,...,n])$$
 
$$\Longrightarrow \ \mathsf{L}(i,j+1)$$

 $j\mapsto j+1,$  therefore L holds after the iteration of the loop

Case 3: if A[i][j] = x, then the algorithm returns the index and the loop breaks, hence L holds vacuously

Therefore the loop invariant holds inductively.

**Termination:** the loop terminates when either i = 0 or j = n + 1

Case 1: i = 0

L(0, j):  $x \notin A[1,...,m][1,...,j-1]$  (which is the complete array)

 $\implies x \notin A$ , output should be NULL.

Case 2: j = n+1

 $\mathsf{L}(i,n+1)$ :  $x \notin A[i+1,...,m][1,...,n]$  (which is the complete array)

 $\implies x \notin A$ , output should be NULL.

QED

**Time Complexity:** the loop runs for at most m + n iterations and each iteration performs a constant number of comaprisons and updates, hence the time complexity is  $\mathcal{O}(m+n)$ 

## Question 2.7

first we define the following function t - Asum

## Algorithm 3: $\Theta(n)$ algorithm to compute the array A'

Input: an array A[1,...,n] of integers and an integer t such that  $1 \le t \le n$ Output: an array A'[1,...,n-t+1] such that A'[i] = A[i] + ... + A[i+t-1] A'[1] = A[1] + ... + A[t];for i = 2 to n - t + 1 do A'[i] = A'[i-1] - A[i-1] + A[i+t-1];end for return A';

#### **Proof of Correctness**

**Loop Invariant:** L(i): A'[i] = A[i] + ... + A[i+t-1]Base Case: i = 1

$$\mathsf{L}(1): A'[1] = A[1] + \ldots + A[t]$$

the above holds trivially as A'[1] = A[1] + ... + A[t]

**Inductive Step:** assume that L(i) holds before entering the loop

$$A'[i] = A[i] + \dots + A[i+t-1]$$

$$\begin{split} A'[i+1] &= A'[i] - A[i] + A[i+t] \\ &= A[i] + \ldots + A[i+t-1] - A[i] + A[i+t] \\ &= A[i+1] + \ldots + A[i+t] \end{split}$$

 $i \mapsto i+1$ , therefore L holds after the iteration of the loop

Therefore the loop invariant holds inductively.

**Termination:** the loop terminates when i = n - t + 1

this is guaranteed since i is incremented by 1 in each iteration and the loop condition is  $i \leq n-t+1$ 

**Time Complexity:** the loop runs for n - t + 1 iterations and each iteration performs a constant number of additions and subtractions, hence the time complexity is  $\Theta(n)$ 

**Algorithm 4:**  $\Theta(n^3)$  algorithm to find t consecutive elements in one array whose sum is the same as the sum of t consecutive elements in the other array

```
Input: two arrays of integers A[1,...,n] and B[1,...,n]
Output: (i,j,t) where A[i]+...+A[i+t-1]=B[j],...,B[j+t-1] if such subarrays exist, otherwise returns the special value NIL for t=1 to n do

| for i=n to n-t+1 do
| A'=t-Asum(A) B'=t-Asum(B)
| end for
| for i=1 to n do
| for j=1 to n do
| if A'[i]=B'[j] then
| | return (i,j,t);
| end if
| end for
| end for
| return NIL;
```

## Part a

**Time Complexity:** in the  $t^{th}$  iteration of the outermost loop, first the array is made by calling the t - Asum which is  $\Theta(n)$ .

$$\sum_{t=1}^{n} \Theta(n) = \Theta(n^2)$$

the inner two loops comapre each pair of elements (A'[i], B'[j]) of the loops, hence the total number of comparisons is  $\binom{n-t+1}{2}$ .

$$\begin{split} \sum_{t=1}^{n} \binom{n-t+1}{2} &= \sum_{t=1}^{n} \frac{(n-t+1)(n-t)}{2} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=1}^{n} (n^2 - 2nt + t^2 - n + t) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (\sum_{t=1}^{n} t^2 - (2n+1) \sum_{t=1}^{n} + \sum_{t=1}^{n} t = 1nn(n+1)) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (\frac{n(n+1)(2n+1)}{6} - (2n+1) \frac{n(n+1)}{2} + n^2(n+1)) \\ &= \frac{(n-1)(n)(n+1)}{6} \\ &= \frac{n^3}{6} < \frac{(n-1)(n)(n+1)}{6} < \frac{n^3}{3} \ \forall n > 1 \end{split}$$

hence the time complexity is  $\Theta(n^3)$ 

## Part b

**Algorithm 5:**  $\Theta(n^2 \log(n))$  algorithm to find t consecutive elements in one array whose sum is the same as the sum of t consecutive elements in the other array

```
Input: two arrays of integers A[1,...,n] and B[1,...,n]
Output: (i, j, t) where A[i] + ... + A[i + t - 1] = B[j], ..., B[j + t - 1] if
          such subarrays exist, otherwise returns the special value NIL
for t = 1 to n do
   A' = t - Asum(A) B' = t - Asum(B) sA' = sort(A');
   sB' = \operatorname{sort}(B');
   i = 1, j = 1;
   while i \le n - t and j \le n - t do
       if sA'[i] > sB'[j] then
          j++;
       end if
       else if sA'[i] < sB'[j] then
       i++;
       end if
       else
          return (i, j, t);
       end if
   end while
end for
return NIL:
```

Here, the sort function is assumed to work in  $\Theta(n \log n)$  time.

#### **Proof of Correctness**

we define the loop invariant L as follows:

$$\mathsf{L}(i,j) : A'[a] \neq B'[b] \ \forall a < i, \ b < j$$

we shall prove the invariance inductively

**Base Case:** i = 1, j = 1

$$L(1,1): A'[a] \neq B'[b] \ \forall a < 1, \ b < 1$$

the above statement holds trivially as the array  $A'[a] \neq B'[b] \ \forall a < 1, \ b < 1$  is empty

**Maintenance:** assume that L(i, j) and the loop condition hold before entering the loop

$$A'[a] \neq B'[b] \ \forall a < i, \ b < j$$

Case 1: A'[i] > B'[j]

$$A'[a] \neq B'[b] \ \forall a < i, \ b < j+1$$
  
 $\implies \mathsf{L}(i, j+1)$ 

 $j \mapsto j+1$ , therefore L holds after the iteration of the loop Case 2: A'[i] < B'[j]

$$A'[a] \neq B'[b] \ \forall a < i, \ b < j+1$$
  
 $\implies \mathsf{L}(i+1,j)$ 

 $i \mapsto i+1$ , therefore L holds after the iteration of the loop

Case 3: if A'[i] = B'[j], then the algorithm returns the index and the loop breaks, hence L holds vacuously

Therefore the loop invariant holds inductively.

**Termination:** the loop terminates when either i = n - t + 2 or j = n - t + 2 since at least one of i or j is incremented in each iteration, the loop terminates in at most 2(n - t + 1) iterations.

Case 1: i = n - t + 2

L(n-t+2,j):  $A'[a] \neq B'[b] \ \forall a < n-t+2, \ b < j$ 

note that in this case, i was incremented to n-t+2 only when  $A'[n-t+1] < B'[j] (\leq B[j+1] \leq ... \leq n-t+1)$ , therefore  $A'[n-t+1] \neq B'[b] \ \forall b \leq n$  (since array is sorted)

 $\implies A'[a] \neq B'[b] \ \forall a \leq n-t+1, \ b \leq n-t+1$ 

Hence we must return NIL.

Case 2: j = n - t + 2

L(i, n-t+2):  $A'[a] \neq B'[b] \ \forall a < i, \ b < n-t+2$ 

note that in this case, j was incremented to n-t+2 only when  $A'[i]>B'[n-t+1](\geq B[n-t]\geq ...\geq 1)$ , therefore  $A'[i]\neq B'[b]$   $\forall b\geq 1$  (since array is sorted)

 $\implies A'[a] \neq B'[b] \ \forall a \leq n-t+1, \ b \leq n-t+1$ Hence we must return NIL.

QED

**Time Complexity:** for each t, two calls to sort are made which are  $\Theta(n \log(n))$ , subsequently t - Asum is called, which is  $\Theta(n)$ 

t ranges from 1 to n, hence the overall time complexity of the algorithm is  $\Theta(n^2\log(n))$