MA 361: Probability Theory

Naman Mishra

August 2024

# Contents

1	Review		
	1.1	Discrete probability	3

## The course

#### Grading

• Homework: 20%

• Two midterms: 15% each

• Final: 50%

Lecture 1.
Thursday
August 01

### Chapter 1

#### Review

#### 1.1 Discrete probability

**Definition 1.1** (Discrete probability space). A discrete probability space is a pair  $(\Omega, p)$  where  $\Omega$  is a finite or countable set called *sample space* and  $p: \Omega \to [0,1]$  is a function giving the *elementary probabilities* of each  $\omega \in \Omega$  such that

$$\sum_{\omega \in \Omega} p(\omega) = 1.$$

Examples.

• "Toss a fair n times" is modeled as

$$\Omega = \{0,1\}^n$$

with

$$p(\omega) \equiv \frac{1}{2^n}.$$

• "Throw r balls randomly into m bins" is modeled as

$$\Omega = [m]^r$$

with p given by the multinomial distribution (assuming uniformity).

• "A box has N coupons, draw one of them."

$$\Omega = [N]$$
$$p = \omega \mapsto \frac{1}{N}.$$

• "Toss a fair coin countably many times." The set of outcomes is clear:  $\Omega = \{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ . What about the elementary probabilities?

Probabilities of some events are also fairly intuitive. For example, the event

$$A = \{ \underline{\omega} \in \Omega \mid \omega_1 = 1, \omega_2 = 1, \omega_3 = 0 \}$$

has probability 1/8. Similarly  $B = \{\underline{\omega} \in \Omega \mid \omega_1 = 1, \omega_2 = 0\}$  has probability 1/4. Where does this come from?

What about this event:

$$C = \{ \underline{\omega} \in \Omega \mid \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \omega_i \to 0.6 \}$$

What about:

$$D = \{\underline{\omega} \in \Omega \mid \sum_{i=1}^{n} \omega_i = \frac{n}{2} \text{ for infinitely many } n\}^1$$

• "Draw a number uniformly at random from [0,1]."  $\Omega$  is obviously [0,1]. Again some events have obvious probabilities.

$$A = [0.1, 0.3] \implies \Pr(A) = 0.2$$

Similarly

$$B = [0.1, 0.2] \cup (0.7, 1) \implies \Pr(B) = 0.4$$

What about  $C = \mathbb{Q} \cap [0,1]$ ? What about D, the  $\frac{1}{3}$ -Cantor set?

The  $\frac{1}{3}$ -Cantor set is given by the limit of the following sequence of sets.

$$K_0 = [0, 1]$$
  
 $K_1 = [0, 1/3] \cup [2/3, 1]$   
 $K_2 = [0, 1/9] \cup [2/9, 1/3] \cup [2/3, 7/9] \cup [8/9, 1]$   
:

where each  $K_{n+1}$  is obtained by removing the middle third of each interval in  $K_n$ .<sup>2</sup>

The resolution for the above examples is achieved by taking the 'obvious' cases as definitions.

#### What we wish for:

What we agree on:

(#) 
$$Pr([a, b]) = b - a$$
 for all  $0 \le a \le b \le 1$ .

(\*) If 
$$A \cap B = \emptyset$$
, then  $\Pr(A \cup B) = \Pr(A) + \Pr(B)$ .

(\*\*) If 
$$A_n \downarrow A$$
, then  $\Pr(A_n) \downarrow \Pr(A)$ .

**Question:** Does there exist a Pr:  $2^{[0,1]} \rightarrow [0,1]$  that satisfies (#), (\*) and (\*\*)? **No.** 

$${}^{1}\operatorname{Pr}(C) = 0$$
 and  $\operatorname{Pr}(D) = 1$ .

 $<sup>{}^{2}\</sup>operatorname{Pr}(C) = \operatorname{Pr}(D) = 0.$ 

**Question:** Does there exist a Pr:  $2^{[0,1]} \rightarrow [0,1]$  that satisfies (#) and (\*) and even translational invariance? Yes.

However, it is not unique.

What about the same for a probability measure on  $[0,1]^2$  that is translation and rotation invariant?

What about  $[0,1]^3$ ?

Lack of uniqueness is a huge issue. The way out is the following: restrict the class of sets on which Pr is defined to a  $\sigma$ -algebra.

**Definition 1.2** ( $\sigma$ -algebra). Given a set  $\Omega$ , a collection  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq 2^{\Omega}$  is called a  $\sigma$ -algebra if

- (i)  $\varnothing \in \mathcal{F}$ . (ii)  $A \in \mathcal{F} \implies A^c \in \mathcal{F}$ . (iii) If  $A_1, A_2, \ldots \in \mathcal{F}$ , then  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \in \mathcal{F}$ .

This gives us a modified question.

**Question:** Does there exist any  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{F}$  on [0,1] and a function  $\Pr: \mathcal{F} \to \mathbb{R}$ [0,1] that satisfies (#), (\*) and (\*\*)?

**Answer:** Yes, and it is sort-of unique.

**Exercise 1.3.** Prove that (\*\*) is equivalent to the following: if  $(B_n)_{\mathbb{N}}$  are pairwise disjoint, then

$$\Pr(\bigcup B_n) = \sum \Pr(B_n).$$

A  $\sigma$ -algebra that works for our case is the *smallest* one that contains all intervals.

**Exercise 1.4.** If  $\{\mathcal{F}_i\}_{i\in I}$  are  $\sigma$ -algebras on  $\Omega$ , then  $\bigcap_{i\in I}\mathcal{F}_i$  is also a  $\sigma$ -algebra.

This allows us to make sense of the word 'smallest' above.

**Definition 1.5.** Let  $S \subseteq 2^{\Omega}$ . The smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra containing S is given by the intersection of all  $\sigma$ -algebras on  $\Omega$  that contain S.

This will contain S since  $2^{\Omega}$  itself is a  $\sigma$ -algebra.

**Definition 1.6** (Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra). The Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra on [0,1] is the smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra containing all intervals in [0, 1]. It is denoted by  $\mathcal{B}_{[0,1]}$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>The Banach-Tarski paradox gives a "no" for the 3D case.