

1.2 Natural numbers ( $\mathbb{N}$ )

The ZFC axioms gives us the existence

$\omega$  of  $\emptyset, 0^+, 1^+, 2^+$

$$\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$$

## Definition 1.9 (Peano addition)

Given a fixed  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , the

Proposition 1.10  $2+3=5$ 

Proof. We need to show that  $\text{sum}_2(3) = 5$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{L.H.S. } 2+3 &= \text{sum}_2(3) \\ &= \text{sum}_2(2^+) \\ &\stackrel{(b)}{=} \left( \text{sum}_2(2) \right)^+ \\ &= \left( \text{sum}_2(1^+) \right)^+ \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\stackrel{(b)}{=} \left( \left( \text{sum}_2(1) \right)^+ \right)^+ \\ &= \left( \left( \text{sum}_2(0^+) \right)^+ \right)^+ \\ &\stackrel{(b)}{=} \left( \left( \left( \text{sum}_2(0) \right)^+ \right)^+ \right)^+ \\ &\stackrel{(a)}{=} \left( (2^+)^+ \right)^+ = (3^+)^+ = (4^+)^+ = 5 \\ &\quad \quad \quad = \text{R.H.S.} \end{aligned}$$

① We could next try  $2+8=10$   
This would be less tedious if we knew that  $2+8=8+2$

② Note that  $m^+ = (\text{sum}_m(0))^+$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \text{sum}_m(0^+) \\ &= \text{sum}_m(1) = m+1 \end{aligned}$$

So, we will now always denote

$m^+$  by  $m+1$ .

$\exists =$   
there exists

Recursion principle gives a unique function  $\text{sum}_m: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  st.

a)  $\text{sum}_m(0) = m$ ,

b)  $\text{sum}_m(n^+) = (\text{sum}_m(n))^+$   
 $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Define

$$m+n := \text{sum}_m(n) \quad \begin{matrix} ? \\ \vdash m+n \\ \parallel \\ \text{sum}_n(m) \end{matrix}$$

$\forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

## Definition 1.11 (Peano multiplication)

Let  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . By the recursion principle,  $\exists$  unique function

$\text{prod}_m: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  s.t.

a)  $\text{prod}_m(0) = 0$ ,  $\quad \begin{matrix} m(n+1) \\ = mn+m \end{matrix}$

b)  $\text{prod}_m(n^+) = m + \text{prod}_m(n)$ .

Define  $m \cdot n := \text{prod}_m(n)$ .

Theorem 1.12 The following hold.

1) (Commutativity)

$$\& \begin{matrix} m+n = n+m \\ m \cdot n = n \cdot m \end{matrix} \quad \forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

2) (Associativity)

$$\& \begin{matrix} m+(n+k) = (m+n)+k \\ m \cdot (n \cdot k) = (m \cdot n) \cdot k \end{matrix} \quad \forall m, n, k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

3) (Distributivity)

$$m \cdot (n+k) = (m \cdot n) + (m \cdot k) \quad \forall m, n, k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

4) If  $m+n=0$ , then

$$m=n=0, \text{ for any } m, n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

5) If  $m \cdot n=0$ , then either

$$m=0 \text{ or } n=0, \text{ for } m, n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

6) (Cancellation)

If  $m+k=n+k$ , then  $m=n$   
for  $m, n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

If  $m \cdot k = n \cdot k$  &  $k \neq 0$ , then  
 $m=n$   
for  $m, n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Proof of the additive part of (6).

Let  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  be fixed.

We will prove that

$P(k)$  is true  $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

where for fixed  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

$P(k)$ : If  $m+k=n+k$ , then

1)  $P(0)$ : Suppose  $m+0=n+0$ .

$$\& \text{Then, } \sum_m(0) = \sum_n(0).$$

$$\text{Then, } m=n.$$

2) Suppose  $P(k)$  holds.

$$\text{Suppose } m+(k+1) = n+(k+1)$$

$$\text{Then, } \sum_m(k+1) = \sum_n(k+1)$$

$$\text{Then, } (\sum_m k) + 1 = \sum_n(k) + 1$$

$$\text{injectivity of } (\ )^+ \implies (\sum_m k)^+ = (\sum_n k)^+$$

$$\implies \sum_m k = \sum_n k$$

$$\implies m=n. \quad (\text{by } P(k))$$

Thus,  $P(k+1)$  holds. So, by PMI

$P(k)$  holds  $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Since  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  were arbitrary,

(6) holds for  $\forall m, n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ .



fixed  $m$ 

$$1) \text{ } \sum_m(n) = \sum_m(k)$$

then  $\Downarrow$   
 $n=k$

(definition (postulate))  
axiom  $\leftrightarrow$  rules

hypothesis  $\leftrightarrow$  conclusion

lemma claim  
proposition Prop  
theorem  
corollary

### 1.3. Fields, ordered set & ordered fields.

Cannot solve

$$\begin{aligned} 3+x &= 2 \\ 3x &= 2 \end{aligned} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{N}.$$

$$x+0 = x$$

$$\& \quad x \cdot 1 = x \quad \forall x \in F.$$

(F5) For every  $x \in F$ ,  $\exists y \in F$  s.t.  
 $x+y = 0$

(F6) For every  $x \in F \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $\exists z \in F$  s.t.  
 $x \cdot z = 1$

### Definition 1.13. $(F, +, \cdot)$

A field is a set  $F$ 

with 2 operations  $+: F \times F \rightarrow F$   
&  $\cdot: F \times F \rightarrow F$  such that

(F1)  $+$  &  $\cdot$  are commutative on  $F$ .

(F2)  $+$  &  $\cdot$  are associative on  $F$ .

(F3)  $+$  &  $\cdot$  satisfy the distributivity on  $F$ .

(F4) There exist 2 distinct elements, called 0 (additive identity) & 1 (mult. identity) s.t.

Remark: we are tempted to call  $y$  in (F5) " $-x$ " &  $z$  in (F6) " $1/x$ " but <sup>why are</sup>  $y$  &  $z$  need not be unique?

Thm. 1.1 & 1.7 in Apostol.  $\leadsto$  we will call  $y \rightarrow -x$   
 $z \rightarrow 1/x$

$$\& \quad a + (-b) =: a - b$$

$$a \cdot 1/b =: a/b \quad b \neq 0$$

Theorem 1.14 (A. 1.6)  
 $(F, +, \cdot)$  is a field.  
 $\forall x \in F \quad 0 \cdot x = x \cdot 0 = 0$ .

Proof: By (F1), the first equality holds

Now, by (F4)  $(1+0) = 1$ .

By (F3), so, (F4)  
 $x \cdot (1+0) = x \cdot 1 = x$

$x \otimes y \Rightarrow$  blah blah

Definition 1.15: A set  $A$  with a relation  $<$  is called an ordered set if

(O1) For every  $x, y \in A$ , exactly one of the 3 foll. statements hold:

E.g.  $\mathbb{N}$  with  $<$  defined in HW2.

Definition 1.16: An ordered field is a set that admits 2 operations  $+$  &  $\cdot$  & a relation  $<$  so that  $(F, +, \cdot)$  is a field,  $(F, <)$  is an

ordered set &

(O3) For  $x, y, z \in F$ , if  $x < y$  then  $x+z < y+z$ .

(O4) For  $x, y \in F$ , if  $0 < x$  &  $0 < y$  then  $0 < x \cdot y$ .

Our (O1) - (O4) are Theorems 1.16 - 1.19 in Apostol.

Theorem 1.17 (Ap. 1.21).

$(F, +, \cdot, <)$  an ord. fld.  
 $0 < 1$ .

Proof: From (F4),  $0 \neq 1$ .

So, by (O1),  $0 < 1$  or  $1 < 0$ .

If  $0 < 1$ , we are done.

$0 < 1$   $0 < 1$   
 $0 \cdot 1 < 1 \cdot 1$   
 $-1 < 0$

Thm 1.1 - 1.7 in the book.

So, by (F3),

$$x \cdot 1 + x \cdot 0 = x$$

So, by (F4)

$$x + (x \cdot 0) = x$$

By (F5),  $\exists y \in F$  s.t.  $x+y=0$

So,  $(x+x \cdot 0) + (y) = x+y=0$ .

By (F1) & (F2),  $(x+y) + x \cdot 0 = 0$

So,  $0 + x \cdot 0 = 0$

So,  $x \cdot 0 = 0$  (by (F1) & (F3)).

$x=y$ ,  $x < y$  or  $y < x$ .

(O2) If  $x < y$  &  $y < z$ , then

$x < z$   
 $\forall x, y, z \in A$ . (transitive).

Not a Term.  $x < y$  "x less than y"

$x \leq y = x < y$  or  $x=y \rightarrow$

$x$  "less than or equal to"  $y$ .

We will use

$$(-a) \cdot b = -(a \cdot b) \quad | \quad (-a) \cdot (-b) = a \cdot b$$

Suppose  $1 < 0$ .

Then  $1 + (-1) < 0 + (-1)$  (O3)

$0 < -1$  (F1) & (F4)

So  $0 < (-1) \cdot (-1)$  (O4)

So,  $0 < 1$ .

Contradicts (O1)

So,  $0 < 1$  is the only possibility.