# COMP SCI 2ME3 and SFWR ENG 2AA4 Final Examination McMaster University

DAY CLASS, **Version 1**DURATION OF EXAMINATION: 2.5 hours (+ 30 minutes buffer time)
MCMASTER UNIVERSITY FINAL EXAMINATION

Dr. S. Smith

April 28, 2021

NAME: [Enter your name here —SS]

Student ID: [Enter your student number here —SS]

This examination paper includes 21 pages and 8 questions. You are responsible for ensuring that your copy of the examination paper is complete. Bring any discrepancy to the attention of your instructor.

By submitting this work, I certify that the work represents solely my own independent efforts. I confirm that I am expected to exhibit honesty and use ethical behaviour in all aspects of the learning process. I confirm that it is my responsibility to understand what constitutes academic dishonesty under the Academic Integrity Policy.

### **Special Instructions:**

- 1. For taking tests remotely:
  - Turn off all unnecessary programs, especially Netflix, YouTube, games like Xbox or PS4, anything that might be downloading or streaming.
  - If your house is shared, ask others to refrain from doing those activities during the test.
  - If you can, connect to the internet via a wired connection.
  - Move close to the Wi-Fi hub in your house.
  - Restart your computer, 1-2 hours before the exam. A restart can be very helpful for several computer hiccups.
  - Use a VPN (Virtual Private Network) since this improves the connection to the CAS servers.
  - Commit and push your tex file, compiled pdf file, and code files frequently. As a minimum you should do a commit and push after completing each question.
  - Ensure that you push your solution (tex file, pdf file and code files) before time expires on the test. The solution that is in the repo at the deadline is the solution that will be graded.
  - If you have trouble with your git repo, the quickest solution may be to create a fresh clone.
- 2. It is your responsibility to ensure that the answer sheet is properly completed. Your examination result depends upon proper attention to the instructions.

- 3. All physical external resources are permitted, including textbooks, calculators, computers, compilers, and the internet.
- 4. The work has to be completed individually. Discussion with others is strictly prohibited.
- 5. Read each question carefully.
- 6. Try to allocate your time sensibly and divide it appropriately between the questions. Use the allocated marks as a guide on how to divide your time between questions.
- 7. The quality of written answers will be considered during grading. Please make your answers well-written and succinct.
- 8. The set  $\mathbb{N}$  is assumed to include 0.

**Question 1** [5 marks] What are the problems with using "average lines of code written per day" as a metric for programmer productivity?

[Provide your reasons in the itemized list below. Add more items as required. —SS]

• This is quite a novice mistake; it is important to realize that a longer code does not always mean a better code. Succinct code is oftentimes far more advantageous in many areas. If the programmer practices incremental testing, as is best, by the time they finish their code they might end up with far more than necessary test cases. Having more test cases also does not mean that the testing process was more efficient; they may fail to test boundary cases. With succinct code, the programmer is given the ability to better view important testing cases such as boundaryies. In addition, Long code risks cluttering, making it harder to read and to understand by another developer. They risk affecting major software qualities such as generality, minimality, and essentiality by overdoing what may be required for a simple method. These in turn risk failing to meet the software requirements. It is best to judge programmer productivity through incremental testing, ensuring that whatever they have is correct, and not by the average amount of lines. Writing more code is a waste of resources and time that could be better spent on important program qualities to complete.

Question 2 [5 marks] Critique the following requirements specification for a new cell phone application, called CellApp. Use the following criteria discussed in class for judging the quality of the specification: abstract, unambiguous, and validatable. How could you improve the requirements specification?

"The user shall find CellApp easy to use."

[Fill in the itemized list below with your answers. Leave the word in bold at the beginning of each item. —SS]

- Abstract This specification is abstract in that it tells the developer the "what" such that the program should be easy to use, however it does not tell the developer the "how". By not providing the "how", the specification leaves an open opportunity to for the developer to go about "making the CellApp easy to use" in a way that they feel fit. Based on the full abstraction, however, the developer is left to make assumptions which leads to ambiguity as discussed in the next point.
- Unambiguous The terms "easy to use" or "user friendly" as extremely ambiguous. The developer does not know who the target user is, they may come from a different age range, technical background, etc. It is very subjective to say user friendly; what may be easy to use for one person can be difficult to use by another.
- Validatable Tying closely to ambiguity, it is difficult to measure validability of this specification. By the subjectivity of the specification, the developer may find it difficult to test or measure success and failure in meeting the requirement. The ambiguity of this specification leads to misunderstanding between the developer and the client, such that even though the developer may find it user-friendly, the client may not and for this reason a viable test cannot take place to validate the requirement.
- How to improve There are ways to improve this specification, by clearly identifying what the client considers "user-friendly". Extend the context by requiring, perhaps, "a certain amount of clicks made by the user", or testing by implementing surveys for user feedback. In doing so, the developer and client may come to a clearer agreement and the developer is one step closer to ensuring that they are making the right product

Question 3 [5 marks] The following module is proposed for the maze tracing robot we discussed in class (L20). This module is a leaf module in the decomposition by secrets hierarchy.

Module Name find\_path

Module Secret The data structure and algorithm for finding the shortest path in a graph.

[Fill in the answers to the questions below. For each item you should leave the bold question and write your answer directly after it. —SS]

# A. Is this module Hardware Hiding, Software Decision Hiding or Behaviour Hiding? Why?

- This module falls under Software Decision Hiding. Noting that the software requirements specification (SRS) is abstract, the developer becomes more concrete in the Module Guide, then more concrete in the MIS, and then even more concrete in the actual code. Decisions, such as determining the algorithm and data structure for the shortest path, fall under the category of Software Decision hiding in that it makes the service less abstract. Modules such as this provide generic services that can be used elsewhere if need be. Services such as this, solve the ODE.

### B. Is this a good secret? Why?

- This is not a good secret. The secret uses nouns such as "data structure" and "algorithm" which is efficient, but it fails to adhere to having one secret for one module. By using "and", the secret suggests that the module implements both the data structure and algorithm for finding the shortest path, leaving it two responsibilities. It would be better to split this into two modules; one for the data structure for finding the shortest path, and one for the algorithm for finding the shortest path. By having too many secrets, the module risks not being cohesive.
- C. Does the specification for maze tracing robot require environment variables? If so, which environment variables are needed?
  - TODO

Question 4 [5 marks] Answer the following questions assuming that you are in doing your final year capstone in a group of 5 students. Your project is to write a video game for playing chess, either over the network between two human opponents, or locally between a human and an Artificial Intelligence (AI) opponent.

[Fill in the answers to the questions below. For each item you should leave the bold question and write your answer directly after it. —SS]

- A. You have 8 months to work on the project. Keeping in mind that we usually need to fake a rational design process, what major milestones and what timeline for achieving these milestones do you propose? You can indicate the time a milestone is reached by the number of months from the project's start date.
  - At the beginning of the 8 months, we are given an abstract problem statement. It is critical to begin the rational design process immediately. The development plan and requirements should take a month to complete, giving us time to carefully create possible test cases and determine what needs to get done to meet the client needs. Over the span of the second to the third month, we should have completed sketches and ultimate rough draft or the module guides and MIS in order to begin the implementation. I assum the implementation fo the code, as it becomes less abstract, will take the majority of our time. From month 3 to month 6 or month 7, we should be working on coding and incrementally testing as we go in order to avoid last minute defects and stress. We should be verifying everything as we go, in addition to noting and observing likely changes and making changes accordingly. We must ensure to test as many possbilities as we can. By the last one to two months (month 7 to month 8), we should be stress testing and ensuring that our project is compatible, portable and ready to be used for the presentation and by other users.

# B. Everything in your process should be verified, including the verification. How might you verify your verification?

- Mutant testing is a feasible method of verifying the verification. By using employing the "Potato Lake" method, this artifical seeding of faults aids in discovering both seeded and new faults, which is used to estimate the total number of errors or faults in the code. Through this, we can grasp and assume that the proability of errors (total) is proportional to the number of errors already found with respect to the whole program. Mutation testing can be done by generating simple changes to the source code, which become faults. This can be done by modifying operations and constants or by changing the order of certain executions. It is not guaranteed that all of these modifications will produce an error, but it is safe to assume the majority of them will. We can ultimately establish the adequacy of our Test Set from from running our tests on each of our generate faults (mutants).

#### C. How do you propose verifying the installability of your game?

- Given that there are variations in operating systems, there are multiples risks in not verifying installability, especially towards the end of the project. If for example the project runs on the developer's Mac OS, they must also ensure to, throughout the entire development process (8 months), ensure that someone is able to run it on Microsoft or any other operating system as well. Failing to do so will result in possible major risks in the final presentation of our software.

Another way to verify installability, if for example academic integrity is a concern, is through the use of a Virtual Machine.

**Question 5** [5 marks] As for the previous question, assume you are doing a final year capstone project in a group of 5 students. As above, your project is to write a video game for playing chess, either over the network between two human opponents, or locally between a human and an Artificial Intelligence (AI) opponent. The questions below focus on verification and testing.

[Fill in the answers to the questions below. For each item you should leave the bold question and right your answer directly after it. —SS]

- A. Assume you have 4 work weeks (a work week is 5 days) over the course of the project for verification activities. How many collective hours do you estimate that your team has available for verification related activities? Please justify your answer.
  - My group and I are presumably enrolled in other courses, meaning we cannot work on this project as much as we'd like to (i.e. full time:)). Based on this, a realistic amount of hours a week on testing would be 10 hours, approximately 2 hours a day (if not less). Towards the end, I assume the emphasis for verfication will increase significantly.
- B. Given the estimated hours available for verification, what verification techniques do you recommend for your team? Please list the techniques, along with the number of hours your team will spend on each technique, and the reason for selecting this technique.
  - The best method is to incrementally verify our project, in terms of installability, working codes through unit testing. We should implement both dynamic as static testing. Through incremental testing, dynamic testing should not be too much of a stress; we test the behaviour of our code as we go. In terms of static analysis, it is best that we gather and ask specific questions pertaining to our documentation, i.e. "will this loop ever run", "is the syntax correct", "is this specification ambiguous/essential, etc". Given that we have our peers (not in capstone due to academic integrity) and group members as an available resource, we should take advanatge of periodic code walkthroughs, inspections, and most practically on our own time, rubber duck testing to ensure that what we are creating realyl and truly makes sense.
- C. Is the oracle problem a concern for implementing your game? Why or why not? If it is a concern, how do you recommend testing your software?
  - answer here

Question 6 [5 marks] Consider the following natural language specification for a function that looks for resonance when the input matches an integer multiple of the wavelengths 5 and 7. Provided an integer input between 1 and 1000, the function returns a string as specified below:

- If the number is a multiple of 5, then the output is resonance 5
- If the number is a multiple of 7, then the output is resonance 7
- If the number is a multiple of both 5 and 7, then the output is resonance 5 and 7
- Otherwise, the output is no resonance

You can assume that inputs outside of the range 1 to 1000 do not occur.

A. What are the sets  $D_i$  that partition D (the input domain) into a reasonable set of equivalence classes?

[answer here - you can answer in natural language, or using mathematical notation. —SS]

B. Given the sets  $D_i$ , and the heuristics discussed in class, how would you go about selecting test cases?

[answer here - you don't need specific test cases; your answer should characterize how all significant test cases are to be chosen. —SS]

Question 7 [5 marks] Below is a partial specification for an MIS for the game of tic-tac-toe (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Tic-tac-toe). You should complete the specification.

[The parts that you need to fill in are marked by comments, like this one. You can use the given local functions to complete the missing specifications. You should not have to add any new local functions, but you can if you feel it is necessary for your solution. As you edit the tex source, please leave the wss comments in the file. You can put your answer immediately following the comment. —SS]

# **Syntax**

### **Exported Constants**

SIZE = 3 //size of the board in each direction

### **Exported Types**

 $cellT = \{ X, O, FREE \}$ 

### **Exported Access Programs**

Routine name	In	Out	Exceptions
init			
move	$\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{N}$		OutOfBoundsException, InvalidMove-
			Exception
getb	$\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{N}$	cellT	OutOfBoundsException
get_turn		cellT	
is_valid_move	$\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{N}$	$\mathbb{B}$	OutOfBoundsException
is_winner	cellT	$\mathbb{B}$	
is_game_over		$\mathbb{B}$	

### **Semantics**

#### State Variables

b: boardT Xturn:  $\mathbb{B}$ 

#### State Invariant

[Place your state invariant or invariants here —SS]

### Assumptions

The init method is called for the abstract object before any other access routine is called for that object. The init method can be used to return the state of the game to the state of a new game.

#### **Access Routine Semantics**

init():

• transition:

$$< FREE, FREE, FREE >$$
 
$$< FREE, FREE, FREE >$$
 
$$< FREE, FREE, FREE >$$
 
$$< FREE, FREE, FREE >$$

• exception: none

move(i, j):

- transition:  $Xturn, b[i, j] := \neg Xturn, (Xturn \Rightarrow X | \neg Xturn \Rightarrow O)$
- exception

```
exc := (InvalidPosition(i, j) \Rightarrow OutOfBoundsException | \neg is\_valid\_move(i, j) \Rightarrow InvalidMoveException)
```

getb(i, j):

- output: out := b[i, j]
- exception  $exc := (InvalidPosition(i, j) \Rightarrow OutOfBoundsException)$

get\_turn():

- output: [Return the cellT that corresponds to the current turn —SS]
- exception: none

is\_valid\_move(i, j):

- output: out := (b[i][j] = FREE)
- $\bullet \ \operatorname{exception} \ exc := (\operatorname{InvalidPosition}(i,j) \Rightarrow \operatorname{OutOfBoundsException})$

is\_winner(c):

- $\bullet$ output:  $out := \text{horizontal\_win}(c,b) \vee \text{vertical\_win}(c,b) \vee \text{diagonal\_win}(c,b)$
- exception: none

is\_game\_over():

- output: [Returns true if X or O wins, or if there are no more moves remaining —SS]
- exception: none

### **Local Types**

boardT = sequence [SIZE, SIZE] of cellT

### **Local Functions**

InvalidPosition:  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{B}$ 

InvalidPosition $(i, j) \equiv \neg((0 \le i < \text{SIZE}) \land (0 \le j < \text{SIZE}))$ 

**count**:  $cellT \to \mathbb{N}$ 

[For the current board return the number of occurrences of the cellT argument —SS]

 $horizontal\_win : cellT \times boardT \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$ 

horizontal\_win $(c, b) \equiv \exists (i : \mathbb{N} | 0 \le i < \text{SIZE} : b[i, 0] = b[i, 1] = b[i, 2] = c)$ 

 $\mathbf{vertical\_win} : \mathbf{cellT} \times \mathbf{boardT} \to \mathbb{B}$ 

 $\operatorname{vertical\_win}(c,b) \equiv \exists (j: \mathbb{N} | 0 \le j < \operatorname{SIZE}: b[0,j] = b[1,j] = b[2,j] = c)$ 

 $\mathbf{diagonal\_win} : \mathbf{cellT} \times \mathbf{boardT} \to \mathbb{B}$ 

[Returns true if one of the diagonals for the board has all of the entries equal to cellT—SS]

Question 8 [5 marks] For this question you will implement in Java an ADT for a 1D sequence of real numbers. We want to take the mean of the numbers in the sequence, but as the following webpage shows, there are several different algorithms for doing this: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Generalized mean

Given that there are different options, we will use the strategy design pattern, as illustrated in the following UML diagram:



Figure 1: UML Class Diagram for Seq1D with Mean Function, using Strategy Pattern

You will need to fill in the following blank files: MeanCalculator.java, HarmonicMean.java, QuadraticMean.java, and Seq1D.java. Two testing files are also provided: Expt.java and TestSeq1D.java. The file Expt.java is pre-populated with some simple experiments to help you see the interface in use, and do some initial testing. You are free to add to this file to experiment with your work, but the file itself isn't graded. The TestSeq1D.java is also not graded. However, you may want to create test cases to improve your confidence in your solution. The stubs of the necessary files are already available in your src folder. The code will automatically be imported into this document when the tex file is compiled. You should use the provided Makefile to test your code. You will NOT need to modify the Makefile. The given Makefile will work for make test, without errors, from the initial state of your repo. The make expt rule will also work, because all lines of code have been commented out. Uncomment lines as you complete work on each part of the modules relevant to those lines in Expt.java file. As usual, the final test is whether the code runs on mills. You do not need to worry about doxygen comments.

Any exceptions in the specification have names identical to the expected Java exceptions; your code should use exactly the exception names as given in the spec.

Remember, your code needs to implement the given specification so that the interface behaves as specified. This does NOT mean that the local functions need to all be implemented, or that the types used internally to the spec need to be implemented exactly as given. If you do implement any local functions, please make them private. The real type in the MIS should be implemented by Double (capital D) in Java.

[Complete Java code to match the following specification. —SS]

# Mean Calculator Interface Module

# Interface Module

MeanCalculator

Uses

None

Syntax

**Exported Constants** 

None

**Exported Types** 

None

# **Exported Access Programs**

Routine name	In	Out	Exceptions
meanCalc	seq of $\mathbb{R}$	$\mathbb{R}$	

### Considerations

meanCalc calculates the mean (a real value) from a given sequence of reals. The order of the entries in the sequence does not matter.

# Harmonic Mean Calculation

# Template Module inherits MeanCalculator

HarmonicMean

Uses

MeanCalculator

**Syntax** 

**Exported Constants** 

None

**Exported Types** 

None

# **Exported Access Programs**

Routine name	In	Out	Exceptions
meanCalc	seq of $\mathbb{R}$	$\mathbb{R}$	

# **Semantics**

State Variables

None

**State Invariant** 

None

Assumptions

None

**Access Routine Semantics** 

 $\mathrm{meanCalc}(v)$ 

- output:  $out := \frac{|x|}{+(x:\mathbb{R}|x \in v:1/x)}$
- exception: none

# Quadratic Mean Calculation

# Template Module inherits MeanCalculator

QuadraticMean

Uses

MeanCalculator

**Syntax** 

**Exported Constants** 

None

**Exported Types** 

None

# **Exported Access Programs**

Routine name	In	Out	Exceptions
meanCalc	seq of $\mathbb{R}$	$\mathbb{R}$	

# **Semantics**

State Variables

None

**State Invariant** 

None

Assumptions

None

Access Routine Semantics

 $\operatorname{meanCalc}(v)$ 

- output:  $out := \sqrt{\frac{+(x:\mathbb{R}|x \in v:x^2)}{|x|}}$
- exception: none

# Seq1D Module

# Template Module

Seq1D

### Uses

MeanCalculator

# **Syntax**

## **Exported Types**

Seq1D = ?

### **Exported Constants**

None

### **Exported Access Programs**

Routine name	In	Out	Exceptions
new Seq1D	seq of $\mathbb{R}$ , MeanCalculator	Seq1D	IllegalArgumentException
setMaxCalculator	MaxCalculator		
mean		$\mathbb{R}$	

## **Semantics**

#### State Variables

s: seq of  $\mathbb{R}$ 

meanCalculator: MeanCalculator

#### State Invariant

None

#### Assumptions

• The Seq1D constructor is called for each object instance before any other access routine is called for that object. The constructor can only be called once. All real numbers provided to the constructor will be zero or positive.

### **Access Routine Semantics**

```
new Seq1D(x, m):
```

- transition: s, meanCalculator := x, m
- output: out := self
- exception:  $exc := (|x| = 0 \Rightarrow \text{IllegalArgumentException})$

# setMeanCalculator(m):

- transition: meanCalculator := m
- exception: none

### mean():

- output: out := meanCalculator.meanCalc()
- exception: none

# ${\bf Code\ for\ Mean Calculator. java}$

package src;

# Code for HarmonicMean.java

package src;

# ${\bf Code\ for\ Quadratic Mean. java}$

package src;

THE END.

# Code for Seq1D.java

package src;