A Comprehensive Document

on Project Titled

Asset Management Portal In ServiceNow

SMARTBRIDGE

ServiceNow Project - SmartBridge

Project Title: Asset Management Portal in ServiceNow

Team Details

Role	Name
🧑 **Team Leader**	Satheesh Marise
👩 **Team Member**	V V N L Jyothi Bhavani Thatikonda
👩 **Team Member**	Koppineedi Vamsi Lakshmi Satya Kumari
🧑 **Team Member**	Kona Mohan Rao

Team ID

LTVIP2026TMIDS77162

Team Size

4 Members

1. Executive Summary

The **Asset Management Portal** is a centralized system developed using ServiceNow to efficiently track and manage organizational assets throughout their lifecycle. The system automates asset allocation, status updates, warranty monitoring, and reporting, reducing manual errors and improving operational transparency.

By implementing custom tables, UI actions, scheduled jobs, and analytical reports, the portal ensures accurate record-keeping and real-time visibility into asset utilization. The solution enhances accountability, minimizes downtime, and supports data-driven decision-making.

Overall, the project demonstrates how automation and centralized management can optimize asset tracking, reduce costs, and improve organizational productivity.

2. Problem Statement

• **Manual asset tracking:** Asset details are maintained in spreadsheets or registers without centralized control.

• **Prone to inaccuracies:** Data duplication, incorrect entries, and outdated records lead to reporting errors.

• **Lack of real-time visibility:** Asset status (Available, Lost, Damaged, Assigned) is not updated dynamically, causing confusion and mismanagement.

• **No automated lifecycle tracking:** Warranty expiry, maintenance schedules, and status transitions are not monitored automatically.

• **Weak accountability:** Asset allocation and ownership tracking are not clearly defined, increasing the risk of asset loss.

• **Limited reporting capability:** Without structured data and automation, generating status-wise or utilization reports becomes inefficient.

• **Inefficient decision-making:** Management lacks analytical insights to optimize asset usage and reduce operational costs.

---

3. Objectives

The key objectives of the project are:

• **Centralize asset management:** Create a unified system to store and manage all asset-related information in one platform.

• **Automate asset lifecycle tracking:** Implement automated status transitions such as Available, Lost, Damaged, and Repaired.

• **Improve data accuracy:** Minimize duplication and manual errors through structured data management.

• **Monitor warranty and maintenance:** Set up automated alerts for warranty expiry and maintenance requirements.

• **Enhance accountability:** Clearly track asset allocation and ownership to reduce loss and mismanagement.

• **Enable real-time reporting:** Generate graphical reports to analyze asset distribution and utilization.

• **Support data-driven decision-making:** Provide accurate insights to optimize resource usage and reduce operational costs.

4. Scope of the Project

In Scope

1. The system manages both physical and digital assets by storing details such as asset name, type, status, purchase date, warranty expiry, and assignment information.
2. It covers the complete asset lifecycle, including procurement, allocation, status updates (Available, Lost, Damaged, Repaired), and monitoring until disposal.
3. It automates asset status transitions using UI actions to reduce manual intervention and errors.
4. It monitors warranty expiry through scheduled jobs to ensure timely maintenance and prevent unexpected downtime.
5. It generates graphical reports to analyze asset distribution and utilization for better decision-making.
6. It provides centralized administrative control to create, update, and manage asset records efficiently.
7. It includes testing and validation of automation features to ensure system accuracy and reliability.
8. The system is scalable and can be extended with additional features such as role-based access control, email notifications, and advanced dashboards in the future.

5. Stakeholders

Stakeholder	Role / Responsibility
System Users (Employees / Data Entry Users)	View asset details, update assigned information (if permitted), and track asset status.
Asset Administrator	Create, update, and manage asset records; monitor lifecycle transitions and ensure data accuracy.
IT Support Team	Handle damaged or lost assets, update repair status, and ensure asset availability.
Reporting Manager	Generate status-wise and utilization reports for management analysis and decision-making.
ServiceNow Administrator	Create custom tables, configure fields, implement UI actions, scheduled jobs, reports, and manage roles and permissions.
Compliance / Security Team	Monitor access control, audit logs, and ensure asset data security and policy compliance.
Management / Department Heads	Review reports and analytics to optimize asset allocation and resource planning.

6. Solution Design

The solution is designed using the ServiceNow platform to create a centralized and automated Asset Management Portal. The system architecture includes a custom table, structured fields, UI actions, scheduled jobs, reporting modules, and role-based access control mechanisms. The design ensures data accuracy, automation of asset lifecycle transitions, and secure access to asset information.

6.1 Custom Table Configuration

A custom table named Asset Inventory is created under System Definition → Tables.
This table serves as the central repository for storing all asset-related information.
The table is configured to:

- Store structured asset records

- Maintain lifecycle status values

- Support reporting and automation

- Enable scalability for future enhancements

The table acts as the foundation of the entire asset management system.

6.2 Table Fields and Data Behavior

Field Name	Type	Description	Data / System Behavior
Asset Name	String	Name of the asset (e.g., Laptop, Printer)	Mandatory field for record creation
Assigned To	String	Name of the employee or user assigned to the asset	Can be updated based on asset allocation
Status	Choice	Options such as Available / Lost / Damaged / Repaired	Controls lifecycle tracking and reporting
Purchase Date	Date	Date on which the asset was purchased	Prevents invalid date entry
Warranty Expire	Date	Date on which the asset warranty expires	Used for scheduled job monitoring and alerts
Type	Choice	Category of asset (e.g., Laptop, Hardware, Software)	Standardizes classification and reporting
Number	String	Unique identification number for the asset	Helps prevent duplicate asset entries

6.3 Import Validation:

To maintain data integrity, validation mechanisms are implemented during record creation and updates.
- Mandatory fields ensure critical asset details are not left empty.
- Choice fields restrict invalid status entries.

- Date validation ensures warranty expiry dates are logically correct.
- Duplicate asset entries are minimized through structured data entry practices.

6.4 Security Design – ACL and Role Implementation

Security is implemented using role-based access control within ServiceNow.
- System Users are granted limited access to view asset records.
- Asset Administrators have create, update, and delete permissions.
- Reporting Managers have read-only access for report generation.
- ServiceNow Administrators have full configuration privileges.

Access Control Lists (ACLs) are configured to:
- Restrict unauthorized access
- Protect sensitive asset information
- Enforce data security policies
- Maintain audit logs for compliance monitoring

This security framework ensures confidentiality, integrity, and controlled access to asset data.

---

7. Implementation Steps

1. Requirement Gathering:

The project requirements were identified by analyzing organizational asset management challenges such as manual tracking, lack of automation, and reporting limitations. Functional requirements (asset lifecycle tracking, reporting, alerts) and non-functional requirements (security, scalability, reliability) were clearly defined.

---

2. Design:

A structured solution design was prepared, including custom table creation, field configuration, status workflow planning, UI action logic, scheduled job automation, and report generation. The database schema and role-based access structure were also planned.

---

3. Development:

The Asset Inventory custom table was created, followed by field configuration. UI Actions were implemented to automate status transitions (Lost, Damaged, Repaired). A Scheduled Job was configured for warranty expiry alerts, and a pie chart report was developed for asset distribution analysis.

---

4. Security Integration:

Role-based access control was implemented using ACLs. Different roles such as System Users, Asset Administrators, and Reporting Managers were configured with appropriate permissions to ensure data protection and controlled access.

5. Testing:

Functional testing was conducted by creating sample asset records and verifying UI actions. Scheduled jobs were tested using background scripts. Reports were validated to ensure accurate data aggregation and visualization.

6. Deployment:

After successful testing, the system configuration was finalized within the ServiceNow environment and made available for end users with appropriate access roles.

7. Documentation & Knowledge Sharing:

Complete project documentation was prepared, including system design, implementation steps, scripts, and testing procedures. Knowledge transfer was conducted to ensure administrators and users understand system functionality and maintenance procedures.

8. Testing and Quality Assurance

Test Type	Description	Result
Functional Testing	Validated table fields (Asset Name, Assigned To, Status, Purchase Date, Warranty Expire, Type, Number), record creation, and UI Action behavior	Passed
Automation Testing	Verified UI Actions (Mark As Lost, Mark As Damaged, Mark As Repaired) update status correctly	Passed
Scheduled Job Testing	Tested Warranty Expiry Alert script execution and verified expired asset logs	Passed
Report Testing	Verified Pie Chart generation (Available vs Assigned Assets) and accurate status grouping	Passed
Duplicate Prevention Testing	Confirmed structured data entry minimizes duplicate asset records	Passed
Security Testing	Verified ACL rules (Create, Read, Write, Delete) and role-based access restrictions	Passed
Debug Security Testing	Used Debug Security Rules to validate ACL evaluation logic and permission enforcement	Passed
User Acceptance Testing (UAT)	Authorized users tested asset creation, status updates, and report functionality	Passed
Data Integrity Verification	Confirmed accurate status transitions and correct warranty date validation	Passed

9. Benefits

- **Centralized Asset Control:** Provides a single platform to manage and monitor all organizational assets efficiently.

- **Improved Data Accuracy:** Reduces manual errors and duplication through structured data entry and validation mechanisms.

- **Automated Lifecycle Management:** Enables automated status transitions (Available, Lost, Damaged, Repaired) to streamline asset tracking.

- **Warranty Monitoring:** Scheduled alerts help prevent unnoticed warranty expiry and reduce unexpected downtime.

- **Enhanced Accountability:** Clearly tracks asset allocation and ownership, minimizing asset loss and mismanagement.

- **Real-Time Reporting:** Generates graphical reports for better visibility into asset distribution and utilization.

- **Better Decision-Making:** Provides reliable data insights to support strategic planning and resource optimization.

- **Increased Operational Efficiency:** Automation reduces administrative workload and improves overall productivity.

- **Scalability:** The system can be extended with additional modules such as email notifications, dashboards, and integration features.

10. Challenges and Solutions

Challenge	Solution
Manual data entry errors	Implemented structured fields and mandatory validations
Difficulty in tracking asset status changes	Developed automated UI Actions for status updates
Missing warranty expiry monitoring	Configured Scheduled Job for automated alerts
Duplicate asset records	Created graphical status-based reports
Unauthorized data access	Implemented role-based ACL security controls
Ensuring automation reliability	Conducted thorough testing using background scripts
Future scalability requirements	Designed a modular and extendable system architecture

11. Future Enhancements

- Integration with procurement and financial management systems.
- Implementation of email and notification alerts for warranty expiry and status changes.
- Development of advanced dashboard analytics for real-time asset insights.
- Role-based approval workflows for asset requests and transfers.
- Integration with barcode or QR code scanning for quick asset tracking.
- Implementation of asset depreciation and cost tracking features.
- Mobile application support for on-the-go asset management.
- Integration with IoT devices for real-time asset monitoring.
- Automated backup and archival system for historical asset data.
- AI-based predictive maintenance for proactive asset management.

12. Conclusion

The Asset Management Portal successfully provides a centralized and automated solution for managing organizational assets throughout their lifecycle. By implementing structured data management, automated status transitions, warranty monitoring, and real-time reporting, the system significantly improves operational efficiency and transparency.

The project demonstrates how automation and role-based security can reduce manual errors, enhance accountability, and support data-driven decision-making. Through effective use of ServiceNow customization features, the portal ensures reliable asset tracking, minimized downtime, and improved resource utilization.

Overall, the solution offers a scalable and secure framework that can be further enhanced to meet evolving organizational requirements.