
Design Patterns

CS6.401 Software Engineering

Dr. Karthik Vaidhyanthan

karthik.vaidhyanathan@iiit.ac.in

https://karthikvaidhyanathan.com





Acknowledgements

The materials used in this presentation have been gathered/adapted/generated from various sources as well as based on my own experiences and knowledge -- Karthik Vaidhyanathan

Sources:


- 1. Design Patterns: Elements of Reusable Object-Oriented Software by Erich Gamma, Richard Helm, Ralph Johnson and John Vlissides
- 2. Head first Design Patterns, Second Edition, Eric Freeman and Elisabeth Robson




You can give a command: Command Pattern [Behavioral]

Meet the Command Pattern!

Customer 3



Meet the Command Pattern – A Scenario



Should remote know exactly how the TV work step by step?



Meet the Command Pattern

- What if sender need not have to worry about receiver's internal implementation?
- What if some commands needs to be scheduled and executed in order at a later time?
- Sender needs to be decoupled from a receiver
- Encapsulates everything required to perform an action
 - Execution of action can happen independently



Intent

Encapsulate a request as an object, allowing parameterization of clients with requests, log or queue request and support undoable operations.

Also Known As: Action, Transaction

Motivation

- Sometimes its necessary to request to objects without details about operation
- Objects can be stored and passed around -
- Five key objects: Client, Command, Concrete Command, Invoker and Receiver



Example: UI kits [Think about if you want to develop a button class]


Applicability

- Parameterize objects by an action to perform Callbacks in procedural
- Specify, queue, execute request at different times
- Support undo operations Think of editors, games [Add another operation in command interface]
- Support logging changes Manage crashes
- Sometimes an operation may be composed of primitive operations





Structure





Participants Command (Command.java)

Interface for executing an operation

ConcreteCommand (TVOnCommand, TVOffCommand,...)

- Binding between receiver object and action
- Implements the execute by invoking operations on receiver

Receiver (Television)

Knows how to perform the operations associated with a request

Client (RemoteControlDemo)

Create ConcreteCommand object and sets its receiver

Invoker (RemoteControl)

Calls command to execute a request





Consequences

- Decoupling client and receiver
 - Decouples invoke operation from the one that knows how to perform it
- Commands as first-class objects
 - Command can be manipulated and extended like any other object
- Composite commands can be formed
 - Commands can be composed to form a larger command

- Code complexity may increase
 - Not every time this is needed
 - Introduction of new layer between senders and receivers




Implementation

Check the source code given along: RemoteControlCommand



We can pass on responsibilities: Chain of Responsibilities!
[Behavioral]


Meet the Chain of Responsibility Pattern!





Meet the Chain of Responsibility Pattern - Motivation

TA Application Scenario







Meet the Chain of Responsibility Pattern

- What if one single request requires processing by multiple objects?
- What if the sender needs to be decoupled from receiver in the form of set of intermediatory objects?
- Sometimes single task may require multiple steps to process
- Each step in the process may decide if it needs to be further processed or not



Chain of Responsibility Pattern: Documentation

Intent

Avoid coupling the sender of a request to its receiver by giving more than one object a chance top handle the request. Chain the receiving objects and pass the request along the chain until one handles it

Also Known As: CoR, Chain of Command

Motivation

- Request may have to be passed along a chain
- Senders and receivers need decoupling
- Key objects: Handler, ConcereteHandler and Client



Example: Payment process in an e-commerce system




Applicability

- More than one object may handle a request and handler isn't known apriori
- Issue request to one object without specifying the receiver
- The set of objects that can handle a request should be specified dynamically



Structure





Participants

Handler (ApplicationHandler)

Defines an interface for handling requests

ConcreteHandler (InstructorHandler)

- Handles requests its responsible for
- Can access its successor

Client (StudentDemo)

Initiates the request to a ConcreteHandler object on the chain





Consequences

- Reduced Coupling
 - Object does not need to worry about which other object handles request
 - Simplifies object interactions
- Flexible assignment of responsibilities
 - Flexible distribution of responsibilities among objects
 - Responsibilities of each handler can be changed at run time (chain can be increased)
- Receipt isn't guaranteed
 - Request has no explicit receiver No guarantee of handling
 - Request can go unhandled when chain is not configured properly




Implementation


Check the source code given along: TA-ApprovalChainOfResponsibility



How about Composing!: Meet the Composite Pattern [Structural]

Meet the Composite Pattern!








Meet the Composite Pattern

- What if a large component is composed of smaller components?
- What if the client need not worry about the complex hierarchy?
- What if the composition tree needs to be parsed recursively?
- Composition may contain primitives and larger components
- Have everyone in the tree share some common method





Intent

Compose objects into tree structures to represent part-whole hierarchies. Composite lets client treat objects and compositions uniformly

Also Known As: Object Tree

Motivation

- Enable client to treat primitives and containers identically
- Promotes extensibility Introduce new types
- Four key objects: Component, leaf, composite and client

Example: Disk system has folders and files. Each folder has files




Applicability

- Represent part-whole hierarchies of objects
 - Recurse through the tree in a more controlled manner
- Clients should be unaware of the differences
 - Ignore difference between composition of objects and individual objects
 - All objects in the composite structure are treated uniformly





Structure





Participants

Component (FileSystem)

- Declares the interface for objects in the composition
- Implements default behavior also declares interface to access child components

Leaf (File)

- Leaf objects in the composition No children
- Behaviour of primitive objects is defined

Composite (Folder)

- Defines behavior for components with children
- Stores child components

Client (FileSystemDemo)

Manipulates objects in the composition through component interface





Consequences

- Class hierarchies with primitive and composite objects
 - Primitive objects can be further composed
 - Client can work with both primitive and composite in same way
- Enhance client-side simplicity
 - Clients are not aware if an object is primitive or composite
 - No case statement or if conditions needed
- Add new components easily
 - New composite or sub-classes can be added to tree without affecting client
- Design can be too general Also it sometimes can be forcefit
 - Restricting components of a composite is hard



Implementation

Check the source code given along: FileSystemComposite



Thank You



Course website: karthikv1392.github.io/cs6401 se

Email: <u>karthik.vaidhyanathan@iiit.ac.in</u>

Web: https://karthikvaidhyanathan.com

Twitter: @karthi_ishere



