## HW 4B: Stochastic Gradient Descent and Lipschitz Extensions

CS 208 Applied Privacy for Data Science, Spring 2019

## Version 1.0: Due Tuesday, April 30, 11:59pm.

**Instructions:** Submit a single PDF file containing your solutions, plots, and analyses. Make sure to thoroughly explain your process and results for each problem. Also include your documented code and a link to a public repository with your code (such as GitHub/GitLab). Make sure to list all collaborators and references.

- 1. For each of the following sets  $\mathcal{G}$  of datasets and neighbor relations  $\sim$ , hypotheses  $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ , and functions  $f: \mathcal{G} \to \mathbb{R}$ , calculate (i) the global sensitivity of f (denoted  $GS_f$  or  $\partial f$ ), (ii) the minimum local sensitivity of f, i.e.  $\min_{x \in \mathcal{G}} LS_f(x)$ , and (iii) the restricted sensitivity of f (denoted  $\partial_{\mathcal{H}} f$  or  $RS_f^{\mathcal{H}}$ ). For Part 1a, also describe an explicit Lipschitz extension of f from  $\mathcal{H}$  to all of  $\mathcal{G}$ .
  - (a)  $\mathfrak{G} = \mathbb{R}^n$  where  $x \sim x'$  if x and x' differ on one row,  $\mathfrak{H} = [a, b]^n$  for real numbers  $a \leq b$ , and  $f(x) = (1/n) \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$ .
  - (b)  $\mathfrak{G} = \mathbb{R}^n$  where  $x \sim x'$  if x and x' differ on one row,  $\mathfrak{H} = [a, b]^n$  for real numbers  $a \leq b$ , and  $f(x) = \text{median}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ .
  - (c)  $\mathcal{G}$  = the set of undirected graphs (without self-loops) on vertex set  $\{1, \ldots, n\}$  where  $x \sim x'$  if x and x' are identical except for the neighborhood of a single vertex (i.e. node privacy),  $\mathcal{H}$  the set of graphs in  $\mathcal{G}$  in which every vertex has degree at most d for a parmeter  $2 \leq d \neq n-1$ , and f(x) = the number of isolated (i.e. degree 0) vertices in x.
- 2. Recall for data universe  $\mathfrak{X} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^k : ||x|| \leq R\}$  and  $\varepsilon < 1$ , the following is a  $(\varepsilon, \delta)$ -DP local randomizer:

$$Q_{\text{gauss}}(x) = x + \mathcal{N}\left(0, \left(\frac{R}{2\varepsilon^2}\right)^2 \cdot \ln\left(\frac{1.25}{\delta}\right) \cdot I_k\right).$$

We mentioned in class that there is also a pure DP randomizer that can be used instead. It works as follows.

 $Q_{\text{pure}}(x)$  for  $x \in \mathfrak{X}$ :

- **i.** Choose a uniformly random unit vector  $u \in \{v \in \mathbb{R}^k : ||v|| = 1\}$ .
- ii. Do randomized response on  $\langle u, x \rangle / R \in [-1, 1]$  to obtain a binary value  $b \in \{\pm 1\}$ .
- iii. Output bu

Analyze  $Q_{\text{pure}}$  as follows.

- (a) Prove that  $Q_{\text{pure}}$  is  $\varepsilon$ -DP.
- (b) Argue that for every  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ , we have

$$E[Q_{pure}(x)] = \frac{e^{\varepsilon} - 1}{e^{\varepsilon} + 1} \cdot \frac{c_k}{R} \cdot x,$$

for a constant  $c_k$  that depends only on the dimension k. (Hint: decompose  $u = u^{\parallel} + u^{\perp}$  where  $u^{\parallel}$  is a scalar multiple of x and  $u^{\perp}$  is orthogonal to x.) You don't need to calculate the constant  $c_k$  (but it happens to equal  $2s_{k-1}/((k-1)\cdot s_k)$ , where  $s_d$  denotes the (d-1)-dimensional volume of the unit sphere  $\{v \in \mathbb{R}^d : ||v|| = 1\}$  in d dimensions).

(c) Thus, like  $Q_{\text{gauss}}(x)$ , the post-processed output

$$Q'_{\text{pure}}(x) = \frac{e^{\varepsilon} + 1}{e^{\varepsilon} - 1} \cdot \frac{R}{c_k} \cdot Q_{\text{pure}}(x)$$

is an unbiased estimator of x. Ignoring constant factors, assuming  $\varepsilon \leq 1$ , and using the fact that  $c_k = \Theta(1/\sqrt{k})$ , compare the maximum expected squared error  $\max_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \mathrm{E}[\|Q(x) - x\|^2]$  for  $Q = Q'_{\mathrm{pure}}$  vs.  $Q = Q_{\mathrm{gauss}}$ .

3. In our code example, we saw how to release an estimated Logistic regression using differentially private stochastic gradient descent (DP-SGD) to optimize a regression under the centralized model. Convert this code to once again release the probability of marriage given education level, but using DP-SGD under the *local* model. Recall that local DP does not satisfy privacy amplification by subsampling, but you can achieve a similar effect by rotating through disjoint batches, so that each individual partipates in at most  $\lceil T \cdot B/n \rceil$  batches, where T is the number of iterations and B is the batch size. Using Gaussian noise as in the current code will give an  $(\varepsilon, \delta)$  local DP implementation. Also construct a pure  $(\varepsilon, 0)$  local DP implementation using Problem 2, and compare the performance of the two implementations.

 $<sup>^1\</sup>mathrm{See}$  https://github.com/privacytoolsproject/cs208/blob/master/examples/wk7\_localmodel/privateSGD.r and privateSGD.ipynb.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Note, in the code example, T = 1,  $B = \sqrt{n}$ .