

Individual Reflection

1) Names of the group members you worked with.

Aniket Tikariha, Ann Alex

2) What went well in your presentation? Or, what aspects of the presentation are you satisfied with?

Text in slides was concise with bullet points, I was satisfied that we covered all the key details.

3) If you had more time to prepare for this assignment, what aspects of your presentation would you like to hone and improve?

I would try to make the slides more creative by adding more pictures and animation maybe.

4) How well did you work as a group? Or, how was your group dynamic throughout the process of preparing this presentation? Some questions to consider would be: did each member participate fully in the process? How was communication between your group members? How many times did you meet as a group? How did you allocate tasks between group members?

The three of us worked pretty well as a group. Each of us participated fully in the process of preparing for this presentation. We met two times as a group to rehearse our presentation and once online(google meet) before the day of presentation for a final review. Initially, we did our own research about the topic and pasted useful links and all the common information in a google doc. Then, we divided the questions and details to be addressed among ourselves and worked individually on our parts. Each of us designed one slide individually for our respective question/topic that we are going to speak and also the individual script. After each of us had completed our individual script and slide, we gave feedbacks to each other and made few changes.

5) Include a link to your slides here:

<https://docs.google.com/presentation/d/1IMB8eHzmTbSPewAL1d7nawrS-3uya4wl2odrau5YKYI/edit#slide=id.p>

Feedback on Peer Ethics Presentations

Group 1

Members: Kenneth Tran, Hrisheek Kumar, Vijay Cuppala, Likhitha Paruchuri

Topic: Changes to Free Speech on Twitter

Add your feedback for group 1 below:

Strength: text in slides is concise, usage of hand gestures

Weakness: voice could be louder and more clear, less pictures in slides

Group 3

Members: Dheer Manish Jain, Andrew Gao, Preeti Aladakatti

Topic: Microsoft Software License Restrictions against Russia

Add your feedback for group 3 below:

Strength: good voice modulation, good delivery of speech

Weakness: less pictures, more text

Group 4

Members: Karthik Ganesh, Prakash, Chaitanya

Topic: OpenAI - A wake up call for ethical data practices

Add your feedback for group 4 below:

Strength: Used good amount of visual aids

Weakness: delivery of speech is good, but could be a little more dynamic.

Group 5

Members: Vivek Ponnala, Siddartha Kodaboina, Vaidehi Gandhi

Topic: Google's Project Maven

Add your feedback for group 5 below:

Strength: speech seemed to engage with the audience

Weakness: slides are text heavy

Group 6**Members: Eeshwar, Tarun, Harsh****Topic: Uber - Self-Driving Car Crash (2018)**

Add your feedback for group 6 below:

Strength: good usage of visuals, text is concise, engaging with audience by citing personal experiences.

Weakness: should maintain eye contact with audience, improve voice modulation