

Finding GCD of a set of numbers?



So, I was asked this question in an interview. Given a group of numbers (not necessarily distinct), I have to find the multiplication of GCD's of all possible subsets of the given group of numbers.

My approach which I told the interviewer:


1. **Recursively** generate all possible subsets of the given **set**.
- 2a. **For** a particular subset of the given **set**:
- 2b. **Find** GCD of that subset **using** the **Euclid's Algorithm**.
3. **Multiply** it in the answer being obtained.

Assume GCD of an empty set to be 1. However, there will be 2^n subsets and this won't work optimally if the n is large. How can I optimise it?

c++ algorithm

edited Oct 11 at 12:05

asked Oct 11 at 11:39

 [rohansingh](#)
108 6

1 What do you mean by "modulus it"? What is the divisor? – [Rhymoid](#) Oct 11 at 11:42

with your 3 steps you construct **a** subset. How is this supposed to find **all** subsets? – [tobi303](#) Oct 11 at 11:43

Also, the interviewer might want to adjust their terminology. An unordered list that allows duplicates is usually called "multiset" or "bag". – [Rhymoid](#) Oct 11 at 11:44

@Rhymoid, my bad. I was trying to divide it in terms of even and odd numbers but couldn't form anything. – [rohansingh](#) Oct 11 at 11:45

1 This is from the ongoing contest : ACM-ICPC Asia-Amritapuri regionals.
s3.amazonaws.com/codechef_shared/download/ICPC/2015/... second problem from here. The contest is currently going on. So I doubt you were asked this in an interview. – [sasha](#) Oct 11 at 12:27

2 Answers

Assume that each array element is an integer in the range $1..U$ for some U .

Let $f(x)$ be the number of subsets with GCD(x). The solution to the problem is then the sum of $d^f(d)$ for all distinct factors $1 \leq d \leq U$.


Let $g(x)$ be the number of array elements divisible by x .

We have

$$f(x) = 2^{g(x)} - \sum_{x \mid y, f(y)}$$

We can compute $g(x)$ in $O(n * \sqrt{U})$ by enumerating all divisors of every array element. $f(x)$ can be computed in $O(U \log U)$ from high to low values, by enumerating every multiple of x in the straightforward manner.

answered Oct 11 at 17:07

 [Niklas B.](#)
48.4k 5 101 146

Add  repos to your  **stackoverflowcareers** profile.

Pre - Requisite :

Fermat's little theorem (there is a generalised theorem too) , simple maths , Modular exponentiation

Explanation : Notations : $A[]$ stands for our input array

Clearly the constraints $1 \leq N \leq 10^5$, tell me that either you need a $O(N * \log N)$ solution, don't try to think DP as its complexity according to me will be $N * \max(A[i])$ i.e. approx. $10^5 * 10^6$. Why? because you need the GCD of the subsets to make a transition.

Ok, moving on

We can think of clubbing the subsets with the same GCD so as to make the complexity.

So, let's decrement an iterator i from 10^6 to 1 trying to make the set with GCD i !

Now to make the subset with GCD(i) I can club it with any $i*j$ where j is a non-negative Integer. Why?

$\text{GCD}(i, i*j) = i$

Now,

We can build a frequency table for any element as the number is quite reachable!

Now, during the contest what I did was, keep the number of subsets with gcd(i) at $f2[i]$

hence what we do is sum frequency of all elements from $j*i$ where j varies from 1 to $\text{floor}(i/j)$ now the subsets with a common divisor (and not GCD) as i is $(2^{\text{sum}} - 1)$.

Now we have to subtract from this sum the subsets with GCD greater than i and having i as a common divisor of gcd as i .

This can also be done within the same loop by taking summation of $f2[i*j]$ where j varies from 1 to $\text{floor}(i/j)$

Now the subsets with GCD i equal to $2^{\text{sum}} - 1 - \text{summation of } f2[i*j]$ Just multiply i (No. of subsets with GCD i times) i.e. $\text{power}(i, 2^{\text{sum}} - 1 - \text{summation of } f2[i*j])$. But now to calculate this the exponent part can overflow but you can take its % with given MOD-1 as MOD was prime! (Fermat little theorem) using modular exponentiation

Here is a snippet of my code as I am unsure that can we post the code now!

```
for(i=max_ele; i >= 1; --i)
{
    to_add=F[i];
    to_subtract = 0 ;
    for(j=2 ; j*i <= max_ele; ++j)
    {
        to_add+=F[j*i];
        to_subtract+=F2[j*i];
        to_subtract>=(MOD-1)?(to_subtract%=(MOD-1)):0;
    }


    subsets = (((power(2 , to_add , MOD-1) ) - 1) - to_subtract)%(MOD-1) ;

    if(subsets<0)
        subsets = (subsets%(MOD-1) +MOD-1)%(MOD-1);

    ans = ans * power(i , subsets , MOD);
    F2[i]= subsets;
    ans %=MOD;
}
```

I feel like I had complicated the things by using F2, I feel like we can do it without F2 by not taking $j = 1$. but it's okay I haven't thought about it and this is how I managed to get AC.

answered 2 days ago

 [Shubham Sharma](#)
350 1 18