### Data Mining Assignment 1 Association Rule Mining

Bo-Han Chen (陳柏翰) Student ID:312551074 bhchen312551074.cs12@nycu.edu.tw

### **Experiment Environment & Usage**

#### **Environment**

The Experiment environment is based on the work station of Information Technology Center, the details are as follows:

- OS: CentOS Stream release 8
- Hardware: Intel(R) Xeon(R) Gold 6126 CPU @ 2.60GHz
- Python 3.9.17
- The computation time is recorded by time.process time() function

#### Usage

The command for executing the program of step2 & 3 is shown as follows:

```
# step2
python apriori.py -f [inputFile] -t [task] -s [support]
# step3
python myEclat.py -f [inputFile] -s [support]
```

I wrote a script for running the association rule mining program, which can run the algorithm with all task/support/dataset options, and the execution time will be recorded in the log file named *result.log*. Take the script of step2 for example, the script *run.sh* is shown as follows:

```
#!/bin/bash
   dataset_folder="../dataset"
   log_file_path="../result"
   declare -a dataset_arr=("datasetA.data" "datasetB.data" "datasetC.data")
   declare -a task_arr=(1 2)
   declare -a sup_arrA=(0.2 0.5 0.1)
   declare -a sup_arrB=(0.5 0.2 0.5)
   declare -a sup_arrC=(0.1 0.2 0.3)
   for task in "${task_arr[@]}"
10
        for sup_idx in 0 1 2
            for dataset in "${dataset_arr[@]}"
14
15
                if [ $dataset == 'datasetA.data' ]
16
                    sup_arr=("${sup_arrA[@]}")
18
                elif [ $dataset == 'datasetB.data' ]
20
                    sup_arr=("${sup_arrB[@]}")
                else [ $dataset == 'datasetC.data' ]
                     sup_arr=("${sup_arrC[@]}")
23
24
                data_path=$dataset_folder/$dataset
25
                sup="${sup_arr[$sup_idx]}"
26
                echo "running $dataset on task $task with support: $sup"
```

```
time python apriori.py -f $data_path -t $task -s $sup | tee -a $log_file_path/result.log done done done done
```

The usage of *run.sh*:

```
# executing run.sh script
2 ./run.sh
```

### Step2: Apriori Algorithm

#### **Task1: Mining Frequent Itemsets**

In this part, I add two functions *writeTask1\_1* and *writeTask1\_2* to write the frequent itemsets to the txt file based on the original Apriori algorithm. The code is shown as follows:

```
def runApriori_1(data_iter, case, minSupport):
      itemSet, transactionList = getItemSetTransactionList(data_iter)
      freqSet = defaultdict(int)
     largeSet = dict()
      # initialize the number of candidate itemset before and after pruning
      canNumSetBf = [len(itemSet)]
     canNumSetAf = []
     oneCSet= returnItemsWithMinSupport(itemSet, transactionList, minSupport,
10
     freqSet)
     canNumSetAf.append(len(oneCSet))
     currentLSet = oneCSet
     k = 2
14
      while currentLSet != set([]):
15
          largeSet[k - 1] = currentLSet
16
          currentLSet = joinSet(currentLSet, k)
          # get the number of candidate itemset before pruning
          canNumSetBf.append(len(currentLSet))
19
          currentCSet= returnItemsWithMinSupport(
20
21
              currentLSet, transactionList, minSupport, freqSet
          )
          # get the number of candidate itemset after pruning
          canNumSetAf.append(len(currentCSet))
          currentLSet = currentCSet
          k = k + 1
28
      # write the frequent itemsets and number of candidate to file
      writeTask1 1(toRetItems, case, minSupport)
31
      writeTask1_2(canNumSetBf, canNumSetAf, case, minSupport)
```

In write Task 1 1 function, the frequent itemsets will be sorted by support and be written to the file.

```
def writeTask1_1(items, case, sup):
    """write the generated itemsets sorted by support to file"""
    write_line = ''
    for itemset, support in sorted(items, key=lambda x: x[1], reverse = True):
        item_str = ""
        for item in itemset:
            item_str = item_str + str(item) + ','
        item_str = item_str.strip(',')
        write_line += "%.1f\t{%s}\n" %(support * 100, item_str)
    with open('../result/' + 'step2' + '_task1_' + case + '_' + str(sup) + '_result1.txt', mode = 'w') as write_file:
        write_file.write(write_line)
```

In writeTask1\_2 function, the number of candidate itemsets before and after pruning will be written to the file.

```
def writeTask1_2(canNumSetBf, canNumSetAf, case, sup):
    """write the number of candidate itemsets before and after pruning to
    file"""
    write_line = str(sum(canNumSetAf)) + '\n'
    for idx in range(len(canNumSetBf)):
        write_line += "%s\t%s\t%s\n" %(str(idx + 1), str(canNumSetBf[idx]),
        str(canNumSetAf[idx]))
    with open('../result/' + 'step2' + '_task1_' + case + '_' + str(sup) +
        '_result2.txt', mode = 'w') as write_file:
        write_file.write(write_line)
```

The computation time of task1 is shown as follows (concluded from the *result.log* file):

Dataset	Minimum Support (%)	Computation Time (sec)
A	0.2	143.79
	0.5	6.72
	1.0	2.79
В	0.15	6861.15
	0.2	3823.43
	0.5	1111.96
С	0.1	6074.08
	0.2	1994.86
	0.3	729.53

Table 1: Computation Time of Task1

As we can see above, the computation time increases considerablely when the minimum support  $(min\_sup)$  decreases. Take dataset A for example, and the computation time of  $min\_sup = 0.5\%$  is 95% faster than  $min\_sup = 0.2\%$ , and the computation time of  $min\_sup = 1.0\%$  is 98% faster than  $min\_sup = 0.2\%$ .

To explain this phenomenon, we can analyze *result2.txt* file to find out the reason. Comparing the number of candidate k-itemsets  $(L_k)$  of each iteration among  $min\_sup = 0.5\%$  and  $min\_sup = 0.2\%$ , we can observe that with higher minimum support, fewer frequent k-itemsets  $(F_k)$  will remain in each iteration, which leads to fewer procedure to calculate the support of itemsets in  $L_{k+1}$ .

### Task2: Mining All Frequent Closed Itemsets

In this task, I first check whether the frequent itemset is closed or not by *checkClosed* function in each iteration, and then write the closed frequent itemsets to the file by *writeTask2*.

```
def runApriori_2(data_iter, case, minSupport):
      itemSet, transactionList = getItemSetTransactionList(data_iter)
      . . .
     k = 2
4
     # save the closed frequent itemsets in each iteration
     closedSet = dict()
6
      while currentLSet != set([]):
          largeSet[k - 1] = currentLSet
          currentLSet = joinSet(currentLSet, k)
9
          currentCSet= returnItemsWithMinSupport(
              currentLSet, transactionList, minSupport, freqSet
          )
          # check whether the frequent itemset is closed or not
          # passing the frequent itemset in last iteration and current iteration
14
          closedSet[k - 1] = checkClosed(largeSet[k-1], currentCSet, freqSet)
15
          currentLSet = currentCSet
16
          k = k + 1
18
```

```
# write the closed frequent itemsets to file
closedItems = []
for key, value in closedSet.items():
    closedItems.extend([(tuple(item), getSupport(item)) for item in value])

writeTask2(closedItems, case, minSupport)
```

In *checkClosed* function, each itemset of  $F_{k-1}$  will be compared with each itemset of  $F_k$ , if the latter one is a superset of the former and the support of the latter is larger or equal (equal, precisely) to the former one, then we can say that itemset is not closed.

The computation time of task2 and the comparison with task1 is shown as follows:

Dataset	Minimum Support (%)	Computation Time (sec)	Ratio of Computation Time (%)
A	0.2	157.117	109.26%
	0.5	6.65	98.95%
	1.0	2.70	96.77%
В	0.15	7094.64	103.40%
	0.2	3730.72	97.57%
	0.5	1137.05	102.25%
С	0.1	6007.42	98.90%
	0.2	1962.21	98.36%
	0.3	717.23	98.31%

Table 2: Computation Time of Task2

With low  $min\_sup$  (take datasetA with  $min\_sup = 0.2\%$  for example), we can observe that task2 is obviously slower than task1, since there are more itemsets in  $F_{k-1}$  and  $F_k$ , and there will also have more iteration in the while loop, which cause more check procedure in checkClosed function. Sometimes the computation of task2 is even faser than task1, by observing the result.log file, we can find out such condition is caused by the number of iteration, in other words, if there is fewer iteration, the extra computation of checkClosed is nearly negligible.

## **Step3: Eclat Algorithm**

For task3, I choose Eclat mining algorithm to mine the frequent itemsets. In this section, I will first introduce the Eclat algorithm, then explain its advantages compared to Apriori algorithm, finally analysis the experiment result.

#### Introduction

Eclat algorithm is an depth-first-based association mining algorithm using the vertical database, instead of calculating the support of each itemset by traversing the whole trasaction list, Eclat algorithm uses the intersection of *TID\_Sets*, which results in more efficient computation.

## **Program Flow**

# References

[1] J. Heaton, "Comparing dataset characteristics that favor the apriori, eclat or fp-growth frequent itemset mining algorithms," pp. 1–7, 2016.