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# Classification

## Question 1

Plot the normalized and raw training sets; what do you observe?

Looking at the 3D scatter plots of both the raw and normalised training sets we observe that the distribution of data points has roughly the same “shape” for both training sets, with differing scales on the axes of course. Both training sets provide us with useful information. The plot for the raw training set shows us the natural scales and distribution of the features whereas the plot for the normalised set is more effective in outlining the structure of the data without one feature dominating another. This difference is most clearly observed in the colour of the data points (which represent the values for petal width). For the raw training set the data points are mostly light in colour, ranging from light purple to bright yellow. The plot for the normalised set has a more uniform colour distribution and thus gives a better picture of how petal width correlates with the rest of the features.
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Figure 1 3D Scatter Plots for both the Raw and Normalised Training Sets

## Question 5

Draw the decision boundary on the test set using the learned parameters. Is this decision boundary separating the classes? Does this match our expectations?

Looking at the decision boundary that has been derived from the learned parameters, it’s clear to see that the decision boundary is not doing an adequate job of separating the target class (setosa) from the rest of the classes. This goes against our expectations.
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Figure 2 Decision boundary plotted against features and classes of the test set.

## Question 6

Using the 3 classifiers, predict the classes of the samples in the test set and show the predictions in a table. Do you observe anything interesting?

Looking at the results, we observe that all of the 3 classifiers appear to have a high level of accuracy with a substantial majority of the predictions matching the actual class. We also observe that the classifiers for each class have been well-tuned to their particular class, giving very high probabilities when they predict the correct class. This suggests that the classifiers are able to clearly distinguish their class from the others and provide a good means of separation between the classes. The setosa classifier, in particular, is very adept at distinguishing its class from the others, assigning very high values for positive predictions and very low values for negative ones. This aligns with the fact that the setosa class is much more linearly separable from the other classes in terms of sepal width and length. Conversely, there is considerably more overlap between the virginica and versicolour classes; and as we see in the table, predictions for these 2 classes are made with less confidence.
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Table Predictions of the 3 classifiers against the actual class.

## Question 8

Looking at the datapoints below, can we draw a decision boundary using Logistic Regression? Why? What are the specific issues or logistic regression with regards to XOR?

A core assumption that is made when using logistic regression is that the classes can be separated by a single linear boundary. As we can see in this case, that simply cannot be done; therefore, we cannot draw a decision boundary using logistic regression. The XOR pattern requires a non-linear decision boundary, typically involving higher-dimensional feature space to learn the parameters. Logistic regression is a purely linear function that is unable to tackle the XOR problem.
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Figure The XOR pattern

# Neural Networks

## Question 1

Why is it important to use a random set of initial weights rather than initializing all weights as zero in a Neural Network?

Randomising a neural network’s initial parameters ensures that the neurons will learn different features differently to each. Were all the parameters set to zero or one, then each neuron in a layer would effectively be the same, as they would all be adjusted by the same gradient. Randomised weights allow each neuron to start at a different point in the search space, and learn different patterns in the data; thereby allowing the network to deal with complex inputs.

## Question 2

How does a NN solve the XOR problem?

ANNs solve the XOR problem by using non-linear activation functions, in a hidden layer of nodes, to create a feature space where the points of the XOR pattern are linearly separable; either by line, plane or hyperplane. This enables the neural network to learn the XOR pattern and produce accurate outputs.

## Question 3

Explain the performance of the different networks on the training and test sets. How does it compare to the logistic regression example? Make sure that the data you are referring to is clearly presented and appropriately labelled in the report.