Name of Result Measured (Goal, DO, IR, sub-IR, Project Purpose, Project Output, etc.):

1. GOAL: Municipalities with transparent procurement processes, procedures and systems in place and operating effectively (LLR 2.1.1)

1.1 OBJECTIVE: Strengthen municipal capacity to increase own source revenue

Name of Indicator #1: Percentage increase in municipal own source revenues during the first six months of Guatemalan Fiscal Year 2014

Is this a Performance Plan and Report Indicator? NO _X_ YES _, for Reporting Year(s) 2014 If yes, link to foreign assistance framework:

Precise Definition(s): "Percentage change in municipal own source revenues" is a comparison of own-source revenues collected by a municipality during the first semester of FY 2014 compared to the same period of FY 2013.

The indicator reflects the aggregate increase in municipal OSRs municipalities.

It will be analyzed data of the first two quarters of Guatemalan FY 2014, due to the end of technical assistance provided by LGP to the municipalities in June 2014.

Includes all the municipalities of San Marcos, Quetzaltenango and Quiche. The Project is beginning assistance in Quiche, and this assistance will be provided during six months only.

Unit of Measure: Percentage

Disaggregated by: Municipality

Rationale of Justification for indicator (optional):

PLAN FOR DATA COLLECTION BY USAID

Data Source: LGP files, hard copies of reports provided by municipalities

Method of data collection and construction:

Data will be gathered by the implementing partner and will be presented to USAID.

Reporting Frequency: Annually

Individual(s) responsible at USAID: Edward Gonzalez, COR Local Governance Project.

DATA QUALITY ISSUES

Dates of Previous Data Quality Assessments and name of reviewer: N/A

Date of Future Data Quality Assessments (optional):

Known Data Limitations:

TARGETS AND BASELINE

Baseline timeframe (optional): First semester of FY 2013 data

Rationale for Targets (optional): 5% percentage increase in Year 2014

CHANGES TO INDICATOR

Changes to indicator:

Other Notes (optional):

Name of Result Measured (Goal, DO, IR, sub-IR, Project Purpose, Project Output, etc.):

- 1.1 OBJECTIVE: Strengthen municipal capacity to increase own source revenue
- 1.2. OBJECTIVE: Technical assistance provided in preparing 2014 annual operational plans and budget in target municipalities, in coordination with the Ministry of Finance 1.3 OBJECTIVE: Strengthen the Municipal Women's Offices in order to present a plan and obtain municipal financing for their initiatives as defined in the Municipal Code
- 1.4. OBJECTIVE: Implement in selected municipalities a multi-use Geographic Information System, as a basic tool to increase own-source revenues, land planning and the graphic administration of municipal services
- 6.1 OBJETIVE Financial management capacity strengthened in target municipalities
- 7.2 OBJECTIVE: Implement in selected municipalities a multi-use Geographic Information System, as a basic tool to increase own-source revenues, land planning and the graphic administration of
- 13.1 OBJECTIVE: ANAM provides training and sustaining advisory services

Name of Indicator #2: USG FACT: Number of individuals who received USG assisted training, including management skills and fiscal management to strengthen local government and/or decentralization Disaggregated by municipality, gender and economical sector

Is this a Performance Plan and Report Indicator? NO _X_ YES _, for Reporting Year(s) 2014 If yes, link to foreign assistance framework:

Precise Definition(s):

USG-assisted training refers to all training or education events whether short-term (fewer than 16 hours) or long-term (more than 16 hours), in country, or abroad.

Impact and quality of effective citizen participation and advocacy of Municipalities will be improved as a result of training provided by the Project in, and helpful to, local governance and/or decentralization.

Detailed training by Objective and target:

Objective 1.1 /500

Objective 1.2/600

Objective 1.3/50

Objective 1.4/ 6 Objective 6.1/225

Objective 7.2/ 4

Objective 13.1/850

TOTAL 2 235

Unit of Measure: Number of individuals

Disaggregated by: Municipality, gender and economical sector

Rationale of Justification for indicator (optional):

PLAN FOR DATA COLLECTION BY USAID

Data Source: Training, monitoring and follow-up system developed by the Project

Method of data collection and construction:

Data will be gathered by the implementing partner and will be presented to USAID.

Reporting Frequency: Quarterly

Individual(s) responsible at USAID: Edward Gonzalez, COR Local Governance Project.

DATA QUALITY ISSUES

Dates of Previous Data Quality Assessments and name of reviewer:

Date of Future Data Quality Assessments (optional):

Known Data Limitations:

TARGETS AND BASELINE

Baseline timeframe (optional): 0 (2009)

Rationale for Targets (optional): 2,235

CHANGES TO INDICATOR

Changes to indicator:

Name of Result Measured (Goal, DO, IR, sub-IR, Project Purpose, Project Output, etc.): 1. GOAL: Municipalities with transparent procurement processes, procedures and systems in place and operating effectively (LLR 2.1.1) 1.1 OBJECTIVE: Strengthen municipal capacity to increase own source revenue

Name of Indicator #3: Number of regulations for municipal public services strengthened

Is this a Performance Plan and Report Indicator? NO _X_ YES for Reporting Year(s) 2014

If yes, link to foreign assistance framework:

Precise Definition(s): Regulations for municipal public services "strengthened" refers to the proposal, approval and/or publication of new regulations or the improvement of regulations of municipal utilities in place to regulate the services provided by the municipalities (policies, manuals, procedures, regulations and plans of municipal taxes).

The municipal regulations are approved by the municipal councils and regulate the provision of municipal public services and the requirements that users must meet to benefit from them. The municipalities must have a regulation for each municipal public service provided.

Unit of Measure: Number of regulations

Disaggregated by: Municipality, municipal public service

Rationale of Justification for indicator (optional):

PLAN FOR DATA COLLECTION BY USAID

Data Source: LGP files, hard copies of regulations

Method of data collection and construction:

Data will be gathered by the implementing partner and will be presented to USAID.

Reporting Frequency: Anual

Individual(s) responsible at USAID: Edward Gonzalez, COR Local Governance Project.

DATA QUALITY ISSUES

Dates of Previous Data Quality Assessments and name of reviewer:

Date of Future Data Quality Assessments (optional):

Known Data Limitations:

TARGETS AND BASELINE

Baseline timeframe (optional):

Rationale for Targets (optional): 20 regulations

CHANGES TO INDICATOR

Changes to indicator:

Name of Result Measured (Goal, DO, IR, sub-IR, Project Purpose, Project Output, etc.):

8.1 OBJECTIVE: Increase municipal credit worthiness capacity

Name of Indicator #4:

Number of municipalities that meet their requirements governing municipal debt.

Is this a Performance Plan and Report Indicator?

NO _x _ YES _

for Reporting Year(s) 2014

If yes, link to foreign assistance framework:

Precise Definition(s):

"Municipalities that meet their requirements governing municipal debt" refers to target municipalities with loan contracts complying with the articles 110 to 117 of the Municipal Code. The main point is for local governments not to contract loans beyond their period of government.

Unit of Measure: Number of municipalities

Disaggregated by: Municipality

Rationale of Justification for indicator (optional):

PLAN FOR DATA COLLECTION BY USAID

Data Source: LGP files, Budgetary execution report/SICOIN-GL

Method of data collection and construction: Data will be gathered by the implementing partner and will be presented to USAID.

Reporting Frequency: Annually

Individual(s) responsible at USAID: Edward Gonzalez, COR Local Governance Project

DATA QUALITY ISSUES

Dates of Previous Data Quality Assessments and name of reviewer:

Date of Future Data Quality Assessments (optional):

Known Data Limitations:

TARGETS AND BASELINE

Baseline timeframe (optional): 8 municipalities

Rationale for Targets (optional):

CHANGES TO INDICATOR

Changes to indicator:

Other Notes (optional):

Name of Result Measured (Goal, DO, IR, sub-IR, Project Purpose, Project Output, etc.):

1.GOAL: Municipalities with transparent procurement processes, procedures and systems in place and operating effectively (LLR 2.1.1)

1.1 OBJECTIVE: Strengthen municipal capacity to increase own source revenue

Name of Indicator #5: Number of municipalities that review their taxpayer rolls and municipal service user registries to identify inconsistencies and update them.

Is this a Performance Plan and Report Indicator? If yes, link to foreign assistance framework:

NO _x_ YES _

for Reporting Year(s) 2014

Precise Definition(s):

Due to irregularities usually found in the user records of SIAF (Sistema de Información Administrativa y Financiera) it is important to update the database and eliminate inconsistent data. This will provide municipalities with greater accuracy and reliability in the taxpayers database and increase municipal own source revenues.

Comments:

The Project will support three selected municipalities since debugging activities are complementary to the use of Geographic Information System (GIS) tools, LGP will provide selected municipalities with GIS equipment and licenses.

Unit of Measure: Number of municipalities

Disaggregated by: Municipality

Rationale of Justification for indicator (optional):

PLAN FOR DATA COLLECTION BY USAID

Data Source: LGP files, attendance rosters, agendas and materials

Method of data collection and construction: Data will be gathered by the implementing partner and will be presented to USAID.

Reporting Frequency: Annually

Individual(s) responsible at USAID: Edward Gonzalez, COR Local Governance Project

DATA QUALITY ISSUES

Dates of Previous Data Quality Assessments and name of reviewer:

Date of Future Data Quality Assessments (optional):

Known Data Limitations:

TARGETS AND BASELINE

Baseline timeframe (optional):

Rationale for Targets (optional): 3 municipal offices

CHANGES TO INDICATOR

Changes to indicator:

Other Notes (optional):

Name of Result Measured (Goal, DO, IR, sub-IR, Project Purpose, Project Output, etc.):

1. GOAL: Municipalities with transparent procurement processes, procedures and systems in place and operating effectively (LLR 2.1.1)

1.1 OBJECTIVE: Strengthen municipal capacity to increase own source revenue

Name of Indicator #6:

Percentage of progress updating registries of IUSI payers, municipal taxpayers and water service users.

Is this a Performance Plan and Report Indicator? If yes, link to foreign assistance framework:

NO _x _ YES _

for Reporting Year(s) 2014

Precise Definition(s):

It is estimated an advance of 50% in the updating process of IUSI users' data, municipal taxes, and water fees, based on the total number of taxpayers indicated in SIAF.

Unit of Measure: Percentage

Disaggregated by: Municipality, registry updated

Rationale of Justification for indicator (optional):

PLAN FOR DATA COLLECTION BY USAID

Data Source: LGP records, Sistema de Información Administrativa y Financiera (SIAF), users report of municipalities

Method of data collection and construction: Data will be gathered by the implementing partner and will be presented to USAID.

Reporting Frequency: Annually

Individual(s) responsible at USAID: Edward Gonzalez, COR Local Governance Project

DATA QUALITY ISSUES

Dates of Previous Data Quality Assessments and name of reviewer:

Date of Future Data Quality Assessments (optional):

Known Data Limitations:

TARGETS AND BASELINE

Baseline timeframe (optional):

Rationale for Targets (optional): 50% of progress

CHANGES TO INDICATOR

Changes to indicator:

Other Notes (optional):

Name of Result Measured (Goal, DO, IR, sub-IR, Project Purpose, Project Output, etc.):

- 1. GOAL: Municipalities with transparent procurement processes, procedures and systems in place and operating effectively (LLR 2.1.1)
- 1.2. OBJECTIVE: Technical assistance provided in preparing 2014 annual operational plans and budget in target municipalities, in coordination with the Ministry of Finance.

Name of Indicator #7: Number of municipalities with budgets and expenditure reports publicly available within 3 months of completion of Guatemalan FY 2013.

Is this a Performance Plan and Report Indicator?

NO _x _ YES _

for Reporting Year(s) 2014

If yes, link to foreign assistance framework:

Precise Definition(s):

Budget and expenditure reports refer to municipal financial records. Income and expenditure reports are published separately. Only Municipalities publishing both income and expenditure reports, in compliance with *Ley Orgánica del Presupuesto* will be considered as achieving this indicator

This indicator measures local governments' compliance with the requirement of *the Ley Orgánica del Presupuesto* to publish detailed budget, income and expenditure reports within three months of report completion. Compliance with this requirement is a strong indicator of increased transparency.

The report for each fiscal year will be available in April of the following year, in compliance with the Ley Orgánica del Presupuesto.

Unit of Measure: Number of municipalities

Disaggregated by: Municipality, expenditure and income reports.

Rationale of Justification for indicator (optional):

PLAN FOR DATA COLLECTION BY USAID

Data Source: Guatemala's government records published at http://portalgl.minfin.gob.gt

Method of data collection and construction: Data will be gathered by the implementing partner and will be presented to USAID.

Reporting Frequency: Annually

Individual(s) responsible at USAID: Edward Gonzalez, COR Local Governance Project

DATA QUALITY ISSUES

Dates of Previous Data Quality Assessments and name of reviewer:

Date of Future Data Quality Assessments (optional):

Known Data Limitations:

TARGETS AND BASELINE

Baseline timeframe (optional):

Rationale for Targets (optional): 20 municipalities

CHANGES TO INDICATOR

Changes to indicator:

Other Notes (optional):

Name of Result Measured (Goal, DO, IR, sub-IR, Project Purpose, Project Output, etc.):

- 1.GOAL: Municipalities with transparent procurement processes, procedures, and systems in place and operating effectively (LLR 2.1.1) (San Marcos and Quetzaltenango).
- 1.4. OBJECTIVE: Implement in selected municipalities a multi-use Geographic Information System, as a basic tool to increase own-source revenues, land planning and the graphic administration of municipal services.
- 7. GOAL: All selected municipalities sustainably increase own-source revenue (LLR 2.1.2) (Quiché)
- 7.2. OBJECTIVE: Implement in selected municipalities a multi-use Geographic Information System, as a basic tool to increase own-source revenues, land planning and the graphic administration of municipal services.

Name of Indicator #8: Number of Geographic Information Systems (GIS) with IGN (*Instituto Geográfico Nacional*) overlays designed and implemented in selected municipalities

Is this a Performance Plan and Report Indicator?

NO _x_ YES _

for Reporting Year(s) 2014

If yes, link to foreign assistance framework:

Precise Definition(s):

GIS is used by municipalities to plan and manage services, develop projects, make decisions and land use planning.

The GIS is made up of different layers of information, containing graphical elements (lines, points, polygons) that identify the users of different services. These elements are identified using orthophotos and field verifications.

LGP will provide the GIS hardware, software and digital Geographic information (orthophotos and General layers of the National Geographical Institute) for the establishment of the municipal GIS

Unit of Measure: Number of GIS **Disaggregated by:** Municipality

Rationale of Justification for indicator (optional):

PLAN FOR DATA COLLECTION BY USAID

Data Source: LGP files, hardware and software acknowledgment receipt

Method of data collection and construction: Data will be gathered by the implementing partner and will be presented to USAID.

Reporting Frequency: Annually

Individual(s) responsible at USAID: Edward Gonzalez, COR Local Governance Project

DATA QUALITY ISSUES

Dates of Previous Data Quality Assessments and name of reviewer:

Date of Future Data Quality Assessments (optional):

Known Data Limitations:

TARGETS AND BASELINE

Baseline timeframe (optional):

Rationale for Targets (optional): Quetzaltenango and San Marcos: 3 GIS Quiche: 2 SIG

CHANGES TO INDICATOR

Changes to indicator:

Other Notes (optional):

Name of Result Measured (Goal, DO, IR, sub-IR, Project Purpose, Project Output, etc.):

2. GOAL: Local Economic Development (LED) plans designed and implementation initiated (L.L.R 2.1.2)

2.1 OBJECTIVE. Municipalities continue to implement LED plans and evaluate progress

Name of Indicator #9: Number of strategic plans, development agendas, and operative annual plans strengthened through participatory means

Is this a Performance Plan and Report Indicator?

NO _X YES _

For Reporting Year(s) 2014

If yes, link to foreign assistance framework:

Precise Definition(s): Strategic development plans, agendas, local economic development plans, and operative annual plans are part of a consultation process that Municipalities and/or *mancomunidades* undertake to identify economic potential opportunities, to improve business environment, and promote public-private partnerships to leverage additional resources to improve service delivery for the municipality and/or *mancomunidades*.

"Strengthened" refers to the design, modification and/or updating of strategic or economic development plans. Municipalities may have more than one plan, for example, LED Plan, Strategic Plan, development agendas, SAN Plan, and operative annual plans, *Agendas de Desarrollo Económico Territorial* (ADET), etc.

Unit of Measure: Number

Disaggregated by: Disaggregated by municipality and kind of document strengthened

Rationale of Justification for indicator (optional):

PLAN FOR DATA COLLECTION BY USAID

Data Source: Hard copies of plans; public records at the municipal level, operative annual plans, ADETs, etc.

Method of data collection and construction: Data will be gathered by the implementing partner and will be presented to USAID.

Reporting Frequency: Annually

Individual(s) responsible at USAID: Edward Gonzalez, COR Local Governance Project

DATA QUALITY ISSUES

Dates of Previous Data Quality Assessments and name of reviewer:

Date of Future Data Quality Assessments (optional):

Known Data Limitations:

TARGETS AND BASELINE

Baseline timeframe (optional):

Rationale for Targets (optional): 15 documents

CHANGES TO INDICATOR

Changes to indicator:

Other Notes (optional):

Name of Result Measured (Goal, DO, IR, sub-IR, Project Purpose, Project Output, etc.):

2. GOAL: Local Economic Development (LED) plans designed and implementation initiated (L.L.R 2.1.2)

2.1. OBJECTIVE. Municipalities continue to implement LED plans and evaluate progress

Name of Indicator #10: Amount of leveraged funding as a result of public and private alliances established with LGP assistance.

Is this a Performance Plan and Report Indicator?

NO __ YES _X

for Reporting Year(s) 2014

If yes, link to foreign assistance framework:

Precise Definition(s): "Leveraged amount" refers to the total funding that municipalities, private enterprises, producers, and governmental organizations with presence in the area of dairy corridor, intended to strengthen milk production.

Unit of Measure: Amount of leveraged funds

Disaggregated by: Municipality

Rationale of Justification for indicator (optional):

PLAN FOR DATA COLLECTION BY USAID

Data Source: LGP files, municipal budgets

Method of data collection and construction: Data will be gathered by the implementing partner and will be presented to USAID.

Reporting Frequency: Annually

Individual(s) responsible at USAID: Edward Gonzalez, COR Local Governance Project

DATA QUALITY ISSUES

Dates of Previous Data Quality Assessments and name of reviewer:

Date of Future Data Quality Assessments (optional):

Known Data Limitations:

TARGETS AND BASELINE

Baseline timeframe (optional):

Rationale for Targets (optional):

CHANGES TO INDICATOR

Changes to indicator:

Other Notes (optional):

USAID Performance Indicator Reference Sheet Name of Result Measured (Goal, DO, IR, sub-IR, Project Purpose, Project Output, etc.): 3. GOAL. Policies and practices adopted that improve the enabling environment for local business (LLR: 2.1.3) 3.1 OBJECTIVE. Efforts between municipal governments and private sector consolidated to jointly implement plan Name of Indicator #11: Number of public-private partnerships formed as a result of LGP assistance. Is this a Performance Plan and Report Indicator? NO YES _X for Reporting Year(s) 2014 If yes, link to foreign assistance framework: Precise Definition(s): Number of public-private partnerships in agriculture or nutrition formed which are formed with the project. A Public-private alliance (partnership) is considered formed when there is a clear agreement, usually written, to work together to achieve a common objective. This indicator will report alliances and coordinations. An alliance refers to a permanent relationship, or one sustained in time, between the Project and a counterpart, related to agriculture or nutrition issues, and created to develop a work plan or joint activities with a common purpose. This alliance may be established through a written agreement or a joint work plan, and must include a cash or in kind contribution from both parties. An agricultural activity is any activity related to the supply of agricultural inputs, production methods, agricultural processing or transportation. A nutritional activity includes any activity focused on attempting to improve the nutritional content of agricultural products as provided to consumers. A coordination refers to specific support activities between counterparts, also related to agriculture or nutrition issues, not lasting in time nor involving formal agreements or matching A project may form more than one partnership with the same entity, but this is likely to be rare. Unit of Measure: Number of public/private partnership **Disaggregated by:** Municipality and kind of partnership Rationale of Justification for indicator (optional): PLAN FOR DATA COLLECTION BY USAID **Data Source:** Method of data collection and construction: Data will be gathered by the implementing partner and will be presented to USAID. Reporting Frequency: Annually Individual(s) responsible at USAID: Edward Gonzalez, COR Local Governance Project **DATA QUALITY ISSUES** Dates of Previous Data Quality Assessments and name of reviewer: Date of Future Data Quality Assessments (optional): **Known Data Limitations: TARGETS AND BASELINE** Baseline timeframe (optional): Rationale for Targets (optional): 8 public/private partnerships **CHANGES TO INDICATOR Changes to indicator:**

THIS SHEET LAST UPDATED ON: November 2013

Name of Result Measured (Goal, DO, IR, sub-IR, Project Purpose, Project Output, etc.):

3.1 OBJECTIVE. Efforts between municipal governments and private sector consolidated to jointly implement plan

4.1. OBJECTIVE. Consolidate efforts to improve water chlorination systems and water system Management.

14.1 OBJECTIVE: Strengthen the Municipal Councils, COMUDE and Municipal Commissions to promote participatory investment and planning at a municipal level

Name of Indicator #12: <u>USG FACT:</u> Number of individuals who have received USG supported short-term agricultural sector productivity or food security training.

Is this a Performance Plan and Report Indicator?

NO_X_ YES_

for Reporting Year(s) 2014

If yes, link to foreign assistance framework:

Precise Definition(s): The number of individuals to whom significant knowledge or skills have been imparted through interactions that are intentional, structured, and purposed for imparting knowledge or skills should be counted. This includes farmers, ranchers, fishers, and other primary sector producers who receive training in a variety of best practices in productivity, post-harvest management, linking to markets, etc. It also includes rural entrepreneurs, processors, managers and traders receiving training in application of new technologies, business management, linking to markets, etc., and training to extension specialists, researchers, policymakers and others who are engaged in the food, feed and fiber system and natural resources and water management. In-country and offshore training are included. Include training on climate risk analysis, adaptation, mitigation, and vulnerability assessments, as it relates to agriculture. Delivery mechanisms can include a variety of extension methods as well as technical assistance activities. Training should include food security, water resources management/IWRM, sustainable agriculture, and climate change resilience, but should not include nutrition-related trainings.

USG-assisted training refers to all training or education events whether short-term (fewer than 16 hours) or long-term (more than 16 hours), in country, or abroad.

Comments: Training on food and fodder in the dairy chain region, as well as best manufacturing and milking practices, are included.

Detailed training by Objective and target:

Objective 3.1/170 number of individuals

Objective 4.1/80 number of individuals Objective 14.1/70 number of individuals

TOTAL 320 INDIVIDUALS TRAINED

Unit of Measure: Number of individuals

Disaggregated by: Municipality, gender and type of individuals

Rationale of Justification for indicator (optional):

PLAN FOR DATA COLLECTION BY USAID

Data Source: Training, monitoring and follow-up system developed by the Project

Method of data collection and construction: Data will be gathered by the implementing partner and will be presented to USAID.

Reporting Frequency:

Individual(s) responsible at USAID: Edward Gonzalez, COR Local Governance Project

DATA QUALITY ISSUES

Dates of Previous Data Quality Assessments and name of reviewer:

Date of Future Data Quality Assessments (optional):

Known Data Limitations:

TARGETS AND BASELINE

Baseline timeframe (optional):

Rationale for Targets (optional): 320

CHANGES TO INDICATOR

Changes to indicator:

Other Notes (optional):

Name of Result Measured (Goal, DO, IR, sub-IR, Project Purpose, Project Output, etc.):

4. GOAL: At least one critical basic service improved in each selected municipality/mancomunidad (LLR 2.1.4)

4.1 OBJECTIVE: Consolidate efforts to improve water chlorination systems and water system Management.

Name of Indicator #13: Number of urban and rural water supply systems supported to improve their sustainability.

Is this a Performance Plan and Report Indicator? If yes, link to foreign assistance framework:

NO_X_ YES_

for Reporting Year(s) 2014

Precise Definition(s): Support to improve sustainability of water systems refers to the technical assistance and training provided to *Redes de Agua y Saneamiento* (RAS) and *Comisiones de Agua y Saneamiento de los COCODE* (CAS) to improve the management and sustainability of water systems.

LGP improved 37 water systems to date through the implementation of water chlorination systems, technical assistance and training to rural and urban water providers organized in CAS and RAS.

The Project will support the sustainability of 25 systems through PACD. LGP technical assistance ends in June 2014.

Unit of Measure: Number of urban and rural water supply systems

Disaggregated by municipality.

Rationale of Justification for indicator (optional):

PLAN FOR DATA COLLECTION BY USAID

Data Source: LGP files

Method of data collection and construction: Data will be gathered by the implementing partner and will be presented to USAID.

Reporting Frequency: Annually

Individual(s) responsible at USAID: Edward Gonzalez, COR Local Governance Project

DATA QUALITY ISSUES

Dates of Previous Data Quality Assessments and name of reviewer:

Date of Future Data Quality Assessments (optional):

Known Data Limitations:

TARGETS AND BASELINE

Baseline timeframe (optional):

Rationale for Targets (optional): 25 urban and rural water supply systems supported

CHANGES TO INDICATOR

Changes to indicator:

Other Notes (optional):

Name of Result Measured (Goal, DO, IR, sub-IR, Project Purpose, Project Output, etc.):

4.1. OBJECTIVE. Consolidate efforts to improve water chlorination systems and water system Management.

5.1. OBJECTIVE Strengthen the Municipal Councils, COMUDE and Municipal Commissions to promote participatory investment and planning at a municipal level. 14.1 OBJECTIVE: Strengthen the Municipal Councils, COMUDE and Municipal Commissions to promote participatory investment and planning at a municipal level

Name of Indicator #14: Number of local mechanisms supported with USG assistance for citizens to engage their sub-national government

Is this a Performance Plan and Report Indicator? If yes, link to foreign assistance framework:

NO _X _ YES _

for Reporting Year(s) 2014

Precise Definition(s): Mechanisms include: COMUSAN, COMUDES, Municipal Council and COMUDE's commissions, CODEDES, COCODES, networks, *mancomunidades*, public-private alliances, RAS, CAS, and local economic development partnerships (*Grupos Gestores* and others).

The number of local mechanisms is limited in *municipios*, i.e. the same COMUDE and COCODEs exist every year. However, while the number of commissions, networks and alliances can increase, it seldom does. Therefore, the total of local mechanisms that may be supported varies little from year to year. It is more likely that the Project can increase its coverage every year, but within the same universe of existing local mechanisms.

This target includes the municipalities of Quetzaltenango, San Marcos, and Quiche.

Quiche's target for Year 5 is 4 local mechanisms supported, since the high degree of social conflict threatens the performance of COMUDEs in Sacualpa, Chichicastenango and Nebaj.

Detailed local mechanisms and objectives included in this indicator:

Objective 4.1 / 4 local mechanisms Objective 5.1 / 18 local mechanisms Objective 14.1 / 12 local mechanisms TOTAL 34 LOCAL MECHANISMS

Unit of Measure: Number of local mechanisms

Disaggregated by: local number of mechanisms and municipality.

Rationale of Justification for indicator (optional):

PLAN FOR DATA COLLECTION BY USAID

Data Source: Attendance rosters, agendas and materials

Method of data collection and construction: Data will be gathered by the implementing partner and will be presented to USAID.

Reporting Frequency: Annually

Individual(s) responsible at USAID: Edward Gonzalez, COR Local Governance Project

DATA QUALITY ISSUES

Dates of Previous Data Quality Assessments and name of reviewer:

Date of Future Data Quality Assessments (optional):

Known Data Limitations:

TARGETS AND BASELINE

Baseline timeframe (optional):

Rationale for Targets (optional): 34 local mechanisms

CHANGES TO INDICATOR

Changes to indicator:

THIS SHEET LAST UPDATED ON: November 2013

USAID Performance Indicator Reference Sheet

Name of Result Measured (Goal, DO, IR, sub-IR, Project Purpose, Project Output, etc.):

5. GOAL: USAID participatory planning and investment methodology fully implemented in all selected municipalities/mancomunidades through the strengthening of the Development Councils. (LLR 2.3.1)

5.1 OBJECTIVE: Strengthen the Municipal Councils, COMUDE and Municipal Commissions to promote participatory investment and planning at a municipal level.

Name of Indicator #15: Number of municipalities with Sitios Centinela installed and/or operating

Is this a Performance Plan and Report Indicator? If yes, link to foreign assistance framework:

NO_X_ YES_

for Reporting Year(s) 2014

Precise Definition(s): "Sentinel Sites installed and/or operating" refers to the continuity of the functioning of the SS implemented in coordination with SESAN and partners in similar municipalities.

The Sentinel Sites are communities with similar living conditions (agro-climatic aspects, income and food sources, resilience, etc.). These communities monitor indicators of increased vulnerability to food security and nutrition and are linked to the management of information systems from the local to the municipal level.

Unit of Measure: Number of municipalities

Disaggregated by: Municipality

Rationale of Justification for indicator (optional):

PLAN FOR DATA COLLECTION BY USAID

Data Source: LGP files, Minutes of COMUSAN meetings addressing Sentinel Sites issues

Method of data collection and construction: Data will be gathered by the implementing partner and will be presented to USAID.

Reporting Frequency: Annually

Individual(s) responsible at USAID: Edward Gonzalez, COR Local Governance Project

DATA QUALITY ISSUES

Dates of Previous Data Quality Assessments and name of reviewer:

Date of Future Data Quality Assessments (optional):

Known Data Limitations:

TARGETS AND BASELINE

Baseline timeframe (optional):

Rationale for Targets (optional): 16 sentinel sites installed and/or operating

CHANGES TO INDICATOR

Changes to indicator:

Other Notes (optional):

Name of Result Measured (Goal, DO, IR, sub-IR, Project Purpose, Project Output, etc.):

5. GOAL: USAID participatory planning and investment methodology fully implemented in all selected municipalities/mancomunidades through the strengthening of the Development Councils (LLR 2.3.1)

5.1 OBJECTIVE Strengthen the Municipal Councils, COMUDE and Municipal Commissions to promote participatory investment and planning at a municipal level.

Name of Indicator #16: Percentage of target groups families that have improved hygiene and nutrition practices as a result of LGP assistance

Is this a Performance Plan and Report Indicator?

NO_X_ YES_

for Reporting Year(s) 2014

If yes, link to foreign assistance framework:

Precise Definition(s): At least 15% of families of target groups have improved their practices in nutrition and health. Hygiene and nutrition practices include: hygiene in the preparation of food, personal hygiene, home evaluation (floor, kitchen, pets that are loose inside the house, etc.). Hygiene practices include water and sanitation.

LGP's past experience in year 2013, demonstrated that 9% of targeted families improved their practices in hygiene and nutrition after 1.5 years of Project assistance.

Unit of Measure: percentage

Disaggregated by: Municipality

Rationale of Justification for indicator (optional):

PLAN FOR DATA COLLECTION BY USAID

Data Source: LGP files, evaluations, focus groups

Method of data collection and construction: Data will be gathered by the implementing partner and will be presented to USAID.

Reporting Frequency: Annually

Individual(s) responsible at USAID: Edward Gonzalez, COR Local Governance Project

DATA QUALITY ISSUES

Dates of Previous Data Quality Assessments and name of reviewer:

Date of Future Data Quality Assessments (optional):

Known Data Limitations:

TARGETS AND BASELINE

Baseline timeframe (optional):

Rationale for Targets (optional): 15% of families of target groups have improved their practices in nutrition and health

CHANGES TO INDICATOR

Changes to indicator:

Other Notes (optional):

Name of Result Measured (Goal, DO, IR, sub-IR, Project Purpose, Project Output, etc.):

11. GOAL: Municipal associations effectively represent their constituencies (LLR 2.2.1)

11.1 OBJECTIVE. The municipal level (ANAM and AGAAI) drives the fulfillment of the municipal agenda (service providers for development agents)

Name of Indicator #17: Number of reform initiatives supported by municipal associations (ANAM, AGAAI, Instancia Municipalista or any other association) that incorporate demands to strengthen local government and decentralization.

Is this a Performance Plan and Report Indicator?

NO X YES

for Reporting Year(s) 2014

If yes, link to foreign assistance framework:

Precise Definition(s): Reform initiatives include revision, analysis and interpretation of public/municipal policies, rulings, legislative initiatives, high impact agreements, and judicial interpretation supported by ANAM, AGAAI *Instancia Municipalista* or any other municipal association.

This indicator measures the level of advocacy of ANAM or any other municipal association in representation of its members. Baseline is 2/2009. In 2009 a total of two amendments were revised and approved by Municipal Associations and the Municipal Affairs Commission of Congress: one to the Municipal Civil Service Law and another one to the Ley del Plan de Prestaciones del Empleado Municipal.

Unit of Measure: Number of reform initiatives

Disaggregated by: Type of reform initiative

Rationale of Justification for indicator (optional):

PLAN FOR DATA COLLECTION BY USAID

Data Source: LGP, ANAM, AGAAI and Instancia Municipalista records

Method of data collection and construction: Data will be gathered by the implementing partner and will be presented to USAID.

Reporting Frequency: Quarterly

Individual(s) responsible at USAID: Edward Gonzalez, COR Local Governance Project

DATA QUALITY ISSUES

Dates of Previous Data Quality Assessments and name of reviewer:

Date of Future Data Quality Assessments (optional):

Known Data Limitations:

TARGETS AND BASELINE

Baseline timeframe (optional):

Rationale for Targets (optional): 5 reform initiatives

CHANGES TO INDICATOR

Changes to indicator:

Other Notes (optional):

Name of Result Measured (Goal, DO, IR, sub-IR, Project Purpose, Project Output, etc.):

12. GOAL: ANAM is financially capable to carry out its mission (LLR 2.2.2)

12.1 OBJECTIVE: ANAM has a solid administrative structure and the capacity to finance it.

Name of Indicator #18: Number of public and/or private institutions that support ANAM in providing services or training to the municipalities.

Is this a Performance Plan and Report Indicator?

NO_X_ YES_

for Reporting Year(s) 2014

If yes, link to foreign assistance framework:

Precise Definition(s): Assistance to provide training and services include: workshops, lodging, meals, reproduction of documents, equipment, materials for municipalities, speakers, etc.

Unit of Measure: Number of public and/or private institutions

Disaggregated by: Public and private institutions

Rationale of Justification for indicator (optional):

PLAN FOR DATA COLLECTION BY USAID

Data Source: Agreements, agendas, LGP files

Method of data collection and construction: Data will be gathered by the implementing partner and will be presented to USAID.

Reporting Frequency: Annually

Individual(s) responsible at USAID: Edward Gonzalez, COR Local Governance Project

DATA QUALITY ISSUES

Dates of Previous Data Quality Assessments and name of reviewer:

Date of Future Data Quality Assessments (optional):

Known Data Limitations:

TARGETS AND BASELINE

Baseline timeframe (optional):

Rationale for Targets (optional): 3 public and/or private institutions

CHANGES TO INDICATOR

Changes to indicator:

Other Notes (optional):

Name of Result Measured (Goal, DO, IR, sub-IR, Project Purpose, Project Output, etc.):

13. GOAL: ANAM provides improved services to its members ((LLR. 2.2.3)

13.1 OBJECTIVE: ANAM provides training and sustaining advisory services

Name of Indicator #19: Number of ANAM's publications supported by LGP

Is this a Performance Plan and Report Indicator?

NO X YES _

for Reporting Year(s) 2014

If yes, link to foreign assistance framework:

Precise Definition(s): Publications refer to guides, circulars, *Memoria de Labores* of ANAM, regulations, manuals, reports, and any other document prepared by ANAM with LGP support to provide technical assistance to municipalities.

Unit of Measure: Number of ANAM's publications

Disaggregated by: Type of publication

Rationale of Justification for indicator (optional):

PLAN FOR DATA COLLECTION BY USAID

Data Source: LGP files, publications

Method of data collection and construction: Data will be gathered by the implementing partner and will be presented to USAID.

Reporting Frequency: Annually

Individual(s) responsible at USAID: Edward Gonzalez, COR Local Governance Project

DATA QUALITY ISSUES

Dates of Previous Data Quality Assessments and name of reviewer:

Date of Future Data Quality Assessments (optional):

Known Data Limitations:

TARGETS AND BASELINE

Baseline timeframe (optional):

Rationale for Targets (optional): 10 publications

CHANGES TO INDICATOR

Changes to indicator:

Other Notes (optional):

Name of Result Measured (Goal, DO, IR, sub-IR, Project Purpose, Project Output, etc.):

5.1. OBJECTIVE Strengthen the Municipal Councils, COMUDE and Municipal Commissions to promote participatory investment and planning at a municipal level

Name of Indicator #20: USG FACT: Number of people trained in child health and nutrition through USG-supported programs.

Is this a Performance Plan and Report Indicator?

NO X YES _

for Reporting Year(s) 2014

If yes, link to foreign assistance framework:

Precise Definition(s): Number of people (health professionals, primary health care workers, community health workers, volunteers, non-health personnel) trained in child health care and child nutrition through USG-supported programs during the reporting year.

USG-assisted training refers to all training or education events whether short-term (fewer than 16 hours) or long-term (more than 16 hours), in country, or abroad.

Comments: Training on water as food and health & nutrition is included.

Unit of Measure: Number of people trained

Disaggregated by: municipality, municipal office, and gender

Rationale of Justification for indicator (optional):

PLAN FOR DATA COLLECTION BY USAID

Data Source: Training, monitoring and follow-up system developed by the Project

Method of data collection and construction: Data will be gathered by the implementing partner and will be presented to USAID.

Reporting Frequency: Quarterly

Individual(s) responsible at USAID: Edward Gonzalez, COR Local Governance Project

DATA QUALITY ISSUES

Dates of Previous Data Quality Assessments and name of reviewer:

Date of Future Data Quality Assessments (optional):

Known Data Limitations:

TARGETS AND BASELINE

Baseline timeframe (optional):

Rationale for Targets (optional): 250

CHANGES TO INDICATOR

Changes to indicator:

Other Notes (optional):