Lecture 7: List Prediction

Jiaji Zhou

1 Introduction

List prediction problems concern with predicting a *set* or *list* of options from a candidate pool to maximize some utility function. Perhaps the most common list prediction problem is the *multiple guess* problem, in which we are able to choose more than one options such that at least one option achieves a desired goal. A good example would be ads recommendation where we are interested in displaying three ads on a user's phone that hopefully the user will click at least one of it.

In this lecture, we will look at provable yet simple (easy-to-implement) algorithms to tackle such list prediction problems by exploiting problem structures and analysis tools from online learning.

2 Problem Formulation

Let *S* denote the set of possible items to choose from, our objective is to construct a list of items $L \subseteq S$ that maximizes a reward function f. Usually, there is a budget k on the size of L, i.e., $|L| \le k$.

Now let's consider the simplest case where the items' utility/benefit is independent from each other, meaning there is a fixed assigned non-negative benefit value b(s) for item s and the existence of other items in the current list makes no influence. That is, $f(L \oplus s) - f(L) = b(s)$ for arbitrary list L that does not already contain s. In this case, f is modular and we can construct the optimal list L^* by simply picking the top k items sorted by b(s).

2.1 Submodular Utility Function

In many real world application domains when utilities of items overlap, f is not just a modular function, but rather a monotone submodular function that captures the diminishing return property:

- 1. Monotonicity: $f(L_1) \le f(L_1 \oplus L_2)$ and $f(L_2) \le f(L_2 \oplus L_1)$ for any list L_1 and L_2 ;
- 2. Submodularity (dimishing return): $b(s|L_1) = f(L_1 \oplus s) f(L_1) \ge f(L_1 \oplus L_2 \oplus s) f(L_1 \oplus L_2) = b(s|L_1 \oplus L_2)$ for any list L_1 and L_2 and s.

An example submodular function is the "multiple guess" function $f(L;T) = \min(1, |L \cap T|)$, where T is a set of valid options. You can see that if L already contains an valid element in T, then adding more valid guesses are not increasing the function value.

It turns out that exact maximization of a monotone submodular function under a budget constraint is NP-hard. Surprisingly, however, a greedy maximization that sequentially adds an item to the list based on marginal benefit achieves near-optimality.

Theorem 1. Denote as L^G a size-k list constructed by a sequential greedy rule: $L_{i+1}^G = L_i^G \oplus \arg\max_{s \in S \setminus L_i^G} b(s|L_i^G)$, then $f(L^G) \geq (1-1/e)f(L^*)$ holds for monotone submodular function f, where L^* is the optimum size-k list that maximizes f.

We will leave the proof as a homework theory question.

3 Online Submodular Maximization

Consider an online setting where we select lists of k advertisements L_t for an incoming stream of users x_t , each with an associated utility function f_t (e.g., multiple guess function where each user has a specific set of interested advertisements). Our goal is to design an online algorithm that is near-optimal (in particular the greedy ratio $1 - 1/e \approx 0.63$) with respect to the best fixed list of k advertisement L^* , i.e., $\sum_{t=1}^T f_t(L_t) \ge (1 - 1/e) \sum_{t=1}^T f_t(L^*)$. The online algorithm consists of k slots of learner π_i and the sequence L_t is the concatenatation of the predictions $L_t = \{\pi_1(x_t), \pi_2(x_t), \dots, \pi_k(x_t)\}$. For ease of analysis, let's consider the context-free scenarios first, where each π_i consists of experts E that always predict/choose the same item regardless of difference in x_t , e.g., expert e_j always predicts element s_j . The proof can be readily extended to contextual case where e_j chooses elements in S differently when x_t varies. Algorithm 1 describes the pseudocode procedure. The following analysis is mainly adopted from [2] and an improved version of the online learning algorithm where we only need a single online learner that repeatedly makes predictions to form a list can be found in [1].

Lemma 1. Let S be a set, and f a monotone submodular function defined over S. Let A and B be any size-k list. Denote A_i be the prefix-list of A up to position i. Denote b_i as the ith element in list B. $f(A) \ge (1-1/e)f(B) - \sum_{i=1}^k \varepsilon_i$, where $\varepsilon_i = f(A_{i-1} \oplus s_i^*) - f(A_i)$ and $s_i^* = \arg\max_{s \in S} b(s|A_{i-1})$.

Proof. Let $\Delta_i = f(B) - f(A_{i-1})$, we have:

$$\Delta_{i} \leq f(A_{i-1} \oplus B) - f(A_{i-1}) = \sum_{j=1}^{k} f(A_{i-1} \oplus B_{j}) - f(A_{i-1} \oplus B_{j-1})$$

$$\leq \sum_{j=1}^{k} f(A_{i-1} \oplus b_{j}) - f(A_{i-1}) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{k} \{ f(A_{i-1} \oplus s_{i}^{*}) - f(A_{i}) \} + (f(A_{i}) - f(A_{i-1}) \}$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{k} \{ \varepsilon_{i} + (f(A_{i}) - f(B) + f(B) - f(A_{i-1}) \} = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \{ \varepsilon_{i} + (\Delta_{i} - \Delta_{i+1}) \}$$

$$= k(\Delta_{i} - \Delta_{i+1} + \varepsilon_{i})$$

Algorithm 1 List prediction algorithm with a sequence of online learners.

```
Input: Set of items S, length k of list to construct, a sequence of k online learners
\{\pi^1, \pi^2, \dots, \pi^k\} with PREDICT and UPDATE functions.
for t = 1 to T do
  L_t = \{\}, Receive observation/feature x_t
  for i = 1 to k do
     Call online learner PREDICT()to append an item to list, i.e., L_t = L_t \oplus \pi_t^i(x_t). (e.g. by
     sampling from online learner's internal distribution over items)
     For all s \in S: define loss \ell_t(s) = \max_{s' \in S} f_t(L_{t,i-1} \oplus s') - f_t(L_{t,i-1} \oplus s)
     Call online learner update with loss \ell_t: UPDATE(\ell_t)
  end for
end for
```

Rearrange the terms we get $\Delta_{i+1} \leq (1-1/k)\Delta_i + \varepsilon_i$. Recursively expand the Δ_i terms we get $f(B) - f(A) = \Delta_k \leq (1-1/k)^k \Delta_1 + \sum_{i=1}^k \varepsilon_i \leq f(B)/e + \sum_{i=1}^k \varepsilon_i$.

Observe that if we let
$$f(\cdot) = \sum_{t=1}^T f_t(\cdot)$$
, $A = L_t^{-1}$, and $B = L^*$, we get $\sum_{t=1}^T f_t(L_t) \ge (1-1/e)\sum_{t=1}^T f_t(L^*) - \sum_{i=1}^k \max_{s \in S} \sum_{t=1}^T (f_t(L_{t,i-1} \oplus s) - f_t(L_{t,i}))$. The term $\hat{R}_i = \max_{s \in S} \sum_{t=1}^T f_t(L_{t,i-1} \oplus s) - \sum_{t=1}^T f_t(L_{t,i})$ should remind you something very similar to regret. In fact, let's define the loss function for the i th learner

at round t as $c_{t,i}(s) = \max_{s' \in S} f_t(L_{t,i-1} \oplus s') - f_t(L_{t,i-1} \oplus s)$, then the regret for the ith learner is

$$R_{i} = \sum_{t=1}^{T} c_{t,i}(\pi(x_{t})) - \min_{E} \sum_{t=1}^{T} c_{t,i}(E(x_{t}))$$

$$= \min_{e \in E} \sum_{t=1}^{T} -f_{t}(L_{t,i-1} \oplus e(x_{t})) - \sum_{t=1}^{T} f_{t}(L_{t,i})$$

$$= \max_{e \in E} \sum_{t=1}^{T} f_{t}(L_{t,i-1} \oplus e(x_{t})) - \sum_{t=1}^{T} f_{t}(L_{t,i})$$

$$= \max_{s \in S} \sum_{t=1}^{T} f_{t}(L_{t,i-1} \oplus s) - \sum_{t=1}^{T} f_{t}(L_{t,i})$$

$$= \hat{R}_{i}$$

To this point, we can conclude:

$$\sum_{t=1}^{T} f_t(L_t) \ge (1 - 1/e) \sum_{t=1}^{T} f_t(L^*) - \sum_{i=1}^{k} R_i$$

Suppose at each slot i, we are running a randomized weighted majority algorithm, then R_i goes sublinear in T, which implies the additive term of regret will approach zero and our average performance will be near-optimal with (1-1/e) competitive ratio.

¹Note that A here is not a list, $f(A) = \sum_{t=1}^{T} f_t(L_t)$, but the proof still holds.

References

- [1] Stephane Ross, Jiaji Zhou, Yisong Yue, Debadeepta Dey, and Drew Bagnell. Learning policies for contextual submodular prediction. In *Proceedings of the 30th International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML-13)*, pages 1364–1372, 2013.
- [2] Matthew Streeter and Daniel Golovin. An online algorithm for maximizing submodular functions. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, pages 1577–1584, 2009.