

Coding

6.

Here we have that “x1_covariate1” is just the intercept term, so we don’t need to include it since we already add intercept in our algorithm. We use least square estimator of beta to initialize our algorithm.

```
myLR <- function(Y, X, it_max=25, eps=1e-8){
  D = cbind(1, X)
  p = dim(D)[2]
  beta = solve(t(D) %*% D) %*% t(D) %*% Y
  prob = exp(D %*% beta) / (1 + exp(D %*% beta))
  for(i in 1:it_max){
    WAUX = diag(array(prob * (1-prob)))
    W = solve(t(D) %*% WAUX %*% D)
    dbeta = W %*% t(D) %*% (Y - prob)
    if(norm(dbeta,"2") <= eps)
      break
    beta = beta + dbeta
    prob = exp(D %*% beta) / (1 + exp(D %*% beta))
  }
  std = sqrt(diag(W))
  result = list(beta = beta, std = std)
  return(result)
}

data = read.csv("Ex0107.txt", sep=" ")
Y = data$y_response
X2 = data$x2_covariate2

fit = glm(Y ~ X2, family = binomial)
result = myLR(Y,X2)

summary(fit)

##
## Call:
## glm(formula = Y ~ X2, family = binomial)
##
## Deviance Residuals:
##      Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
## -2.0372  -0.7635   0.3422   0.8527   1.3705
##
## Coefficients:
##              Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
## (Intercept)   0.6172     0.5711   1.081  0.2798
## X2            1.5091     0.7773   1.941  0.0522 .
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## (Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)
##
```

```
## Null deviance: 27.526 on 19 degrees of freedom
## Residual deviance: 21.390 on 18 degrees of freedom
## AIC: 25.39
##
## Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 5
```

```
print(result)
```

```
## $beta
##      [,1]
## 0.6172003
## X 1.5090921
##
## $std
##      X
## 0.5710882 0.7772833
```

And we can see here my estimator consists with R function.

7.

```
library(magrittr)
library(dplyr)
```

```
data2 = read.csv("Ex0109.csv")
counts = aggregate(cbind(Pro05,Anti05,Pro06,Anti06,Pro07,Anti07) ~ Party, data = data2, sum)
print(counts)
```

```
## Party Pro05 Anti05 Pro06 Anti06 Pro07 Anti07
## 1 D 2825 551 1905 332 3242 554
## 2 R 346 2957 366 1840 671 3105
```

```
X = rbind(c(5,0),
          c(6,0),
          c(7,0),
          c(5,1),
          c(6,1),
          c(7,1))
colnames(X) = c("Year","Party")
Pro = c(2825,1905,3242,346,366,671)
Anti = c(551,332,554,2957,1840,3105)
fit = glm(cbind(Pro,Anti) ~ X, family=binomial)
summary(fit)
```

```
##
## Call:
## glm(formula = cbind(Pro, Anti) ~ X, family = binomial)
##
## Deviance Residuals:
##      1      2      3      4      5      6
## 2.0658  0.5652 -2.5970 -3.8257  2.4914  1.2503
##
## Coefficients:
##              Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
```

```

## (Intercept)  0.66794    0.14341    4.658 3.20e-06 ***
## XYear        0.17428    0.02360    7.384 1.54e-13 ***
## XParty       -3.47344    0.04118  -84.339 < 2e-16 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## (Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)
##
##    Null deviance: 10145.221  on 5  degrees of freedom
## Residual deviance:   33.738  on 3  degrees of freedom
## AIC: 86.475
##
## Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 4

```

We can see here the p value for Party is extremely small, so the behavior of two parties towards pro-environment voting is significant different regardless of year effect.