Advanced Methods Homework 2 Bohao Tang

[: Exercise 4.4:

For the model we considered in this chapter, we have
$$log-likelihood$$
 $l(y_i; \theta_i, \phi_i) = \frac{y_i \theta_i - b(\theta_i)}{\alpha(\phi)} + C(y_i, \phi)$ where we only model $E(y_i) = \mu_i = b'(\theta_i)$ to be $g(\mu_i) = y_i = \chi_i^T \beta_i$

Therefore we have likelihood equation

And if we deal var(yi) as constant then

men min $\operatorname{Zi}[(y_i - u_i)^2 / var(y_i)]$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta_{i}} \left[(y_{i} - u_{i})^{2} / v_{ar}(y_{i}) \right] = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial n_{i}} \left(y_{i} - u_{i} \right)^{2} \frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial \beta_{j}} \cdot \frac{1}{v_{ar}(y_{i})} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial n_{i}} \left(y_{i} - u_{i} \right)^{2} \frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial \beta_{j}} \cdot \frac{1}{v_{ar}(y_{i})} = 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \sum_{i} (y_{i} - u_{i}) / var(y_{i}) \cdot \frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial \beta_{j}} = 0 \quad \forall j$$

$$L(y_i; \mu_i) = -\frac{(y_i - \mu_i)^2}{262} + constant.$$

$$\Rightarrow$$
 Deviance $=$ $\sum_{i} \frac{(y_i - \hat{u}_i)^2}{5^2}$

But since for nonal with given 5, var $(y_i) = 6^2$

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{(y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2}{\delta^2} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{(y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2}{V(\hat{y}_i)} = \text{Pearson chi-square statistics}$$

For model Mo CM, suppose. Ûio is ui estimated a under Mo and $\hat{\mathcal{U}}_{i,l}$ for estimated $\mathcal{U}_{i,l}$ under $\mathcal{M}_{i,l}$

then
$$\operatorname{Dev}(M_0) - \operatorname{Dev}(M_1)$$

$$= \underbrace{\underbrace{J_i - \widehat{M_{i0}}^2}_{\overline{b}^2} - \underbrace{\underbrace{(y_i - \widehat{M_{i1}})^2}_{\overline{b}^2}}_{\overline{b}^2}}_{\underline{c}^2}$$

$$= \underbrace{\underbrace{\underbrace{[2y_i - (\widehat{M_{i0}} + \widehat{M_{i1}})] \cdot (\widehat{M_{i1}} - \widehat{M_{i0}})}_{\overline{b}^2}}_{\underline{c}^2}$$

3: Exercise 4.16:

(a) log likelihood for binomial model
$$\frac{1}{2}$$
 to $\frac{p_i}{1-p_i} + n \log(1-p_i)$

$$L = \log[(\frac{n}{y_i}) p_i^{y_i} (1-p_i)^{n-h_i}] = \log(\frac{n}{y_i}) + \frac{y_i}{1-p_i} \log(1-\frac{n_i}{p_i}) + c_n c_t c_t$$

Since Mi=Eyi)=nfi > L(yi;Mi)= Yi leg mi + nlog (1- Mi) + conctant

$$\Rightarrow di = 2 \left[y_i \log \frac{y_i}{n - y_i} + n \log (n - y_i) - y_i \log \frac{\hat{u}_i}{n - \hat{u}_i} - n \log (n - \mathcal{U}_i) \right]$$

$$= 2 \left[y_i \log \frac{y_i}{\hat{u}_i} + (n - y_i) \log \frac{n - y_i}{n - \hat{u}_i} \right]$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{ Deviance residual} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} \left[y_{i} \left(\log \frac{y_{i}}{A_{i}} + (n-y_{i}) \log \frac{n-y_{i}}{n-A_{i}} \right) \right]} \cdot \text{ sign} \left(y_{i} - A_{i} \right)$$

(b) ly likelihood for Poisson model is
$$L = log \left(e^{-\lambda i} \frac{(\lambda i)^{y_i}}{y_i!} \right] = -log y_i! - \lambda i + y_i log \lambda i$$
Since $\mathcal{U}_i = E(y_i) = \lambda i$

We have to L[yi; Mi] = yi log Mi - @ Mi + constant

$$\Rightarrow$$
 di = 2 [Yilog $y_i - y_i - y_i \log \hat{u}_i + \hat{u}_i]$

$$\Rightarrow$$
 Deviance residual = $\sqrt{2[y_i(g_i \frac{y_i}{u_i} - (y_i - \hat{u}_i)]}$ \cdot Sign $(y_i - \hat{u}_i)$

4: (a)
$$\log fy$$

= $(d-1)\log y - y/\beta - d\log \beta - \log I(d) =$

$$= (-\alpha) \left[y \cdot \frac{1}{\beta \beta} + \log \beta \right] + \log \beta + (\alpha - 1) \log y - \log \beta$$

) natural parameter
$$\theta = \overline{\lambda}\beta$$

Cumulant function $b(\theta) = -\log \frac{1}{\theta} = \log \theta$
dispersion parameter $\phi = \lambda$ (or $-\lambda$ or $-\frac{1}{\lambda}$)
Variance function $V(\omega) = \lambda \beta^2 = \lambda^2 \beta^2 \cdot \frac{1}{\lambda} = \frac{\mu^2}{\lambda}$

(b) log likelihood function
$$(\theta = \frac{1}{4\beta}, \phi = \lambda)$$

$$L = (y \cdot \theta - (g \theta) / (-\frac{1}{4}) + C(y, \phi)$$

If we model
$$g(ui) = \eta_i = \chi_c^* \cdot \vec{\beta}$$

Then

We have score equation for $\vec{\beta}$ to be

$$\sum_{i} \frac{y_{i}\theta_{i} - \log\theta_{i}}{-\frac{1}{\sigma}} / \frac{\partial\theta_{i}}{\partial\theta_{i}} \cdot \frac{\partial\theta_{i}}{\partial\eta_{i}} \cdot \frac{\partial\eta_{i}}{\partial\eta_{i}} \cdot \frac{\partial\eta_{i}}{\partial\beta_{j}} = 0 \quad \forall j$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{i} (-\phi) \cdot (y_{i} - \frac{1}{\theta_{i}}) \cdot (-\frac{1}{u_{i}^{2}}) \cdot \frac{\partial\mu_{i}}{\partial\eta_{i}} \cdot \chi_{ij} = 0 \quad \forall j$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{i} \frac{(y_{i} - \mu_{i}) \chi_{ij}}{var(y_{i})} \frac{\partial\mu_{i}}{\partial\eta_{i}} = 0 \quad \forall j$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \sum_{i} \frac{(y_{i} - \mu_{i}) \chi_{ij}}{\lambda_{i} \beta_{i}^{2}} \frac{\partial\mu_{i}}{\partial\eta_{i}} = 0 \quad \forall j$$

And likelihood function for \$ is

Also, the information matrix for $\vec{\beta}$ is

$$I_{rs} = - \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta_{s}} \frac{\sum_{i} (-\beta_{i}) \cdot (y_{i} - \frac{1}{\theta_{i}}) \cdot (-\frac{1}{\mu_{i}^{2}}) \cdot \frac{\partial \mu_{i}}{\partial \eta_{i}} \cdot x_{i} r} \right]$$

$$= - \left[\frac{\sum_{i} (-\beta_{i}) (y_{i} - \frac{1}{\theta_{i}})}{\partial \eta_{i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_{s}} (-\frac{1}{\mu_{i}^{2}} \cdot \frac{\partial \mu_{i}}{\partial \eta_{i}} \cdot x_{i} r) \right]$$

$$= - \left[\frac{\sum_{i} \frac{\partial}{\mu_{i}^{2}} \frac{\partial \mu_{i}}{\partial \eta_{i}} \cdot x_{i} r}{\partial \eta_{i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_{i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_{i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_{i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_{i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_{s}} \right]$$

$$= - \left[\frac{\sum_{i} \frac{\partial}{\mu_{i}^{2}} \frac{\partial \mu_{i}}{\partial \eta_{i}} \cdot x_{i} r}{\partial \eta_{i}^{2}} \frac{\partial \mu_{i}}{\partial \eta_{i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_{i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_{i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_{s}} \right]$$

$$= - \left[\sum_{i} \frac{\partial}{\mu_{i}^{2}} \left(\frac{\partial \mu_{i}}{\partial \eta_{i}} \right)^{2} \left(x_{i} r \cdot x_{i} s \cdot (-\frac{1}{\mu_{i}^{2}}) \cdot \frac{\partial}{\theta_{i}^{2}} \right) \right]$$

$$= \sum_{i} x_{i} r \cdot x_{i} s \cdot \frac{\partial}{\mu_{i}^{2}} \cdot \mu_{i}^{2} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_{i}^{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_{i}^{2}} \left(\frac{\partial \mu_{i}}{\partial \eta_{i}} \right)^{2} \left(\frac{\partial \mu_{i}}{\partial \eta_{i}$$

5: Exercise 4.27.

(a)
$$\log y - \log u = (\log y)'/y = u(y - u) + o(|y - u|)$$

= $\frac{y - u}{u} + o(|y - u|)$

 \Rightarrow $Var\left[log(y)\right] \approx var \frac{y-u}{u} = \frac{var y}{u^2} = constant$ if |y-u| is small overall, which means a small σ for y.

(b) If
$$(g(y_i) \sim N(\mu_i, \sigma^2))$$

Then $E y_i = E e^{\log y_i} = E e^{1 \cdot \log y_i} = M_{\log y_i}(1)$ where Mix MGF.
There fore $E y_i = e^{\ln t + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}}$
 $\Rightarrow (og F[y_i] = E[\log y_i] + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}$

(C) For linear model for $(0g(y_i))$ we have $\log y_i = \mathcal{U}_i + \mathcal{E}$ where $\mathcal{E} \sim \text{normal distribution}$.

Therefore $y_i = e^{\mathcal{U}_i} \cdot \mathcal{E}^{\mathcal{E}}$ Since $\mathcal{E} \sim \text{normal}$ $e^{\mathcal{U}_i} \cdot \mathcal{E}^{\mathcal{E}}$ has a median of $e^{\mathcal{U}_i} \cdot \mathcal{E}^{\mathcal{E}} = e^{\mathcal{U}_i}$ $\Rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{U}}_i$ is a fitted value of \mathcal{U}_i then $e^{\hat{\mathcal{U}}_i}$ is a fitted median of y_i

If \$1 log yi ~ Normal, then yi have a very heavy tail for x+x0, therefore the median may be more robust or more representative for the whole data also the log normal model often base on a normal model, if we know that the variance of normal model is constant but unknown then we can't en directly estimate the mean for its expanential but the median is estimatable.

$$L = \log \left[\begin{array}{ccc} \frac{C-1}{T} & \frac{y_i}{T_i} & \frac{c^{-1}}{T_i} \\ \frac{C-1}{T_i} & \frac{y_i}{T_i} & \frac{c^{-1}}{T_i} \end{array} \right]$$

$$= \log \pi_c + \frac{c^{-1}}{\tilde{s}_{i-1}} \frac{y_i}{J_i} \log \frac{\pi_i}{\pi_{c_i}}$$

$$= \tilde{J} \cdot \frac{1}{J_i} \cdot \frac{1}{J_i} \log \pi_c$$

where $logits = (log \frac{\pi_c}{\pi_c}, --; log \frac{\pi_{c-1}}{\pi_c})$ is the baseline-category logits So the model is a (c-1)-parameter exponential dispersion family

with baseline-category logits as natural parameter

: Exercise 6.8:

(a) It's more likely to treat the response as ordinal. Because now the a response is not exchangeble, for example

if
$$\frac{\pi c}{\pi c}$$
 | then $\log \frac{\pi c}{\pi c} = \chi_i \beta_j = j \chi_i \beta_j = j \log \frac{\pi c}{\pi c} \frac{j}{\pi c} \log \frac{\pi c}{\pi c} = \log \frac{\pi c}{\pi c}$.
So here π_i behave more like a cumulate probability, so the response is more likely to be ordinal.

(b) We have
$$(g \frac{\pi_{ir}}{\pi_{is}} = (r-s) \tilde{\chi}_{i} \cdot \tilde{\beta})$$

When we model that $\log \frac{\pi_{i,j+1}}{\pi_{i,j}} = \chi_i \cdot \vec{\beta}$

then
$$\log \frac{\pi_{ir}}{\pi_{is}} = (Y-s) \vec{\chi}_i \cdot \vec{\beta} = \text{that in model of } (a)$$