# Advanced Methods Homework 1

### Bohao Tang

September 6, 2017

## 1 Vector spaces and inner products

1. Proof. Denote  $\{\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \dots, \mathbf{a}_k\}$  be a basis of W. And we can expand them to a basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  as  $\{\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \dots, \mathbf{a}_k, \mathbf{a}_{k+1}, \dots, \mathbf{a}_n\}$ . Then we do Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization to the basis and get  $\{\mathbf{b}_1, \mathbf{b}_2, \dots, \mathbf{b}_k, \mathbf{b}_{k+1}, \dots, \mathbf{b}_n\}$ . It's easy to see that  $\{\mathbf{b}_1, \mathbf{b}_2, \dots, \mathbf{b}_k\}$  become an othogonal basis of W (they are othogonal, hence linear independent, and k is the dimension of W). Now we assert that  $V = \mathbf{span}\{\mathbf{b}_{k+1}, \dots, \mathbf{b}_n\}$  will be  $W^{\perp}$ .

First, for every vector  $\mathbf{x}$  in  $W^{\perp}$ , it has a representation  $\mathbf{x} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \omega_i \mathbf{b}_i$  for some  $\omega_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Since  $\mathbf{x} \in W^{\perp}$ , we have  $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{b}_j \rangle = 0$ , for all  $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . Therefore  $\omega_j = 0$  for  $1 \leq j \leq k$ , which means  $\mathbf{x} \in V$  and  $W^{\perp} \subset V$ .

In the other side, for every vector  $\mathbf{y} = \sum_{t=k+1}^{n} \gamma_t \mathbf{b}_t \in V$ , it's quite direct to see that  $\langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{b}_j \rangle = 0$ , for all  $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$ , hence  $\langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{w} \rangle = 0$ , for all  $\mathbf{w} \in W$ . Therefore  $\mathbf{y} \in W^{\perp}$  and  $W^{\perp} \subset V$ .

Now we get  $W^{\perp} = V$ , hence  $\dim(W^{\perp}) = n - k$ . Also we can see that  $W^{\perp}$  is unique. If not, we can merge the two different  $W^{\perp}$  and get a higher dimensional subspace Z that is orthogonal to W. Then  $Z \oplus W \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  but  $\dim(Z \oplus W) \geq n + 1 > n$ , a contradiction.

- 2. (a) *Proof.* According to Cauchy inequality,  $(\sum u_i v_i)^2 \leq \sum u_i^2 \sum v_i^2$ . It's equivalent here that  $|\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle| \leq ||\mathbf{u}|| ||\mathbf{v}||$ .
  - (b) Proof.

$$||\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}||^2 + ||\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}||^2 = (\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v})'(\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}) + (\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v})'(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v})$$
(1)

$$= \mathbf{u}'\mathbf{u} + 2\mathbf{u}'\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{v}'\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{u}'\mathbf{u} - 2\mathbf{u}'\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{v}'\mathbf{v}$$
(2)

$$= 2\mathbf{u}'\mathbf{u} + 2\mathbf{v}'\mathbf{v} = 2||\mathbf{u}||^2 + 2||\mathbf{v}||^2$$
(3)

3. In the definition of projection in Lecture 2,  $\mathbf{y} - \Pi(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x})$  should be orthogonal to  $\mathbf{x}$ . So there is nothing need to be proved. Maybe we should changed the definition here that (suppose  $\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0}$ , or there is no meaningful projection)

$$\Pi(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}) \triangleq \underset{\mathbf{u} \in \text{span}\{\mathbf{x}\}}{\text{argmin}} ||\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{u}||^2$$

*Proof.* (This proof contains the part to assert that projection above is well defined) Suppose  $\mathbf{u} = b\mathbf{x}$ , then  $||\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{u}||^2 = \mathbf{y}'\mathbf{y} - 2b\mathbf{x}'\mathbf{y} + b^2\mathbf{x}'\mathbf{x}$ . It's a quadratic function

with highest coefficient  $\mathbf{x}'\mathbf{x} > 0$ , therefore have a unique minimizer. Differentiate it with b, let it be zero and we get the normal equation  $\mathbf{x}'\mathbf{y} = b\mathbf{x}'\mathbf{x}$ , which means (since  $\Pi(\mathbf{y}-\mathbf{x}) = b\mathbf{x}$ ):  $\langle \Pi(\mathbf{y}-\mathbf{x}), x \rangle = \langle \mathbf{y}, x \rangle$  and therefore  $\mathbf{y} - \Pi(\mathbf{y}-\mathbf{x}) \perp \mathbf{x}$ .

## 2 Regression

- 1. Slope  $\hat{\beta}_{yx}$  of regressing  $\mathbf{y}$  on  $\mathbf{x}$  is  $\hat{\rho}_{xy} \frac{\hat{\sigma}_y}{\hat{\sigma}_x}$ , where  $\hat{\rho}_{xy}$  is the sample correlation coefficient and  $\hat{\sigma}_y, \hat{\sigma}_x$  are the sample standard deviation of y and x. So, slope  $\hat{\beta}_{xy}$  of  $\mathbf{x}$  on  $\mathbf{y}$  is  $\hat{\rho}_{yx} \frac{\hat{\sigma}_x}{\hat{\sigma}_y}$ . We have that  $\hat{\rho}_{xy} = \hat{\rho}_{yx}$ , so  $\hat{\beta}_{xy}\hat{\beta}_{yx} = \hat{\rho}_{xy}^2$ .
- 2. Proof. In the setting of mean only regression of  $\mathbf{y}$ , we have  $\hat{\mu} = \overline{\mathbf{y}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i/n$ . And the residual is  $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{y} \overline{\mathbf{y}} \mathbf{J}_n$ , therefore sum of residual is  $\sum r_i = \sum_{1}^{n} y_i n \cdot \sum_{1}^{n} y_i/n = 0$ .
- 3. *Proof.* In the setting of mean only regression of  $\mathbf{y}$  on  $\mathbf{x}$ , we have  $\hat{\beta} = \frac{\langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x} \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle}$ . Therefore residual is  $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{y} \frac{\langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x} \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle} \mathbf{x}$ , therefore  $\langle \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{x} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x} \rangle \frac{\langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x} \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle} \cdot \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle = 0$ ,  $\mathbf{r} \perp \mathbf{x}$ .
- 4. Notation as above, when  $\mathbf{y} \perp \mathbf{x}$ , we find that the residual  $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{y}$ , which of course need not sum to zero.
- 5. Proof. In this setting of regressing y on x, we get the normal equation that:

$$\mathbf{J}_{n}'\mathbf{y} = \hat{\beta}_{0}\mathbf{J}_{n}'\mathbf{J}_{n} + \hat{\beta}_{1}\mathbf{J}_{n}'\mathbf{x} \tag{4}$$

$$\mathbf{x}'\mathbf{y} = \hat{\beta}_0 \mathbf{x}' \mathbf{J}_n + \hat{\beta}_1 \mathbf{x}' \mathbf{x} \tag{5}$$

and also the residual  $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{y} - \hat{\beta}_0 \mathbf{J}_n - \hat{\beta}_1 \mathbf{x}$ . Then:

$$\langle \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{J}_n \rangle = \mathbf{J}_n' \mathbf{y} - \hat{\beta}_0 \mathbf{J}_n' \mathbf{J}_n + \hat{\beta}_1 \mathbf{J}_n' \mathbf{x} = 0$$

and

$$\langle \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{x} \rangle = \mathbf{x}' \mathbf{y} - \hat{\beta}_0 \mathbf{x}' \mathbf{J}_n + \hat{\beta}_1 \mathbf{x}' \mathbf{x} = 0$$

So we get the residual  $\mathbf{r} \perp \mathbf{J}_n$  and  $\mathbf{r} \perp \mathbf{x}$ .

## 3 Least squares

- 1. Proof. Given  $\mathbf{H}^2 = \mathbf{H}$ , then  $(\mathbf{I} \mathbf{H})^2 = \mathbf{I}^2 2\mathbf{H} + \mathbf{H}^2 = \mathbf{I} 2\mathbf{H} + \mathbf{H} = \mathbf{I} \mathbf{H}$ .
- 2. After calculating, I think the method mentioned to estimate  $\beta_2$  in this question is:
  - First, regressing  $\mathbf{y}$  on  $\mathbf{x}_1$  through origin and get the residual  $\mathbf{r}_1$ .
  - Second, regressing  $\mathbf{x}_2$  on  $\mathbf{x}_1$  through origin and get the residual  $\mathbf{r}_2$ .
  - Finally, regressing  $\mathbf{r}_1$  on  $\mathbf{r}_2$  through origin and get the estimation  $\hat{\beta}_2$ .

*Proof.* To minimize  $||\mathbf{y} - \beta_1 \mathbf{x}_1 - \beta_2 \mathbf{x}_2||^2$ , we can first suppose  $\beta_2$  be a constant and minimize through  $\beta_1$  (it will be a function of  $\beta_2$ ), and then minimize through  $\beta_2$  to get the estimation. So the goal is firstly to find the  $\operatorname{argmin}_{\beta_1} ||(\mathbf{y} - \beta_2 \mathbf{x}_2) - \beta_1 \mathbf{x}_1||^2$ . This is simply a regression through origin and we can get that  $\beta_1^{min} = \frac{\langle \mathbf{y} - \beta_2 \mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_1 \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2 \rangle}$ .

Therefore, the second step is to find the argmin of:

$$||\mathbf{y} - \beta_2 \mathbf{x}_2 - \frac{\langle \mathbf{y} - \beta_2 \mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_1 \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2 \rangle} \mathbf{x}_1||^2 = ||(\mathbf{y} - \frac{\langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}_1 \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_1 \rangle} \mathbf{x}_1) - \beta_2 (\mathbf{x}_2 - \frac{\langle \mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_1 \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_1 \rangle} \mathbf{x}_1)||^2$$

Also, we can find that:

$$\mathbf{r}_1 = \mathbf{y} - \frac{\langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}_1 \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_1 \rangle} \mathbf{x}_1 \tag{6}$$

$$\mathbf{r}_2 = \mathbf{x}_2 - \frac{\langle \mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_1 \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_1 \rangle} \mathbf{x}_1 \tag{7}$$

Therefore,  $\hat{\beta}_2$  comes from minimizing  $||\mathbf{r}_1 - \beta_2 \mathbf{r}_2||^2$ , which is just doing regression through origin of  $\mathbf{r}_1$  on  $\mathbf{r}_2$ . Then we finish the proof that the procedure described in the question is valid.

3. Proof. Suppose that **X** is of size  $m \times n$ , where  $m \leq n$  (or we replace **X** by **X**', and we just need to prove the same thing). Then consider the singular value decomposition of **X** is **X** = **USV**, where **U**, **V** are orthogonal matrices and **S** =  $(diag\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_r, 0, \dots, 0\}, \mathbf{0}): \lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \lambda_3 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_r > 0$ . Here  $r = rank(\mathbf{X})$ . Now consider the svd of **X**', **X**'**X**, **XX**', we have:

$$\mathbf{X}' = \mathbf{V}'\mathbf{S}'\mathbf{U}' \tag{8}$$

$$\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{V}' \begin{pmatrix} diag\{\lambda_1^2, \lambda_2^2, \cdots, \lambda_r^2, 0, \cdots, 0\} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{V}$$
(9)

$$\mathbf{XX'} = \mathbf{U} \operatorname{diag}\{\lambda_1^2, \lambda_2^2, \cdots, \lambda_r^2, 0, \cdots, 0\} \mathbf{U'}$$
 (10)

Therefore we can see that the three matrices above all have and only have r nonzero singular values, which means they are all of rank r. Then we get  $rank(\mathbf{X}') = rank(\mathbf{X}') = rank(\mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}')$ .

4. If the design matrix is orthogonal, then we have:

$$\mathbf{J} = ||\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}||^2 = \mathbf{y}'\mathbf{y} - 2\mathbf{y}'\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\beta}'\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} = \mathbf{y}'\mathbf{y} - 2\mathbf{y}'\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\beta}'\boldsymbol{\beta}$$

And then we get the normal equation as:

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{J}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\beta}} = 2\boldsymbol{\beta} - 2\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{y} = 0$$

So we have  $\hat{\beta} = \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{y}$ .

## 4 Computing and analysis

1.

2.