Probability Theory Homework 3

Bohao Tang

October 1, 2017

1.1.6 \mathcal{A} is not an algebra, hence not a σ -algebra. We raise an example that the union of two sets whose asymptotic density exist may not have asymptotic density, therefore \mathcal{A} is not an algebra:

Consider A_1 is the set of all odd numbers. And A_2 is the set that contains all even number in the closed interval $[2^{2k}, 2^{2k+1}]$, and all odd number in $[2^{2k+1}, 2^{2k+2}]$, where $k \in \mathbb{N}$. First we prove that A_1 and A_2 all have asymptotic density $\frac{1}{2}$:

For A_1 , consider the parity of n, when $n=2k, k\in\mathbb{N}, |A_1\cap\{1,2,\cdots,n\}|/n=\frac{1}{2}$, when $n=2k+1, k\in\mathbb{N}, |A_1\cap\{1,2,\cdots,n\}|/n=\frac{n+1}{2n}$. Therefore we have that $\frac{1}{2}\leq |A_1\cap\{1,2,\cdots,n\}|/n\leq \frac{n+1}{2n}\to \frac{1}{2}$. By approximation theory, we have $\lim |A_1\cap\{1,2,\cdots,n\}|/n=\frac{1}{2}$.

For A_2 , there are $1+\lfloor 2^{2k-1}\rfloor$ ($\lfloor \cdot \rfloor$ is round down function) even numbers in the interval $[2^{2k}, 2^{2k+1}]$, and 2^{2k} odd numbers in $[2^{2k+1}, 2^{2k+2}]$.

If $n = 2^{2k} + l$, where $0 \le l < 2^{2k}$ (suppose k > 1, we are considering the limit, so the situation when n is small will not influence), then:

$$|A_1 \cap \{1, 2, \cdots, 2^{2k}\}| = \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} (1 + \lfloor 2^{2m-1} \rfloor) + \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} 2^{2m} = 2^{2k-1} + k - 1$$
 (1)

And for k we have $(\log_2 n)/2 \ge (\log_2 2^{2k})/2 = k$ and $\log_2 n < \log_2 2^{2k+1} = 2k+1 \Rightarrow k > \frac{\log_2 n-1}{2}$. Consider the parity of l, we get:

$$2^{2k-1} + k - 1 + \frac{l}{2} \le |A_1 \cap \{1, 2, \cdots, n\}| \le 2^{2k-1} + k - 1 + \frac{l+2}{2}$$
 (2)

$$|A_1 \cap \{1, 2, \dots, n\}|/n \le \frac{2^{2k-1} + l/2 + k}{2^{2k} + l} \le \frac{n + \log_2 n}{2n}$$
 (3)

$$|A_1 \cap \{1, 2, \cdots, n\}|/n \ge \frac{2^{2k-1} + l/2 + k - 1}{2^{2k} + l} \ge \frac{n + \log_2 n - 3}{2n}$$
 (4)

If $n = 2^{2k+1} + l$, where $0 \le l < 2^{2k+1}$. After totally similar computation, we have:

$$|A_1 \cap \{1, 2, \cdots, n\}|/n \le \frac{2^{2k} + l/2 + k}{2^{2k+1} + l} \le \frac{n + \log_2 n - 1}{2n}$$
 (5)

$$|A_1 \cap \{1, 2, \cdots, n\}|/n \ge \frac{2^{2k} + (l-1)/2 + k}{2^{2k+1} + l} \ge \frac{n + \log_2 n - 3}{2n}$$
 (6)

Therefore for every n > 17, we have:

$$\frac{1}{2} \leftarrow \frac{n + \log_2 n - 3}{2n} \le |A_1 \cap \{1, 2, \dots, n\}| \le \frac{n + \log_2 n}{2n} \to \frac{1}{2}$$

By approximation theory, we have $\lim |A_1 \cap \{1, 2, \dots, n\}|/n = \frac{1}{2}$.

Now, consider the union of A_1, A_2 . First $A_1 \cup A_2$ contains and only contains all numbers in interval $[2^{2k}, 2^{2k+1}]$ and all odd numbers in $[2^{2k+1}, 2^{2k+2}]$, where $k \in \mathbb{N}$. We denote $p_k = 1$

 $|(A_1 \cup A_2) \cap \{1, 2, \dots, 2^{2k}\}|/2^{2k}$ and $q_k = |(A_1 \cup A_2) \cap \{1, 2, \dots, 2^{2k+1}\}|/2^{2k+1}$. Then for k > 1:

$$p_k = \frac{1}{2^{2k}} \left\{ 1 + \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} (2^{2l} + 1) + \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} 2^{2l} \right\} = \frac{2^{2k+1} - 2 + 3k}{3 \times 2^{2k}} \to \frac{2}{3}$$
 (7)

$$q_k = \frac{1}{2^{2k+1}} \left\{ \sum_{l=0}^k (2^{2l} + 1) + \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} 2^{2l} \right\} = \frac{5^{2k+1} - 4 + 6k}{6 \times 2^{2k+1}} \to \frac{5}{6}$$
 (8)

 $\lim p_k \neq \lim q_k$ and p_k, q_k are just two subarrays of $|(A_1 \cup A_2) \cap \{1, 2, \dots, n\}|/n$. Therefore, $\lim |(A_1 \cup A_2) \cap \{1, 2, \dots, n\}|/n$ does not exist. Hence $A_1 \cup A_2$ is not in A.

1.2.2 **P** $(\chi \ge 4) = \int_4^\infty \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-\frac{y^2}{2}} dy$. Since Theorem 1.2.3:

$$\left(\frac{1}{x} - \frac{1}{x^3}\right)e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} \le \int_{x}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{y^2}{2}} dy \le \frac{1}{x}e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}$$

We have:

$$\frac{15}{64}e^{-8} \leq \mathbf{P} \, (\chi \geq 4) \leq \frac{1}{4}e^{-8}$$

1.2.3 First we notice that distribution function F is non-decreasing, if t > s then $\{X \le s\} \subset \{X \le t\} \Rightarrow \mathbf{P}(X \le t) \ge \mathbf{P}(X \le s)$.

Then for every discontinuity x, since F is monotonic, the left limit and right limit of F at the point x will always exist. Denote them by F(x-), F(x+). Since F is non-decreasing, $F(x-) \leq F(x+)$ and since x is a discontinuity, F(x-) < F(x+). There is always a rational number in non-empty open set (F(x-), F(x+)), we choose one and denote by r_x .

Then we get a map from discontinuity set D to rational number \mathbb{Q} , call it ϕ : $\phi(x) = r_x$ for $x \in D$. We now proof that ϕ is an injection so that D is countable (since \mathbb{Q} is conutable).

Proof. $\forall x_1, x_2 \in D: x_1 < x_2$, we assert that $r_{x_1} < r_{x_2}$. If $r_{x_1} \ge r_{x_2}$ then $F(x_1+) > r_{x_1} \ge r_{x_2} > F(x_2-)$. Therefore we can choose a $y_1 \in (x_1, \frac{x_1+x_2}{2})$ and $F(y_1) > r_{x_1}(F(y_1))$ can be arbitary close to $F(x_1+)!$). And also we can find a $y_2 \in (\frac{x_1+x_2}{2}, x_2)$, such that $F(y_2) < r_{x_2}$. Then we get that $y_1 < y_2$ but $F(y_1) > F(y_2)$, which is contradict to the non-decreasing of F. So $r_{x_1} < r_{x_2}$ and therefore ϕ is an injection.

1.2.5 I think this problem is to prove that the density of g(X) is $f(g^{-1}(y))/g'(g^{-1}(y))$, and the support is in $[g(\alpha), g(\beta)]$.

Proof. Suppose the distribution function of X is F. Then because g is strictly increasing, we have that $\mathbf{P}(g(\alpha) \leq g(X) \leq g(\beta)) = \mathbf{P}(\alpha \leq X \leq \beta) = 1$. So the density outside $(g(\alpha), g(\beta))$ is 0. For y in $(g(\alpha), g(\beta))$, we have that:

$$\mathbf{P}(g(X) \le y) = \mathbf{P}(X \le g^{-1}(y)) = F(g^{-1}(y))$$
 (9)

Since g is differentiable and strictly increasing, we have $\frac{dg^{-1}}{dx}|_y = \frac{1}{g'(g^{-1}(y))}$. Along with F' = f, we differentiate equation 9 to get the density of g(X) at point y is $f(g^{-1}(y))/g'(g^{-1}(y))$. \square