Homework 2, 140.721, due 9/27/17 at 5PM.

- 1. The algebra f(A) generated by a class A of subsets of S is defined as the intersection of all algebras on S containing A.
 - (a) Prove that f(A) is indeed an algebra. This requires showing that the intersection of an arbitrary collection of algebras is an algebra, and that there is at least one algebra containing A.
 - (b) Consider the special case of S = (0,1] and $A = \{(x,y] : 0 \le x < y \le 1\}$. Characterize f(A) and prove your claim.
 - (c) Again, consider the special case of S = (0,1] and $A = \{(x,y] : 0 \le x < y \le 1\}$. Characterize $\sigma(A)$ (the σ -algebra generated by A) and prove your claim.
- 2. For each claim below, tell if it is true or false, and prove your answer.
 - (a) For any σ -algebras Σ_1, Σ_2 on a set S, we have $\Sigma_1 \cap \Sigma_2$ is a σ -algebra on S.
 - (b) For any σ -algebras Σ_1, Σ_2 on a set S, we have $\Sigma_1 \cup \Sigma_2$ is a σ -algebra on S.
 - (c) For any σ -algebras Σ_1, Σ_2 on a set S, we have $\Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2$ is a σ -algebra on $S \times S$ (where \times is the Cartesian product).
 - (d) For \mathcal{B} the Borel σ -algebra on \mathbb{R} , we have $\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B}$ is the σ -algebra on \mathbb{R}^2 generated by the closed rectangles $\{[a,b] \times [c,d] : a,b,c,d \in \mathbb{R} \text{ such that } a < b,c < d\}.$
- 3. For each claim below, tell if it is true or false, and prove your answer.
 - (a) For any collections \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} of subsets of S, we have $\sigma(\mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{G}) \subseteq (\sigma(\mathcal{F}) \cap \sigma(\mathcal{G}))$.
 - (b) For any collections \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} of subsets of S, we have $\sigma(\mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{G}) \supseteq (\sigma(\mathcal{F}) \cap \sigma(\mathcal{G}))$.

Probability Theory Homework 2

Bohao Tang

September 22, 2017

- 1. (a) *Proof.* Since the power set of S is a algebra and contains A, f(A) is well defined if the intersection of an arbitrary collection of algebras $A_i, i \in I$: $\bigcap_{i \in I} A_i$, is also an algebra.
 - i. Since A_i are all algebras, for all $i: S \in A_i$, therefore $S \in \cap_{i \in I} A_i$.
 - ii. $\forall A \in \cap_{i \in I} \mathcal{A}_i$, A must contains in every \mathcal{A}_i , then A^c contains in every \mathcal{A}_i because they are all algebras. Therefore, $A^c \in \cap_{i \in I} \mathcal{A}_i$.
 - iii. For all A, B in $\cap_{i \in I} \mathcal{A}_i$, we have $\forall i, A \in \mathcal{A}_i$ and $B \in \mathcal{A}_i \Rightarrow \forall i : A \cup B \in \mathcal{A}_i \Rightarrow A \cup B \in \cap_{i \in I} \mathcal{A}_i$. Therefore, $\cap_{i \in I} \mathcal{A}_i$ is indeed an algebra.
 - (b) Suppose $C = \{\emptyset\} \cup \{\bigcup_{i=1}^k (x_{2k-1}, x_{2k}] : k \in \mathbb{N}^+ \& 0 \le x_1 < x_2 < x_3 < \dots < x_{2k-1} < x_{2k} \le 1\}.$ We prove that f(A) = C.

Proof. Since every element in \mathcal{C} is just a finite union of sets in $\mathcal{A} \cup \{\emptyset\}$, we have $\mathcal{C} \subset f(\mathcal{A})$. So we only need to prove \mathcal{C} is a algebra, then according to the definition of $f(\mathcal{A})$, we have $f(\mathcal{A}) \subset \mathcal{C}$. And then $\mathcal{C} = f(\mathcal{A})$. Now we prove this.

- i. By definition $(0,1] \in \mathcal{C}$.
- ii. For every set $A = \bigcup_{i=1}^{k} (x_{2k-1}, x_{2k}] \in \mathcal{C}$, then $A^c = C_1 \cup (x_2, x_3] \cup (x_4, x_5] \cup (x_6, x_7] \cup \cdots \cup (x_{2k-2}, x_{2k-1}] \cup C_2$, where $C_1 = (0, x_1]$ if $x_1 > 0$ else \emptyset and $C_2 = (x_{2k}, 1]$ if $x_{2k} < 1$ else \emptyset . It's easy to see that A^c also obey the rules in the definition of \mathcal{C} . So $A^c \in \mathcal{C}$.
- iii. For every two sets A, B in $C, A \cup B$ is a finite union of sets of form (x, y], we prove now that every this kind of set is in C.

Suppose $W = \bigcup_{i=1}^n (x_i, y_i]$, then if $(x_i, y_i]$ are pairwise disjoint or n=1, then we just need to put the end points in order to see that W is in \mathcal{C} . If n>1 and $(x_i, y_i]$ are not pairwise joint, then we can find some $(x_{i_0}, y_{i_0}]$ and some $(x_{i_1}, y_{i_1}], (x_{i_2}, y_{i_2}], \cdots, (x_{i_k}, y_{i_k}], k \geq 1$, such that $(x_{i_0}, y_{i_0}] \cap (x_{i_j}, y_{i_j}] \neq \emptyset$. Then is easy to see that (by induction) $\bigcup_{j=1}^k (x_{i_j}, y_{i_j}] \cup (x_{i_0}, y_{i_0}] = (\min_{0 \leq i \leq k} x_i, \max_{0 \leq i \leq k} y_i]$. So we can replace these k+1 sets by one set of form (x, y], where make the number of sets n decrease at least 1. And we can continuously do this, since n is finite. We finally will reduce n to 1 or reduce $\{(x_i, y_i]\}$ to a pairwise disjoint collection. Therefore W is all in \mathcal{C} .

So that $A \cup B \in \mathcal{C}$.

Therefore \mathcal{C} is an algebra, which ends the proof.

(c) $\sigma(\mathcal{A})$ is the Borel σ -algebra of (0,1], denote it by $\mathcal{B}_{(0,1]}$.

Proof. For all $(x, y] \in \mathcal{A}$: If y = 1, (x, 1] is open in (0, 1], so $(x, 1] \in \mathcal{B}_{(0,1]}$; Else $(x, y] = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (x, y + \frac{1-y}{n})$.

Therefore $(x, y] \in \mathcal{B}_{(0,1]} \Rightarrow \mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B}_{(0,1]} \Rightarrow \sigma(\mathcal{A}) \subset \mathcal{B}_{(0,1]}$

On the other side, for all A open interval relative to (0,1]: $A=(x,1]\in\mathcal{A}$ or $A=(x,y)=\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty}(x,y-\frac{y-x}{n}]\in\sigma(\mathcal{A})$. Therefore $A\in\sigma(\mathcal{A})\Rightarrow\mathcal{B}_{(0,1]}\subset\sigma(\mathcal{A})$.

So
$$\sigma(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{B}_{(0,1]}$$
.

2. (a) True.

Proof. Given Σ_1 and Σ_2 be σ -algebra:

- i. $S \in \Sigma_1$ and $S \in \Sigma_2$, so $S \in \Sigma_1 \cap \Sigma_2$.
- ii. For all A in $\Sigma_1 \cap \Sigma_2$, we have $A \in \Sigma_1$ and $A \in \Sigma_2$. Therefore $A^c \in \Sigma_1$ and $\Sigma_2 \Rightarrow A^c \in \Sigma_1 \cap \Sigma_2$.
- iii. For all $\{A_i\}$ in $\Sigma_1 \cap \Sigma_2$, we have all A_i are in Σ_1 and Σ_2 . Therefore $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \in \Sigma_1$ and $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \in \Sigma_2 \Rightarrow \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \in \Sigma_1 \cap \Sigma_2$.

So, $\Sigma_1 \cap \Sigma_2$ is also σ -algebra.

(b) False.

In $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, $\{\emptyset, \{1, 2\}, \{3, 4\}, \{1, 2, 3, 4\}\}$ is a σ -algebra and $\{\emptyset, \{1, 3\}, \{2, 4\}, \{1, 2, 3, 4\}\}$ is a σ -algebra. But there union is not a σ -algebra, because $\{1, 3\}$ and $\{1, 2\}$ are in it but $\{1\} = \{1, 3\} \cap \{1, 2\}$ is not in it.

(c) False.

If $\Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2$ is defined by $\{A \times B : A \in \Sigma_1, B \in \Sigma_2\}$. Then it may not be a σ -algebra. For example, denote \mathcal{B}_R be the Borel σ -algebra of \mathbb{R} . Then $\mathcal{B}_R \times \mathcal{B}_R$ contains all open rectangle. Therefore $\sigma(\mathcal{B}_R \times \mathcal{B}_R)$ is at least as large as \mathcal{B}_{R^2} . So if $\mathcal{B}_R \times \mathcal{B}_R$ is σ -algebra, then open unit circle will be in it. However, this is not the case since open cirle is not a Cartraian product of any set. So $\mathcal{B}_R \times \mathcal{B}_R$ is not a σ -algebra.

(d) I think is to prove $\sigma(\{[a,b] \times [c,d]\}) = \mathcal{B}_{R^2}$. (If $\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B}$ is defined like in 2.c., then it will not be a σ -algebra).

Proof. \mathcal{B}_{R^2} contains all open sets, hence it also contains all closed sets \Rightarrow all $[a, b] \times [c, d] \in \mathcal{B}_{R^2}$ $\Rightarrow \sigma(\{[a, b] \times [c, d]\}) \subset \mathcal{B}_{R^2}$.

On the other side we only prove that all open sets are in $\sigma(\{[a,b]\times[c,d]\})$.

First, for every open rectangle $A=(a,b)\times(c,d)$: $A=\cup_{n=1}^{\infty}[a+\frac{b-a}{4n},b-\frac{b-a}{4n}]\times[c+\frac{d-c}{4n},d-\frac{d-c}{4n}]$. Therefore $A\in\sigma(\{[a,b]\times[c,d]\})\Rightarrow\sigma(\{(a,b)\times(c,d)\})\subset\sigma(\{[a,b]\times[c,d]\})$.

Second, for every open set O, set $S = O \cap \mathbb{Q}^2$. Consider (we use $\{p, q\}$ to denote the point $(p, q) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and (a, b) to denote open interval to avoid confusing):

$$A = \{(p,q) \times (r,s) \subset O : \{p,r\} \in S; \{q,s\} \in S\}$$

and $D = \bigcup_{C \in \mathcal{A}} C$

Then we have \mathcal{A} is a countable collection in $\{(a,b)\times(c,d)\}$ and therefore $D\in\sigma(\{(a,b)\times(c,d)\})$. Finally, we argue that D=O. In the definition of D, we have obviously $D\subset O$. On the other hand, for every point $x=\{u,v\}\in O$, since O is open, there is a open rectangle $x\in(a,b)\times(c,d)\subset O$. Then we have a< u< b and c< v< d. Since $\mathbb Q$ is dense, we can find rational number p,q,r,s such that a< p< u< q< b and c< r< v< s< d. Therefore $\{u,v\}\in(p,q)\times(r,s)\in\mathcal A\Rightarrow\{u,v\}\in D\Rightarrow O\subset D$. Therefore D=O.

So every open set is in $\sigma(\{(a,b)\times(c,d)\})\Rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{R^2}\subset\sigma(\{(a,b)\times(c,d)\})\subset\sigma(\{[a,b]\times[c,d]\}).$ Then $\mathcal{B}_{R^2}=\sigma(\{[a,b]\times[c,d]\}).$

3. (a) True.

Proof. $\mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{F} \subset \sigma(\mathcal{F})$, and the same way we have $\mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{G} \subset \sigma(\mathcal{G})$. Therefore $\mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{G} \subset \sigma(\mathcal{F}) \cap \sigma(\mathcal{G})$. Since $\sigma(\mathcal{F}) \cap \sigma(\mathcal{G})$ is a σ -algebra (we proved it in 2.a.), we have $\sigma(\mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{G}) \subset \sigma(\mathcal{F}) \cap \sigma(\mathcal{G})$. \square

(b) False.

Suppose \mathcal{F} is the collection of all open sets in \mathbb{R} and \mathcal{G} is the collection of all closed set in \mathbb{R} . Then $\sigma(\mathcal{F}) = \sigma(\mathcal{G}) = \mathcal{B}_R$. But $\sigma(\mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{G}) = \sigma(\emptyset) \neq \mathcal{B}_R$.