

Q.2.

A]

ii] using Kuhn-Tucker conditions solve the NLPP....

 \Rightarrow we rewrite the problem as

$$F(x_1, x_2) = 8x_1 + 10x_2 - x_1^2 - x_2^2$$

$$\text{and } h(x_1, x_2) = 3x_1 + 2x_2 - 6$$

Now, Kuhn-Tucker conditions are,

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_1} - \lambda \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_1} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_2} - \lambda \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_2} = 0$$

$$h(x_1, x_2) \leq 0$$

$$\lambda h(x_1, x_2) = 0, \quad \lambda \geq 0$$

 \therefore we get

$$8 - 2x_1 - 3\lambda = 0 \quad \dots (1)$$

$$10 - 2x_2 - 2\lambda = 0 \quad \dots (2)$$

$$\lambda(3x_1 + 2x_2 - 6) = 0 \quad \dots (3)$$

$$3x_1 + 2x_2 - 6 \leq 0 \quad \dots (4)$$

$$x_1, x_2, \lambda \geq 0 \quad \dots (5)$$

From (3), we get either $\lambda = 0$ or $3x_1 + 2x_2 - 6 = 0$ case 1: If $\lambda = 0$, from (1) and (2), we get

$$8 - 2x_1 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad 10 - 2x_2 = 0$$

$$\therefore x_1 = 4, x_2 = 5.$$

But then for $x_1 = 4$ and $x_2 = 5$, the condition (3) is not satisfied. \therefore Hence, $\lambda = 0$ does not yield a feasible solution.
we reject these values.

Case II: If $\lambda \neq 0$, $3x_1 + 2x_2 - 6 = 0$... (6)

To find x_1, x_2 , we obtain one more relation between x_1, x_2 by eliminating λ from (1) and (2).

Now, multiply (1) by 2, (2) by 3 and subtract

$$\therefore 16 - 4x_1 - 30 + 6x_2 = 0$$

$$\therefore -2x_1 + 3x_2 - 14 = 0 \quad \dots (7)$$

Multiply (6) by 3 and (7) by 2 and subtract.

$$\therefore 9x_1 - 18 + 4x_1 + 14 = 0$$

$$\therefore 13x_1 = 4$$

$$\therefore x_1 = \frac{4}{13}$$

$$\therefore \text{From (7), } \frac{-8}{13} + 3x_2 - 14 = 0$$

$$\therefore 3x_2 = \frac{99}{13}$$

$$\therefore x_2 = \frac{33}{13}$$

$$\text{Now from (1), } 4 \times \frac{4}{13} + 12 \times \frac{33}{13} = 2\lambda$$

$$\therefore 2\lambda = \frac{412}{13}$$

$$\therefore \lambda > 0$$

These values satisfy all the necessary conditions.

\therefore The optimal solution is $x_1 = \frac{4}{13}$, $x_2 = \frac{33}{13}$

$$\therefore Z_{\max} = 8\left(\frac{4}{13}\right) + 10\left(\frac{33}{13}\right) - \frac{16}{169} - \frac{1089}{169} = \frac{3601}{169} = 21.3 \quad \parallel$$

Name: Bhushan Prashant Ghode.

Roll No: SE-B-81

Subject: Maths 4

ID: TU352021120

Sign: Bhghode.

Page No. 03

Date 12/05/2021

8]

i] solve the L.P.P. by Simplex method.

=> we first express the problem in standard form.

$$Z - 3x_1 - 2x_2 + 0s_1 + 0s_2 = 0$$

$$x_1 + x_2 + s_1 + 0s_2 = 4$$

$$x_1 - x_2 + 0s_1 + s_2 = 2$$

we now express the above information in tabular form

Simplex Table

Iteration Number	Basic variables	coefficients of				R.H.S. solution	Ratio
		x_1	x_2	s_1	s_2		
0	Z	-3	-2	0	0	0	

s_2 leaves	s_1	1	1	1	0	4	$4/4 = 4$
		1*	-1	0	1	2	$2/1 = 2 \leftarrow$
		↑					
1	Z	0	-5	0	3	6	
s_2 leaves	s_1	0	2*	1	-1	2	$2/2 = 1 \leftarrow$
x_2 enters	x_1	1	-1	0	1	2	$2/-1 = -2$
		↑					
2	Z	0	0	$5/2$	$1/2$	11	
	x_2	0	1	$1/2$	$-1/2$	1	
	x_1	1	0	$1/2$	$1/2$	3	

$$\therefore x_1 = 3, x_2 = 1, Z_{\max} = 11$$

//