# SCMS-SPPU

Programme	M.Tech. Modeling & Simulation		
Curriculum	2022	Code	22-ML1
AY	2024-25	Name	Machine Learning 1
Semester	2	Examiner	Mihir Arjunwadkar
Exam Session	12/2024	Credits	4

Name	Surname	ID	CA
			50

Total	<b>A1</b>	A2	CA1	А3	MP1
120	20	20	30	20	30

Aryan	Bhende	MT2401	30
Aalok	Dhekne	MT2402	22
Tanmay	Lonare	MT2405	31
Akshay	Patil	MT2407	29
Ayush	Gaikwad	MT2408	28
Amit	Dhumal	MT2409	29

70	10	8	20	10	22
51	10	11	10	0	20
74	10	11	20	10	23
69	10	6	21	10	22
67	10	7	18	12	20
69	10	6	20	10	23

Pratik	Bagale	MS2303	8
Yash	Gadbail	MS2403	32
Sakshi	Ladkat	MS2408	36
Vaishanav	Mankar	MS2409	32
Firdous	Shaikh	MS2417	43
Shreshta	Sutar	MS2419	28
Bhushan	Zade	MS2421	34

19	0	5	5	0	9
75	16	7	20	10	22
85	16	12	22	10	25
76	16	12	16	10	22
101	16	16	27	15	27
65	8	7	18	10	22
80	16	10	22	10	22

Assignment 1 20/1/2025		Total	Feedback		
				20	
		,			
Aryan	Bhende	MT2401	Late	10	See below. Submission same as MT2407?
Aalok	Dhekne	MT2402	Late	10	
Tanmay	Lonare	MT2405	Late	10	
Akshay	Patil	MT2407	Late	10	See below. Submission same as MT2401?
Ayush	Gaikwad	MT2408	Late	10	
Amit	Dhumal	MT2409	Late	10	
	•		•		_
Pratik	Bagale	MS2303	Not submitted		
Yash	Gadbail	MS2403		16	See below
Sakshi	Ladkat	MS2408		16	See below
Vaishanav	Mankar	MS2409		16	See below
Firdous	Shaikh	MS2417		16	See below
Shreshta	Sutar	MS2419	Late	8	
Bhushan	Zade	MS2421		16	See below

Read, understand and follow, for example, this or a similar guide to summarization: <a href="https://www.hunter.cuny.edu/rwc/handouts/the-writing-process-1/invention/Guidelines-for-Writing-a-Summary">https://www.hunter.cuny.edu/rwc/handouts/the-writing-process-1/invention/Guidelines-for-Writing-a-Summary</a>

English: Use, for example, Grammarly for grammar and sentence construction.

Nobody mentioned EDA ...

See me personally if you have questions about evaluation or want personal feedback.

Please make it a point to submit assignments on time. If you have any difficulties or personal situations, kindly make it a point to discuss them with the instructor well ahead of the submission date.

# **Assignment 2 3/2/2025**

Total	Q1	Q2
20	10	10

### **Feedback**

Aryan	Bhende	MT2401
Aalok	Dhekne	MT2402
Tanmay	Lonare	MT2405
Akshay	Patil	MT2407
Ayush	Gaikwad	MT2408
Amit	Dhumal	MT2409

8	8	0
11	6	5
11	6	5
6	6	0
7	7	0
6	6	0

8	8	0
11	6	5
11	6	5
6	6	0
7	7	0
6	6	0

8	8	0
11	6	5
11	6	5
6	6	0
7	7	0
6	6	0

Q1: Vertical	lines in	the plot	is a ni	ce touch	!

Q1: We are necessarily using R for this course, not python. I am giving credit this time but not again during this course.

Q1: Never submit interpreter screen dump as your code. R code files better have the extension .r or .R.

Q1: Never submit interpreter screen dump as your code. R code files better have the extension .r or .R.

Q1: Code dump: Why is the warning there?



Q2 asks you to derive a formula and formulate the problem. The question does not ask you to submit a code (which you don't understand? – possibly written by ChatGPT / DeepSeek / Gemini / ...?). Q1: Submission identical to MS2421?

Q1: Codes must be submitted as plain text, not as PDF. If you wish to mix code with text, you might consider using Rmd.

Q2: Problem formulation is essentially correct but needs to be clearer.

Q1: We use savehistory() only to create code dumps from interactive sessions during classes. Submission identical to MS2303?

#### Common feedback for all

- 1. As was discussed in the class, Q2 asks you to **derive** the formula for the **perpendicular** distance of a point from a line, and then just **formulate** the fitting problem in terms of that distance -- but **not solve** it.
- 2. Codes must be well-structured, well-indented, human-readable and with adequate documentation. Codes are not the same as code dumps from the interpreter. R code files better have the extension .r or .R.
- 3. See the clustering dendrogram for Q1 code submissions: Submissions on the same branch that are to the left of the red line are too similar to one another. Please do not engage in plagiarism – it is very easy to detect and penalize.

Questions? See the instructor personally.

CA	4 4	_	$\sim$	-	
( · /\	1	-71	-71	1711	ワト

Total	Code	Report
30	15	15

#### **Feedback**

Aryan	Bhende	MT2401	20	10	10
Aalok	Dhekne	MT2402	10	10	0
Tanmay	Lonare	MT2405	20	10	10
Akshay	Patil	MT2407	21	10	11
Ayush	Gaikwad	MT2408	18	10	8
Amit	Dhumal	MT2409	20	10	10

Do not submit code dumps from the inte	rpreter. Codes need to be readable	and well-documented. Including	relevant numbers and plots in th
report will make it more informative. Rep	port should clearly state the observa	tions and the conclusions drawn	from them, with justification.

Codes must be well-structured, well-indented, human-readable and with adequate documentation. Don't submit code or screen dump from interpreter as submission. Use .r or .R as extension for R code files. Don't submit the savehistory() code dump as your submission.

Codes must be well-structured, well-indented, human-readable and with adequate documentation. Don't submit code or screen dump from interpreter as submission. Use .r or .R as extension for R code files. Don't submit the savehistory() code dump as your submission. Including relevant numbers and plots in the report will make it more informative. Report should clearly state the observations and the conclusions drawn from them, with justification.

Codes must be well-structured, well-indented, human-readable and with adequate documentation. Don't submit code or screen dump from interpreter as submission. Use .r or .R as extension for R code files. Don't submit the savehistory() code dump as your submission. Including relevant plots in the report will make it more informative. Report should clearly state the observations and the conclusions drawn from them, with justification.

Codes must be well-structured, well-indented, human-readable and with adequate documentation. Don't submit code or screen dump from interpreter as submission. Use .r or .R as extension for R code files. Don't submit the savehistory() code dump as your submission. Including relevant numbers and plots in the report will make it more informative. Report should clearly state the observations and the conclusions drawn from them, with justification.

Codes must be well-structured, well-indented, human-readable and with adequate documentation. Don't submit code or screen dump from interpreter as submission. Use .r or .R as extension for R code files. Don't submit the savehistory() code dump as your submission. Including relevant plots in the report will make it more informative. Report should clearly state the observations and the conclusions drawn from them, with justification.

Pratik	Bagale	MS2303	5	5	0
Yash	Gadbail	MS2403	20	10	10
Sakshi	Ladkat	MS2408	22	12	10
Vaishanav	Mankar	MS2409	16	8	8
Firdous	Shaikh	MS2417	27	14	13
Shreshta	Sutar	MS2419	18	10	8
Bhushan	Zade	MS2421	22	10	12

Do not submit code dumps from the interpreter. Codes need to be readable and well-documented. What does pivot\_longer( ... ) do? What are your observations, insights, and conclusions from your EDA?

Plots would look better with aspect ration = 1. Codes need to be readable and well-documented. Leading '>' copied from the interpreter cause errors. Including relevant numbers and plots in the report will make it more informative.

Use .r or .R as extension for R code files. Codes need to be readable and well-documented. Hardcoding numbers like "26" can cause problems if code is ported to a different data set – here, "26" should be replaced with ncol( ... ). Including relevant numbers and plots in the report will make it more informative. Report should clearly state the observations and the conclusions drawn from them, with justification. "normally distributed " -- what? "some pattern" -- ??

"#include<iostream> \\ using namespace std; \\ clear" -- ????

Which part of the submitted code dump is supposed to be your submission?

Use .r or .R as extension for R code files. Codes need to be readable and well-documented.

Report should clearly state the observations and the conclusions drawn from them, with justification.

Including relevant numbers and plots in the report will make it more informative.

Codes must be well-structured, well-indented, human-readable and with adequate documentation. Don't submit code or screen dump from interpreter as submission. Use .r or .R as extension for R code files. Don't submit the savehistory() code dump as your submission. Visualizations need to cover entire dataset, not just a variable or two. Report should clearly state the observations and the conclusions drawn from them, with justification. It is not clear if your conclusions are correct.

Create image files (pdf, png. jpg) through R itself and not as screenshots. Including relevant numbers and plots in the report will make it more informative. Why all those "nchar( ... )" calls? Codes need to be readable and with adequate documentation.

# Common feedback for all

Do not engage in plagiarism – it is very easy to detect and penalize.

Codes must be well-structured, well-indented, human-readable and with adequate documentation. DO NOT submit code or screen dump from interpreter as submission. Use .r or .R as extension for R code files. DO NOT submit the savehistory() code dump as your submission. (We use savehistory() only to create code dumps from interactive sessions during classes – just for your reference.)

Explore R markdown (.Rmd) as a way of creating reports through your codes.

Create image files (pdf, png. jpg) through R itself and not as screenshots.

Questions? See the instructor personally.

# Assignment 3 17/3/2025

Total 20

**Notes** 

Aryan	Bhende	MT2401	1
Aalok	Dhekne	MT2402	(
Tanmay	Lonare	MT2405	1
Akshay	Patil	MT2407	1
Ayush	Gaikwad	MT2408	1
Amit	Dhumal	MT2409	1

10
0
10
10
12
10

10
0
10
10
12
10

Pratik	Bagale	MS2303	0
Yash	Gadbail	MS2403	10
Sakshi	Ladkat	MS2408	10
Vaishanav	Mankar	MS2409	10
Firdous	Shaikh	MS2417	15
Shreshta	Sutar	MS2419	10
Bhushan	Zade	MS2421	10
	·		

Different knn implementations in P1 and P2? knn implementations identical between MT2401, MT2407, MT2409? LLM submission?

### Not submitted

Different knn implementations in P1 and P2? LLM submission?

Different knn implementations in P1 and P2? knn implementations identical between MT2401, MT2407, MT2409? LLM submission?

Different knn implementations in P1 and P2? knn implementations identical between MT2401, MT2407, MT2409? LLM submission?

### Not submitted

LLM submission?

knn implementation identical to MS2419?

knn implementation not according to specs. knn implementation identical to MS2421? LLM submission?

knn implementation identical to MS2408? LLM submission?

knn implementation not according to specs. knn implementation identical to MS2409? LLM submission?

- 1. Kindly acknowldge the LLM if you have used it for your submission. State the LLM's contribution to your submission.
- 2. Even so, you should be able to explain what you have taken from your LLM.
- 3. LLMs will not be available at exam time.

Linear Regression Miniproject 26-27/3/2025			Group	Total	Presentation	Submission	Notes
				30	20	10	
Yash	Gadbail	MS2403	1	22	15	7	Date not provided
Bhushan	Zade	MS2421	1	22	15	7	Data not provided
Sakshi	Ladkat	MC2409	2	25	17	0	Data not provided
Sakshi	Ladkat	MS2408	2	25	17	8	Data not provided
Vaishanav	Mankar	MS2409	3	22	15	7	Submission not received
Shreshta	Sutar	MS2419	3	22	15	7	
	-						
Firdous	Shaikh	MS2417	4	27	18	9	
					<b>I</b>		
Aryan	Bhende	MT2401	5	22	14	8	Classification done, not regression
Akshay	Patil	MT2407	5	22	14	8	
	_						
Aalok	Dhekne	MT2402	6	20	12	8	Classification done, not regression
Ayush	Gaikwad	MT2408	6	20	12	8	
	_						
Tanmay	Lonare	MT2405	7	23	15	8	
Amit	Dhumal	MT2409	7	23	15	8	
	1						
Pratik	Bagale	MS2303	8	9	6	3	Data not provided