CEG 7450: (QoS Routing)

Internet Routing

- Focus: reachability only
- Unicast routing
- Multicast routing

QoS Constraints

- Link constraints: restriction on the use of a link, e.g. bandwidth requirements
- Path constraints: end-to-end QoS requirement on a single path, e.g. delay, cost, loss
- Tree constraints: QoS requirement for the entire multicast tree, e.g. delay

QoS Routing

- Feasible path (tree) is one that has sufficient residual resources to satisfy the QoS constraints of a connection
- QoS routing is to find a feasible path (tree), in addition, to optimize certain performance metric: e.g. optimization of resource utilization, cost
 - Find the least cost path (tree) among all feasible paths (trees)

Model

- Weighted graph G(V, E)
- V: vertices E: edges/links
- Link state: every link has state information, e.g. QoS metric
- Node state: measured independently or combined into the state of the adjacent links

Maintenance of State Info

- Local state info
 - Queueing and propagation delay
 - Residual bandwidth of outgoing links
 - Availability of other resources
- Global state info: the collection of local state info of all nodes
- Link state protocols:
 - broadcast local state of every node to every other nodes
 - each node has: network topology; state of every link

Maintenance of State Info

- Distance vector protocols:
 - Periodically exchange distance vectors among adjacent nodes
 - A distance vector includes:
 - cost of the best path;
 - the next node on the best path
- Approximation of the current network state info due to
 - Delay of propagating local state information
 - Aggregation of state information

Unicast QoS Routing Problem

 Given a source s, a destination t, a set of QoS constraints C, and possibly an optimization goal, find the best feasible path from s to t which satisfies C.

Classification of Unicast Routing

- Link optimization routing
 - Bandwidth optimization routing: find a path that has the largest bandwidth on the bottleneck link; widest path problem
- Link constrained routing
 - Bandwidth constrained routing: find a path whose bottleneck is above a required value
- Path optimization routing
 - Least cost routing: find a path whose total cost is minimized
- Path constrained routing
 - Delay-constrained routing: find a path whose delay is bounded by a required value

Classification of Unicast Routing

- Composite routing problems
 - Link-constrained path-optimization routing
 - Bandwidth constrained least delay routing
 - Link-constrained link-optimization routing
 - Multi-link-constrained routing
 - Link-constrained path-constrained routing
 - Path-constrained link-optimization routing

Classification of Unicast Routing

- NP-complete problems
- Path constrained path optimization routing
 - Delay constrained least-cost routing: find the least-cost path with a bounded delay
- Multi-path-constrained routing
 - Delay and delay-jitter constrained routing: find a path with both bounded delay and bounded delay jitter requirements

Hardness of QoS Routing Problem

- Multiple constraints often make the routing problem intractable
 - E.g. finding a feasible path with two independent path constraints is NP-complete
 - The QoS metrics are independent
 - Metrics allowed to be real numbers or unbounded integers

Hardness of QoS Routing Problem

- If all metrics except one take bounded integers, the problems are solvable in polynomial time by running an extended Dijkstra's algorithm
- If all metrics are dependent on a common metric, the problem is also solvable in polynomial time
 - E.g., The worst-case delay and delay jitter are functions of rate of a connection in networks using WFQ scheduling
 - The delay and delay jitter constrained routing problem is solvable in polynomial time in such networks

Source Routing

- Each node maintains complete state information
- A feasible path is locally computed at the source
- Control messages are sent to set up the path
- Link state protocols are used to update the global state at each node
- Strengths
 - Simplicity: avoid dealing with distributed computing
 - Guarantee loop-freedom
 - Easier to implement, evaluate, debug, and upgrade
 - Easier to design centralized heuristics for NP-complete problems than to design distributed ones

Source Routing

Weakness

- Has to frequently update global state → high overhead
- Approximate global state only → QoS routing may fail to find a feasible path even if it may exist
- High computation at source
- Scalability problem: large network

Distributed Routing

- A path is computed by a distributed computation
- Control messages exchanged among nodes
- State information kept at each node is collectively used for the path search
- Use distance-vector / link-state protocols to maintain state information
- Strengths
 - Scalable
 - Shorter response time: path computation done collectively among the intermediate nodes between the source and destination
 - May search multiple paths simultaneously

Distributed Routing

Weakness

- Most existing distributed routing algorithms require each node to maintain global network state → high overhead
- Algorithms that do not need global state information incur more control messages
- Hard to design heuristics without complete topology and link state information
- State information at every node may be inconsistent → loops

Source Routing Algorithms

- Wang-Crowcroft algorithm: find a bandwidth-delayconstrained path
 - All links with bandwidth less than the requirement are eliminated
 - The shortest path in terms of delay is found
 - The path is feasible iff it satisfies the delay constraint

Source Routing

- Ma-Steenkiste algorithms
 - Traffic source is constrained by leaky bucket
 - Link scheduling uses rate-proportional scheduling algorithms:
 WFQ
 - Delay and delay jitter are related to rate of the connection
- Delay bounded routing

Multi-constrained Path Problem (MCP)

- Given a directed graph G(V, E), a source s, a destination t, two weight functions $w_1: E \to R_0^+$ $w_2: E \to R_0^+$
- Two constraints: $c_1 \in R_0^+$ $c_2 \in R_0^+$
- Problem: MCP(G, s, t, $w_1w_2c_1c_2$)
- Find a path *p* from *s* to *t* such that

$$w_1(p) \le c_1 \qquad w_2(p) \le c_2$$

if such a path exists

Multi-constrained Path Problem (MCP)

- Usually NP-complete: both weights are independent, real
- If one weight is integral, the problem is not NP
- Heuristic algorithm: convert the original problem to a new problem using a new integer weight function and a new constraint
- Problem: MCP(G, s, t, $w_1w_2c_1x$)

$$\overrightarrow{w_2}(u,v) = \left[\frac{w_2(u,v)x}{c_2}\right]$$

Multi-constrained Path Problem (MCP)

- A solution for MCP(G, s, t, $w_1w_2c_1x$) must also be a solution for MCP(G, s, t, w_1 , w_2 , c_1 , c_2)
- Let a path P be a solution for MCP(G, s, t, w₁, w₂, c₁, c₂) and I be the length of P if

$$w_2(P) \le (1 - \frac{l-1}{x})c_2$$

then P is also a solution for MCP(G, s, t, $w_1w_2c_1x$)

Extended Dijkstra's Algorithm

- For each vertex v in V and integer k in [0,x], a variable d[v,k] is maintained
- d[v,k] is an estimation of the smallest w₁-weight of those paths from s to v whose w'₂-weights are k
- pev[v,k] is the immediate predecessor of v on the path from s to v

$MCP(G, s, t, w_1, w'_2, c_1, x)$

```
Initialize (G, s)
                                                                       Relax (u,k,v)
Begin
                                                                       Begin
     for each vertex v in V, each i in [0,x] do
                                                                            k' = k + w'_{2}(u,v);
      d[v,i] = infinity;
                                                                            if k' \le x then
      pev [v,i] = nil;
                                                                               if d [v,k'] > d [u,k]+w_1(u,v) then
     for each i in [0,x] do
                                                                                 d[v,k'] = d[u,k] + w_1(u,v);
       d[s,i] = 0;
                                                                                 pev [v,k'] = u;
End
                                                                       End
EDSP (G,s)
Begin
     Initialize (G,S);
     N = empty;
     Q = \{ \langle u, k \rangle \mid u \text{ in } V, k \text{ in } [0,x] \};
     while Q is not empty do
        find \langle u, k \rangle in Q such that d[u,k] = min \{d[u', k'], for all <math>\langle u', k' \rangle in Q\;
        Q = Q - \{\langle u, k \rangle\};
        N = N + \{ \langle u, k \rangle \}
        for each outgoing edge of u, (u,v) in E do
           Relax(u,k,v);
```

End

Guerin-Orda Algorithm

- Imprecise network states based on a probability distribution function
- Every node maintains, for each link I, the probability p_I(w) of link I having a residual bandwidth of w units, 0≤w≤c_I
- Bandwidth constrained routing is to find the path that has the highest probability to accommodate a new connection with a bandwidth requirement of x units

$$\max \prod_{l=1}^{|P|} p_l(x)$$

$$\min -\sum_{l=1}^{|P|} \log p_l(x)$$

Multicast Routing and QoS Extension

- Weighted graph G=(V,E)
- V: set of nodes
- E: set of links
- M⊂V: set of nodes involved in a group of communication → multicast group

Multicast Communications

- One-to-many
- Many-to-many: a group member can be a source, receiver, or both

Multicast Routing

- A multicast tree is usually used to connect a source to all the multicast group members
 - Advantages
- A multicast tree T, a subgraph of G, spans all the nodes in M
- T may include relay nodes: non-group-member nodes along a path in the tree

Multicast Routing Problem

• Given a multicast group M and possibly a set of optimization objective functions, O, multicast routing is to construct, based on the network topology and network state information, a multicast tree T that spans all the members in M and optimizes the objective functions

QoS Driven Multicast Routing Problem

• Given a multicast group M and possibly a set of optimization objective functions, O, a set of constraints C, multicast routing is to construct, based on the network topology and network state information, a multicast tree T that spans all the members in M, satisfies the QoS constraints, and optimizes the objective functions

• QoS constraints:

- end-to-end delay bound
- inter-receiver delay jitter bound
- minimum bandwidth
- packet loss probability
- combination of different constraints

Constraints

Link constraints

- Restrictions on the use of links for route selection

Tree constraints

- Bounds on the combined values of a metric along a path from a source to a receiver
- Bounds on the difference of the combined values of a metric along the paths from the source to any two different receivers

Classification of constraints

- Additive
- Multiplicative
- Concave

Classification of Multicast Routing Problems

- Link constrained problem, e.g., bandwidth constrained
- Multiple link constrained problem: two or more link constraints imposed, e.g., bandwidth and buffer constrained
- 3. Tree constrained problem, e.g., delay constrained
- Link and tree constrained routing problem, e.g., delay and bandwidth constrained
- 5. Link optimization routing problem, e.g., maximization of link bandwidth over the on-tree links

Classification of Multicast Routing Problems

- 6. Link constrained link optimization, e.g., bandwidth constrained buffer optimization problem
- 7. Tree constrained link optimization problem, e.g., delay constrained bandwidth optimization
- 8. Multiple tree constrained routing problem, e.g., delay and inter-receiver jitter constrained routing \rightarrow NP
- Tree optimization problem, e.g., Steiner tree problem
 → NP
- 10. Link constrained tree optimization problem, e.g., link constrained Steiner tree problem → NP
- 11. Tree constrained tree optimization problem, e.g., delay constrained Steiner tree problem → NP
- 12. Link and tree constrained tree optimization problem, e.g., bandwidth and delay constrained Steiner tree problem → NP

Multicast Routing Algorithms

- Centralized vs Distributed
- Source initiated vs Receiver initiated
- Source based vs Core based (shared tree)

Example Algorithms

- Shortest path tree: based on Dijkstra's or Bellman-Ford algorithms
- Minimum spanning tree: Prim's algorithm
- Steiner tree heuristics

Example Algorithm I

- Shortest path tree: based on Dijkstra's or Bellman-Ford algorithms
 - Minimize the sum of the weights on the links along each individual path from the source to a receiver in the multicast group
 - Weight 1: then least hop tree

Example Algorithm II

- Minimum spanning tree: Prim's algorithm
- A tree that spans all the nodes in the network and minimize the total weight of the tree (i.e., sum of all link weights of the tree)
 - Tree construction starts from an arbitrary node and grows the tree spanning all the nodes in the network
 - At each step, a least cost link connecting an off-tree node to the partially constructed tree is added to the tree
 - examples

Steiner tree heuristics I

- Not the same as minimum spanning tree
- Minimize the total cost of a multicast tree
- Steiner tree heuristics:
 - add one multicast group member at a time;
 - use the least cost path to the partial tree

Steiner tree heuristics II

- INPUT: An undirected graph G = (V, E) and a set of Steiner Points
- S ⊆ V
- OUTPUT: A Steiner Tree T_H for G and S
- Step 1: Construct the complete undirected graph $G_1 = (V_1, E_1)$ from G and S, in such a way that $V_1 = S$, and for every $\{v_i, v_j\} \in E_1$, the weight (length/cost) on the edge $\{v_i, v_j\}$ is equal to the length of the shortest path from v_i to v_i
- Step 2: Find the minimal spanning tree T₁ of G₁. (If there are several minimal spanning trees, pick an arbitrary one.)
- Step 3: Construct the subgraph G_s of G by replacing each edge in T₁ by its corresponding shortest path in G. (If there are several shortest paths, pick an arbitrary one.)
- Step 4: Find the minimal spanning tree T_s of G_s. (If there are several minimal spanning trees, pick an arbitrary one.)
- Step 5: Construct a Steiner Tree T_H, from T_s by deleting edges in T_s, if necessary, so that all the leaves in T_H are Steiner points

Constrained Steiner Tree

- Unconstrained Steiner tree algorithm can be used to solve tree optimization problems
- They do not attempt to fulfill the tree constraints on an end-to-end basis
- Constraints: e.g., delay, delay jitter, degree constraints

Constrained Steiner Tree

- G(V,E)
- Two weight functions C(e) and D(e) on edge e
- C(e): positive real cost function on e
- D(e): positive integer delay function on e
- s: source
- S: destination node set
- Δ: delay bound integer value
- Constrained spanning tree T: a tree rooted at s, spans the nodes in S such that for each node v in S

$$\sum_{e \in P(s,v)} D(e) < \Delta$$

$$\sum_{e \in T} C(e)$$
 is minimized

Constrained Cheapest Path

 C_d(v,w): the cost of the cheapest path from v to w with delay exactly d

$$C_d(v, w) = \min_{u \in V} \{C_{d-D(u,w)}(v, u) + C(u, w)\}$$

$$P_c(v, w) = \min_{d < \Lambda} C_d(v, w)$$

- $P_d(v,w)$ is the delay on the path from v to w and is determined by the constrained cheapest path that corresponds to $P_c(v,w)$
- Compute the all-pairs constrained cheapest paths using a dynamic programming approach

- Find a constrained cheapest path between v and w:
 - i.e., the least cost path from v to w that has a delay less than $\boldsymbol{\Delta}$
- P_c(v,w): the cost on such a path
- P_d(v,w): the delay on such a path
- Build a closure graph G' on a set of nodes N:
 - i.e. a complete graph on the nodes in N with edge cost between nodes v, w in N equal to P_c(v,w) and delay P_d(v,w)

Constrained Steiner Tree Algorithm

- Step1: determine the constrained cheapest paths between all pairs of nodes in the set of nodes that includes s and S subject to delay constraint Δ
 - Can be done in polynomial time
- Step 2: construct a closure graph G' on nodes {s} and
- Step 3: construct a constrained spanning tree of G' using a greedy approach to add edges to a subtree of the constrained spanning tree until all the destination nodes are covered

- Assume v is in the tree constructed thus far
- Consider whether to include some edge adjacent to v
- Use selection functions

$$f_{CD}(v,w) = \begin{cases} \frac{C(v,w)}{\Delta - (P(v) + D(v,w))} & \text{if } P(v) + D(v,w) < \Delta \\ \infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$f_C = \begin{cases} C(v,w) & \text{if } P(v) + D(v,w) < \Delta \\ \infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

otherwise

 P(v) is the delay on the path from s to v in the spanning tree constructed so far

 Step 4: expand the edges of the constrained spanning tree into the constrained cheapest paths they represent and remove any loops that may be caused by this expansion