# Hierarchical species response curves in package eHOF

Florian Jansen

January 6, 2017

#### Abstract

This is an example session to show how to use enhanced hierarchical logistic regression modeling in R with package *eHOF*. Only a few possibilities and applications can be covered. Use the usual help functions for further information or contact the author if in doubt. The package is still in it's early stage. After the installation of package eHOF you can access this PDF <sup>1</sup> with vignette("eHOF")

# 1 Preparations

```
library(eHOF)

## Loading required package: mgcv

## Loading required package: nlme

## This is mgcv 1.8-6. For overview type 'help("mgcv-package")'.

## Loading required package: lattice

## This is eHOF 1.8
```

### 1.1 Load vegetation data

Hierarchical logistic regressions can be used in many fields. We will use vegetation data and we can use package vegdata [Jansen and Dengler, 2010] to load vegetation data from a Turboveg [Hennekens and Schaminée, 2001] database. Taxon names can be evaluated Jansen and Dengler [2010] if they are referenced with an appropriate taxonomic reference list [Jansen and Dengler, 2008]. Within this sample session we will use the build-in vegetation dataset of package vegdata from the floodplain of river Elbe [Leyer and Wesche, 2007] and a dataset delivered with eHOF from arable land of North-Eastern Germany with measured pH.

```
library(vegdata)
db <- 'elbaue'
site <- tv.site(db)
veg <- tv.veg(db, tax=FALSE, spcnames='numbers')

## Warning in is.na(tvscale$SCALE_NR): is.na() auf nicht-(Liste oder Vektor) des Typs 'NULL'
angewendet

obs <- tv.obs(db)
# taxa <- tax(unique(obs$TaxonUsageID), verbose=TRUE)
# write.csv2(taxa, file='taxonnames.csv')
taxa <- read.csv('taxonnames.csv')
names(veg) <- sub('.0', '', names(veg), fixed=TRUE)
for(i in 1:ncol(veg)) names(veg)[i] <- as.character(taxa$LETTERCODE[match(as.numeric(names(veg)[i]),</pre>
```

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Id: eHOF.Rnw processed with eHOF 1.8 in R Under development (unstable) (2017-01-05 r71915) on January 6, 2017

Normally you will use the capabilities of package vegdata and the taxonomic reference list of your Turboveg installation to replace species numbers with Shortletters or real names. For the sake of CRAN policies we set tax=FALSE and replace taxnumbers manually.

#### 1.2 Cover transformation

If you want to use cover values as performance, it might be better to use cover transformed abundance values instead of the original percentage cover.

```
veg.sqrt <- tv.veg(db, cover.transform='sqrt', tax=FALSE, spcnames='numbers')
## Warning in is.na(tvscale$SCALE_NR): is.na() auf nicht-(Liste oder Vektor) des Typs 'NULL'
angewendet
names(veg.sqrt) <- sub('.0', '', names(veg.sqrt), fixed=TRUE)
names(veg.sqrt) <- taxa$LETTERCODE[match(names(veg.sqrt), taxa$TaxonUsageID)]</pre>
```

or even presence-absence information only.

```
veg.pa <- tv.veg(db, cover.transform='pa', tax=FALSE, spcnames='numbers')
## Warning in is.na(tvscale$SCALE_NR): is.na() auf nicht-(Liste oder Vektor) des Typs 'NULL'
angewendet
names(veg.pa) <- sub('.0', '', names(veg.pa), fixed=TRUE)
names(veg.pa) <- taxa$LETTERCODE[match(names(veg.pa), taxa$TaxonUsageID)]</pre>
```

## 2 Modeling hierarchical logistic regressions

Introduced by Ramenskij in the early 20th century and named direct gradient analysis by Whittaker [1967] is modeling species responses along environmental gradients still a frequent task in vegetation ecology. Several algorithms are available to map the performance of a species along changing ecological conditions. Often it is difficult to decide which level of complexity is needed to get an adequate simplification of the data. In 1993 Huisman, Olff and Fresco introduced a set of hierarchical models to combine the wish for simple and easy to interpret response models with the need to catch different kinds of niche types and species response data [Huisman et al., 1993].

Species responses along measured environmental gradients are cross-sections of the species hyperniche. Even if we assume, that the physiological niche of a species should be simple (e.g. unimodal or with a specific threshold) we can not expect, that realized niches should be that simple too. Nevertheless, due to the generally high number of hidden gradients in field data and the resulting unbalanced datasets, it seems to be advisable not to use an untrammelled modelling technique like Generalised Additive Models (GAM) but to restrict ourselves to a more conservative set of model types which can be interpreted afterwards.

## 2.1 Modeltypes

Huisman, Olff and Fresco suggested five response shapes Huisman et al. [1993]. Additional to these we added two bimodal model types so that we get 7 hierarchical model types in total (see Fig. 1).

The seven models are of increasing complexity. Maybe the most important model type is number I: a flat response, that means there is no significant trend along the gradient for that species. It is our null hypothesis and ensures that only species with a clear response will be modelled with one of the further model types. Shape II is monotone sigmoid with a top at one end of the gradient, III is monotone sigmoid with a plateau below the maximal upper abundance value. Model type IV is the canonical form of species response, a unimodal symmetric model, V is a unimodal skewed model and model types VI and VII have two optima, VI with tops being equal.

```
data(acre)
sel <- c('ELYMREP', 'VEROPES', 'CONSREG', 'DESUSOP', 'VEROARV', 'ARTE#VU', 'ACHIMIL')
mo <- HOF(acre[match(sel, names(acre))], acre.env$PH_KCL, M=1, bootstrap=NULL)
par(mar=c(4,4,1,1)+.1)
autolayout(7)
par(mar=c(4,4,1,1)+.1)
for(i in 1:7) plot(mo[[i]], model = eHOF.modelnames[i], marginal ='n')</pre>
```




Figure 1: The layout plot shows the seven model types of enhanced Hierarchical logistic regression modelling.

#### 2.2 How to use function HOF?

For all species in a vegetation data frame above a specific frequency threshold (10 by default) all six model shapes are modelled and stored in a HOF object. The most appropriate model type is evaluated only at the moment this object is printed, summarised or plotted.

```
mods <- HOF(veg, site$MGL, M=100, family = poisson, bootstrap = NULL)
```

```
mods
## Bootstrap results missing.
                                Using selectMethod "pick.model" instead.
## Deviances:
##
       STELPAU CAREACU CAREPRA CARDPRA GALUPAL POA TRI ELYMREP ALOPPRA
## T
        29.824 498.281
                        44.733
                                42.574
                                         52.425
                                                 85.751 736.321 453.553
        26.791 405.125
                                40.257
## II
                        27.974
                                         40.908
                                                 85.356 682.456 424.848
## III
        21.720 308.033
                        19.055
                                37.312
                                         32.680
                                                 73.589 376.393 276.331
## IV
        15.838 218.694
                        22.687
                                27.862
                                         30.152
                                                 61.504 250.278 209.237
## V
        15.838 218.407
                        22.486
                                23.300
                                         29.873
                                                 60.913 240.531 208.363
## VT
        15.838 218.694
                        20.981
                                27.862
                                         30.152
                                                 61.504 250.278 209.237
                                                 61.505 238.389 208.959
## VII
       15.838 218.694
                        21.081
                                15.720
                                         30.152
       PHALARU POA PAL POA #PR RANCREP
       728.928 641.987 268.681 630.012 26.993
  Т
## TT
      709.313 641.482 247.349 603.353 26.300
## III 648.947 512.485 200.537 509.846 20.081
       658.211 239.162 164.189 473.560 14.901
       658.096 167.636 160.915 435.924 14.841
## VI
       658.211 239.162 164.189 473.560 14.901
## VII 658.211 239.162 164.189 473.560 14.906
## Suggested best models (AICc, pick.model):
## STELPAU CAREACU CAREPRA CARDPRA GALUPAL POA TRI ELYMREP ALOPPRA PHALARU
##
        TV
                TV
                       TTT
                                VII
                                         TV
                                                 TV
                                                        VTT
                                                                  TV
                                                                         TTT
## POA PAL POA #PR RANCREP
                               <NA>
                 V
                                IV
```

Printing the output of a HOF object with more than one species will give a matrix of deviances for all modelled species along all model types and thereafter the model type suggested as most appropriate by selected test criteria (Aikaike Information Criterion corrected for small sample size by default).

Depending on the chosen performance measure HOF modelling will lead to different species response shapes.

# 3 Model parameters

Restricting models to predefined shapes offers the possibility to derive ecologically interpretable model characteristics like "the optimum", species niches etc. (see Fig. 4). Package eHOF contains functions to compute parameters for the different model types.

### References

Stephan M. Hennekens and Johannes Hendrikus Jacques Schaminée. Turboveg, a comprehensive data base management system for vegetation datasoftware package for input, processing, and presentation of phytosociological data. *Journal of Vegetation Science*, 12:589–591, 2001.

J Huisman, H Olff, and L F M Fresco. A Hierarchical Set of Models for Species Response Analysis. Journal of Vegetation Science, 4(1):37–46, 1993. ## Bootselect or IC.weight method only possible after bootstrapping.




Figure 2: Most adequate model types for all species of the Elbaue dataset with at least 10 occurrences, square-root cover performance.

mods.pa <- HOF(veg.pa, site\$MGL, M=1, bootstrap=NULL)
plot(mods.pa)</pre>




Figure 3: Most adequate model types for all species of the elbaue dataset with at least 10 occurrences, presence-absence information.

plot(mods.pa[['RANCREP']], para=TRUE, onlybest=FALSE)





Figure 4: Hierarchical logistic regression models for *Ranunculus repens* presence/absence within the Elbaue dataset with plotted parameters of the most adequate model.

mod.ELYM.sqrt <- HOF(veg.sqrt\$ELYMREP, site\$MGL, M=10, family=poisson, bootstrap = 10)
plot(mod.ELYM.sqrt, marginal='point', para=TRUE, onlybest=FALSE, newdata=seq(min(mod.ELYM.sqrt\$range)</pre>



Para(mod.ELYM.sqrt)

Figure 5: Hierarchical logistic regression models for *Elymus repens* square root cover within the Elbaue dataset with plotted parameters of the most adequate model.

Florian Jansen and Jürgen Dengler. GermanSL - eine universelle taxonomische Referenzliste für Vegetationsdatenbanken. *Tuexenia*, 28:239–253, 2008.

Florian Jansen and Jürgen Dengler. Plant names in vegetation databases - a neglected source of bias. Journal of Vegetation Science, 21(6):1179-1186, Aug 2010. doi: 10.1111/j.1654-1103.2010.01209.x. URL http://doi.wiley.com/10.1111/j.1654-1103.2010.01209.x.

Ilona Leyer and Karsten Wesche. Multivariate Statistik in der Ökologie. Springer, Berlin, 2007.

Robert Harding Whittaker. Gradient analysis of vegetation. Biological Reviews, 49:207–264, 1967.