

Meeting 7 with Prof Rubin

20 October 2017, 12:30

- Peter and Joyce were discussing how when they put their codes together then there was an issue with the sampling rates not being the same
 - Then Professor Rubin suggested that they down sample one of them
- 5 mins before the meeting Peter and Joyce just solved a big problem they were having with regards to classifying the points for the mapping function
- Joyce and Peter explain they cant play the data but they have one objective test
- Joyce asks if we can have another meeting after open day to discuss the report
- Some questions about the report be asked:
 - Must the code be in the report?
 - Prof Rubin says we must look at the CBO
 - Lindzi explains what the CBO says for the report appendices
 - 1st appendix is the group work reflection
 - 2nd is the prelim report
 - Yes Prof Rubin says the code must go in the report
 - If used other peoples code just make sure the code is referenced in the designed section
 - If you are calling another persons code from inside the code just put the reference in as a comment
 - Then in the reflection what do you want in it?
 - Can put a Gantt chart
 - Explain why split up and how it worked
 - We can have the same Gantt chart
 - Reference the fact that it was developed with my partner
 - Spoke about plagiarism and it is passing of someone else's work as your own
 - References on the poster
 - Yes!
 - No inline references
 - Use references as to how you do it in reports
 - Computer usage at open day must we get audio results and play those for people or must we bring a PC from the biomed lab
 - On the conference they may ask you to run the code at our work station
 - But for open day can just have audio files or could have a video of recording of the code running
 - Title on posters, how do we format it?
 - He says just look at the other posters and see how they did it
- With regards to the report, do we reproduce stuff that is on it?
 - He says rewrite from scratch instead of referencing the prelim
 - Can use the words out of our own report that we wrote ourselves
 - Final report should be a stand alone report
 - In industry they will only look at the final report
- Bianca and Lindzi's outcome is completely different to the prelim so how do we go about writing final report?

- Show the extent of the work we did
 - Would redo the background section, should we discuss NN again?
 - No we shouldn't just briefly mention that we did look into them
- So in the prelim had mentioned success criteria such as Joyce mentioned for the subjective tests have a 80%
 - Now must change? Or just restate it and mention how much it was at all, quote the numbers
- Asked about if Joyce and Peter need ethics in order to collect subjective results
 - Prof Rubin says no because they have the ethics waver
- Even if Lindzi and Bianca don't get the ethics amendments then they should still collect the data on open day and then hopefully it will come through
- Spoke about the projects and how they were nice and enjoyable projects
- Spoke about tree planting