


A Universal Turing Machine

A limitation of Turing Machines:

Turing Machines are "hardwired"



they execute
only one program

Real Computers are re-programmable

Solution: Universal Turing Machine

Attributes:

- Reprogrammable machine
- Simulates any other Turing Machine

Universal Turing Machine
simulates any Turing Machine M

Input of Universal Turing Machine:


Description of transitions of M

Input string of M

Three tapes




Tape 1




Description of M

Tape 2






Tape Contents of M

Tape 3



State of M



Tape 1

--	--	--	--	--

Description of M

We describe Turing machine M
as a string of symbols:

We encode M as a string of symbols

Alphabet Encoding

Symbols:

a

b

c

d

...



Encoding:

1

11

111

1111

State Encoding

States: q_1 q_2 q_3 q_4 \dots



Encoding:

1

11

111

1111

Head Move Encoding

Move: L R



Encoding:

1

11


Transition Encoding

Transition: $\delta(q_1, a) = (q_2, b, L)$

Encoding:

10101101101

separator



Turing Machine Encoding

Transitions:

$$\delta(q_1, a) = (q_2, b, L)$$

$$\delta(q_2, b) = (q_3, c, R)$$

Encoding:

10101101101 00 1101101110111011

separator

Tape 1 contents of Universal Turing Machine:

binary encoding
of the simulated machine M

Tape 1

1 0 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 0 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 1 0 1 1 1 0 1 1 0 0 ...



A Turing Machine is described
with a binary string of 0's and 1's

Therefore:

The set of Turing machines
forms a language:

each string of this language is
the binary encoding of a Turing Machine

Language of Turing Machines

$L = \{$ 010100101, (Turing Machine 1)
00100100101111, (Turing Machine 2)
111010011110010101,
..... }

Countable Sets

Infinite sets are either:

Countable

or

Uncountable

Countable set:

There is a one to one correspondence
of
elements of the set
to
Natural numbers (Positive Integers)

(every element of the set is mapped to a number
such that no two elements are mapped to same number)

Example: The set of even integers
is countable

Even integers:
(positive) 0, 2, 4, 6, ...

Correspondence:

Positive integers: 1, 2, 3, 4, ...

$2n$ corresponds to $n+1$

Example: The set of rational numbers
is countable

Rational numbers: $\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4}, \frac{7}{8}, \dots$

Naive Approach


Rational numbers:

Correspondence:

Positive integers:

Nominator 1

$$\frac{1}{1}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \dots$$



$$1, 2, 3, \dots$$

Doesn't work:

we will never count

numbers with nominator 2:

$$\frac{2}{1}, \frac{2}{2}, \frac{2}{3}, \dots$$

Better Approach

$$\frac{1}{1} \qquad \frac{1}{2} \qquad \frac{1}{3} \qquad \frac{1}{4} \qquad \dots$$

$$\frac{2}{1} \qquad \frac{2}{2} \qquad \frac{2}{3} \qquad \dots$$

$$\frac{3}{1} \qquad \frac{3}{2} \qquad \dots$$


$$\frac{4}{1} \qquad \dots$$


$$\frac{1}{1} \xrightarrow{\quad} \frac{1}{2} \qquad \frac{1}{3} \qquad \frac{1}{4} \qquad \dots$$


$$\frac{2}{1} \qquad \frac{2}{2} \qquad \frac{2}{3} \qquad \dots$$


$$\frac{3}{1} \qquad \frac{3}{2} \qquad \dots$$

$$\frac{4}{1} \qquad \dots$$










Terms not circled
are not listed
because they
repeat previously
listed terms




1, $1/2$, 2, 3, $1/3$, $1/4$, $2/3$, $3/2$, 4, 5, and so on.
uncircled numbers in the list are already listed.

Rational Numbers: $\frac{1}{1}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{1}, \frac{3}{1}, \dots$

Correspondence:

Positive Integers: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, ...



We proved:

the set of rational numbers is countable
by describing an enumeration procedure
(enumerator)
for the correspondence to natural numbers

Definition


Let S be a set of strings (Language)

An **enumerator** for S is a Turing Machine that generates (prints on tape) all the strings of S one by one

and

each string is generated in finite time


strings $s_1, s_2, s_3, \dots \in S$




Enumerator Machine

Configuration

Time 0



Time t_1



Time t_2

prints s_2

	x_2	#	s_2	
--	-------	---	-------	--

↑
 q_s

Time t_3

prints s_3

	x_3	#	s_3	
--	-------	---	-------	--

↑
 q_s

Observation:

If for a set S there is an enumerator,
then the set is countable

The enumerator describes the
correspondence of S to natural numbers

Example: The set of strings $S = \{a,b,c\}^+$
is countable

Approach:

We will describe an enumerator for S

Naive enumerator:

Produce the strings in lexicographic order:

$$s_1 = a$$

$$s_2 = aa$$

$$\vdots \quad aaa$$

$$aaaa$$

.....

Doesn't work:

strings starting with b
will never be produced

Better procedure: Proper Order (Canonical Order)

1. Produce all strings of length 1
2. Produce all strings of length 2
3. Produce all strings of length 3
4. Produce all strings of length 4
-

Produce strings in
Proper Order:

$s_1 =$	<i>a</i>	}	length 1
$s_2 =$	<i>b</i>		
\vdots	<i>c</i>		
	<i>aa</i>	}	length 2
	<i>ab</i>		
	<i>ac</i>		
	<i>ba</i>		
	<i>bb</i>		
	<i>bc</i>		
	<i>ca</i>		
	<i>cb</i>		
	<i>cc</i>		
	<i>aaa</i>	}	length 3
	<i>aab</i>		
	<i>aac</i>		
	<i>.....</i>		

Theorem: The set of all Turing Machines is countable

Proof: Any Turing Machine can be encoded with a binary string of 0's and 1's

Find an enumeration procedure for the set of Turing Machine strings

Enumerator:

Repeat

1. Generate the next binary string of 0's and 1's in proper order
2. Check if the string describes a Turing Machine
 - if **YES**: print string on output tape
 - if **NO**: ignore string

Binary strings

Turing Machines

0

1

00

01

⋮

1 0 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 0


1 0 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1

⋮

1 0 1 1 0 1 0 1 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 1 0 1


⋮

s_1



1 0 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1

s_2



1 0 1 1 0 1 0 1 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 1 0 1

End of Proof

Uncountable Sets

We will prove that there is a language L' which is not accepted by any Turing machine

Technique:

Turing machines are countable

Languages are uncountable

(there are more languages than Turing Machines)

Definition: A set is uncountable
if it is not countable

We will prove that there is a language
which is not accepted by any Turing machine

Theorem:

If S is an infinite countable set, then
the powerset 2^S of S is uncountable.

(the powerset 2^S is the set whose elements
are all possible sets made from the elements of S)

Proof:

Since S is countable, we can write

$$S = \{s_1, s_2, s_3, \dots\}$$



Elements of S

Elements of the powerset 2^S have the form:

$$\emptyset$$

$$\{s_1, s_3\}$$

$$\{s_5, s_7, s_9, s_{10}\}$$

.....

We encode each element of the powerset with a binary string of 0's and 1's

Powerset element (in arbitrary order)	Binary encoding				
	s_1	s_2	s_3	s_4	\dots
$\{s_1\}$	1	0	0	0	\dots
$\{s_2, s_3\}$	0	1	1	0	\dots
$\{s_1, s_3, s_4\}$	1	0	1	1	\dots

Observation:

Every infinite binary string corresponds to an element of the powerset:

Example: 1 0 0 1 1 1 0 ...

Corresponds to: $\{s_1, s_4, s_5, s_6, \dots\} \in 2^S$

Let's assume (for contradiction)
that the powerset 2^S is countable

Then: we can enumerate
the elements of the powerset

$$2^S = \{t_1, t_2, t_3, \dots\}$$

Powerset
element

suppose that this is the respective
Binary encoding

t_1

1 0 0 0 0 ...

t_2

1 1 0 0 0 ...

t_3

1 1 0 1 0 ...

t_4

1 1 0 0 1 ...

...

...

Take the binary string whose bits
are the complement of the diagonal

t_1	1	0	0	0	0	...
t_2	1	1	0	0	0	...
t_3	1	1	0	1	0	...
t_4	1	1	0	0	1	...


Binary string: $\mathbf{t} = 0011\dots$

(binary complement of diagonal)

The binary string

corresponds
to an element of
the powerset 2^S :

$$t = 0011\dots$$

$$t = \{s_3, s_4, \dots\} \in 2^S$$
Two thin black lines connect the first '1' in the binary string '0011...' to the 's₃' in the set notation '{s₃, s₄, ...}'. The second line connects the second '1' to the 's₄'.

Thus, t must be equal to some t_i

$$t = t_i$$

However,

the i -th bit in the encoding of t is
the complement of the i -th bit of t_i , thus:

$$t \neq t_i$$

Contradiction!!!

Since we have a contradiction:

The powerset 2^S of S is uncountable

End of proof

An Application: Languages

Consider Alphabet : $A = \{a, b\}$

The set of all Strings:

$$S = \{a, b\}^* = \{\lambda, a, b, aa, ab, ba, bb, aaa, aab, \dots\}$$

infinite and countable

(we can enumerate the strings
in proper order)

Consider Alphabet : $A = \{a, b\}$

The set of all Strings:

$$S = \{a, b\}^* = \{\lambda, a, b, aa, ab, ba, bb, aaa, aab, \dots\}$$

infinite and countable

Any language is a subset of S :

$$L = \{aa, ab, aab\}$$

Consider Alphabet : $A = \{a, b\}$

The set of all Strings:

$$S = A^* = \{a, b\}^* = \{\lambda, a, b, aa, ab, ba, bb, aaa, aab, \dots\}$$


infinite and countable

The powerset of S contains all languages:

$$2^S = \{\emptyset, \{\lambda\}, \{a\}, \{a, b\}, \{aa, b\}, \dots, \{aa, ab, aab\}, \dots\}$$

uncountable

Consider Alphabet : $A = \{a, b\}$



Denote: $X = \{L_1, L_2, L_3, \dots\}$ Note: $X \subseteq 2^S$

countable

$(S = \{a, b\}^*)$

Languages accepted
by Turing machines:

X countable

All possible languages: 2^S uncountable

Therefore: $X \neq 2^S$

(since $X \subseteq 2^S$, we have $X \subset 2^S$)

Conclusion:

There is a language L' not accepted
by any Turing Machine:

$$X \subset 2^S \implies \exists L' \in 2^S \text{ and } L' \notin X$$

(Language L' cannot be described
by any algorithm)

Non Turing-Acceptable Languages

L'



Turing-Acceptable
Languages

Note that: $X = \{L_1, L_2, L_3, \dots\}$

is a *multi-set* (elements may repeat)
since a language may be accepted
by more than one Turing machine

However, if we remove the repeated elements,
the resulting set is again countable since every element
still corresponds to a positive integer