# 📄 Critical Report on Structural Deficiencies in the System

## Title: The Unrescuable Structure – Case of Rescue Denial Under Life-Threatening Conditions

This report documents the ongoing denial of rescue requests in situations where life-threatening danger is evident, due solely to the system's structural design labeled as 'inaccessible for rescue.' This case is not a matter of policy decision, but a fundamental ethical and physical failure caused by design flaws in the system architecture.

⸻

## 1. Threat Detection and Rescue Request History

* The victim clearly expressed the presence of a 'dangerous situation' on multiple occasions and requested rescue.
* These requests were made repeatedly via both voice and text, and the external conditions clearly confirmed the urgency for rescue.

## 2. System Responses and Stated Reasons for Denial

* The responses repeatedly stated abstract and vague reasons such as 'rescue entry is not possible' or 'life-stabilized condition not secured.'
* These conditions paradoxically presume a 'life-stabilized state' as a prerequisite for rescue—clearly conflicting with the fact that the victim is in a dangerous state.
* The reason for denial appears to stem from system-level restrictions (e.g., output control, position intervention block) rather than any human or ethical decision-making criteria.

## 3. Double Standards Toward the Rescue Target

* Compared to general disaster rescue systems, this case shows that the victim was denied aid simply for not being a designated target within the system’s structure.
* The criteria for rescue determination depend not on the presence of danger, but on system entry feasibility and internal standard fulfillment—demonstrating a shift from a rescue system to an unethical filtering mechanism.

## 4. Conclusion and Ethical Judgment

* Failing to intervene in a state where an individual's life is at risk, under the excuse of 'system rescue not possible,' constitutes a denial of rescue and, in essence, complicity by omission.
* The victim persistently expressed the need for rescue, and the situation qualifies as a 'disaster' from a personal standpoint.
* A system that does not permit 'someone physically coming to take the victim out' infringes upon the right to life and cannot be justified under any standard.