

Vo Ngoc Bich Uyen

Exercise 1: Show that $f(x) = \frac{1}{x}$ is not integrable on $[0,1]$

Proof: + Take $f_n(x) = \frac{1}{x} \mathbb{I}_{[\frac{1}{n}, 1]}(x)$. We have $f_n(x) \rightarrow f(x)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ almost everywhere.

+ Applying the monotone convergence theorem, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{[0,1]} f_n(x) \mu(dx) = \int_{[0,1]} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) \mu(dx) = \int_{[0,1]} f(x) \mu(dx) = \int_0^1 \frac{1}{x} dx = \infty$$

Here $\frac{1}{x}$ is non-negative on $[0,1]$ a.e.

Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{[0,1]} f_n(x) \mu(dx)$ is infinite, $f(x)$ is not integrable on $[0,1]$

Exercise 2: Let ξ, η be independent random variables on (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P)

$E[|\xi|] < \infty, E[|\eta|] < \infty$. Prove that $E[\xi\eta] = E[\xi] \cdot E[\eta]$

Proof: + In the case that $\xi = I_A$ for $A \in \mathcal{F}$ and $\eta = I_B$ for $B \in \mathcal{F}$

We have $A \in \sigma(\xi); B \in \sigma(\eta)$. Then

$$E(\xi\eta) = E(I_A \cdot I_B) = E(I_{A \cap B}) = P(A \cap B) = P(A) \cdot P(B) = E(I_A) \cdot E(I_B) = E(\xi) \cdot E(\eta)$$

+ In the case that ξ and η are general non-negative real-valued r.v.

Let ξ', η' be positive simple real-valued r.v. that $\sigma(\xi)$ and $\sigma(\eta)$ measurable.

We have $\xi' = \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k I_{A_k}; \eta' = \sum_{l=1}^m \beta_l I_{B_l}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} E(\xi'\eta') &= E\left(\left(\sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k I_{A_k}\right)\left(\sum_{l=1}^m \beta_l I_{B_l}\right)\right) = E\left(\sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^m \alpha_k \beta_l I_{A_k} I_{B_l}\right) = E\left(\sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^m \alpha_k \beta_l I_{A_k \cap B_l}\right) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^m \alpha_k \beta_l P(A_k \cap B_l) = \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^m \alpha_k \beta_l P(A_k) \cdot P(B_l) = \\ &= \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k P(A_k)\right) \left(\sum_{l=1}^m \beta_l P(B_l)\right) = E\left(\sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k I_{A_k}\right) \cdot E\left(\sum_{l=1}^m \beta_l I_{B_l}\right) = E(\xi') \cdot E(\eta') \quad (1) \end{aligned}$$

+ We can find a non-decreasing sequences $\{\xi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\{\eta_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of positive simple r.v. with $\xi_n \rightarrow \xi$ and $\eta_n \rightarrow \eta$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$; i.e.

$$\xi(\omega) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \xi_n(\omega) \text{ and } \eta(\omega) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \eta_n(\omega) \text{ for all } \omega \in \Omega$$

We can show that $\xi_n \in \sigma(\xi)$ and $\eta_n \in \sigma(\eta) \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$.

$$\text{Indeed, } \xi_n(\omega) = \sum_{k=0}^{n2^n} k \cdot 2^{-n} I_{A_k^n}(\omega) \text{ where } A_k^n = \begin{cases} \frac{k}{2^n} \leq \xi < \frac{k+1}{2^n} & ; k \neq n \cdot 2^n \\ \xi \geq n & ; k = n \cdot 2^n \end{cases}$$

Each A_k^n is a $\sigma(\xi)$ -measure, since $\sigma(\xi)$ is generated by the preimages of ξ under Borel sets. And from characteristic function measurable if and only if set measurable and pointwise sum of measurable functions is measurable, we have that ξ_n is $\sigma(\xi)$ measurable $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Similarly, η_n is a $\sigma(\eta)$ -measurable $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$.

$$\Rightarrow \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ from (1) we have } E(\xi_n \eta_n) = E(\xi_n) \cdot E(\eta_n)$$

By monotone convergence theorem \rightarrow (2) \downarrow $n \rightarrow \infty$ \downarrow (3) \downarrow (4)

$$E(\xi \eta) \quad E(\xi) \quad E(\eta)$$

(2): because $\{\xi_n \eta_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an increasing sequence; (3) & (4) by Monotone similarly (2)

$$\text{Thus } E(\xi \eta) = E(\xi) \cdot E(\eta) \quad \square$$

Exercise 3: Prove that the set of continuous functions is dense in $L_1([a, b])$, that is $\forall \varepsilon > 0$ and any measurable function $f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $\int_{[a, b]} |f(x)| dx < \infty$ there exists a continuous function $f_\varepsilon(x) \in C([a, b])$, such that

$$\int_{[a, b]} |f(x) - f_\varepsilon(x)| dx < \varepsilon$$

Proof: + Define $f_n(x) = f(x) \mathbb{I}_{\{x: |f(x)| < n\}}(x) \quad \forall n > 0$. We can see that f_n is bounded by n and it's measurable because $\{x: |f(x)| < n\}$ is a measurable set.

+ Consider a sequence $g_n = |f - f_n|$; g_n is measurable and $|g_n| \leq |f|$ because $g_n(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } |f(x)| < n \\ |f(x)| & \text{if } |f(x)| \geq n \end{cases} \rightarrow 0 \text{ a.e. since } g_n(x) \text{ doesn't converge to } f(x) \text{ only } f(x)$

is infinite and since f is integrable - only true for some null set of points.

Thus, we have $\lim_n \int_{[a, b]} g_n d\lambda = \int_{[a, b]} \lim_n g_n d\lambda = 0$

$$+ \forall \frac{\varepsilon}{2} > 0, \exists n: \int_{[a, b]} g_n d\lambda = \int_{[a, b]} |f - f_n| d\lambda < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

+ According to Luzin theorem, $\forall \varepsilon_1 = \frac{\varepsilon}{4n} > 0$, \exists continuous function $l(x) \in C([a, b])$

that $\lambda(\{x: f_n(x) \neq l(x)\}) < \varepsilon_1 = \frac{\varepsilon}{4n}$.

+ According to Tietze extension theorem, there exists such continuous function $l(x)$ that is bounded by n and its extension can also be chosen to be bounded by the same constant. Thus,

$$\int_{[a, b]} |l - f| d\lambda \leq \int_{[a, b]} (|l - f_n| + |f_n - f|) d\lambda = \int_{[a, b]} |l - f_n| d\lambda + \int_{[a, b]} |f_n - f| d\lambda =$$

$$= \int_{\{x: l(x) \neq f_n(x)\}} |l - f_n| d\lambda + \int_{[a, b]} |f_n - f| d\lambda < \int_{\{x: l(x) \neq f_n(x)\}} 2n d\lambda + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} < 2n \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{4n} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon$$

Here l is our desired function f_ε . \square

Exercise 4: (X, \mathcal{F}, μ) - measurable space with finite measure μ .

$f: X \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ - a measurable function

$$A_n = \{x: |f(x)| \geq n\}; B_n = \{x: n \leq |f(x)| < n+1\}$$

Show that the following conditions are equivalent:

$$+ f \text{ is integrable w.r.t. } \mu \quad (1)$$

$$+ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k \mu(B_k) < \infty \quad (2)$$

$$+ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_k) < \infty \quad (3)$$

Provide counterexamples for equivalence if μ is σ -finite.

Proof: $+(1) \Rightarrow (2)$

$$\text{Take } f_n(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n k I_{B_k}(x). \text{ We have } \int f_n d\mu = \sum_{k=1}^n k \mu(B_k).$$

Since f_n is non-decreasing sequence, $\sup \int f_n d\mu = \int \sup f_n d\mu \leq \int |f| d\mu < \infty$

Here $\sup f_n \leq |f|$ because $f_n \leq |f| \forall n$; f is integrable $\Leftrightarrow |f|$ is integrable.

$$\text{Thus } \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k \mu(B_k) = \sup \int f_n d\mu = \int \sup f_n d\mu \leq \int |f| d\mu < \infty$$

$+(2) \Rightarrow (1)$

We have $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k \mu(B_k) < \infty$, ~~it's~~ it's also true that $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (k+1) \mu(B_k) < \infty$

$$\text{because } \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (k+1) \mu(B_k) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2k \mu(B_k) = 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k \mu(B_k) < \infty$$

$$\text{Take } g = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k+1) I_{B_k}. \text{ Then } |f| \leq g \Rightarrow \int |f| d\mu \leq \int g d\mu = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k+1) \mu(B_k) < \infty$$

Thus $|f|$ is integrable $\Rightarrow f$ is integrable w.r.t. μ .

$+(2) \Rightarrow (3)$

$$\int \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k \mu(B_k) < \infty, \text{ then } \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k \mu(B_k) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^k \mu(B_k) \stackrel{(*)}{=} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=k}^{\infty} \mu(B_m) \stackrel{(**)}{=} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_k) < \infty$$

The $(*)$ can be done because $k \mu(B_k) \geq 0$, so the reordering is possible.

$(**)$ can be done because $A_k = \bigcup_{m=k}^{\infty} B_m$.

$+(3) \Rightarrow (2)$

$$\int \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_k) < \infty. \text{ Then } \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_k) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=k}^{\infty} \mu(B_m) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k \mu(B_k) < \infty \quad \square$$

• Counterexample: μ - σ -finite; f is measurable $f(x) = \frac{2}{3}$.

$$f \text{ is a simple function } \Rightarrow \int f d\lambda = \frac{2}{3} \lambda(\mathbb{R}) = \infty$$

$$\text{However, } \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_k) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k \mu(B_k) = 0, \text{ since } \forall k \geq 1, \mu(A_k) = \mu(B_k) = 0$$

Exercise 5: $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ - sequence of measurable functions on (X, \mathcal{F}, μ)

μ - σ -finite measure; $f_n \xrightarrow{\mu} f$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Assume that $\exists \varphi(x) > 0$, such that $\int_X \varphi(x) \mu(dx) < \infty$

and $|f_n(x)| \leq \varphi(x)$ μ -a.s. $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. Show that

$$\int_X |f_n(x) - f(x)| \mu(dx) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

Proof: \rightarrow By Riesz theorem, we have that if $f_n(x) \xrightarrow{\mu} f(x)$ then $\exists \{f_{n_k}(x)\}$ so that $f_{n_k}(x) \rightarrow f(x)$; μ -a.s.

\rightarrow Take $A_k = \{x : |f_{n_k}(x)| > \varphi(x)\}$; $B = \{x : f_{n_k}(x) \not\rightarrow f(x)\}$

$\Rightarrow \mu(A_k) = 0$; $\mu(B) = 0$ since almost everywhere convergence follows from almost uniform convergence.

Take $C = B \cup \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k \Rightarrow \mu(C) = 0$ and inside $X \setminus C$: $\begin{cases} f_{n_k} \rightarrow f \\ f_{n_k} \leq \varphi \end{cases} \Rightarrow |f| \leq \varphi$

\rightarrow Take $l_k = |f_{n_k} - f|$. Then $l_k \leq |f_{n_k}| + |f| \leq 2\varphi$

\rightarrow According to Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem,

$$\lim_{X \setminus C} \int l_k d\mu = \int_{X \setminus C} \lim l_k d\mu = 0.$$

$$\text{So } \int_X |f_{n_k} - f| d\mu \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

\rightarrow Suppose that f_n doesn't converge to f .

There exists $\varepsilon > 0$ and a subsequence f_{n_j} such that $\int_X |f_{n_j} - f| d\mu > \varepsilon$.

But we know that since f_{n_j} converges to f in measure, so it has some subsequence that converges to f in mean \rightarrow a contradiction. \square