

Problem 1: Let us recall that, for any matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ with SVD $A = U^T \Sigma V = \sum_{j=1}^{\text{rank}(A)} \sigma_j u_j u_j^T$, its pseudo-inverse A^+ is defined as $A^+ = \sum_{j=1}^{\text{rank}(A)} \frac{1}{\sigma_j} u_j u_j^T$.

Let $A^+ = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +0} (A^T A + \lambda I_n)^{-1} A^T$. Show that $A^+ = A^+$

→ Proof:

→ A $m \times n$ matrix $A = U^T \Sigma V \Rightarrow V_{n \times n}$ is an unitary matrix; i.e. $I_n = V \cdot V^T = \sum_{j=1}^n u_j u_j^T$

→ We have

$$\begin{aligned} A^+ &= \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +0} (A^T A + \lambda I_n)^{-1} A^T = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +0} \left[\sum_{j=1}^{\text{rank}(A)} (\sigma_j^2 + \lambda) u_j u_j^T \right]^{-1} \cdot \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\text{rank}(A)} \sigma_j u_j u_j^T \right) \\ &= \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +0} \left[\sum_{j=1}^{\text{rank}(A)} (\sigma_j^2 + \lambda) u_j u_j^T \right]^{-1} \cdot \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\text{rank}(A)} \sigma_j u_j u_j^T \right) = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +0} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\text{rank}(A)} \frac{\sigma_j}{\sigma_j^2 + \lambda} u_j u_j^T \right) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\text{rank}(A)} \frac{1}{\sigma_j} u_j u_j^T = A^+ \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Problem 2: For any $\lambda > 0$, define the ridge regression estimate as

$$\hat{\beta}_\lambda^{\text{ridge}} \in \argmin_{\beta} \frac{1}{n} \|Y - X\beta\|^2 + \lambda \|\beta\|^2$$

Prove that $\hat{\beta}^{\text{ls}} = (X^T X)^+ X^T Y = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +0} \hat{\beta}_\lambda^{\text{ridge}}$

→ Proof:

Denote that $C(\beta) = \frac{1}{n} \|Y - X\beta\|^2 + \lambda \|\beta\|^2$

Firstly, we have to prove that $C(\beta)$ is convex.

Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned} C(\lambda\beta + (1-\lambda)\alpha) &= \frac{1}{n} \|\lambda Y + (1-\lambda)Y - X[\lambda\beta + (1-\lambda)\alpha]\|^2 + \lambda \|\lambda\beta + (1-\lambda)\alpha\|^2 \\ &= \frac{\lambda^2}{n} \|Y - X\beta\|^2 + \frac{(1-\lambda)^2}{n} \|Y - X\alpha\|^2 + \frac{2\lambda(1-\lambda)}{n} (Y - X\beta)^T (Y - X\alpha) + \\ &\quad + \lambda^3 \|\beta\|^2 + \lambda(1-\lambda)^2 \|\alpha\|^2 + 2\lambda^2(1-\lambda) \|\beta \cdot \alpha\| \leq \left[a^T b \leq \frac{\|a\|^2}{2} + \frac{\|b\|^2}{2} \right] \leq \\ &\leq \frac{\lambda^2}{n} \|Y - X\beta\|^2 + \frac{(1-\lambda)^2}{n} \|Y - X\alpha\|^2 + \frac{\lambda(1-\lambda)}{n} (\|Y - X\beta\|^2 + \|Y - X\alpha\|^2) + \lambda^3 \|\beta\|^2 + \\ &\quad + \lambda(1-\lambda)^2 \|\alpha\|^2 + \lambda^2(1-\lambda) (\|\beta\|^2 + \|\alpha\|^2) = \frac{\lambda}{n} \|Y - X\beta\|^2 + \lambda^2 \|\beta\|^2 + \frac{(1-\lambda)}{n} \|Y - X\alpha\|^2 + \lambda(1-\lambda) \|\alpha\|^2 \\ &= \lambda C(\beta) + (1-\lambda) C(\alpha) \end{aligned}$$

+) Base on the property of convex function: $\hat{\beta}_\lambda^{\text{ridge}} \in \arg\min_{\beta} C(\beta) \Rightarrow \nabla C(\hat{\beta}) = 0$

$$\Rightarrow \nabla C(\hat{\beta}) = \frac{2}{n} X^T(X\hat{\beta} - Y) + 2\lambda\hat{\beta} = 0 \quad (\hat{\beta} = \hat{\beta}_\lambda^{\text{ridge}})$$

$$\Rightarrow (X^T X + n\lambda I) \hat{\beta} = X^T Y$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{\beta} = (X^T X + n\lambda I)^+ X^T Y$$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \hat{\beta}_\lambda^{\text{ridge}} = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \hat{\beta} = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} [(X^T X + n\lambda I)^+ X^T Y] = (X^T X)^+ X^T Y = \hat{\beta}^{\text{ls}} \quad \square$$

Problem 3: We say that a design matrix $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$ satisfies the restricted isometry property with constants $s \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\delta \in (0, 1)$, if

$$(1 - \delta) \|\beta\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{n} \|X\beta\|^2 \leq (1 + \delta) \|\beta\|^2 \text{ for all } \beta \in \mathbb{R}^d \text{ such that } \|\beta\|_0 \leq s$$

Assume that X is ε -incoherent. Show that, for any $s \in \{1, 2, \dots, [1/\varepsilon]\}$, X satisfies the restricted isometry property with constants s and εs

→ Proof:

+) The design matrix X is ε -incoherent, so $\left\| \frac{1}{n} X^T X - I_d \right\|_\infty \leq \varepsilon \quad (1)$

+) For any $s \in \{1, 2, \dots, [1/\varepsilon]\}$; $\varepsilon s \in \{\varepsilon, 2\varepsilon, \dots, 1\} \in (0, 1)$, we have to prove that $(1 - \varepsilon s) \|\beta\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{n} \|X\beta\|^2 \leq (1 + \varepsilon s) \|\beta\|^2$ for all $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^d$ such that $\|\beta\|_0 = \sum_{j=1}^d \mathbb{1}_{\{\beta_j \neq 0\}} \leq s$

+) Indeed, let consider $\frac{\|X\beta\|^2}{n \|\beta\|^2}$

$$\frac{\|X\beta\|^2}{n \|\beta\|^2} = \frac{\|\beta\|^2 + \beta^T \left(\frac{1}{n} X^T X - I_d \right) \beta}{\|\beta\|^2} = 1 + \frac{\beta^T \left(\frac{1}{n} X^T X - I_d \right) \beta}{\|\beta\|^2}$$

$$\bullet \text{ We have } \left| \beta^T \left(\frac{1}{n} X^T X - I_d \right) \beta \right| \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^d |\beta_i| |\beta_j| \underbrace{\left\| \frac{1}{n} X^T X - I_d \right\|_\infty}_{\leq \varepsilon \text{ (from (1))}} \leq \varepsilon \|\beta\|_1^2 \quad (2)$$

$$\bullet \text{ And } \|\beta\|_1 = \sum_{j=1}^d |\beta_j| \leq \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^d \mathbb{1}_{\{\beta_j \neq 0\}}} \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^d \beta_j^2} \leq \sqrt{s} \|\beta\| \quad (3)$$

$$\bullet \text{ From (2) and (3): } \left| \beta^T \left(\frac{1}{n} X^T X - I_d \right) \beta \right| \leq \varepsilon s \|\beta\|^2 \Rightarrow -\varepsilon s \|\beta\|^2 \leq \beta^T \left(\frac{1}{n} X^T X - I_d \right) \beta \leq \varepsilon s \|\beta\|^2$$

$$\text{Hence, } 1 - \varepsilon s \leq \frac{\|X\beta\|^2}{n \|\beta\|^2} \leq 1 + \varepsilon s$$

$$\text{or } (1 - \varepsilon s) \|\beta\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{n} \|X\beta\|^2 \leq (1 + \varepsilon s) \|\beta\|^2 \text{ for all } \beta \in \mathbb{R}^d; \|\beta\|_0 \leq s \quad \square$$

Problem 4: Let $\hat{\beta}^{bic} \in \underset{\beta}{\operatorname{argmin}} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \|Y - X\beta\|^2 + \lambda^2 \|\beta\|_0 \right\}$

and assume that $\frac{X^T X}{n} = I_d$. Show that the Bayesian information criterion admits a closed form representation in this case: $\hat{\beta}_j^{bic} = \frac{1}{n} X_j^T Y \cdot \mathbb{1}(|X_j^T Y| > n\lambda)$; $j \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ where X_1, \dots, X_d are the columns of X .

→ Proof:

Consider $\underset{\beta}{\operatorname{argmin}} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \|Y - X\beta\|^2 + \lambda^2 \|\beta\|_0 \right\}$, we can see that both $\frac{1}{n} \|Y - X\beta\|^2$ and $\lambda^2 \|\beta\|_0$ are element wise. Thus, the problem can be solved per element.

$$1) \quad \frac{1}{n} \|Y - X\hat{\beta}\|^2 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} \hat{\beta}_j^{bic} = \frac{1}{n} X_j^T Y; \text{ indeed, } \frac{1}{n} \|Y - \frac{X \cdot X^T Y}{n}\|^2 = \|Y - Y\|^2 = 0 & \text{Not true} \\ j(\hat{\beta}_j^{bic}) = \lambda^2 \|\beta\|_0 \geq \lambda^2 \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

⇒ The loss of function is λ^2 when $\hat{\beta}_j^{bic} = \frac{1}{n} X_j^T Y$

$$2) \quad \lambda^2 \|\beta\|_0 = \lambda^2 \sum_{j=1}^d \mathbb{1}(\beta_j \neq 0) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} \hat{\beta}_j^{bic} = 0 \\ j(\hat{\beta}_j^{bic}) = \frac{1}{n} \|Y\|^2 = \frac{1}{n^2} X^T X \|Y\|^2 = \frac{\|X^T Y\|^2}{n^2} \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

⇒ The loss of function is $\|X^T Y\|^2$ when $\hat{\beta}_j^{bic} = 0$

Because of the difference in loss from both functions (1 & 2), the higher loss is chosen;

$$\hat{\beta}_j^{bic} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{n} X_j^T Y & \text{if } \|X^T Y\|^2 > n^2 \lambda^2 \text{ or } |X_j^T Y| > n\lambda \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{\beta}_j^{bic} = \frac{1}{n} X_j^T Y \cdot \mathbb{1}(|X_j^T Y| > n\lambda) \quad \square$$

Problem 5: Let a design matrix $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$ be such that its restricted eigenvalue satisfies

the inequality $\min_{\substack{J \subseteq \{1, \dots, d\} \\ \# J \leq s}} RE(0, J) = \lambda > 0$

Prove or disprove that X satisfies the restricted isometry property.

→ Proof:

→ Let's consider matrix $\Psi_n = \frac{1}{n} X^T X$, we have

$$\min_{\substack{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^d \\ \beta \neq 0}} \left(\frac{\beta^T \Psi_n \beta}{\|\beta\|^2} \right) = \min_{\substack{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^d \\ \beta \neq 0}} \left(\frac{\|X\beta\|^2}{n\|\beta\|^2} \right) > 0$$

→ For $1 \leq s \leq d$, $\Phi_{\min}(s) = \min_{\substack{x \in \mathbb{R}^d \\ 1 \leq \|x\| \leq s}} \left(\frac{x^T \Psi_n x}{\|x\|^2} \right) = \min_{\substack{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^d \\ \beta \neq 0}} \left(\frac{\|X\beta\|^2}{n\|\beta\|^2} \right)$

→ For $1 \leq s_1, s_2 \leq d$, $\Theta_{s_1, s_2} = \max \left\{ \frac{c_1^T X_{I_1}^T X_{I_2} c_2}{n\|c_1\|^2 \|c_2\|^2} ; I_1 \cap I_2 = \emptyset, |I_i| \leq m_i, c_i \in \mathbb{R}^{I_i} \setminus \{0\}, i = 1, 2 \right\}$

→ $RE(c, J) = \inf \left\{ \frac{\|X\beta\|^2}{n\|\beta_J\|^2} : \beta \in \mathbb{R}^d, \underbrace{\|\beta_{J^c}\|_1}_{(*)} \leq c \|\beta_J\|_1 \right\}$

→ $\frac{1}{n} \|X\beta\|^2 = \frac{1}{n} \|X\beta_J + X\beta_{J^c}\|^2 \geq \frac{1}{n} \|X\beta_J\|^2 + \frac{2}{n} \beta_J^T X^T X \beta_{J^c}$

$$\geq \Phi_{\min}(s) \cdot \|\beta_J\|^2 - 2\Theta_{1,1} \|\beta_J\|_1 \|\beta_{J^c}\|_1$$

[From (*)] $\geq \Phi_{\min}(s) \cdot \|\beta_J\|^2 - 2\Theta_{1,1} \cdot c \cdot \|\beta_J\|_1^2$

[$\|\beta_J\|_1 \leq \sqrt{s} \cdot \|\beta_J\|$ (Cauchy-Schwarz)] $\geq \Phi_{\min}(s) \|\beta_J\|^2 - 2\Theta_{1,1} \cdot c \cdot s \cdot \|\beta_J\|^2$

$$\geq (\Phi_{\min}(s) - 2\Theta_{1,1} \cdot c \cdot s) \cdot \|\beta_J\|^2$$

$\Rightarrow \frac{\|X\beta\|^2}{n\|\beta_J\|^2} \geq \Phi_{\min}(s) - 2\Theta_{1,1} \cdot c \cdot s > 0$

where $\Theta_{1,1} < \frac{1}{(1+2c)s}$

Hence, $\min_{\substack{J \in \{1, \dots, d\} \\ |J| \leq s}} RE(0, J) = \Phi_{\min}(s) - 0 = \min_{\substack{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^d \\ \beta \neq 0}} \left(\frac{\|X\beta\|^2}{n\|\beta\|^2} \right) = \lambda > 0$

$\Rightarrow \frac{\|X\beta\|^2}{n\|\beta\|^2} \geq \lambda \rightarrow$ Can not conclude that X satisfies the RIP based on this inequality.

I do not see why the restricted isometry property is not satisfied in your counterexample.