Vo Ngoc Bich Uyen

Points for problems: 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1

MML 2022

Home assignment 1

Problem 1: Let & be a non-negative random variable. Prove that

$$\inf_{k \in \mathbb{R}^+} \frac{E \mathcal{E}^k}{t^k} \leq \inf_{\lambda \geqslant 0} \frac{E e^{\lambda \ell}}{e^{\lambda t}} , \forall t > 0$$

Total: 6

Let
$$L = \inf_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^+} \frac{E \epsilon^k}{t^k}$$

. $\forall t > 0$, we have

$$\frac{Ee^{\lambda\xi}}{e^{\lambda t}} = \frac{1}{e^{\lambda t}} \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^{k} \cdot E\xi^{k}}{k!} = \frac{1}{e^{\lambda t}} \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda t)^{k}}{k!} \cdot \frac{E\xi^{k}}{t^{k}} \gg \frac{L}{e^{\lambda t}} \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda t)^{k}}{k!} = \frac{L}{e^{\lambda t}} \cdot e^{\lambda t} = L$$

$$=) \frac{Ee^{\lambda \xi}}{e^{\lambda t}} > \inf_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^+} \frac{E \xi^k}{t^k}$$

Then taking the injimum over 2>0

$$\Rightarrow \inf_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^+} \frac{E \epsilon^k}{t^k} \le \inf_{\lambda \geqslant 0} \frac{E e^{\lambda \xi}}{e^{\lambda t}}$$

Problem 2: Suppose that E is a sub-Gaussian random variable with variance proxy o2. Prove that Var (E) < 502 -> Proof: E is a sub-Gaussian random variable with variance proxy 52 and mean μ =) [(= [u-3] = (= E[eλ(ε-μ)] ≤ eλ262/2 (*) + λ ∈ R + The Taylor series of (*)-left is $1 + \lambda E[E-\mu] + \frac{\lambda^2}{2} E((E-\mu)^2] + o(\lambda^2) \in$ + The Taylor series of (*)-right is $1+\frac{\lambda^2 \delta^2}{2}+o(\lambda^2)$ from $\sqrt{(1)}$ and (2) => $1 + \frac{\lambda^2}{a} \operatorname{Var}(\mathcal{E}) + o(\lambda^2) \leq 1 + \frac{\lambda^2 6^2}{9} + o(\lambda^2)$ $Var(E) \leq 6^2$ Problem 3: Let & and of be sub-Gaussian r.v. (not necessarily independent) with

variance proxies of and of. Prove that the rum (E+2) is a sub-Gaussian r.v. with variance proxy (of + o2)2.

-> Proof:

Assume that $E[E] = E[\gamma] = 0$ We have $E[e^{\lambda(E+\gamma)}] = E[e^{\lambda E} e^{\lambda \gamma}] \stackrel{(A)}{\leq} (E[e^{\lambda E} \frac{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2}{\sigma_1}]) \stackrel{\sigma_1}{\sim} (E[e^{\lambda \gamma} \frac{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2}{\sigma_2}]) \stackrel{\sigma_2}{\sim} (E[e^{\lambda \gamma} \frac{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2}{\sigma_2}]) \stackrel{(A)}{\sim} (E[e^{\lambda \gamma} \frac{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2}{\sigma_2}])$ (1): By Holder's inequality: E[En] = (E[EP]) (E[En]) where p+q=1 (2) \mathcal{E} and η be sub-Gaussian r.v. with $\frac{\sigma_1^2}{\sigma_1^2}$ and $\frac{\sigma_2^2}{\sigma_2^2}$, so $\frac{\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2}{\sigma_1^2} \leq \frac{\lambda^2 (\sigma_1 + \sigma_2^2)^2}{2} \leq \frac{\lambda^2 (\sigma_1 + \sigma_2^2)^2}{2}$ $\left(e^{\lambda^2(\vec{s}_1+\vec{s}_2)^2/2}\right)^{\frac{1}{\vec{s}_1+\vec{s}_2}}\cdot\left(e^{\lambda^2(\vec{s}_1+\vec{s}_2)^2/2}\right)^{\frac{\vec{s}_2}{\vec{s}_1+\vec{s}_2}}=e^{\lambda^2(\vec{s}_1+\vec{s}_2)^2/2}$ $\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\lambda(\xi+\eta)}\right] \leq e^{\lambda^2(6\xi+6\xi)^2/2}$ (E+2) is a sub-Gaussian r.v. with variance proxy (6,+62)2 1

Problem 4: Given any centered random variable E, prove that the jollowing properties are equivalent: (i) E is a sub-exponential random variable with parameters (o, b); (ii) There are constants c, 2 > 0 such that P(1813t) < ce-t/2 for all t >0 (iii) There exists 0 >0, such that E|E| ≤ (OK) for all K∈ N -> Proof: (i) → (ii) - E is a sub-exponential r.v. with parameters (6, b) => E[e^λξ] ≤ e^{λ²6²/2} $\frac{\forall |\lambda| \leq \frac{1}{b}; b > 0}{e^{\lambda t}} \leq \frac{e^{\lambda^2 6^2/2}}{e^{\lambda t}}$. We have $P(\xi > t) = P(\lambda \xi > \lambda t) = P(e^{\lambda \xi} > e^{\lambda t}) \le$ where t > 0; $0 \le \lambda \le \frac{1}{b}$ (Chernoy bound) . For $0 \le \lambda \le \frac{1}{b}$, considerate junction $J(\lambda) = \frac{\lambda^2 6^2}{2} - \lambda t$ $J'(\lambda) = \lambda \cdot \xi' - t = 0 \quad (a) \quad \lambda = \frac{t}{6^2}$ Case 1: $\frac{t}{6^{12}} > \frac{1}{b}$ =) $f_{min} = f_{b}^{(2)} = \frac{6^{12}}{2b^2} - \frac{t}{b} \le \frac{tb}{2b^2} - \frac{t}{b} = -\frac{t}{2b}$ (*1) $[6^2 \leq tb]$ $3(\frac{1}{b})$ (*) & (*) \Rightarrow $P(\xi > t) \le e^{-\frac{t}{2b}}$ Similarly, $\forall t > 0$: $P(\xi \le -t) \le e^{-\frac{t}{2b}}$ \Rightarrow $P(|\xi| > t) \le 2e^{-\frac{t}{2b}}$ Case 2: $\frac{t}{6^2} < \frac{1}{b}$ (3) $\frac{b}{26^2}$. $t < \frac{1}{2}$ We have $P(|E|>t) \leq A \leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{e}} \cdot \frac{b}{\sqrt{e}} \cdot \frac{b}{\sqrt$ Case 2: $\frac{t}{\sigma^2} < \frac{1}{b}$ =) $f_{min} = J(\frac{t}{\sigma^2}) = \frac{t^2 \sigma^2}{\sigma^4 \cdot 2} = \frac{t^2}{\sigma^2} = \frac{t^2}{2\sigma^2}$ Case 2: $\frac{t}{\sigma^2} < \frac{1}{b} = \frac{b}{2\sigma^2} \cdot t < \frac{1}{2}$ We have $P(|E| > t) \le 1 \le \frac{2}{\sqrt{e}} = 2e^{-\frac{1}{2}} \le 2e^{-\frac{b}{26}} t$ Hence J c = 2; $\tau = 2b$ or $\tau = \frac{2\sigma^2}{b}$ that satisfies (ii)

(ii)
$$\rightarrow$$
 (iii)

We have $E[[E]^{k}] = \int_{0}^{\infty} P(IE]^{k} > M) dM = \begin{cases} U = t^{k} \\ du = k, t^{k-1} dt \end{cases} = \int_{0}^{\infty} k t^{k-1} \cdot c \cdot e^{-t/2} dt = \begin{cases} \Gamma = \frac{t}{T} \\ dr = \frac{dt}{T} \end{cases} = \int_{0}^{\infty} k t^{k-1} \cdot c \cdot e^{-t/2} dt = \begin{cases} \Gamma = \frac{t}{T} \\ dr = \frac{dt}{T} \end{cases} = \int_{0}^{\infty} k t^{k-1} \cdot c \cdot e^{-t/2} dt = \begin{cases} \Gamma(k) = \int_{0}^{\infty} r^{k-1} \cdot e^{-t} dr = \frac{dt}{T} \\ dr = \frac{dt}{T} \end{cases} = \int_{0}^{\infty} k t^{k} \cdot c \cdot t^{k} r^{k-1} \cdot e^{-t} dr = \begin{cases} \Gamma(k) = \int_{0}^{\infty} r^{k-1} \cdot e^{-t} dr = \frac{dt}{T} \\ dr = \frac{dt}{T} \end{cases} = \int_{0}^{\infty} k t^{k} \cdot c \cdot t^{k} r^{k-1} \cdot e^{-t} dr = \begin{cases} \Gamma(k) = \int_{0}^{\infty} r^{k-1} \cdot e^{-t} dr = \frac{dt}{T} \\ dr = \frac{dt}{T} \end{cases}$

$$= \int_{0}^{\infty} k t^{k-1} \cdot c \cdot e^{-t/2} dt = \int_{0}^{\infty} r^{k-1} \cdot e^{-t} dr = \int_{0}^{\infty} k t^{k} \cdot c \cdot t^{k} r^{k-1} \cdot e^{-t} dr = \begin{cases} \Gamma(k) = \int_{0}^{\infty} r^{k-1} \cdot e^{-t} dr = \frac{dt}{T} \end{cases}$$

$$= \int_{0}^{\infty} k t^{k-1} \cdot c \cdot e^{-t/2} dt = \int_{0}^{\infty} k t^{k} \cdot c \cdot t^{k} r^{k-1} \cdot e^{-t} dr = \begin{cases} \Gamma(k) = \int_{0}^{\infty} r^{k} \cdot c \cdot t^{k} r^{k-1} \cdot e^{-t} dr = \begin{cases} \Gamma(k) = \int_{0}^{\infty} r^{k} \cdot c \cdot t^{k} r^{k-1} \cdot e^{-t} dr = \begin{cases} \Gamma(k) = \int_{0}^{\infty} r^{k} \cdot c \cdot t^{k} r^{k-1} \cdot e^{-t} dr = \begin{cases} \Gamma(k) = \int_{0}^{\infty} r^{k} \cdot c \cdot t^{k} r^{k-1} \cdot e^{-t} dr = \begin{cases} \Gamma(k) = \int_{0}^{\infty} r^{k} \cdot c \cdot t^{k} r^{k-1} \cdot e^{-t} dr = \begin{cases} \Gamma(k) = \int_{0}^{\infty} r^{k} \cdot c \cdot t^{k} r^{k-1} \cdot e^{-t} dr = \begin{cases} \Gamma(k) = \int_{0}^{\infty} r^{k} \cdot c \cdot t^{k} r^{k-1} \cdot e^{-t} dr = \begin{cases} \Gamma(k) = \int_{0}^{\infty} r^{k} \cdot c \cdot t^{k} r^{k-1} \cdot e^{-t} dr = \begin{cases} \Gamma(k) = \int_{0}^{\infty} r^{k} \cdot c \cdot t^{k} r^{k-1} \cdot e^{-t} dr = \begin{cases} \Gamma(k) = \int_{0}^{\infty} r^{k} \cdot c \cdot t^{k} r^{k-1} \cdot e^{-t} dr = \begin{cases} \Gamma(k) = \int_{0}^{\infty} r^{k} \cdot c \cdot t^{k} r^{k-1} \cdot e^{-t} dr = \begin{cases} \Gamma(k) = \int_{0}^{\infty} r^{k} \cdot c \cdot t^{k} r^{k-1} \cdot e^{-t} r^{k} r^{k} r^{k-1} \cdot e^{-t} r^{k-1} \cdot e^{-t} r^{k} r^{k-1} \cdot e^{-t} r^{k} r^{k-1} \cdot e^{-t} r^{k-1} \cdot e^{-t} r^{k-1} \cdot e^{-t} r^{k} r^{k-1} \cdot e^{-t} r^{k} r^{k-1} \cdot e^{-t} r^{k} r^{k-1} \cdot e^{-t} r^{k} r^{k-1} \cdot e^{-t} r^{k-1} \cdot e^{-t} r^{k-1} r^{k-1}$$

Problem 5: Let \mathcal{E}_1 and \mathcal{E}_2 be centered sub-Gaussian random variables with variance proxies \mathcal{E}_1^2 and \mathcal{E}_2^2 , respectively (\mathcal{E}_1 , \mathcal{E}_2 are not necessarily independent). Prove that \mathcal{E}_1 , \mathcal{E}_2 is a sub-exponential random variable.

-> Proof:

Let E is centered sub-Gaussian r.v., we have degine $\|E\|_{\Psi_2}$ by $\|E\|_{\Psi_2} := \inf\{t>0: E[e^{E^2/t^2}] \le 2\}$

Applying jor ξ, ξ, ξ_2 , we have $E[e^{\xi_1^2}] \le 2$ & $E[e^{\xi_2^2}] \le 2$

Suppose that II E, 11 42 +0

Then $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_1 = \frac{\mathcal{E}_1}{\|\mathcal{E}_1\|_{\Psi_2}}$ is sub-Gaussian with $\|\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_1\|_{\Psi_2} = \frac{\|\mathcal{E}_1\|_{\Psi_2}}{\|\mathcal{E}_1\|_{\Psi_2}} = 1$.

Thus we assume that $\|\xi_1\|_{\Psi_2} = \|\xi_2\|_{\Psi_2} = 1$.

Using joinula $xy \in \frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{y^2}{2} + x_1y \in \mathbb{R}$ (due to $(x-y)^2 > 0$) $for x = EE_1, y = EE_2, x = Ee_1, y = Ee_2 \Rightarrow E_1 E_2 \leq \frac{E_1^2}{2} + \frac{E_2^2}{2}$ $\Rightarrow E[e^{E_1 E_2}] \leq E[e^{(E_1^2 + E_2^2)/2}] = E[e^{\frac{E_1^2}{2}} e^{\frac{E_2^2}{2}}] \leq \frac{1}{2} E[e^{E_1^2} + e^{E_2^2}] = \frac{1}{2} (2+2) = 2$

This implies that || E, E2 || y, < 1

By Markov's inequality $\frac{\left(\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}_{z}\right) \Rightarrow \|\mathcal{E}\|_{\Psi_{1}} \leq 1}{|\mathcal{E}|_{\Psi_{1}}} \leq 1$ $P(\mathcal{E}|>t) = P\left(e^{\frac{|\mathcal{E}|}{\|\mathcal{E}\|_{\Psi_{1}}}}\right) \leq E\left[e^{\frac{|\mathcal{E}|}{\|\mathcal{E}\|_{\Psi_{1}}}}\right] e^{\frac{t}{\|\mathcal{E}\|_{\Psi_{1}}}} \leq 2e^{-\frac{t}{\|\mathcal{E}\|_{\Psi_{1}}}} \leq 2e^{-\frac{t}{\|\mathcal{E}\|_{\Psi_{1}}}}$

From problem 4(i+ii), there are constants c=2, $\tau=1$ such that $P(|E|>t) \le 2e^{t}$ t>0, that $E=E_1$, E_2 is a sub-exponential r.v.

Problem 6: Prove that the Orlicz norm 11.11 up, p>1, satisfies the triangle inequality that is for any random variables E and 7 it holds that

-> Proof:

We define the Orlicz norm as $\|E\|_{\psi} := \inf_{t \to 0} \frac{1}{t} \times 0 : E\left[\psi\left(\frac{|E|}{t}\right)\right] \leq 1$

Let
$$x = \|\xi\|_{\Psi_{p}}$$
; $y = \|\eta\|_{\Psi_{p}}$. Then

$$\psi\left(\frac{|\xi+\eta|}{x+y}\right) \leq \psi\left(\frac{|\xi|+|\eta|}{x+y}\right) = \psi\left(\frac{x}{x+y}, \frac{|\xi|}{x}, + \frac{y}{x+y}, \frac{|\eta|}{y}\right) \leq \frac{x}{x+y}, \psi\left(\frac{|\xi|}{x}, + \frac{y}{x+y}, \frac{|\eta|}{y}, \frac{|\eta|}{y}\right) \leq \frac{x}{x+y}, \psi\left(\frac{|\xi|}{x}, + \frac{y}{x+y}, \frac{|\eta|}{y}, \frac{|\eta|}{y}\right) \leq \frac{x}{x+y}, \psi\left(\frac{|\xi|}{x}, + \frac{y}{x+y}, \frac{|\eta|}{x}, \frac{|\eta|}{y}\right) \leq \frac{x}{x+y}, \psi\left(\frac{|\xi|}{x}, + \frac{y}{x+y}, \frac{|\eta|}{x+y}, \frac{|\eta|}{x+y}, \frac{|\eta|}{y}\right) \leq \frac{x}{x+y}, \psi\left(\frac{|\xi|}{x}, + \frac{y}{x+y}, \frac{|\eta|}{x+y}, \frac{|\eta|}{x+y}, \frac{|\eta|}{x+y}\right) \leq \frac{x}{x+y}, \psi\left(\frac{|\xi|}{x}, + \frac{y}{x+y}, \frac{|\eta|}{x+y}, \frac{|\eta|}{x+y}, \frac{|\eta|}{x+y}\right) \leq \frac{x}{x+y}, \psi\left(\frac{|\xi|}{x}, + \frac{y}{x+y}, \frac{|\eta|}{x+y}, \frac{|\eta$$