Exercises week 1 Friday 31 August 2018

Last update 2018-08-30

Goal of the exercises

The goal of this week's exercises is to make sure that you can use Java threads and synchronized methods and statements; that you have an initial understanding of using multiple threads for better performance; a good understanding of visibility of field updates between threads; and the advantages of immutability.

The following abbreviations are used in the exercise sheets:

- "Goetz" means Goetz et al.: Java Concurrency in Practice, Addison-Wesley 2006. Mandatory reading.
- "Bloch" means Bloch: Effective Java. Second edition, Addison-Wesley 2008. Recommended reading.
- "Herlihy" means Herlihy and Shavit: *The Art of Multiprocessor Programming*. Revised reprint, Morgan Kaufmann 2012. A few chapters are mandatory reading.

The exercises let you try yourself the ideas and concepts that were introduced in the lectures. Some exercises may be challenging, but they are not supposed to require days of work.

If you get stuck with an exercise outside the exercise sessions, you may use the News Forum for the course in LearnIT to ask for help. This is better than emailing the teaching assistants individually.

Exercises may be solved and solutions handed in in groups of 1, 2 or 3 students.

Exercise solutions have to be **handed in through LearnIT** no later than 23:55 on the Thursday following the exercise date.

How to hand in

You should make hand-ins as simple as possible for you and for the teaching assistants. Please submit precisely two files:

- one file (yourName.pdf) containing all your answers. This is what we grade.
- a single zipped (*.zip) file containing precisely all your source code (only *.java files). Essentially the very same code provided to you, but with your additions. Please **do not** create extra directories or reorganize the files, keep it simple (as the code is given to you!).

Please do **not** submit:

- Microsoft Word documents (*.doc or *.docx files) or LibreOffice or OpenOffice documents (.odt).
- PDF documents (*.pdf files).
- Eclipse or Netbeans project metafiles (*.proj files and other junk).
- Compiled Java classes (*.class files).
- Exotic archive formats such as .rar files.
- Screenshots that just show code or text output. Better submit those as .java or .txt files.

Do this first

Make sure you have the Java Development Kit installed; you will need Java version 8 for this course. Type java -version in a console on Windows, MacOS or Linux to see what version you have. From inside Eclipse you may instead inspect Preferences > Java > Installed JREs.

You may want to install a recent version of an integrated development environment such as Eclipse Neon (4.6). Get and unpack this week's example code in zip file pcpp-week01.zip on the course homepage.

Exercise 1.1 Consider the lecture's LongCounter example found in file TestLongCounterExperiments.java, and **remove** the synchronized keyword from method increment so you get this class:

```
class LongCounter {
  private long count = 0;
  public void increment() {
    count = count + 1;
  }
  public synchronized long get() {
    return count;
  }
}
```

1. The main method creates a LongCounter object. Then it creates and starts two threads that run concurrently, and each increments the count field 10 million times by calling method increment.

What kind of final values do you get when the increment method is **not** synchronized?

- 2. Reduce the counts value from 10 million to 100, recompile, and rerun the code. It is now likely that you get the correct result (200) in every run. Explain how this could be. Would you consider this software correct, in the sense that you would guarantee that it always gives 200?
- 3. The increment method in LongCounter uses the assignment

```
count = count + 1;
```

to add one to count. This could be expressed also as count += 1 or as count++.

Do you think it would make any difference to use one of these forms instead? Why? Change the code and run it. Do you see any difference in the results for any of these alternatives?

4. Extend the LongCounter class with a decrement () method which subtracts 1 from the count field.

Change the code in main so that t1 calls decrement 10 million times, and t2 calls increment 10 million times, on a LongCounter instance. In particular, initialize main's counts variable to 10 million as before.

What should the final value be, after both threads have completed?

Note that decrement is called only from one thread, and increment is called only from another thread. So do the methods have to be synchronized for the example to produce the expected final value? Explain why (or why not).

5. Make four experiments: (i) Run the example without synchronized on any of the methods; (ii) with only decrement being synchronized; (iii) with only increment being synchronized; and (iv) with both being synchronized. List some of the final values you get in each case. Explain how they could arise.

Exercise 1.2 Consider this class, whose print method prints a dash "-", waits for 50 milliseconds, and then prints a vertical bar "|":

```
class Printer {
  public void print() {
    System.out.print("-");
    try { Thread.sleep(50); } catch (InterruptedException exn) { }
    System.out.print("|");
  }
}
```

1. Write a program that creates a Printer object p, and then creates and starts two threads. Each thread must call p.print() forever. You will observe that most of the time the dash and bar symbols alternate neatly as in -|-|-|-|-|-|-|.

But occasionally two bars are printed in a row, or two dashes are printed in a row, creating small "weaving faults" like those shown below:

Since each thread always prints a dash after printing a bar, and vice versa, this phenomenon can be caused only by one thread printing a bar and then the other thread printing a bar before the first one gets to print its dash.

Describe a scenario involving the two threads where this happens.

- 2. Making method print synchronized should prevent this from happening. Explain why. Compile and run the improved program to see whether it works.
- 3. Rewrite print to use a synchronized statement in its body instead of the method being synchronized.
- 4. Make the print method static, and change the synchronized statement inside it to lock on the Print class's reflective Class object instead.

For beauty, you should also change the threads to call static method Print.print() instead of instance method p.print().

Exercise 1.3 Consider the lecture's example in file TestMutableInteger.java, which contains this definition of class MutableInteger:

As said in the Goetz book and the lecture, this cannot be used to reliably communicate an integer from one thread to another, as attempted here:

- 1. Compile and run the example as is. Do you observe the same problem as in the lecture, where the "main" thread's write to mi.value remains invisible to the t thread, so that it loops forever?
- 2. Now declare both the get and set methods synchronized, compile and run. Does thread t terminate as expected now?

- 3. Now remove the synchronized modifier from the get methods. Does thread t terminate as expected now? If it does, is that something one should rely on? Why is synchronized needed on **both** methods for the reliable communication between the threads?
- 4. Remove both synchronized declarations and instead declare field value to be volatile. Does thread t terminate as expected now? Why should it be sufficient to use volatile and not synchronized in class MutableInteger?

Exercise 1.4 Consider the small artificial program in file TestLocking0.java. In class Mystery, the single mutable field sum is private, and all methods are synchronized, so superficially the class seems to be thread-safe.

- 1. Compile the program and run it several times. Show the results you get. Do they indicate that class Mystery is thread-safe or not?
- 2. Explain why class Mystery is not thread-safe. Hint: Consider (a) what it means for an instance method to be synchronized, and (b) what it means for a static method to be synchronized.
- 3. Explain how you could make the class thread-safe, *without* changing its sequential behavior. That is, you should not make any static field into an instance field (or vice versa), and you should not make any static method into an instance method (or vice versa). Make the class thread-safe, and rerun the program to see whether it works.

Exercise 1.5 Consider class DoubleArrayList in TestLocking1.java. It implements an array list of numbers, and like Java's ArrayList it dynamically resizes the underlying array when it has become full.

- 1. Explain the simplest natural way to make class DoubleArrayList thread-safe so it can be used from multiple concurrent threads.
- 2. Discuss how well the thread-safe version of the class is likely scale if a large number of threads call get, add and set concurrently.
- 3. Now your notorious colleague Ulrik Funder suggests to improve the code by introducing a separate lock for each method, roughly as follows:

```
private final Object sizeLock = new Object(), getLock = new Object(),
  addLock = new Object(), setLock = new Object(), toStringLock = ...;
public boolean add(double x) {
  synchronized (addLock) {
    if (size == items.length) {
    items[size] = x;
    size++;
    return true;
}
public double set(int i, double x) {
  synchronized (setLock) {
    if (0 <= i && i < size) {
      double old = items[i];
      items[i] = x;
      return old;
      throw new IndexOutOfBoundsException(String.valueOf(i));
  }
}
```

Would this achieve thread-safety? Explain why not. Would it achieve visibility? Explain why not.

Exercise 1.6 Consider the extended class DoubleArrayList in TestLocking2.java. Like the class in the previous exercise it implements an array list of numbers, but now also has a static field totalSize that maintains a count of all the items ever added to any DoubleArrayList instance.

It also has a static field allLists that contains a hashset of all the DoubleArrayList instances created. There are corresponding changes in the add method and the constructor.

- 1. Explain how one can make the class thread-safe enough so that the totalSize field is maintained correctly even if multiple concurrent threads work on multiple DoubleArrayList instances at the same time. You may ignore the allLists field for now.
- 2. Explain how one can make the class thread-safe enough so that the allLists field is maintained correctly even if multiple concurrent threads create new DoubleArrayList instances at the same time.

Exercise 1.7 Consider the small artificial program in file TestLocking3.java. Since the single field and the three methods in classes MysteryA and MysteryB are all static, there is no confusion of locks on class and instance, so superficially the classes seem to be thread-safe.

```
class MysteryA {
  protected static long count = 0;
  public static synchronized void increment() {
     count++;
  }
  public static synchronized long get() {
     return count;
  }
}

class MysteryB extends MysteryA {
  public static synchronized void increment4() {
     count += 4;
  }
}
```

1. Explain why after 10 million calls to MysteryB.increment() and 10 million concurrent calls to MysteryB.increment4(), the resulting value of count is rarely the expected 50,000,000.

Hint: Consider the actual meaning of the synchronized modifier when used on a static method.

2. Explain how one can use an explicit lock object and synchronized statements (not synchronized methods) to change the locking scheme, so that the result is always the expected 50,000,000.