

# Survival Analysis: Time To Event Modelling

Sudipta Das

Assistant Professor,  
Department of Computer Science,  
Ramakrishna Mission Vivekananda Educational & Research Institute

## 1 Semi Parametric Estimation

- By Modeling the hazard rate, we can understand how quickly individuals of a certain age are experiencing the event of interest.
- Therefore, we try to model the hazard function based on covariates/treatment/confounder.
- The major approach to modeling the effects of covariates on survival is to model the conditional hazard rate as a function of the covariates.
- Two general classes of models have been used to relate covariate effects to survival,
  - the family of multiplicative hazard models and
  - the family of additive hazard rate models.

# Introduction II

- Let  $X$  denote the time to some event.
- Data, based on a sample of size  $n$ ,

$$(T_j, \delta_j, \underline{Z}_j(t)), j = 1, \dots, n$$

where

- $T_j$  is the time on study for the  $j$ th patient,
- $\delta_j$  is the event indicator for the  $j$ th patient and
- $\underline{Z}_j(t) = (Z_{j1}(t), \dots, Z_{jp}(t))^T$  is the vector of covariates or risk factors for the  $j$ th individual at time  $t$

- $Z_{jk}(t)$ 's,  $k = 1, \dots, p$ , may affect the survival distribution of  $X$ .
- $Z_{jk}(t)$ 's,  $k = 1, \dots, p$ , may be
  - time-dependent covariates, such as
    - current disease status, serial blood pressure measurements, etc.,
  - constant values known at time 0, such as
    - sex, treatment group, race, initial disease state, etc.
- We shall consider the fixed-covariate case where

$$\underline{Z}_j(t) = \underline{Z}_j = (Z_{j1}, \dots, Z_{jp})^T.$$

- Family of multiplicative hazard rate models

- the conditional hazard rate of an individual with covariate vector  $\underline{z}$  is a product of a baseline hazard rate  $h_0(t)$  and a non-negative function of the covariates,  $c(\underline{\beta}^T \underline{z})$ , that is,

$$h(t|\underline{z}) = h_0(t)c(\underline{\beta}^T \underline{z}),$$

where  $\underline{\beta} = [\beta_1, \dots, \beta_p]^T$  is a parameter vector.

- $h_0(t)$  may have a specified parametric form or it may be left as an arbitrary non-negative function.
- $c(\cdot)$  can be any non-negative link function

- This is called a semi-parametric model
  - when a parametric form is assumed only for the covariate effect and
  - the baseline hazard rate is treated non-parametrically.

- Survival function:

$$\begin{aligned} S(t|\underline{z}) &= e^{-\int_0^t h(u|\underline{z})du} \\ &= e^{-\int_0^t h_0(u)c(\underline{\beta}^T \underline{z})du} \\ &= \left[ e^{-\int_0^t h_0(u)du} \right]^{c(\underline{\beta}^T \underline{z})} \\ &= [S_0(t)]^{c(\underline{\beta}^T \underline{z})} \end{aligned}$$

- $S_0(t)$  is called baseline survival function



- A common link function uses in most applications is

$$\begin{aligned}c(\underline{\beta}^T \underline{z}) &= e^{\underline{\beta}^T \underline{z}} \\ &= e^{\sum_{k=1}^p \beta_k z_k}.\end{aligned}$$

- Note that  $e^{\sum_{k=1}^p \beta_k z_k}$  is always positive.
- Cox (1972) proportional hazards model.

# Proportional Hazards Model I

- Cox's Regression Model

$$h(t|\underline{z}) = h_0(t)e^{\underline{\beta}^T \underline{z}}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \underbrace{\log \text{ of hazard for given covariate profile}}_{\log h(t|\underline{z})} \\ = & \underbrace{\log \text{ of baseline hazard}}_{\log h_0(t)} + \underbrace{\text{linear combination of covariates}}_{\underline{\beta}^T \underline{z}} \end{aligned}$$

- $\underline{\beta}$  describe the rate of change of log-hazard with covariates.

# Proportional Hazards Model II

- Survival function

$$\begin{aligned} S(t|z) &= [S_0(t)]^{e^{\beta^T z}} \\ &= [S_0(t)]^{e^{\sum_{k=1}^p \beta_k z_k}}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$S_0(t) = e^{-\int_0^t h_0(u) du} = e^{-H_0(t)}.$$

# Proportional Hazards Model III

- The Cox model is often called a proportional hazards model.
- For two individuals with covariate values  $Z$  and  $Z^*$ , the ratio of their hazard rates is constant or independent of time.

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{h(t|Z)}{h(t|Z^*)} &= \frac{h_0(t)e^{\sum_{k=1}^p \beta_k z_k}}{h_0(t)e^{\sum_{k=1}^p \beta_k z_k^*}} \\ &= \exp \left[ \sum_{k=1}^p \beta_k (z_k - z_k^*) \right]\end{aligned}$$

- Hazard rates are proportional

# Proportional Hazards Model IV

- This ratio is called the relative risk (hazard ratio) of an individual with risk factor  $Z$  having the event as compared to an individual with risk factor  $Z^*$ .
- In particular, keeping all other covariates have the same value, if  $Z_1$  indicates the treatment effect
  - ( $Z_1 = 1$  if treatment and  $Z_1 = 0$  if placebo)
- then,

$$h(t|Z)/h(t|Z^*) = e^{\beta_1},$$

*is the risk of having the event if the individual received the treatment relative to the risk of having the event should the individual have received the placebo.*

# Partial Likelihoods for Distinct-Event Time Data: Construction I

- Data:

$$(T_j, \delta_j, \underline{Z}_j), j = 1(1)n$$

- Ordered event times:

$$t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_D$$

- $k$ th covariate of the individual whose failure time is  $t_i$ :

$$Z_{(i)k}$$

- Risk set at  $t_i$  :

$$R(t_i)$$

- the set of all individuals who are still under study at a time just prior to  $t_i$

# Partial Likelihoods for Distinct-Event Time Data: Construction II

- Likelihood contribution of the individual whose failure time is  $t_i$

$$\begin{aligned} L_i(\underline{\beta}) &= P [\text{individual dies at } t_i \mid \text{one death at } t_i] \\ &= \frac{P [\text{individual dies at } t_i \mid \text{survival to } t_i]}{P [\text{one death at } t_i \mid \text{survival to } t_i]} \\ &= \frac{h[t_i \mid \underline{Z}_{(i)}]}{\sum_{j \in R(t_i)} h[t_i \mid \underline{Z}_j]} \\ &= \frac{h_0[t_i] e^{\underline{\beta}^T \underline{Z}_{(i)}}}{\sum_{j \in R(t_i)} h_0[t_i] e^{\underline{\beta}^T \underline{Z}_j}} = \frac{e^{\underline{\beta}^T \underline{Z}_{(i)}}}{\sum_{j \in R(t_i)} e^{\underline{\beta}^T \underline{Z}_j}} \end{aligned}$$

# Partial Likelihoods for Distinct-Event Time Data: Construction III

- The Cox partial likelihood over all deaths

$$L(\underline{\beta}) = \prod_{i=1}^D L_i(\underline{\beta}) = \prod_{i=1}^D \frac{e^{\underline{\beta}^T \underline{Z}_{(i)}}}{\sum_{j \in R(t_i)} e^{\underline{\beta}^T \underline{Z}_j}}$$



# Partial Likelihoods for Distinct-Event Time Data: Construction IV

- The Cox partial likelihood can *also* be derived as a profile likelihood from the full censored-data likelihood.
- Derivation
  - The complete censored-data likelihood

$$\begin{aligned} L[\underline{\beta}, h_0(t)] &= \prod_{j=1}^n \left\{ [h(T_j | \underline{Z}_j)]^{\delta_j} S(T_j | \underline{Z}_j) \right\} \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^n \left\{ [h_0(T_j) e^{\underline{\beta}^T \underline{Z}_j}]^{\delta_j} e^{-H_0(T_j) e^{\underline{\beta}^T \underline{Z}_j}} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

- Now, for a fixed  $\underline{\beta}$ , the profile likelihood of the estimator  $h_0(t)$

$$L_{\underline{\beta}}[h_0(t)] = \left[ \prod_{i=1}^D h_0(t_i) e^{\underline{\beta}^T \underline{Z}_{(i)}} \right] e^{-\left[ \sum_{j=1}^n H_0(T_j) e^{\underline{\beta}^T \underline{Z}_j} \right]}$$

# Partial Likelihoods for Distinct-Event Time Data: Construction V

- Note that, this function is maximal when  $h_0(t) = 0$  except for times at which the events occurs.
- Let

$$h_{0i} = h_0(t_i), \quad i = 1, \dots, D$$

- So

$$H_0(T_j) = \sum_{t_i \leq T_j} h_{0i}.$$

# Partial Likelihoods for Distinct-Event Time Data: Construction VI

- Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} L_{\underline{\beta}}[h_{01}, \dots, h_{0D}] &= \left[ \prod_{i=1}^D h_0(t_i) \mathbf{e}^{\underline{\beta}^T \mathbf{Z}_{(i)}} \right] \mathbf{e}^{-\left[ \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i:t_i \leq T_j} h_{0i} \mathbf{e}^{\underline{\beta}^T \mathbf{Z}_j} \right]} \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^D \left\{ h_{0i} \mathbf{e}^{\underline{\beta}^T \mathbf{Z}_{(i)}} \times \mathbf{e}^{-h_{0i} \left[ \sum_{j \in R(t_i)} \mathbf{e}^{\underline{\beta}^T \mathbf{Z}_j} \right]} \right\} \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^D \left\{ h_{0i} \mathbf{e}^{-h_{0i} \left[ \sum_{j \in R(t_i)} \mathbf{e}^{\underline{\beta}^T \mathbf{Z}_j} \right]} \times \mathbf{e}^{\underline{\beta}^T \mathbf{Z}_{(i)}} \right\} \\ &\propto \prod_{i=1}^D \left\{ h_{0i} \mathbf{e}^{-h_{0i} \left[ \sum_{j \in R(t_i)} \mathbf{e}^{\underline{\beta}^T \mathbf{Z}_j} \right]} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

# Partial Likelihoods for Distinct-Event Time Data: Construction VII

- Therefore, the profile maximum likelihood estimator of  $h_{0i}$  is

$$\hat{h}_{0i} = \frac{1}{\sum_{j \in R(t_i)} e^{\beta^T \mathbf{Z}_j}}$$

- Also, the estimate of  $H_0(t)$  is

$$\hat{H}_0(t) = \sum_{t \leq t_i} \frac{1}{\sum_{j \in R(t_i)} e^{\beta^T \mathbf{Z}_j}}$$

- This is called Breslow's estimator of the baseline cumulative hazard rate in the case of, at most, one death at any time

# Partial Likelihoods for Distinct-Event Time Data: Construction VIII

- Substituting  $\hat{H}_0(t)$  in complete censor data likelihood, we get the profile likelihood proportional to the partial likelihood of  $\underline{\beta}$  as

$$L(\underline{\beta}) = \prod_{i=1}^D \frac{e^{\underline{\beta}^T \underline{Z}_{(i)}} e^{-1}}{\sum_{j \in R(t_i)} e^{\underline{\beta}^T \underline{Z}_j}}$$
$$\propto \prod_{i=1}^D \frac{\exp \left[ \sum_{k=1}^p \beta_k Z_{(i)k} \right]}{\sum_{j \in R(t_i)} \exp \left[ \sum_{k=1}^p \beta_k Z_{jk} \right]}$$

- Note that
  - the numerator of the likelihood depends only on information from the individual who experiences the event,
  - the denominator utilizes information about all individuals who have not yet experienced the event (including some individuals who will be censored later).

# Partial Likelihoods for Distinct-Event Time Data: Estimation I

- The (partial) log-likelihood

$$\begin{aligned} l(\underline{\beta}) &= \log L(\underline{\beta}) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^D \sum_{k=1}^p \beta_k Z_{(i)k} - \sum_{i=1}^D \log \left( \sum_{j \in R(t_i)} \exp \left[ \sum_{k=1}^p \beta_k Z_{jk} \right] \right) \end{aligned}$$

# Partial Likelihoods for Distinct-Event Time Data: Estimation II

- Thus, the *score functions* are

$$\begin{aligned} U_h(\underline{\beta}) &= \frac{\delta}{\delta \beta_h} l(\underline{\beta}), \quad h = 1, \dots, p \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^D Z_{(i)h} - \sum_{i=1}^D \frac{\sum_{j \in R(t_i)} Z_{jh} \exp \left[ \sum_{k=1}^p \beta_k Z_{jk} \right]}{\sum_{j \in R(t_i)} \exp \left[ \sum_{k=1}^p \beta_k Z_{jk} \right]} \end{aligned}$$

- Partial derivatives of log-likelihood with respect to the parameters
- Note that: -
  - $E[U_h(\underline{\beta})] = E \left[ \frac{\delta}{\delta \beta_h} l(\underline{\beta}) \right] = 0$  for all  $h = 1, \dots, p$
  - $Cov[U_g(\underline{\beta}) U_h(\underline{\beta})] = E \left[ \frac{\delta}{\delta \beta_g} l(\underline{\beta}) \frac{\delta}{\delta \beta_h} l(\underline{\beta}) \right] = -E \left[ \frac{\delta^2}{\delta \beta_g \delta \beta_h} l(\underline{\beta}) \right]$

# Partial Likelihoods for Distinct-Event Time Data: Estimation III

- The information matrix is  $\mathcal{I}(\underline{\beta}) = [\mathcal{I}_{gh}(\underline{\beta})]_{p \times p}$ , where the  $(g, h)^{th}$  element is

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{I}_{gh}(\underline{\beta}) &= -\frac{\delta^2}{\delta\beta_g\delta\beta_h}l(\underline{\beta}) = -\frac{\delta}{\delta\beta_g}U_h(\underline{\beta}) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^D \frac{\sum_{j \in R(t_i)} Z_{jg} Z_{jh} \exp \left[ \sum_{k=1}^p \beta_k Z_{jk} \right]}{\sum_{j \in R(t_i)} \exp \left[ \sum_{k=1}^p \beta_k Z_{jk} \right]} \\ &\quad - \sum_{i=1}^D \frac{\sum_{j \in R(t_i)} Z_{jg} \exp \left[ \sum_{k=1}^p \beta_k Z_{jk} \right]}{\sum_{j \in R(t_i)} \exp \left[ \sum_{k=1}^p \beta_k Z_{jk} \right]} \times \frac{\sum_{j \in R(t_i)} Z_{jh} \exp \left[ \sum_{k=1}^p \beta_k Z_{jk} \right]}{\sum_{j \in R(t_i)} \exp \left[ \sum_{k=1}^p \beta_k Z_{jk} \right]}\end{aligned}$$

- Negative of the matrix of second derivatives of the log likelihood



# Partial Likelihoods for Distinct-Event Time Data: Estimation IV

- The (partial) maximum likelihood estimates  $\hat{\underline{\beta}} = \underline{b}$  are found by solving the set of  $p$  nonlinear equations

$$U_h(\underline{\beta}) = 0, \quad h = 1, \dots, p.$$

- The estimated standard error of the estimates, i.e.,  $\hat{se}(\underline{b})$  can be found from the inverse of the information matrix calculated at  $\underline{\beta} = \underline{b}$ , i.e.,

$$\mathcal{I}^{-1}(\underline{\beta})|_{\underline{\beta}=\underline{b}}$$

- Note that: - *mle* is an efficient estimator for large sample

# Partial Likelihoods for Distinct-Event Time Data: Testing I

- There are three main tests for hypotheses about regression parameters  $\underline{\beta}$ 
  - Wald's test
  - The likelihood ratio test
  - The scores test
- General setup
  - Let  $\underline{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_p)^T$  denote the (partial) maximum likelihood estimates of  $\underline{\beta}$  and
  - let  $\mathcal{I}(\underline{\beta})$  be the  $p \times p$  information matrix evaluated at  $\underline{\beta}$ .

# Partial Likelihoods for Distinct-Event Time Data: Testing II

- Wald's test

- It is based on the result that, for large samples,  $\underline{b}$  has a  $p$ -variate normal distribution with mean  $\underline{\beta}$  and variance-covariance estimated by  $\mathcal{I}^{-1}(\underline{b})$ , i.e.

$$\underline{b} \sim N_p(\underline{\beta}, \mathcal{I}^{-1}(\underline{b})) .$$

# Partial Likelihoods for Distinct-Event Time Data: Testing III

- Null Hypothesis,

$$H_0 : \underline{\beta} = \underline{\beta}_0$$

- Test statistics,

$$X_W^2 = (\underline{b} - \underline{\beta}_0)^T \mathcal{I}(\underline{b})(\underline{b} - \underline{\beta}_0)$$

- Under  $H_0$

$$X_W^2 \sim \chi^2(p), \text{ for large } n$$

# Partial Likelihoods for Distinct-Event Time Data: Testing IV

- The likelihood ratio test

- Null Hypothesis,

$$H_0 : \underline{\beta} = \underline{\beta}_0$$

- Test statistics,

$$X_{LR}^2 = 2 \left[ l(\underline{b}) - l(\underline{\beta}_0) \right],$$

- Under  $H_0$

$$X_{LR}^2 \sim \chi^2(p), \text{ for large } n$$

# Partial Likelihoods for Distinct-Event Time Data: Testing V

- The scores test
  - It is based on the result that, for large samples,

$$U(\underline{\beta}) = [U_1(\underline{\beta}), \dots, U_p(\underline{\beta})]^T$$

is asymptotically  $p$ -variate normal with mean 0 and covariance  $\mathcal{I}(\underline{\beta})$ , i.e.,

$$U(\underline{\beta}) \sim N_p(\underline{0}, \mathcal{I}(\underline{\beta}))$$

# Partial Likelihoods for Distinct-Event Time Data: Testing VI

- Null Hypothesis,

$$H_0 : \underline{\beta} = \underline{\beta}_0$$

- Test statistics,

$$X_{SC}^2 = \left[ U(\underline{\beta}_0) \right]^T \mathcal{I}^{-1}(\underline{\beta}_0) \left[ U(\underline{\beta}_0) \right]$$

- Under  $H_0$

$$X_{SC}^2 \sim \chi^2(p), \text{ for large } n$$

- See *Example 8.1*

# Partial Likelihoods for Event Time Data with Ties I

- Data:  $(T_j, \delta_j, \underline{Z}_j)$ ,  $j = 1(1)n$
- Distinct ordered event times:

$$t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_D$$

- let the number of deaths at  $t_i$  be  $d_i$
- let the set of all individuals who die at time  $t_i$  be  $\mathcal{D}_i$
- let the sum of the vectors  $\underline{Z}_j$  over all individuals who die at  $t_i$  be  $\underline{s}_i$ ,

$$\underline{s}_i = \sum_{j \in \mathcal{D}_i} \underline{Z}_j$$

- Risk set at  $t_i$ :  $R_i$ 
  - the set of all individuals at risk just prior to  $t_i$



# Partial Likelihoods for Event Time Data with Ties II

- There are several suggestions for constructing the partial likelihood when there are ties among the event times.
  - Breslow's Likelihood
  - Efron's Likelihood
  - Discrete Likelihood
- When there are no ties between the event times, all the three likelihoods reduce to the partial likelihood in the previous section.

# Partial Likelihoods for Event Time Data with Ties III

- Breslow's Likelihood:

$$\begin{aligned} L_1(\underline{\beta}) &= \prod_{i=1}^D \prod_{j=1}^{d_i} \frac{e^{\underline{\beta}^T \underline{z}_j}}{\sum_{k \in R_i} e^{\underline{\beta}^T \underline{z}_k}} \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^D \frac{e^{\underline{\beta}^T \underline{s}_i}}{\left[ \sum_{k \in R_i} e^{\underline{\beta}^T \underline{z}_k} \right]^{d_i}} \end{aligned}$$

- Note:

- Breslow's likelihood considers each of the  $d_i$  events at a given time as distinct,
- Thus it constructs their individual contribution to the likelihood function, and obtains the overall likelihood by multiplying these contributions over all events at time  $t_i$ .
- When there are few ties, this approximation works quite well.

# Partial Likelihoods for Event Time Data with Ties IV

- Efron's Likelihood

$$\begin{aligned} L_2(\underline{\beta}) &= \prod_{i=1}^D \prod_{j=1}^{d_i} \frac{e^{\underline{\beta}^T \underline{z}_j}}{\left[ \sum_{k \in R_i} e^{\underline{\beta}^T \underline{z}_k} - \frac{j-1}{d_i} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{D}_i} e^{\underline{\beta}^T \underline{z}_k} \right]} \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^D \frac{e^{\underline{\beta}^T \underline{s}_i}}{\prod_{j=1}^{d_i} \left[ \sum_{k \in R_i} e^{\underline{\beta}^T \underline{z}_k} - \frac{j-1}{d_i} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{D}_i} e^{\underline{\beta}^T \underline{z}_k} \right]} \end{aligned}$$

- Note:

- Efron's likelihood is closer to the correct partial likelihood based on a discrete hazard model than Breslow's likelihood.
- When the number of ties is small, Efron's and Breslow's likelihoods are quite close.

- Discrete Likelihood

$$L_3(\underline{\beta}) = \prod_{i=1}^D \frac{e^{\underline{\beta}^T \underline{s}_i}}{\left[ \sum_{q \in Q_i} e^{\underline{\beta}^T \underline{s}_q^*} \right]}$$

- $Q_i$  denote the set of all subsets of  $d_i$  individuals who could be selected from the risk set  $R_i$ .
  - Each element of  $Q_i$  is a  $d_i$ -tuple of individuals who could have been one of the  $d_i$  failures at time  $t_i$ .
- $q = (q_1, \dots, q_{d_i}) \in Q_i$  and  $\underline{s}_q^* = \sum_{j=1}^{d_i} \underline{z}_{qj}$ .

# Partial Likelihoods for Event Time Data with Ties VI

- **Example 8.4:** A study to assess the time to first exit-site infection (in months) in patients with renal insufficiency was conducted. 43 patients utilized a surgically placed catheter and 76 patients utilized a percutaneous placement of their catheter. Catheter failure was the primary reason for censoring. To apply a proportional hazards regression, let  $Z = 1$  if the patient has a percutaneous placement of the catheter, and 0 otherwise.

There are 6 deaths at time 0.5. All 6 deaths have  $Z = 1$ , and there are 76 patients at risk with  $Z = 1$  and 43 patients at risk with  $Z = 0$

- Likelihood contribution at  $t_1 = 0.5$ ,

- Berslow: 
$$\frac{e^{6\beta}}{[43 + 76e^{\beta}]^6}$$

- Efron: 
$$\frac{e^{6\beta}}{\prod_{j=1}^6 \left[ 43 + 76e^{\beta} - \frac{j-1}{6}(6e^{\beta}) \right]}$$

- Discrete:

$$\frac{e^{6\beta}}{\left[ \binom{43}{6} + \binom{43}{5} \binom{76}{1} e^{\beta} + \binom{43}{4} \binom{76}{2} e^{2\beta} + \binom{43}{3} \binom{76}{3} e^{3\beta} + \binom{43}{2} \binom{76}{4} e^{4\beta} + \binom{43}{1} \binom{76}{5} e^{5\beta} + \binom{76}{6} e^{6\beta} \right]}$$

# Estimation of the Survival Function based on Breslow's estimator I

- To construct this estimator, at first, fit a proportional hazards model to the data
  - and obtain the partial maximum likelihood estimators  $\underline{b}$
  - and the estimated covariance matrix  $\hat{V}(\underline{b})$  from the inverse of the information matrix.
- Let  $t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_D$  denote the distinct death times and
- let  $d_i$  be the number of deaths at time  $t_i$ .
- Let

$$W(t_i, \underline{b}) = \sum_{j \in R(t_i)} e^{\sum_{h=1}^p b_h Z_{jh}}$$

# Estimation of the Survival Function based on Breslow's estimator II

- Thus, the estimator of the cumulative baseline hazard rate  $H_0(t)$  is

$$\hat{H}_0(t) = \sum_{t_i \leq t} \frac{d_i}{W(t_i, \underline{b})}$$

- It is a step function with jumps at the observed death times.
- This estimator reduces to the Nelson-Aalen estimator, when there are no covariates present,
- The estimator of the baseline survival function,  $S_0(t) = e^{-H_0(t)}$  is

$$\hat{S}_0(t) = e^{-\hat{H}_0(t)}$$

- This is an estimator of the survival function of an individual with a baseline set of covariate values,  $\underline{Z} = 0$

# Estimation of the Survival Function based on Breslow's estimator III

- To estimate the survival function for an individual with a covariate vector  $\underline{Z} = \underline{Z}_0$ , we use the estimator

$$\hat{S}(t|\underline{Z} = \underline{Z}_0) = \left[ \hat{S}_0(t) \right]^{\exp(b^T \underline{Z}_0)}.$$

- Under mild regularity conditions the estimator  $\hat{S}(t|\underline{Z} = \underline{Z}_0)$ , for fixed  $t$ , has an asymptotic normal distribution with mean

$$S(t|\underline{Z} = \underline{Z}_0).$$



# Estimation of the Survival Function based on Breslow's estimator IV

- The variance of the asymptotic normal distribution can be estimated by

$$\hat{V} \left[ \hat{S}(t | \underline{Z} = \underline{Z}_0) \right] = \left[ \hat{S}(t | \underline{Z} = \underline{Z}_0) \right]^2 [Q_1(t) + Q_2(t; \underline{Z}_0)],$$

where

- $Q_1(t) = \sum_{t_i \leq t} \frac{d_i}{W(t_i, \underline{b})^2}$  and
- $Q_2(t; \underline{Z}_0) = [\underline{Q}_3(t; \underline{Z}_0)]^T \hat{V}(\underline{b}) [\underline{Q}_3(t; \underline{Z}_0)]$  with
- $\underline{Q}_3(t; \underline{Z}_0) = [Q_3(t; \underline{Z}_0)_1, \dots, Q_3(t; \underline{Z}_0)_k, \dots, Q_3(t; \underline{Z}_0)_p]^T$  where
  - $Q_3(t; \underline{Z}_0)_k = \sum_{t_i \leq t} \left( \frac{W^{(k)}(t_i; \underline{b})}{W(t_i; \underline{b})} - Z_{0k} \right) \left( \frac{d_i}{W(t_i; \underline{b})} \right)$  and
  - $W^{(k)}(t; \underline{b}) = \sum_{j \in R(t_i)} Z_{jk} e^{b^T \underline{Z}_j}$

# Estimation of the Survival Function based on Breslow's estimator V

- Note:

- $Q_1$  is an estimator of the variance of  $\hat{H}_0(t)$  if  $\underline{b}$  were the true value of  $\underline{\beta}$ .
- $Q_2$  reflects the uncertainty in the estimation process added by estimating  $\underline{\beta}$ .
- $Q_3(t, \underline{Z}_0)$  is large when  $\underline{Z}_0$  is far from the average covariate in the risk set.

# Estimation of the Survival Function based on Breslow's estimator VI

- Using this variance estimate, point-wise confidence intervals for the survival function can be constructed for  $S(t|\underline{Z} = \underline{Z}_0)$  using the techniques discussed earlier
- As we have seen earlier, the log-transformed or arcsine-square-root-transformed intervals perform better than the naive, linear, confidence interval.

# Example I

- Example based on bank credit data
- Cox's Proportional Hazard model
  - Using 5 covariates; (Age, Amount, InstallmentRatePercentage, NumberExistingCredits and NumberPeopleMaintenance)

$$\hat{\beta} = [-9.72 \times 10^{-3}, -1.96 \times 10^{-4}, -9.09 \times 10^{-2}, 2.54 \times 10^{-2}, -1.06 \times 10^{-2}]^T$$

- Using 2 covariates; (Amount and InstallmentRatePercentage)

$$\hat{\beta} = [-1.99 \times 10^{-4}, -1.10 \times 10^{-1}]^T$$

- Baseline Cumulative Hazards: **FIGURE 8a**

# Example II

- Comparing predictions for
  - *Amount* at
    - mean + sd ( $X = \mu + \sigma$ ) and
    - mean - sd ( $X = \mu - \sigma$ )
  - *InstallmentRatePercentage* is kept constant at mean
- **FIGURE 8b**