

Delta Method

Central Limit Theorem

- * $X_1, \dots, X_n \sim \text{iid } F$ with finite μ and $\sigma^2 > 0$
- * $\frac{X_n - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$

Common Application:

Confidence Interval for μ : $\bar{X}_n \pm z_{\alpha/2} \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$

Drawback: Assumption of iid of X_1, \dots, X_n

Delta Method

For simplicity assume that $\sigma = 1$ and consider $T_n \triangleq T(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ - some statistic defined on a sample, θ - constant such that

$$\sqrt{n}(T_n - \theta) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$$

\Rightarrow

$$T_n - \theta = o(1/\sqrt{n})$$

Consider $\phi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ - continuous transformation

Using Taylor expansion we have

$$\phi(T_n) - \phi(\theta) = \phi'(\theta)(T_n - \theta) + o((T_n - \theta)^2)$$

\Rightarrow

$$\sqrt{n}\{\phi(T_n) - \phi(\theta)\} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(0, \phi'(\theta)^2)$$

Remark

Delta Method allows us to extend asymptotic normality to any continuous transformation $\phi(T_n)$

Infering Percent Changes

* $X_1, \dots, X_n \sim \text{iid } F_x$ (with mean μ_x and $\sigma_x^2 > 0$)
from Control Group

$Y_1, \dots, Y_n \sim \text{iid } F_y$ (with μ_y and $\sigma_y^2 > 0$)
from Experimental Group

* We would like to build CI for $\hat{\Delta}\% = \frac{\bar{Y} - \bar{X}}{\bar{X}}$

* Application of DM:

$$T_n = (\bar{Y}, \bar{X}), \quad \theta = (\mu_y, \mu_x), \quad \phi(y, x) = y/x$$

using $\phi(T_n) - \phi(\theta) \approx \nabla \phi(\theta) (T_n - \theta)$ we have

$$\frac{\bar{Y}}{\bar{X}} - \frac{\mu_y}{\mu_x} \approx \frac{1}{\mu_x} (\bar{Y} - \mu_y) - \frac{\mu_y}{\mu_x^2} (\bar{X} - \mu_x)$$

$$\forall i = \overline{1, n} \quad W_i = \frac{Y_i}{\mu_x} - \mu_y \frac{X_i}{\mu_x^2} \Rightarrow \frac{\bar{Y}}{\bar{X}} - \frac{\mu_y}{\mu_x} \approx \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^n W_i \cdot \frac{1}{n}}_{\text{"}}$$

CI for $\hat{\Delta}\%$

$$\underbrace{\frac{\bar{Y}}{\bar{X}} - 1}_{\text{point estimate}} \pm \frac{z_{\alpha/2}}{\sqrt{n}} \sqrt{s_y^2 - 2 \frac{\bar{Y}}{\bar{X}} s_{xy} + \frac{\bar{Y}^2}{\bar{X}^2} s_x^2}$$

$\text{Var}(\hat{\Delta}\%)$

! \bar{W}_n - average of iid random variables

Proof is pretty straight forward \square

Remark

By applying DM we can build CI for ratio-of-averages (of iid random variables)

Decoding Cluster Randomization

- * Key concepts in AB test are
 - * randomization unit (for example, user)
 - * analysis unit (for example, view)

* Analytics is straightforward when randomization and analysis units agree. (When randomizing by user and computing average revenue per user)

* In practice randomization unit is a cluster of analysis units

* WLOG we will consider only treatment group with k clusters, $i=1, \dots, k$

N_i - number of analysis units in cluster i .

Y_{ij} ($j=1, \dots, N_i$) - analysis unit observations

$$\bar{Y} = \frac{\sum_{ij} Y_{ij}}{\sum_i N_i}.$$

Lets rewrite this expression as

$$\bar{Y} = \frac{\sum_i \sum_j Y_{ij}}{\sum_i N_i} = \frac{\sum_i S_i / k}{\sum_i N_i / k} = \frac{\bar{S}_k}{\bar{N}_k} \sim \mathcal{N}(\cdot, \cdot)$$

ratio-of-averages (of iid random vars)

Remark

Thus we can apply DM to compute $\text{Var}(\bar{Y})$

$$\text{Var}(\bar{Y}) \approx \frac{1}{k \mu_N^2} \left(\sigma_S^2 - 2 \frac{\mu_S}{\mu_N} \sigma_{SN} + \frac{\mu_S^2}{\mu_N^2} \sigma_N^2 \right)$$

Hypothesis Testing

- * Suppose we measured \bar{Y} and \bar{X} (revenue per view) for treatment and control groups.
- * We would like to test H_0 : there is no significant difference between \bar{Y} and \bar{X}
- * $H_0: \mu_Y = \mu_X$
 $H_1: \mu_Y \neq \mu_X$
- * $T(X^n, Y^m) = \bar{Y} - \bar{X}$
under the H_0 $T(X^n, Y^m) \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$
where $\sigma^2 = \text{Var}(\bar{Y} - \bar{X}) = \text{Var}(\bar{Y}) + \text{Var}(\bar{X})$
- * We can test H_0 in two ways:
 - 1) Build CI for $\mu_Y - \mu_X$:
$$\bar{Y} - \bar{X} \pm z_{\alpha/2} [\text{Var}(\bar{Y}) + \text{Var}(\bar{X})]$$
and check $0 \in \text{CI}$
If $0 \in \text{CI}$ then we can not reject H_0
 - 2) Compute p-value of $T(X^n, Y^m)$ and compare it against α .
If $p \leq \alpha$ then we reject H_0 in favour of H_1

Efficient Variance Estimation for Quantile Metrics

todo