97

Engineering Mathematics Basics Matrix Basics

By Professor Qingjiang Shi

Zhicheng Liu

February 13, 2021

1. Let A be the $n \times n$ matrix with $a_{j,j+1} = 1, j = 1, ..., n-1$ and all other elements zero. Represent A as a sum of vector outer products.

solution

$$A = \sum_{j=1}^{j=n-1} I_j I_j$$

- 2. Consider the block matrix $\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}$ with $A \in C^{n \times n}$ nonsingular.
 - 1) Determine $X \in C^{m \times m}$ and $Y \in C^{n \times n}$ such that

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} I & O \\ CA^{-1} & I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ O & X \end{bmatrix} (blockLUfactorization)$$

and that

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} I & BD^{-1} \\ O & I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} Y & O \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} (blockLUfactorization)$$

solution:

prove the first equation: if $X = D - CA^{-1}B$,

$$\begin{bmatrix} I & O \\ CA^{-1} & I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ O & X \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} IA & IB \\ CA^{-1}A & CA^{-1}B + IX \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & CA^{-1}B + X \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}$$

prove the second equation: if $Y = A - BD^{-1}C$,

$$\begin{bmatrix} I & BD^{-1} \\ O & I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} Y & O \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} IY + BD^{-1}C & BD^{-1}D \\ IC & ID \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} Y + BD^{-1}C & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}$$

2) Prove that

$$det(A)det(D - CA^{-1}B) = det(D)det(A - BD^{-1}C)$$
(1)

$$det(I - CB) = det(I - BC) \tag{2}$$

$$det(I - xy^*) = 1 - y^*x \tag{3}$$

where $x, y \in \mathbb{C}^n$.

solution:

prove the first equation:

$$\begin{bmatrix} I & O \\ CA^{-1} & I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ O & X \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} I & BD^{-1} \\ O & I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} Y & O \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}$$

$$det(\begin{bmatrix} I & O \\ CA^{-1} & I \end{bmatrix}) det(\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ O & X \end{bmatrix}) = det(\begin{bmatrix} I & BD^{-1} \\ O & I \end{bmatrix}) det(\begin{bmatrix} Y & O \\ C & D \end{bmatrix})$$

$$det(I) det(AX) = det(I) det(YD)$$

$$det(AX) = det(YD)$$

$$X = D - CA^{-1}BY = A - BD^{-1}C$$

$$det(A)det(D - CA^{-1}B) = det(D)det(A - BD^{-1}C)$$

prove the second equation:

if
$$A=D=I$$
,

$$det(I)det(I - CI^{-1}B) = det(I)det(I - BI^{-1}C)$$

$$det(I - CB) = det(I)det(I - BC)$$

prove the last equation:

if
$$C=x, B=y^*$$
,

$$det(I - xy^*) = det(I)det(I - y^*x)$$

$$det(I - xy^*) = det(I - y^*x)$$

Because A is a 1×1 matrix,

$$det(I - xy^*) = 1 - y^*x$$

- 3. Let $A \in C^{n \times n}$, the trace of A is defined as the sum of its diagonal elements, i.e., $trace(A) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{ii}$.
 - 1) Show that the trace is a linear function, i.e., if $A, B \in C^{n \times n}$ and $\alpha, \beta \in C$, then

$$trace(\alpha A + \beta B) = \alpha trace(A) + \beta trace(B).$$

solution:

$$trace(\alpha A + \beta B) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\alpha a_{ii} + \beta b_{ii}) = \alpha \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{ii} + \beta \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{ii} = \alpha trace(A) + \beta trace(B)$$

2) Show that trace(AB) = trace(BA), even though in general $AB \neq BA$.

solution:

$$trace(AB) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{ik} b_{ki}$$

$$trace(BA) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} b_{ik} a_{ki} = \sum_{k0=1}^{n} \sum_{i0=1}^{n} b_{k0i0} a_{i0k0} = \sum_{k0=1}^{n} \sum_{i0=1}^{n} a_{i0k0} b_{k0i0} = trace(AB)$$

3) Show that if $S \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is skew-symmetric, i.e., $S^T = -S$, the trace(S) = 0. Prove the converse to this statement or provide a counterexample.

solution:

$$trace(S) = trace(S^T) = trace(-S) = -trace(S)$$

$$So,trace(S)=0.$$

counterexample of the converse to this statement:

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, trace(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}) = 0$$

$$S^T = (\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix})^T = (\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}) \neq (\begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}) = -S$$

4. Let $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, ..., \lambda_n$ be the eigenvalues of A. Show that

$$det(A) = \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \dots \lambda_n, \tag{1}$$

$$trace(A) = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_n, \tag{2}$$

$$trace(A^k) = \lambda_1^k + \lambda_2^k + \dots + \lambda_n^k, k = 1, 2, \dots$$
 (3)

solution:

SSE TongJi University

prove the first equation:
$$A = C^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & \lambda_n \end{bmatrix} C$$

$$det(A) = det(C^{-1})det(\begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & \lambda_n \end{bmatrix})det(C)$$

$$det(A) = det(C^{-1}C)det\begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix}$$

$$det(A) = \lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_n$$

prove the second equation:

$$det(\lambda - A) = (\lambda - \lambda_1) \cdots (\lambda - \lambda_n)$$

the coefficient of the term of λ^{n-1} is $-(\lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_n)$

$$det(\lambda - A) = det\begin{pmatrix} \lambda - a_{1,1} & \cdots & -a_{1,n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ -a_{n,1} & \cdots & \lambda - a_{n,n} \end{pmatrix}$$

the coefficient of the term of λ^{n-1} is only related to $(\lambda - a_{11})(\lambda - a_{22}) \cdots (\lambda - a_{nn})$

so, the coefficient of the term of λ^{n-1} is $-(a_1 + \cdots + a_n)$

so,
$$-(\lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_n) = -(a_1 + \cdots + a_n)$$

prove the last equation:

if
$$A\alpha = \lambda_1 \alpha, A^k = \lambda^k \alpha$$

 $So, \lambda_1^k, \lambda_2^k, ..., \lambda_n^k$ be the eigenvalues of A^k .

$$So, trace(A^k) = \lambda_1^k + \lambda_2^k + ... + \lambda_n^k, k = 1, 2, ...$$

5. 1) Let $x_1, x_2, ..., x_k$ be eigenvectors of A. Show that $S = span\{x_1, x_2, ..., x_k\}$ is invariant under A. solution:

if
$$y \in S$$
,

$$\exists a_1, \cdots a_k, y = a_1x_1 + \cdots a_kx_k$$

$$so, Ay = a_1\lambda_1x_1 + \cdots + a_k\lambda_kx_k$$

so,S is invariant under A.

2) Let $A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$. Show that the space $S = span\{e_1, e_2\}$ is invariant under A and is not spanned

by eigenvectors of A. Here
$$e_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
 and $e_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$.

solution:

$$\forall y \in S, \ y = c_1 e_1 + c_2 e_2$$

$$Ay = Ac_1e_1 + Ac_2e_2 = c_1Ae_1 + c_2Ae_2 = c_1\begin{bmatrix} 2\\0\\0 \end{bmatrix} + c_1\begin{bmatrix} 1\\2\\0 \end{bmatrix} = (2c_1 + c_2)e_1 + 2c_2e_2$$

so,S is invariant under A.

$$det(\lambda E - A) = 0$$
 can derive $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 2, \lambda_3 = 1$

$$(2E - A)\alpha = 0$$
 can derive $\alpha_1 = k_1 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$

$$(E - A)\alpha = 0$$
 can derive $\alpha_2 = k_2 \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$

$$e_2 = b_1 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + b_2 \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
 can derive that b_1, b_2 has no solution.

so,S is not spanned by eigenvectors of A.

6. Verify that $\parallel xy^* \parallel_F = \parallel xy^* \parallel_2 = \parallel x \parallel_2 \parallel y \parallel_2$ for any $x, y \in C^n$.

solution:

$$\parallel xy^* \parallel_F^2 = trace((yx^*)(xy^*)) = (x^*x)trace(yy^*) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 \sum_{j=1}^n y_j^2 \\ \parallel xy^* \parallel_2 = \max_z((\parallel xy^*z \parallel_2^2)/(\parallel z \parallel_2^2)) = \max_z((|y^*z| \parallel x \parallel_2^2)/(\parallel z \parallel_2^2)) = \parallel y \parallel_2^2 \parallel ky \parallel_2^2 \parallel x \parallel_2^2 / \parallel ky \parallel_2^2 = \parallel x \parallel_2^2 \parallel y \parallel_2^2, \\ k \text{ is a number.}$$

7. Let $A \in C^{n \times m}$. Show that $||A||_2 \le ||A||_F$. (Recall that $trace(B) = \sum_i \lambda_i(B)$).

solution:

$$||A||_F^2 = trace(A^*A)$$

$$||A||_2^2 = \max_x \frac{||Ax||_2^2}{||x||_2^2} = \max_i \lambda_i$$

$$trace(A^*A) = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i > \max \lambda_i$$

8. For $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m}$, show that

$$||A||_{1} = \max_{x \neq 0} \frac{||Ax||_{1}}{||x||_{1}} = \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \sum_{i=1}^{m} |a_{ij}|$$

$$\tag{1}$$

$$||A||_{\infty} = \max_{x \neq 0} \frac{||Ax||_{\infty}}{||x||_{\infty}} = \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \sum_{i=1}^{m} |a_{ij}|$$
 (2)

solution:

$$\parallel A \parallel_1 = \max_{x \neq 0} \frac{\|Ax\|_1}{\|x\|_1} = \max_{x \neq 0} \frac{\sum_i |\sum_j a_{ij} x_i|}{\sum_i |x_i|} \leq \max_{x \neq 0} \frac{\sum_i \sum_j |a_{ij} x_i|}{\sum_i |x_i|} \leq \max_{x \neq 0} \frac{(\max_j \sum_i (a_{ij})) \sum_j |x_i|}{\sum_i |x_i|} = \max_j \sum_i (a_{ij}) \sum_i |x_i|$$

The proof of the second equation is similar.

- 9. Let $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$. Recall that $||A||_F^2 = trace(A^T A)$ and that for any matrix D for which the product AD is defined, trace(AD) = trace(DA).
 - 1) Show that the Q that minimizes $||A QB||_F$ over all choices of orthogonal Q also maximizes $trace(A^TQB)$.

solution:

$$\parallel A - QB \parallel_F^2 = trace((A - BQ)^T(A - QB)) = trace((A^T - B^TQ^T)(A - QB)) = trace(A^TA + B^TQ^TQB - A^TQB - B^TQ^TA) = trace(A^TA + B^TB) - 2trace(A^TQB)$$

 $trace(A^TA + B^TB)$ is constant.

so, the Q that minimizes $||A - QB||_F$ over all choices of orthogonal Q also maximizes $trace(A^TQB)$

2) Suppose that the SVD of the $m \times m$ matrix BA^T is $U\Sigma V^T$, where U and V are $m \times m$ and orthogonal and Σ is diagonal with diagonal entries $\sigma_1 \geq ... \geq \sigma_m \geq 0$. Define $Z = V^T QU$. Use these definitions and (i) to show that

$$trace(A^TQB) = trace(Z\Sigma) \le \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sigma_i$$

solution:

$$trace(A^TQB) = trace(QBA^T) = trace(QU\Sigma V^T) = trace(V^TQU\Sigma) = trace(Z\Sigma)$$

Z is orthogonal and diagonal, hence $z_{ij}=0$ or 1 or -1, $trace(Z\Sigma)=\sum_i z_{ii}\sigma_i\leq \sum_i \sigma_i$

3) Identify the choice of Q that gives equality in the bound of (ii).

solution:

if
$$Q = VU^T$$
,

$$Z = V^T Q U = V^T V U^T U = V^{-1} V U^{-1} U = II = I$$

$$trace(Z\Sigma) = trace(\Sigma) = \sum_{i} \sigma_{i}$$

4) Carefully state a theorem summarizing the solution to the minimization of $||A - QB||_F$.

$$Q = VU^T, Q^T = Q^{-1}, ||A - QB||_F$$
 is minimized by $Q \Rightarrow BA^T = U\Sigma V^T$ is an SVD.

- 10. Let $A \in C^{m \times n}$.
 - 1) Show that $(range(A))^{\perp} = null(A^*)$ and that $(null(A))^{\perp} = range(A^*)$.

solution:

$$A = U\Sigma V^*, r = Rank(A) \Rightarrow null(A^*) = span\{u_{r+1}, \cdots, u_m\}, range(A) = span\{u_1, \cdots, u_r\} \Rightarrow (range(A))^{\perp} = null(A) \Rightarrow range(A) = (null(A))^{\perp}$$

2) Show respectively that AA^+ , A^+A , $I-A^+A$ and $I-AA^+$ are the orthogonal projectors onto range(A), $range(A^*)$, null(A) and $null(A^*)$.

solution:

First part:

$$(AA^{+})^{2} = (AA^{+}A)A^{+} = AA^{+}$$

$$\forall x \in range(A), x = Ay = AA^{+}Ay = AA^{+}x \Rightarrow x \in range(AA^{+})$$

$$\forall x \in range(AA^+), x = AA^+y = A(A^+y) \Rightarrow x \in range(A)$$

hence AA^+ are the orthogonal projectors onto range(A).

Second part:

By first part, $A^*(A^*)^+$ are the orthogonal projectors onto $range(A^*)$.

hence A^+A are the orthogonal projectors onto $range(A^*)$.

SSE TongJi University

Third part:

By first part, $I - AA^+$ are the orthogonal projectors onto $range(A^{\perp}) = null(A)$.

hence $I - AA^+$ are the orthogonal projectors onto null(A).

Last part:

By second part, $I - A^{+}A$ are the orthogonal projectors onto $range((A^{*})^{\perp}) = null(A^{*})$.

hence $I - AA^+$ are the orthogonal projectors onto $null(A^*)$.

3) Suppose rank(A) = r, let $A = U\Sigma V^*$ with $U = \begin{bmatrix} U_1 & U_2 \end{bmatrix}$ and $V = \begin{bmatrix} V_1 & V_2 \end{bmatrix}$ unitary and partitioned, such that $U_1 \in C^{m \times r}$ and $V_1 \in C^{n \times r}$. Show that $U_1U_1^*, V_1V_1^*, V_2V_2^*$ and $U_2U_2^*$ are the orthogonal projectors onto $range(A), range(A^*), null(A)$ and $null(A^*)$, respectively.

solution:

$$AA^+ = U\Sigma V^*(V\Sigma^+U^*) = U\begin{bmatrix} I_r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}U^* = U1U1^*$$

hence $U1U1^*$ are the orthogonal projectors onto range(A).

other parts are similar.