

Lab 2 a

1) A) $sum \leftarrow 0$
 for $i \leftarrow 0$ to $n-1$ do
 $sum \leftarrow sum + 1$
 $\{ \text{incrementation } i \}$

$$\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ 1 + (n) + 1 \\ 2n \\ 2n \\ \hline 5n + 3 \end{array}$$

: n times,

b) $sum \leftarrow 0$
 for $i \leftarrow 0$ to $n-1$ do
 for $j \leftarrow 0$ to $n-1$ to
 $sum \leftarrow sum + 1$
 $\{ \text{incrementation } j \}$
 $\{ \text{incrementation } i \}$

$$\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ n+1 \\ (n+2)n \\ 2n + n \\ 2n + n \\ 2n \end{array}$$

$$\text{total: } 1 + n + 2 + (n^2 + 2n) + 2n^2 + 2n^2 + 2n$$

$$\Rightarrow 1 + n + 2 + 5n^2 + 2n + 2n$$

$$\Rightarrow 5n^2 + 5n + 3$$

2) first for loop gives $O(n)$
 second for loop gives $O(n^2)$
 $\Rightarrow O(n^2)$

3) a Algorithm merge(A, B)

input: sorted array size x

~~output~~: sorted array size y

output: Array c with size n which merge A and B

$i \leftarrow 0$

$j \leftarrow 0$

$n \leftarrow x + y$

$c \leftarrow \text{new int}[n]$

$\text{index} \leftarrow 0$

while $i < x$ and $j < y$ do

if $A[i] \leq B[j]$ then

$c[\text{index}] = A[i]$

{ increment index }

{ increment i }

[else]

$c[\text{index}] = B[j]$

{ increment index }

{ increment j }

while $i < x$ do

$c[\text{index}] = A[i]$

{ increment i }

{ increment index }

while $j < y$ do

$c[\text{index}] = B[j]$

{ increment i }

{ increment j }

return c .

3) B) while loop $\simeq O(n)$

while loop $+ O(n-x)$

while loop $+ O(n-x)$

$O(n)$

$\simeq O(n)$

4) A) Algorithm remove dups (L)

input List L

output List M containing the distinct elements of L

$M \leftarrow \text{new List}$

for $i \leftarrow 0$ to L .size ($j-1$) do

if not M contains ($L[i]$) then $M.add(L[i])$

return M

here

for loop \rightarrow ~~repeated~~ n operations

$\Rightarrow O(n^2)$

4) B Algorithm remove dups

(4)

input a list L

output a list M containing the distinct elements of L

M ← new hash map

for $i \leftarrow 0$ to $L.size() - 1$ do

if not m.contains [L[i]] then

M.put [L[i], 0]

return M.

here: Algorithm B of remove dups include a hash map
data structure

→ contains → $O(1)$ operations

→ for loop → $O(n)$

contains $O(1)$

$O(n) \times O(1) \Rightarrow O(n)$

→ this algorithm is more efficient ($O(n)$) than the last one.

4)c

55

to prove algorithm B is correct

loop invariant, for $0 \leq i \leq n-1$

$I(i)$: M contains the distinct elements contained $[L[0] \dots L[i]]$

base case :

$I(0)$: M contains the distinct elements contains $L[0]$.

induction step

we assume $I(i)$: M contains distinct elements of $L[0]$ to $L[i]$

show that

$I(i+1)$: M contains element $[L[0] \dots L[i+1]]$